Official Transcript of Proceedings NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title:

Georgia Power Company: Vogtle Electric

Generating Plant, Unit 1 and Unit 2

Docket Number:

50-424-OLA-3; 50-425-OLA-3

ASLBP No.: 93-671-01-OLA-3

Location:

Rockville, Maryland

Date:

Friday, October 6, 1995

Work Order No.:

NRC-348

Pages 15483-15511

ORIGINAL

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

150/

100116 9510110102 951006 PDR ADDCK 05000424

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION		
3	+ + + + +		
4	ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD		
5	HEARING		
6	X		
7	In the matter of: : 50-424-OLA-3		
8	GEORGIA POWER COMPANY, et al. : 50-425-OLA-3		
9	: Re: License Amendment		
10	(Vogtle Electric Generating : (transfer to		
11	Plant, Unit 1 and Unit 2 : Southern Nuclear		
12	: ASLBP No. 93-671-01-0LA-3		
13	X		
14	Friday, October 6, 1995		
15	Hearing Room T 3B45		
16	Two White Flint North		
17	11545 Rockville Pike		
18	Rockville, Maryland		
19	The above-entitled matter came on for hearing		
20	pursuant to notice, at 10:00 a.m.		
21	BEFORE:		
22	PETER B. BLOCH Chairman		
23	JAMES H. CARPENTER Administrative Judge		
24	THOMAS D. MURPHY Administrative Judge		
25			

NEAL R. GROSS

1 APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of the NRC:

CHARLES A. BARTH, ESQ.

JOHN HULL, ESQ.

MITZI A. YOUNG, ESQ.

of: Office of the General Counsel

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Washington, D.C. 20555

(301) 504-1589

12

13

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

On Behalf of the Licensee:

14

ERNSET L. BLAKE, JR., ESQ

16

15

DAVID R. LEWIS, ESQ.

17

of: Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge

18

2300 N. Street, N.W.

19

Washington, D.C. 20037

20

(202) 663-8474

21

22

23

24

25

NEAL R. GROSS

1	APPEARANCES	:(cont.)
2		JAMES E. JOINER, ESQ.
3		JOHN LAMBERSKI, ESQ.
4		WILLIAM WITHEROW, ESQ.
5	of:	Troutman Sanders
6		Nationsbank Plaza, Suite 5200
7		600 Peachtree Street, N.E.
8		Atlanta, Georgia 30308-2216
9		
LO	On Bel	half of the Intervenor:
1		MICHAEL D. KOHN, ESQ.
2		STEPHEN M. KOHN, ESQ.
13		MARY JANE WILMOTH, ESQ.
4	of:	Kohn, Kohn, Colapinto, P.C.
5		517 Florida Avenue, N.W.
.6		Washington, D.C. 20001
7		(202) 234-4663
.8		
.9		
0 0		
21		
22		
23		
24		

NEAL R. GROSS

INDEX

2	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION		IDENT	REC'D
3	"INTERVENOR'S	SECOND LIST OF	EXHIBITS TO BE	ADMITTE	0"
4	BOUND INTO THE	TRANSCRIPT FOR	LLOWING PAGE 15	492.	
5	GPC-II-181A	Staff Version	of GPC-II-181	15501	15501
6		Transcript of	Tape 34 Side B		
7		04-04-90			
8					
9					
10					

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN BLOCH: The hearing will come to

1

(10:03 a.m.)

2

3

4

order. Mr. Kohn?

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MICHAEL KOHN: Good morning, Your Honor, when Mary Jane, who is here somewhere, when she shows up we will distribute the various motions that we have filed.

I guess the other issues that remain are the exhibits. I haven't had any feedback or opportunity for feedback from any of the parties with respect to the outstanding exhibits that intervenor is seeking to resolve.

I would suggest that it might be helpful for the parties to have an opportunity to discuss exhibits a bit.

MR. BLAKE: Some explanation for why there is no feedback. The telecopy list came to Mr. Lamberski at the hotel last night at 9:30.

I don't know when the NRC staff got theirs.

We did suggest Mr. Kohn if he wanted to come early today,

we would meet him here early and talk about them, but we

never heard again.

