

May 13, 1992

Mr. T.E. Young
Radiological Physics
EG&G Idaho, Inc.
P. O. Box 1625
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83415

SUBJECT: COMMENTS ON DRAFT TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT FOR THE EVALUATION OF THE NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 1 ODCM, REVISION 8 (MARCH 1992)

Dear Mr. Young:

We have reviewed your Technical Evaluation Report (TER) of the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 1 ODCM, revision 8, which was transmitted to us on March 29, 1992. The TER represents a good and thorough report of the licensee's ODCM.

We have only minor additions and/or deletions that should be made to the TER. These comments are provided in the enclosure to this letter.

We would be glad to discuss our comments after you have had the opportunity to review them. The technical contact for this review is Steve Klementowicz (301) 504-1084.

Sincerely,

/s/

John J. Hayes, Jr.
Senior Health Physicist
Radiation Protection Branch
Division of Radiation Protection
and Emergency Preparedness
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

DISTRIBUTION:

- Docket File
- Central File
- PDR
- PRPB Reading File
- HPPOS
- PRPB Subject File (ODCM 110)
- LCunningham
- TEssig
- JWigginton
- SKlementowicz
- JHayes

*RF03
1/1*

RPB:DREP <i>SPK</i>	RPB:DREP <i>JJ Hayes</i>	SC:RPB:DREP
SKLEMENTOWICZ/vsb	JHAYES	TESSIG <i>ME</i>
05/12/92	05/13/92	05/13/92

DOCUMENT NAME: 9MILEPT1 DISK #7

9205280075 920513
PDR ADOCK 05000220
PDR

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

May 13, 1992

Mr. T.E. Young
Radiological Physics
EG&G Idaho, Inc.
P. O. Box 1625
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83415

SUBJECT: COMMENTS ON DRAFT TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT FOR THE EVALUATION
OF THE NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 1 ODCM, REVISION 8
(MARCH 1992)

Dear Mr. Young:

We have reviewed your Technical Evaluation Report (TER) of the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 1 ODCM, revision 8, which was transmitted to us on March 29, 1992. The TER represents a good and thorough report of the licensee's ODCM.

We have only minor additions and/or deletions that should be made to the TER. These comments are provided in the enclosure to this letter.

We would be glad to discuss our comments after you have had the opportunity to review them. The technical contact for this review is Steve Klementowicz (301) 504-1094.

Sincerely,

/s/

John J. Hayes, Jr.
Senior Health Physicist
Radiation Protection Branch
Division of Radiation Protection
and Emergency Preparedness
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File
Central File
PDR
PRPB Reading File
4PPOS
PRPB Subject File (ODCM 110)
LCunningham
TEssig
JWigginton
SKlementowicz
JHayes

RPB:DREP <i>SPK</i>	RPB:DREP <i>JJ Hayes</i>	SC:RPB:DREP
SKLEMENTOWICZ/vsb	JHAYES	TESSIG <i>ME</i>
05/12/92	05/13/92	05/13/92

DOCUMENT NAME: 9MILEPT1 DISK #7

ENCLOSURE

Section 1.1 Purpose of Review

The word "requirements" should be deleted from the second sentence of the section, that discusses 10 CFR 50 Appendix I.

Section 4.4.1 General

The word "in" should be inserted between the words "paragraph" and "Section" in the first sentence of the second paragraph.

Section 4.4.3 Dose Rates Due to Other than Noble Gases

The word "the" between the words "before" and "considering" in the fifth sentence of the third paragraph should be deleted.

Section 5 Summary

In Category B, item 6. the units "uCi" and "uCi/sec" should be reversed.

May 13, 1992

Mr. T.E. Young
Radiological Physics
EG&G Idaho, Inc.
P. O. Box 1625
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83415

SUBJECT: COMMENTS ON DRAFT TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT FOR THE EVALUATION OF THE NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 1 ODCM, REVISION 8 (MARCH 1992)

Dear Mr. Young:

We have reviewed your Technical Evaluation Report (TER) of the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 1 ODCM, revision 8, which was transmitted to us on March 29, 1992. The TER represents a good and thorough report of the licensee's ODCM.

We have only minor additions and/or deletions that should be made to the TER. These comments are provided in the enclosure to this letter.

We would be glad to discuss our comments after you have had the opportunity to review them. The technical contact for this review is Steve Klementowicz (301) J4-1084.

Sincerely,

/s/

John J. Hayes, Jr.
Senior Health Physicist
Radiation Protection Branch
Division of Radiation Protection
and Emergency Preparedness
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

DISTRIBUTION:

- Docket File
- Central File
- PDR
- PRPB Reading File
- HPPOS
- PRPB Subject File (ODCM 110)
- LCunningham
- TEssig
- JWigginton
- SKlementowicz
- JHayes

NRG FILE CENTER COPY

RPB:DREP <i>SPK</i>	RPB:DREP <i>J Hayes</i>	SC:RPB:DREP
SKLEMENTOWICZ/vsb	JHAYES	TESSIG <i>WES</i>
05/12/92	05/13/92	05/13/92

DOCUMENT NAME: 9MILEPT1 DISK #7

DFOL
111

ENCLOSURE

Section 1.1 Purpose of Review

The word "requirements" should be deleted from the second sentence of the section, that discusses 10 CFR 50 Appendix I.

Section 4.4.1 General

The word "in" should be inserted between the words "paragraph" and "Section" in the first sentence of the second paragraph.

Section 4.4.3 Dose Rates Due to Other than Noble Gases

The word "the" between the words "before" and "considering" in the fifth sentence of the third paragraph should be deleted.

Section 5 Summary

In Category B, item 6. the units "uCi" and "uCi/sec" should be reversed.