Long-Range Projection of Power Loads and Resources for Thermal Planning

> WEST GROUP AREA 1973-74 through 1992-93

. April 9, 1973

8409270323 840824 PDR FOIA COHEN84-603 PDR

.

Long-Range Projection of Power Loads and Lasources for Thermal Planning

> WEST GROUP AREA 1973-71, Through 1992-93

Introduction

This projection of power loads and resources has been prepared by the Subcommittee on Loads and Resources of the Pacific Northwest Utility Conference Committee at the request of the Joint Power Planning Council. The purpose of the report is to provide estimates of additional generating capability which will be required to serve estimated power loads through 1992-93. Estimates are prepared on an area basis and also by major supply groups. The operating area covered is the same as that in the West Group Forecast report.

This report summarizes loads and resources for January peak and critical period average energy conditions for each operating year, 1973-74 through 1992-93. Studies made for the 1973 West Group Forecast report, February 1, 1973, have been used as a basis for this report. The resources include those scheduled on an assured basis in the West Group Forecast report plus several hydro and combustion turbine additions. Hydro additions include one unit at Mossyrock, Mayfield, and Noxon, 8 units at Rock Island, and the effect of raising the height of Ross Dam commencing in September 1976. Combustion turbine additions include Seattle City Light's proposed unit in 1974-75 and PGE's proposed units in 1978-79. Potential resources are shown in a separate part on the summary sheet.

Summary

Loads and resources indicate deficiencies in meeting firm requirements commencing in 1983-84 except for a deficit on peak of about 300 megawatts in 1974-75. This is based on the assured resources of the West Group Forecast as modified for this report. Deficiencies are shown on line 27 of Table 1 and they increase to about 18,400 megawatts peak and 11,500 megawatts energy by 1992-93. The Area surplus or deficiency of assured resources over total requirements is shown on line 28 of Table 1.

• These figures reflect maximum recall of CSPE power assigned to California utilities commencing April 1, 1975. The NPR-Hanford project has been included as a firm energy resource during the first two years (1973-74 and 1974-75) of the reporting period. It is not considered a dependable resource for peaking purposes and is not included in Table 1. However, the private utilities have elected to include their share as a firm peak resource in Table 2. Forced outage reserves on peak were assumed to be 5 percent of hydro, existing thermal, and combustion turbine capacity and 15 percent of installed peak capability for new large thermal plants. Forced outage reserves of 5 percent were assumed for existing thermal energy capability.

111 11

121231

Area Loads and Resources

A summary of loads and resources for the West Group Area is shown on Table 1. This year Table 1 has two parts. Part one shows the usual load-resource balance based on resources existing, under construction, and under active consideration. Part two commencing in 1983-84 shows the area load-resource balance based on potential hydro and thermal projects as they might be installed in the future to meet area requirements.

The area firm load requirements are shown on this table along with the contractual arrangements to supply capacity and energy outside the West Group Area. Also included as a requirement is an item called Capacity Required for Industrial Interruptible Load. This item is computed at the bottom of the table (lines 32, 33, and 34) and assumes BPA will install capacity to carry industrial interruptible peak loads under all water conditions. During critical hydro conditions, secondary energy imports would be needed to meet industrial interruptible energy loads.

The existing and scheduled resources include only those resources in the West Group Area which are presently existing, under construction, or under active consideration. Firm arrangements for receipt of power from outside the area are also included.

Existing West Group thermal and miscellaneous resources are included in this study as firm resources in amounts as submitted by the respective systems. They were included as peak resources up to maximum capability; however, not all of the available energy was included. Seattle's combustion turbine (60 megawatts in September 1974) and PGE's combustion turbines (146 megawatts in September 1973) were also included in this category.

In deriving the energy capability for new large thermal plants, it was assumed that for Federal net-billed shares each unit would have a 50 percent annual plant availability for the initial 12 months of its commercial operation, 70 percent for the second 12 months of commercial operation, and 85 percent thereafter. For private utilities' and other non-net-billed shares of plant cutput, each new large thermal unit would have an 85 percent annual availability for the initial 12 months of its commercial operation and thereafter. The annual availability factor used for part two of Table 1 was 85 percent.