CHAIRMAN BLOCH: It would have made sense, with a 10:00 start that you would start at 9:00 to talk about the exhibits.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: We wanted to, Your Honor,
2	as it turns out I did not receive the voice mail that you
3	left.
4	I did finally listen to it, and it was there,
5	Your Honor, but normally the
6	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: Did I leave a message about
7	that? I don't remember that I left one?
8	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: Yes. I think so. The
9	normal practice is that the secretary listens to all of
10	the messages and leaves them in my box and for whatever
11	reason that did not happen.
12	So I didn't learn that the meeting
13	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: The message I left was
14	about a filing next Friday.
15	MR. BLAKE: Actually, the message that he is
16	talking about that you left changed the time from 3:00 to
17	10:00.
18	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: Right, and I was expecting,
19	and I called Mr. Lamberski, saying, "Let's get here early
20	tomorrow (which would be today) and work."
21	I was still under the assumption that it was
22	beginning at 2:00.
23	So I apologize for not being up to date on the
24	time, but I anticipated spending all morning today with
25	the parties going over that, and it is just an oversight,
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	and I apologize.
2	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: All right. So
3	MS. YOUNG: Staff didn't get the list until it
4	was faxed them at 8:35 this morning. So we still haven't
5	gone over it.
6	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: Has the list been looked at
7	by the other parties?
8	MR. BLAKE: Yes.
9	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: There are two lists. There
10	is one list that has been around for quite some time, and
11	we have to go over that list.
12	Then there is a supplemental list of
13	additional exhibits that has to be resolved.
14	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: I would just like a judgment
15	from the other parties about whether the supplemental list
16	provides hope that further discussion among the parties
17	might resolve some of it.
18	MS. YOUNG: I am not sure you heard me. The
19	staff has not finished going over intervenor's list. We
20	just had it faxed to us this morning.
21	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: All right, and so, what would
22	the staff propose that we do at this point?
23	MS. YOUNG: Well, if we break for 20 minutes,
24	I think it would be profitable for the parties to talk to
25	each other before the Board gets involved.
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: Okay. We will come back at
2	10:30 to see what the progress has been. We are in recess
3	until then.
4	(Whereupon, the proceedings were recessed at
5	10:07 a.m., to reconvene at 10:30 a.m.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
1.6	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	[2] : 사이트 (1) : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 :

NEAL R. GROSS

AFTERNOON SESSION

3

1

(1:30 p.m.)

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BLOCH: Good afternoon. The hearing will come to order, I hope. Mr. Kohn?

MR. MICHAEL KOHN: Your Honor, intervenor has prepared a document entitled, "Intervenor's Second List of Exhibits to be Admitted." It sets forth the exhibit numbers and a description of the exhibits with the exception of one document Georgia Power has asked us to delete from this list, we will print out a new version, but we will request that the exhibits identified herein be marked and received into evidence, and we request that this be done by binding this document into the record.

CHAIRMAN BLOCH: Is there a response from the other parties?

MS. YOUNG: We are still checking the accuracy of the documents.

CHAIRMAN BLOCH: All right. How much time do we need to check the accuracy of the documents?

MR. LAMBERSKI: Ten minutes, Your Honor.

CHAIRMAN BLOCH: Okay. Ten minute recess.

(Whereupon, a 10 minute recess was taken.)

CHAIRMAN BLOCH: Hearing will once again come to order. Judge Murphy is about to arrive. If it is just

a matter of introducing the document, why don't you go

NEAL R. GROSS

ahead with that, Mr. Kohn? 1 MR. MICHAEL KOHN: Your Honor, at this point 2 intervenor -- I believe all of the parties -- request that 3 a three page document entitled, "Intervenor's Second List 4 of Exhibits to be Admitted, " be bound into the record, and 5 that the exhibits identified herein be considered marked 6 and received into evidence. 7 I note for the record that on page 3 of this 8 exhibit the top exhibit, listed as II-132A, there is a 9 hand written correction to II-232A, and I also note that 10 two staff exhibits are listed at the very end. 11 Staff exhibits II-35A and II-16A. They are 12 not intervenor exhibits. They are staff exhibits of 13 versions of tapes, tape transcripts, previously introduced 14 by intervenor. 15 CHAIRMAN BLOCH: Is there any opposition? 16 MR. LAMBERSKI: Your Honor, Georgia Power 17 doesn't have any opposition. I have one note on a 18 particular exhibit that I would like the Board to be aware 19 of. 20 It is on page two. It is exhibit number II-21 217. It concerns selected pages from Georgia Power's INC 22 23 outage log.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

some additional pages be provided. In fact, there were

You may remember that the Board had requested

24

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges:
Peter B. Bloch, Chair
Dr. James H. Carpenter
Thomas D. Murphy

