

# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

June 1, 1983

Herbert Grossman, Chairman Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Glenn O. Bright Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Dr. Jerry Harbour Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

In the Matter of
WASHINGTON PUBLIC POWER SUPPLY SYSTEM
(WPPSS Nuclear Project No. 1)
Docket No. 50-460 CPA

Dear Administrative Judges:

Your Order dated March 25, 1983, by which the Coalition for Safe Power (CFSP) was admitted as an Intervenor in the above-captioned proceeding, provided that by June 1, 1983 each of the parties submit a status report to the Board, indicating:

the further discovery needed; the estimated time for completion of discovery; all unresolved procedural matters; whether there is a necessity for a further, intermediate prehearing conference; and recommended dates for filing motions for summary disposition (if any), holding a final prehearing conference, the filing of prefiled direct testimony, and the commencement of the hearing . . . .

Please accept this letter as the NRC Staff's status report to the Board.

Over the past week Staff counsel initiated a series of telephone calls with counsel for Applicant and representatives of CFSP, in an attempt to work out a proposed schedule for the remainder of discovery, the filing of summary disposition memoranda, and commencement of the hearing, that would be agreeable to all parties. While two proposed schedules were proposed and discussed by all parties, either of which schedule was acceptable to the Staff, the parties were unable to reach agreement on either of these schedules. Accordingly, each party is submitting a separate status report to the Board.

8409270336 840824 PDR FDIA COHEN84-603 PDR

### Further Discovery Needed

The Staff on May 4, 1983 filed "NRC Staff's First Set of Interrogatories and First Document Production Request to Coalition For Safe Power." The Staff received a copy of CFSP's responses and objections to those interrogatories and document request yesterday, May 31. Based on a preliminary analysis of those responses, the Staff anticipates the need to file at least one set of follow-up interrogatories, and is considering filing a motion to compel answers. If objections are interposed to Staff's second set of interrogatories, the Staff may need to file a motion to compel responses.

### Unresolved Procedural Matters

The Staff is aware of no unresolved procedural matters at this time, other than CFSP's motion to compel responses to its first set of interrogatories to Applicant, dated May 18, 1983.

### Necessity for a Further, Intermediate Prehearing Conference

The Staff currently sees no need for an intermediate prehearing conference.

### Recommended Schedule for Further Filings, and Commencement of Hearing

Last week, Staff counsel and counsel for Applicant reached tentative agreement on a proposed schedule under which the hearing would begin on September 27, 1983, and Staff counsel then proposed this schedule to representatives of CFSP in a telephone call.1/ In a subsequent telephone conference call on May 31, in which all parties participated, CFSP stated that it found the proposed schedule inadequate for the completion of discovery and proposed an alternative schedule in which the hearing would begin on or about November 4, 1983.2/ In a second conference call that day, the parties were unable to agree on a proposed schedule that was mutually acceptable.

The Staff believes that the November 4 timetable may more realistically take into account the discovery needs of all the parties as well as

The "September 27" schedule included, inter alia, the filing of summary disposition motions by July 29, and prefiled direct testimony by September 16. Applicant's counsel has represented to Staff counsel that it will be proposing this schedule, as discussed by the parties, in its own status report to the Board, so the Staff does not set it forth in detail here.

The "November 4" schedule included, inter alia, the filing of summary disposition motions by September 8, and prefiled direct testimony by October 21. CFSP's representative has told Staff counsel that it will be proposing this schedule, as discussed by the parties, in its own status report to the Board, so it is not set forth in detail in this letter.

manpower considerations, and finds that schedule acceptable and preferable to the September 27 date. However, the Staff also finds the September 27 date acceptable and believes Staff can adequately be prepared for hearing by September 27 should the Board adopt that schedule.

Sincerely,

Mary Ed Wagner Counsel for NRC Staff

cc: Service List

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

(WPPSS Nuclear Project No. 1)

DOCKETED

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD JUN -3 P12:00

In the Matter of

WASHINGTON PUBLIC POWER SUPPLY SYSTEM

Docket No. 50-460CPA et. el.

INTERVENOR'S STATUS REPORT

Pursuant to the Atomic Sefety and Licensing Board's order

dated March 25,1983, the Coalition For Safe Power (Intervenor)

submits the following status report and proposed schedule for the proceeding.

On April 14, 1983 Intervenor filed its first set of interrogatories on the Washington Public Power Supply System (Licensee). Licensee responded on May 3, 1983 objecting to four of the interrogatories. Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.714 (b) Intervenor filed a motion to compel on May 18, 1983. Also on May 3, Licensee served its first set of interrogatories on Intervenor who responded on May 23 objecting to three interrogatories. To date Intervenor is unaware of any motion to compel on the part of Licensee.

The NRC Staff served its first set of interrogatories on Intervenor on May 4, 1983. Intervenor responded to the Staff request on May 24 objecting to line of the Staff's interrogatories and requesting further clarification on two.

Intervenor is under the impression that Staff has yet to receive a copy and therefore is unable to state whether Staff will file a

motion to compel.

295

Accordingly, the following schedule is proposed:

Action .	Deadline	Elapsed_Time
Last responses filed (1st set)	May 24	17
First set motions to compel	June 10	17
Response to motions to compel	June 27	14
Ruling by ASLB on motions	July 11	
Responses to compelled discovery	August 1	21
Last discovery filed	August 12	11
Objections and responses due	August 31	19
Motions to compel response	Sept. 16	16
Responses to motions to compel	Sept. 30	14
Ruling on motions to compel	Oct. 14	14
Compelled responses	Oct. 31	17
Motions for summary disposition	Nov. 14	14
Responses to motions	Dec. 12	28
Prefiled testimony	Dec. 26	14
Hearing commences	Jan. 10	14

Intervenor realizes the proposed schedule requires more time than that proposed by Applicant but believes it is necessary in order to allow parties to file a second set of interrogatories based on the responses to the first set. Since there has been no ruling on the outstanding motions to compell and thus no compelled responses, this opportunity has not yet come to pass. It is possible that the hearing schedule can be reduced by eliminating the opportunity for response to motions to compel but this process may help to define the matters which will be at issue in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated this day, the 1st

of June, 1983.

Nina Bell

Coalition for Safe Power

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA MUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

'83 del -3 P12:01

WASHINGTON PUBLIC POWER SUPPLY SYSTEM et. al.

Docket No. 50-460 CPA

(WPPSS Nuclear Project No. 1)

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of INTERVENOR'S STATUS REPORT" in the above-captioned proceeding have been served, by deposit in the U.S. Mail, first class postage prepaid on this 1st day of June, 1983, on the following:

Herbert Grossman, Chairman Atomic Safety & Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1200 17th Street, NW Washington D.C. 20555

Glen O. Bright Adminstrative Judge Atomic Safety & Licensing Board Washington D.C. 20555

Mitzi Young Counsel for NRC Staff Office of Exec. Legal Director U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 300 G. Washington Way Washington D.C. 20555

State of Washington Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council Mail Stop PY-11 Olympia, WA 98504

Docketing & Service U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington D.C. 20555

Nicholas Reynolds Debevoise & Lieberman Washington D.C. 20036

Dr. Jerry Harbour Administrative Judge Atomic Safety & Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington D.C. 20555

> Gerald Sorenson, Manager Licensing Program WPPSS Richland, WA 99352

Atomic Safety & Licensing Appeal Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington D.C. 20555

Staff Intervenor Nina Bell, Coalition for Safe Power