2

In order to provide an indication of the maintenance normally undertaken in the pool, estimated amounts are tabulated on line 23. These amounts of scheduled mointenance for hydro resources are based on a procedure which utilizes a percentage of peak and energy capabilities by months. The critical period energy capabilities for new large thermal plants are derived with maintenance taken into consideration.

New Federal system planning criteria are included in this report. The additional Federal system hydro peaking capability included brings the capacity up to the minimum peaking capacity that is estimated to have the probability of occurrence of once in 20 years. This differs from firm energy capability which is based on minimum historical streamflow conditions during the 30-year period of record. The Federal hydro resources and the net-billed thermal increment have been reduced by a realization factor of 3 percent to recognize inability of the system to achieve its full peaking capability at any one specific instance.

No energy reserve for unforeseen load growth or other contingencies has been added to the load or deducted from resources; however, a load growth reserve is shown on line 5. The peak load growth reserve is computed as 10 percent of the area firm peak load less the estimated forced outage reserves shown on line 22. The energy load growth reserve is equal to one-half year's load growth of utility-type loads.

Estimated firm loads include Bonneville Power Administration's firm industrial contracts. BPA's estimated potential firm industrial loads are shown separately on line 4.

Potential hydro projects and additional unit installations not meeting the criteria for inclusion in the West Group Forecast but considered reasonably representative of those resources which could be in operation by 1992-93 are shown on line 32. The potential hydro resources are shown on Table 4 by project. The Subcommittee felt that it would be infeasible to attempt scheduling individual hydro projects and additional unit installations by years.

The amounts of potential hydro resources were estimated for each of the years from 1983-84 hrough 1992-93 and were assumed to be installed in equal increments throughout the 10-year period. In 1992-93 an arbitrary 500-megawatt pumped storage project was installed to meet peak deficits. Thermal projects were installed to meet remaining deficits at 85 percent annual availability factor. Installation dates were determined on basis of energy requirements.

3

loads and Resources by Major Supply Groups

E Frank

Table 2 summarizes the loads and resources by three major supply groups; (1) the Federal system, (2) the public agencies, and (3) the private utilities.

The estimated loads and resources for the public agency group include all the public agencies in the West Group Area as well as those in BPA's Southern Idaho area.

The Federal agencies are included with the Federal system. The estimated loads and resources for the private utility group include the following:

> Pacific Power & Light Company Portland General Electric Company Puget Sound Power & Light Company The Washington Water Power Company

This table assumes that the Federal system will supply the requirements of the public agencies throughout the period of this report based on net billing arrangements. The private utilities will require additional energy beginning in 1974-75 and thereafter except during 1975-76 and 1976-77.

Summary - Requirements of Private Utilities and Public Agencies

A summary of the individual peak and energy requirements of the four private utilities and the public agencies is shown on Table 3. Requirements for public agencies not listed separately are shown in one total. This table has been included to indicate the probable extent of participation in nuclear thermal generating plants by individual utilities based on their requirements.

> Subcommittee on Loads and Resources Pacific Northwest Utilities Conference Committee