In the Matter of	Docket Nos. 50-424-OLA-3 50-425-OLA-3
GEORGIA POWER COMPANY et al.,) Re: License Amendment) transfer to Southern Nuclear)
(Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Unit 1 and Unit 2)) ASLBP No. 93-671-01-0LA-3

INTERVENOR'S SECOND LIST OF EXHIBITS TO BE ADMITTED

INTERV. EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION
II-4	Transcript Tape 99 tr. 48-51
II-4A	GPC/NRC VER. Transcript Tape 99
11-8	Transcript Tape 8
11-8A	Transcript Tape 8 (Joint Exhibit)
11-9	Transcript Tape 10
II-9A	GPC/NRC VER. Transcript Tape 10
II-11*1	ISA Standard ISA-S7
II-14	NUREG-1410 pictures of control air tubing
	pp3-49 & J-33
11-21	Transcript of Tape 32
II-21A	Transcript of Tape 32(Joint exhibit)
11-22	Transcript of Tape 89

[&]quot;*" indicates the use of the exhibit is limited to the extent discussed on the record.

11-23	Transcript of Tape 29
II-23A	GPC/NRC VER. Transcript of Tape 29
11-24	April 9 letter
11-51	Transcript of Tape 7
II-51A	Transcript of Tape 7 (Joint Exhibit)
II-70*	Handwritten notes of Jim Bailey for 4-9-90
	presentation
II-81*	Outage Turnover Log 3-15 to 4-6-90
II-84	Transcript of Tape 24
11-90	Transcript of Tape 27
11-94	Transcript of Tape 32
11-94A	NRC ver. Transcript of Tape 32
II-94B	GPC ver. Transcript of Tape 32
II-118A	Transcript of Tape 24
II-153*	Page 12-136 of CRC Handbook of Chemistry
II-170A	Staff ver. Transcript of Tape 207, side A
II-196*	MWO 19001576, 3/30/90
II-215*	Alnor Calibrations Records
II-216*	Johnston Memo 5-11-90
II-217*	I & C log 4/5-8/90
11-222*	Cooper Outage Logbook
II-223*	Johnston's Outage notes
11-225*	Correspondence on 7-90 start air valve
	problem
11-227*	Correspondence on pneumatic control comp
	testing

II-132A Staff Ver. Transcript of Tape 3

II-237A Staff Ver. Transcript of Tape 218

Also to be admitted are the following:

Staff II-35A Staff Ver. Transcript of Tape 157

Staff II-16A Staff Ver. ranscript of Tape 160

two iterations of that.

The first one, several pages were marked as 217A, and the admitted, and then the Board asked for additional, possibly relevant pages, and I went back to review the log and then came to the Board with a number of additional pages.

Unfortunately, I have been unable to locate those pages for today to show to the parties and the Board. I simply request or note for the Board, that if the Board is interested in also having those pages into the record, then Georgia Power feels that that is appropriate.

anticipated on that is that that would be made available to the other parties and we wouldn't put it in the record, but the other parties would have an opportunity to examine them and decide if other parts should be in the record.

Is that consistent with your memory?

MR. LAMBERSKI: It is not inconsistent, Your Honor. I don't have a specific memory of your ruling on this. I don't even think these pages were marked for the record at all, but they were distributed to the other parties at the time that we provided them to the Board.

CHAIRMAN BLOCH: All right. So the other parties already have these documents?

NEAL R. GROSS

1	MR. LAMBERSKI: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: And they are not moving for
3	additional portions to be in evidence. Is that correct?
4	MR. LAMBERSKI: That is correct.
5	MS. YOUNG: Yes. We have them, but we are not
6	sure where they are, also. Just as Georgia Power was not
7	able to locate them, we hadn't addressed this issue before
8	this morning.
9	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: So I understand if the
10	parties find this document there may be a motion limited
11	to some additional pages of the document.
12	Is that what I am understanding? Is that
13	correct?
14	MS. YOUNG: If that were to occur it wouldn't
1.5	effect the finding schedule that the Board has
16	established.
17	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: No. That is fine.
18	MR. LAMBERSKI: We were prepared to leave it
19	to the Board whether the Board felt those pages were
20	important to move in on their own.
21	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: The described exhibit shall
22	be bound into the record
23	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: The described document. It
24	is not an exhibit, Your Honor.
25	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: Right. The described

NEAL R. GROSS

1	document, three page document, intervenor's second list of
2	exhibits to be admitted, is bound into the record at this
3	point, and each of the listed documents are marked and
4	admitted into evidence. Is there further discussion
5	today?
6	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: Your Honor, the parties can
7	identify some documents that there is no agreement. I
8	propose that arguments on those take place on Wednesday.
9	There is a limited number of about eight
10	exhibits in that category. At that time, hopefully, with
11	some further review of the arguments raised by Georgia
12	Power, maybe intervenor can decide whether they agree with
13	Georgia Power's arguments that might limit the scope.
14	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: So you are planning on Monday
15	to fax your further response to the comments of Georgia
16	Power. Is that right?
17	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: Yes, Your Honor.
18	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: All right, and that is a
19	government holiday. So we will look at it first thing
20	Tuesday.
21	That also means that there is no problem with
22	you doing it late Monday.
23	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: Your Honor, intervenor
24	would like to make the licensing Board aware of a
25	commitment the parties have reached with respect to all of

NEAL R. GROSS

the exhibits, that they will endeavor to produce a listing which all three parties will agree accurately reflects the 2 exhibits introduced into the record, and intervenor has 3 committed to taking comments from NRC staff and Georgia Power into producing that document, and filing it with the 5 Board on Wednesday. 6 CHAIRMAN BLOCH: Is anyone going to compare 7 that listing to the documents actually held by SECE? It 8 could be a list of all of the documents submitted, but 9 they may not be physically identified with the record if 10 we are not careful. 11 MS. YOUNG: It will be my hope that that list 12 will indicate whether any exhibits were previously 13 submitted and withdrawn or not discussed later on the 14 record. 15 So it wouldn't just be documents that were 16 admitted. It would also be documents that were rejected, 17 documents that were withdrawn, exhibit numbers that were 18 reserved but whether we can get to SECE's copy by 19 20 Wednesday, I can't promise you. CHAIRMAN BLOCH: Okay. At some point we are 21 going to have to compare the list of marked and admitted 22 documents to the documents that are being maintained by 23

MS. YOUNG: Many of our discussions today did

NEAL R. GROSS

the Office of the Secretary of the NRC.

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

24

25

1

1	try to take into account that they were the set that was
2	initially provided at the outset of the proceeding in
3	April, and that they were later versions of tape
4	transcripts, most often, of documents.
5	Sometimes they were additional pages provided
6	that was done after the copy was sent over to SECE. So
7	hopefully we will keep track of all that too.
8	Many times supplemental pages were admitted as
9	an "A" exhibit for the same numbers, the initial
0	intervenor exhibit.
1	Other times we just physically appended them
2	in the record together. So hopefully the listing that we
3	prepare will indicate both the way the document came in,
4	and how it was physically composed of when it was admitted
5	by the Board, or rejected.
6	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: Okay. We will look for a
7	clerical way of making sure that the record is consistent
8	with the document agreed to by the parties.
9	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: Your Honor, I also would
0	like to note with respect to the document that was bound
1	into the record, you will note that on some of the
2	exhibits there is a for instance, if you look at
3	exhibit II-8, and then II-8A, you will see that II-8A
4	indicates it is a joint exhibit.