> > 4

1-1			and a summer of the first of the summer of the second second second second second second second second second s	
34-4	2			
painter &		\$\$\$*\$\$\$ 5		-
<u></u>	1			
hanne	1			
N. N.	1000			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
14	1/2-24	100'% 100'%		
Not N				(2)
2 Mark	2/70/2			2
THE N				145
	57-24			3
No. N.	•			
-	2/17/1	8 MR 6 351 1 1 1		3
1.00 - 100 -	122	2,557 a. 2,557 a. 2,558 x. 2,558		
· ·				-
107 - 107	107-04		에는 바이에 가장 가장 가장 바이에 있는 것 같은 것이 것 같은 것이다. 이렇게 다 있는 것이다. 이렇게 가지 않는 것이다. 이렇게 가지 않는 것이 가지 않는 것이 있는 것이다. 이렇게 가지 않는 것	
100				
	17:224		· 글 AR 문제[골 글 ' 글 담 글 위험	
-				
	#1+1.75	8, 20 1,		111,1216
100				
10-10-10	2/7-2+			
1	The St		geneugengengengen geben 1 g g a 18 a gang	í.
Try vi				
-	2/5-24	ALL		Nucl. Benuries (Jaff)
The N				-
	5			-
101 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10	\$7°75	and a second sec	A loss of the loss	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
- Interior				
No. 1	\$17. A			
N.L.I	1000		ECER. PERSE. I SAN A IS N IS N IS	
- Anna in the second		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
The second secon	201124	""""		
1		· [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
a sector sec	and the second	34.41.18	Source, Jane, Jane, Jane, Jacobia	
AT A		题"相同。	Susa, Susa,	
-	-			
100 V		4 7 4 1	· 如何了」题中有多点了相当了作	
1	1.	and the second s		
15	100	1 345 815		

LACK 1 ALCON ALCON

FOOTNOTES FOR TABLE 1

- 1/ Area firm loads are estimated January peak and critical period average energy system loads of private utilities, public agencies, Federal agencies, and BPA industries. Loads also include transmission losses and a peak cold weather factor for the non-generating public agencies.
- 2/ Firm exports include deliveries to California utilities under the CSPE agreement, peak/energy exchange contracts with PSW, transfers of Centralia power to Central Valley Project, WWP Co. contracts with Montana Power Co., PP&L Co. transfers to PP&L Co. Wyoming Division, BPA wheeling payments to Idaho Power Co., BPA contracts with Montana Fower Co. for headwater storage payments, geographic preference, wheeling payments, and WPPSS #1 exchange deliveries.
- 3/ Peak load growth reserves are computed as 10 percent of area firm peak load, without the cold weather factor, less estimated forced outage reserves. Energy load growth reserves are computed as one-half year's load growth of utility type loads.
- 4/ Industrial interruptible loads not included in area firm loads (line 1 above).
- 5/ Hydro resources include those shown in the 1973 West Group Forecast plus Mayfield addition in September 1976, High Ross addition in September 1976, Rock Island additions in September 1977, Mossyrock addition in 1981, and Noxon additions in 1982.
- 6/ Imports include energy return to FNW from peak/energy exchange contracts with PSW utilities; BPA-PGE-PP&L-So. Cal. Edison contract in 1973-74; FJE Co. contracts with Pacific Gas and Electric Co.; WWF Co. contracts with Idaho Power Co., Montana Power Co. and Utah Power Co.; PGE Co. contract with Utah Power Co.; PSP&L Co. contract with Montana Power Co.; PP&L Co. contracts with Idaho Power Co., Utah Power Co.; transfers from PP&L Wyoming system and imports from Montana Power Co. for delivery to U.S. Indian Irrigation District.
- 7/ Combustion turbines include proposed as well as scheduled units. Included are PP&L's Libby unit, PGE's Harborton & Bethel units in 1973-74, PGE's Group #2 in 1974-75 and PGE's proposed units in 1978-79, PSF&L's South Whidbey Island unit in 1973-74, Seattle City Light's proposed unit in 1974-75, and WWP's Othello unit in 1973-74.
- S/ WPPSS #1 (Hanford) capabilities are based on production of 4 billion kilowatt-hours per year through 1974-75. The plant is assumed shut down thereafter. The plant is not considered dependable as a peaking resource. In 1974-75 the private utilities' portion of Table II reflects firm peaking allocation from WPPSS #1 and therefore contradicts Table 1. Commencing in July 1980, WPPSS #1 capability is based on plant conversion to a 1.233 megawatt plant.
- 2/ Estimated forced outage reserves on peak are computed as 5 percent of hydro, existing thermal and combustion turbine capacity and 15 percent of installed peak capability of new large thermal plants. Forced outage reserves on energy are computed as 5 percent of existing thermal capability.