The reason II-8 remains in the record is

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

1	because it was initially identified in Mr. Mosbaugh's pre-
2	file testimony. So for continuity we kept the original
3	one in, but the actual exhibit that all the parties agree
4	to would be II-8A.
5	There are about three or four examples of that
6	in this document.
7	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: So, if what you are telling
8	me is correct, only one of those documents should be in
9	evidence?
10	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: Both would be in evidence.
11	The one that is not a joint exhibit is in evidence to the
12	extent it was referenced to Mr. Mosbaugh's testimony and -
13	
14	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: That could be considered
15	marked and not in evidence. Right? That is the way I am
16	thinking of it.
17	If we 'houldn't be looking to it for our
18	findings, then it could be marked to explain the
19	testimony, but it is not in evidence.
20	MS. YOUNG: It basically could be treated
21	either way, because just because a document is evidence
22	doesn't necessarily mean that a party may rely on it for
23	findings.
24	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: It is my understanding that
25	the parties will not be relying on any of the exhibits

NEAL R. GROSS

1	when a joint exhibit exists, other than the joint exhibit.
2	MS. YOUNG: For example
3	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: All right. We will just keep
4	that in mind. We will know that if there is a joint
5	exhibit, it is the authority, and the other documents are
6	not.
7	MS. YOUNG: It is the preferred version. For
8	example we had
9	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: Although there are instances
10	of joint documents which are not completely joint. Isn't
11	that correct?
12	MS. YOUNG: That is correct. That is correct.
13	We have the April 9th letter, for example, which more than
14	one party identified as an exhibit for their case at the
15	outset of the proceedings.
16	So there are two or three exhibits that are
17	the April 9th letter or the August 30th letter. There are
18	duplication throughout.
19	So just so an exhibit is admitted doesn't
20	necessarily mean that that exhibit is going to be relied
21	on at findings.
22	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: I take it that where there is
23	duplication they are not different.
24	MS. YOUNG: Hopefully.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	documents, the transcripts, identified as joint exhibits
2	here, they do reflect the three party agreement on the
3	list you have before you today.
4	MS. YOUNG: Or disagreements where a different
5	wording is the proponent of that different wording is
6	identified in the transcript.
7	For example, GPC exhibit 1 and 2, which had
8	disputed wording indicated on the document.
9	MR. LAMBERSKI: Your Honor, in response to
10	your earlier question, I think what Michael was trying to
11	say was that joint exhibits on these tape transcripts
12	refer to those where all three parties have agreed.
13	There are some cases where two parties,
14	generally the staff and Georgia Power have agreed on tape
15	transcript, but I think in every case we have identified
16	that. It is a Georgia Power NRC version on the face of
17	the document.
18	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: And then it won't say
19	"joint."
20	MR. HULL: Right.
21	MS. YOUNG: Right.
22	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: Good. I would like to note
23	for the record that the Board has compiled the cross
24	examination plans that were presented to us during the
25	hearing.

1	They are chronological except with respect to
2	some of the plans in which there was no date, and those
3	are alphabetical by witness.
4	I don't think there is any reason to put them
5	into the record, but they are available to the parties at
6	the bench at the close of the hearing today.
7	Is there further business to complete now?
8	MR. HULL: Your Honor, with respect to what
9	the staff would like to have marked as GPC exhibit II-
10	181A, it is the staff's version of GPC exhibit II-181. It
11	is a transcript of tape 34.
12	The GPC exhibit II-181 was previously
13	admitted. This would be staff's version, and we move its
14	submission at this time.
15	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: It may be marked and
16	admitted. Do you have to describe it, I think?
17	(Whereupon, the document was marked
18	for identification as Georgia Power
19	Company's Exhibit No. II-181, and
20	received in evidence.)
21	MR. HULL: It is an eight page document, Your
22	Honor. It is headed: tape 34, beginning of side B, April
23	4, 1990.
24	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: Your Honor, with respect to
25	the tapes, is it actually that the tapes are in evidence
	NEAL R. GROSS

as well as the transcripts.

That is something that we really haven't come to terms with, but I think that ultimately the tapes may have to be in evidence along with the transcripts, particularly when there are disputed versions.

CHAIRMAN BLOCH: The Board's view is first that we do not have the tapes. So they cannot be a part of the formal record right now, with the exception of one tape. We do have one tape.

MS. YOUNG: Fifty-eight.

CHAIRMAN BLOCH: Which is tape 58, and the enhanced version of that tape as well, and that therefore, is identified with the transcript.

My understanding is that we can freely ask for a tape if we find it essential to the findings of the Board, but otherwise we will rely on the documents submitted by the parties.

In any instance where there is a joint exhibit, there will be no controversy calling for us to go to a tape.

It is only where there are disagreements that we consider essential that we would consider, and we will let the parties know which tapes we are going to listen to if that happens.

MS. YOUNG: And the Board may recall that

NEAL R. GROSS

NEAL R. GROSS

along with copies of the new tapes that have been added since that first notebook was created. 2 CHAIRMAN BLOCH: Okay. Would it be 3 mechanically better for us to give you the notebooks and 4 then get them back? 5 MR. HULL: You could do it that way. Sure. 6 With respect to tapes, I think the only open item now 7 regards intervenor exhibit II-247, but other than that one 8 item I think the tape transcripts are finalized. 9 MR. MICHAEL KOHN: With respect to 10 intervenor's 247, it has now been brought to intervenor's 11 attention that the GPC/NRC version of intervenor's II-4, 12 which was been identified and received as II-4A includes 13 portions of intervenor's II-247. 14 How the record now stands is you have 15 intervenor's II-4, GPC versions II-4A, and intervenor's 16 247, all of which are essentially the same conversation, 17 but disjointed because they are not set out, necessarily, 18 in a complete sequence, and I believe it might be of 19 better service to the Board if a version of that 20 transcript, commencing with the beginning of the version 21 of whoever's version starts first, and going forward to 22 the end of where the last version begins, would be most 23 beneficial, because right now you have it piecemeal, where 24

NEAL R. GROSS

you have to look through three separate transcripts to get

1	to an end point.
2	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: So are you suggesting
3	combining two of your exhibits into one exhibit?
4	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: I am suggesting that
5	intervenor submit a version of tape 99 well, I would
6	suggest that all three parties submit a version of tape
7	99, side B, which includes all of the portion that the
8	parties want in from that side on one separate exhibit,
9	rather than right now I think it would be very difficult
10	for the Board to try to bounce back and forth from three
11	separate exhibits.
12	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: Why don't you just combine
13	the two exhibits that you think all relate to the same
14	side of the tape, what are they?
15	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: Intervenor's II-4 and II-
16	247.
17	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: I suggest that what you do is
18	take 247 and add it at the end of II-4 and then we strike
19	is that the right order?
20	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: That is the right order.
21	The difficulty is Georgia Power and NRC have a II-4A,
22	which includes portions that are not part of intervenor's
23	II-4 or 247.
24	So what I am suggesting is a composite exhibit
25	should be prepared that covers the entire.
	NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: You want to be able to have a
2	composite exhibit that shows your version of the same
3	tape?
4	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: Yes, Your Honor.
5	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: That covers all of the
6	materials covered by staff and licensee in II-4A.
7	ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE MURPHY: Are you adding
8	material between the first segment and the last segment?
9	MR. HULL: What, in effect, happens is that if
10	you just took intervenor II-247 and intervenor II-4, those
11	would be two separate segments of the tape, but when you
12	take intervenor II-4A, which was the GPC/NRC version, that
13	links those two segments.
14	So there is additional material in 4A.
15	ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE MURPHY: So if we had 4A
16	and 247 we would have a contiguous
17	MS. YOUNG: Yes, and some overlap.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE MURPHY: transcript of
19	the tape?
20	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: Yes. Between the three
21	that would be the case. You have overlap, and I am not
22	sure if the voice identification is the same on all of
23	them or not. I am just pointing out
24	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: Are you asking to be able to
25	supplement II-4 and II-247 with some additional views of
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	the intervenor?
2	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: Yes. A composite that
3	would hopefully incorporate all of Georgia Power's and
4	NRC's differences. So there can be one composite tape
5	prepared.
6	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: And when do you propose
7	having that finished?
8	ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE MURPHY: I am not sure I
9	understand the need for it.
10	MR. LAMBERSKI: This seems to be a purely
11	mechanical exercise, Your Honor.
12	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: I understand that it is not
13	entirely mechanical because he still hasn't done some of
14	the transcribing that he wants to do.
15	MR. LAMBERSKI: That is not my understanding.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE MURPHY: That is not what
17	I just heard.
18	MS. YOUNG: 247 is an exhibit of intervenor's
19	that was rejected. There is a pending motion trying to
20	get 247 admitted.
21	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: And it turns out now that
22	247 is also part of Georgia Power/NRC's version of II-4A.
23	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: All right. So just describe
24	II-247 and we will order that it be included in exhibit
25	II-4.