- 10/ New Federal system planning criteria. The Federal system hydro peaking capability is the minimum peaking capacity that is estimated to have the probability of occurrence of once in 20 years. This differs from firm energy capability which is based on minimum historical streamflow conditions during the 30-year period of record. The Fe aral hydro resources and the net-billed thermal increment have been reduced by a factor of 3 percent to recognize inability of the system to achieve its full peaking capability at any one specific instance.
- 11/ Potential hydro shown on Table 4 was proportioned over the ten-year period, 1983-84 through 1992-93, installing one-tenth of the peak and energy capability each year.
- 12/ Potential thermal was installed to meet area deficits at 85 percent load factor. Installation dates were determined on basis of energy requirements. Plant sizes were arbitrarily chosen and could vary with technological advancement. Federal - Public Agency maturity factors of 50, 70, 85 percent and 6-month delay of units of 500 megawatts and larger were not considered because ownership was not determined.
- 13/ Additional peaking was required in 1992-93 and therefore a pumped storage project was installed to meet total peak load.
- 14/ Forced outage reserves on potential projects were computed on the same basis as the existing projects. See footnote 9.
- 15/ Resources provided by industrial interruptible loads for the period 1983-84 through 1992-95 are one-half Federal forced outage reserves. The Federal share of future potential forced outage reserves (line 50) was assumed to be the same proportion as the Federal deficits are to the area deficits. The Federal portion of the forced outage reserves were then added to the base Federal forced outage reserves (line 23, Table II).

	-					in the second
1	The second		P N. B 8. M. S	1.4 S. S. B. B. B. B. B.	P. 1999 9 9 9 9 9	044740.*
	1	Sat all all all all all all all all all a	新加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加		「「「「「「「「「」」」」」「「「」」」」「「」」」「「」」」」」 「「「」」」」」」	-
a trans	- 120-20 	Brandsauf anfa			1971日 #************************************	North Contract
The second second		Bac , 52689 Mell	P C. F	1.11 2.1.1.1.1	Sells Back and B S S	1
	N. N.	Reefless with	B. Jun Strift [1]	1.4 10.00.00 位 33 -	\$16 \$133***********************************	1111
	11/-14	Bur , brend , bill	19	A CONTRACT OF A		(8,-33)
	11	\$75.*\$5835 'A568		1.4 2K.2*.1*********************************	Ind Pressand & Bill	100
	1151	456 'FREBS' '888		5.45 52.45.45.45 5 5 5 4 -	ande angerenten de Riff R	er (s. m)
	N. N.		·····································	5 . M	Seule Bresser al de S. S.	101
	New Y	555 "FREES" " 858 8755 558 85 " 858		· [8년 24] 2952912551222 11813	2012 3***3********************************	(3,994) (5,494
		Bes worked oal		Harris and the second s	e a state the state of the stat	1
	1000 N	BERNERE FE				**) (+5*%)
	1	for fase act	1	5 '9 5* '* '*** 9 \$8 *	84.6 2.42.48 38 24 2	12.4%
	× V	827.22282 . KR32	In	11日 (11-11-11-11日) 第 3日 °	如果 新新聞 化常常量	1.44
	24-	198 , sees		a	Real Charles and the second	0.010
	N.	free to all the free to all th	문 1월 1888년 월 18일	\$ 'n 15 's 's 's 2 's 15 's	and and a sub-	1
	N N	1000, 100000, 1000 300, 10000, 1005	in the second se		출하기는 분가로준하는지 또 1월 2월 2월 1월 같이다는 분가분등을하며 이번 전문 등기 문	14.1
		Bern Stand,	1	NE OP OF TOTAL ST	명하지만 동5동55하다"이는 전문 등 1 등 명하지만 동************************************	1
	100 m	And the second s		Bill Bran, and Bill and a state of the state	Total Bratter and Status	*
	14-14 14-14	112 122 124	Transferration and the second	2.48 2 e	Built dansteren ich ich eile	2
	N.N.	Resedent and		Staff Beisentenste die Beise	Jan 1933an # 2 - 1 -	5
	100-10 <u>10-105</u> 10-11	Res.eesedcall		and the second s	Baufe Baceberauth of all a	7
	here and	1*5:35833.36m	an the second se		「「「「「「「「「」」」」	201
	No.0	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	83'07'000 PExAM 6 32 8	8 10 5559 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	1845 1720 **** 185 49 E1 E	2
		E. FADERER, IERO	A 'ogung fieldig sin s		Beach Backlass and the sub-	100
	1/1-14 10-14 10-14	8.518999, 1898 8.199899, 1898		and the second s	Baulto Rocessen and Anna -	5
	and the second s	1'8'458883''8#B	10.1856 18.18 E E		See 1 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	
	1000 N	1.4.52878 .###	and the second sec	3 . M 2	Bull Breiten in gli all a	1
	1	B.e.esesiem	Beiescole isile in e	Bull Breenend B Bd .	Barl Bartur M B E. I.E.	9
	576	8.8288888 .85H	fe.erall farit 1 25 B	5.4 8444 ********************************		1.003
	In No	B.R.Lanes edi	Frieteil ig. B a e	2b. E.s	Erle Barten in Rada	4
		B. HAUGHER MARK	8 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		5**** 5*******************************	(004) (144)
	The second	Elenesiter. Elenesiter.es	2 . M. M.	2.113 - 11.11 · 11.11	Brill Bradrakraß in an a Brill Bradrakraß in an a	-
	- Anna and a state of the state	ja 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19.	Rectal of Same		Ends Baratiand in hel a	1
	No.	5.848427882.4Å		the treasure of the state	and home of days	
			!			
		1	1	8 0 8 8		
	2		Annual control of the second s	A manual sector and a manu		10122
						55