1	MR. LAMBERSKI: Subject to granting the
2	motion, Your Honor.
3	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: Subject to what?
4	MR. LAMBERSKI: Granting intervenor's motion
5	on exhibit II-247.
6	MR. HULL: You tously rejected that
7	exhibit, Your Honor.
8	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: Is he correct, that it is
9	just his version of II-4A. Is that correct or not?
10	MR. LAMBERSKI: That's not the way I
11	understand it, Your Honor.
12	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: It's a different segment.
13	Okay. No.
14	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: It's Your Honor
15	MS. YOUNG: Can we go off the record so we can
16	show you the documents? It is much easier if you see
17	them.
18	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: Off the record, let's go off
19	the record.
20	(Whereupon, the proceedings were taken off the
21.	record at 2:27 p.m., and came back on the record at 2:29
22	p.m.)
23	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: The parties are going to
24	identify for us the exhibits in which they believe there
25	probably is a dispute.
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: It would be intervenor's
2	II-97, II-108, II-111, II-114, II-172, II-178, II-213A,
3	II-238.
4	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: Is there any agreement as to
5	whether these are grouped in any way?
6	Are they all individual questions or are they
7	all grouped together?
8	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: There is also to be added
9	II-189.
10	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: All right. So now we have a
11	list of the documents. Are all of the objections of the
12	same kind or are there different kinds?
13	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: The objections are
14	differing.
15	ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE CARPENTER: Are these
16	transcripts of tapes?
17	MR. MICHAEL KOHN: No. A lot of them are
18	exhibits. I think very few are tapes. Maybe one or two.
19	MR. LAMBERSKI: I think there is only one tape
20	transcript.
21	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: While we were off the record
22	we agreed that there would be another procedural
23	conference at 2:00 p.m. next Wednesday, on the record.
24	We would like the reporting firm to be
25	present, and if the parties need to before then, they will
	NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

1	have further negotiations prior to that 2:00 p.m.
2	conference.
3	We will check on the availability of the court
4	room before we leave. Any other matters for the record
5	today.
6	MR. BLAKE: Is the finding schedule now
7	established, Judge Bloch, for all parties?
8	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: The finding schedule was
9	established on the record and it has not been changed.
10	MR. BLAKE: Thank you.
11	ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE MURPHY: Mr. Hull, this
12	document that you just handed us, is this intervenor
13	exhibit II-4A?
14	MR. HULL: Yes, Your Honor. That is the
15	staff/GPC alternate version of intervenor's 4.
16	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: Off the record.
17	(Whereupon, the proceedings were momentarily
18	taken off the record.)
19	CHAIRMAN BLOCH: After consult; one
20	availability of this hearing room the Board has decided
21	that the 2:00 p.m. meeting will be elsewhere at the NRC,
22	and we will notify the parties of the room we have found
23	for that purpose.
24	Mr. Blake, I think you have a statement for
25	the record about Georgia Power's response to the pending
	NEAL R. GROSS

motions?

MR. BLAKE: Right. We received today, I think intervenor distributed as well to the staff and to the Board, copies of five motions.

It is our intention to respond to all of these by the end of the day next Thursday. If we can do better we will, as we complete them.

We won't wait and pull them all out in one bunch as we receive them, and if it takes anything longer than that, I will alert the Board by Wednesday at the conference.

CHAIRMAN BLOCH: My understanding is that there are no further matters for this afternoon. If the parties think otherwise, I would appreciate knowing.

There being no response, I would like to thank the parties for their participation.

The hearing is adjourned until Wednesday at 2:00 p.m. at a place to be announced to the parties.

(Whereupon, the proceedings were adjourned.)

21

22

23

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission in the matter of:

Name of Proceeding: GEORGIA POWER CO. ET AL.

Docket Number: 50-424/425-OLA-3

Place of Proceeding: TELEPONIC CONFERENCE CALL

were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript thereof for the file of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission taken by me and, thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under the direction of the court reporting company, and that the transcript is a true and accurate record of the foregoing proceedings.

SCOTT DILDINE

Official Reporter

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

NEAL R. GROSS