FOOTNOTES FOR TABLE 2

- 1/ Public Agency and Private Utility commitments include entitlement and supplemental capacity, CSPE replacement, geographic preference, headwater storage payments, peak sales, and peak deliveries under peak/ energy exchange contracts.
- 2/ CSFE to West Group utilities is the amount retained by the utilities after 5(c)(1) adjustments.
- 3/ WPPSS #1 (Hanford) power allocation to West Group utilities is based on operating costs of 4.0 billion kilowatt-hours of production per year for 1973-74 and 1974-75 and amortization of the bonds thereafter through June 30, 1980. WPPSS #1 (NSSS) allocation to West Group private utilities, beginning July 1, 1980, is 320 megawatts peak and 272 megawatts energy. Allocation to the utilities for the period January 1, 1975, through June 30, 1980, is based on a 25 percent EPA rate increase. In 1974-75, the private utilities' portion reflects firm peaking allocation from WPPSS #1 and therefore contradicts Table 1.
- 4/ Includes deliveries under CSPD and peak/energy exchange contracts with California utilities, deliveries to Central Valley Project from Centralia, wheeling payments to Idaho Power Co., and deliveries to Montana Power Co. for headwater storage payments, geographic preference, wheeling payments, and Hanford exchange.
- 5/ BPA allocation is private utility 20-year contract requirements through August 31, 1973, and for 1973-74 and 1974-75 is the private utilities' share of BPA-PGE-PP&L-So. Cal. Edison Co. contract.
- 6/ The cold weather factor covers abnormal weather conditions of the nongenerating public agencies not incorporated in the load estimates.
- 1 Load growth reserves for peak are computed as 10 percent of area firm loads without the cold weather factor less estimated forced outage reserves. Energy load growth reserves are computed as one-half year's load growth of utility type loads.
- 6/ Losses include BPA's own system losses plus losses under WWP Co. peak/ energy exchange contracts with San Diego Gas and Electric Co.
- 9/ WPPSC #1 capabilities are based on production of 4.0 billion kilowatthours per year in 1973-74 and 1974-75. The plant is assumed to be shut down thereafter. The plant is not considered dependable as a peaking resource. WPPSS #1 conversion commencing July 1980 is shown under new thermal net-billed.
- 10/ Contract thermal is Central Valley Project's purchase of Centralia power and BPA's purchase of Centralia and PP&L's Libby combustion turbine power.
- 11/ New thermal net-billed includes public agencies' portion of Trojan, WPPSS #2, Boardman, WPPSS #1 (NSSS) and WPPSS #3.

- 12/ Imports to Federal system consist of energy return under peak/energy exchange contracts with California utilities, imports from Montana Power Co. for delivery to U.S. Indian Irrigation District, and BPA-PGE-PP&L-So. Cal. Edison Co. contract in 1973-74.
- 13/ Contract resources to BPA from utilities inside the West Group area include WWP Co. losses under peak/energy exchange contracts with San Diego Gas and Electric Co. and PP&L Co. energy deliveries under the peak/energy exchange contract.
- 14/ Estimated forced outage reserves on peak are computed as 5% of hydro, existing thermal and combustion turbine capacity and 15% of installed peak capability of new large thermal plants. Forced outage reserves on energy are computed as 5% of existing thermal capability.
- 15/ New Federal system planning criteria. The Federal system hydro peaking capability is the minimum peaking capacity that is estimated to have the probability of occurrence of once in 20 years. This differs from firm energy capability which is based on minimum historical streamflow conditions during the 30-year period of record. The Federal hydro resources and the net-billed thermal increment have been reduced by a factor of 3 percent to recognize inability of the system to achieve its full peaking capability at any one specific instance.
- 16/ Public agencies' contracts are Seattle City Light's purchases from Pend Oreille Co. FUD and Douglas Co. FUD's purchases from Chelan Co. FUD.
- 17/ Hydro resources include those shown in the 1973 West Group Forecast plus Mayfield addition in September 1976, High Hoss addition in September 1976, Rock Island additions in September 1977, Mossyrock addition in 1981, and Noxon additions in 1982.
- 18/ Large thermal is the public agencies' recall of Centralia power commencing January 1, 1982.
- 19/ Combustion turbine for the public agencies consist of a proposed unit by Seattle City Light commencing in 1974-75.
- 20/ Private utility exports include PGE Co. exchange contract with Pacific Gas and Electric Co. and So. Cal. Edison Co., WWP Co. peak/
 energy exchange contract with San Diego Gas and Electric Co., WWP Co. contracts with Idaho Power Co. and Montana Power Co., PSP&L Co. contract with Montana Fower Co. and PP&L's transfers to PP&L Wyoming system.
- 21/ Private utility contracts include Cove replacement power, WWP Co. transfer to BPA for losses under peak/energy exchange contracts with San Diego Gas and Electric Co., WWP Co. deliveries to PSP&L Co. and PP&L Co. energy deliveries to BPA under the peak/energy exchange contract.
- 23/ Large thermal includes private utilities' share of Centralia, Trojan, Jim Bridger, Colstrip, Boardman, WPPSS #3.

- 24/ Imports include PGE Co. exchange contract with So. Cal. Edison Co. and Pacific Gas and Electric Co.; WWP Co. peak/energy exchange contract with San Diego Gas and Electric Co.; WWP Co. contracts with Idaho Pover Co., Montana Power Co., and Utah Power Co.; PP&L Co. contracts with Idaho Power Co. and Utah Power Co.; PP&L Co. transfers from Wyoming; PGE Co. contract with Idaho Power Co.; and PSP&L Co. contract with Montana Power Co.
- 25/ Net area firm surplus or deficit is the arithmetic sum of Federal System Line 28, Public Agencies Line 19, and Private Utilities Line 19.
- Note: Energy capabilities of new large thermal plants are computed on the basis of 85% annual plant factor for private utility shares. Public agency and net-billed Federal shares are computed on the basis of a 50% annual plant factor for the first full year of operation, 70% for the second full year, and 85% thereafter with a 6-month delay of peaking on units over 500 megawatts.

	INTER OTHER REAL PROPERTY AND	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	and a compare a sector analysis and the sector of the sector as the property, and the sector and the sector and the sector as th	4251 4151	Later activities was a findent at laterate dama pressure at RD and at existing at laterate
--	---	---	--	--	--

TADLE.

BLUE BOOK POTENTIAL HYDRO RESOURCES

Units - Megawatts

	Critical Period Average	Jan. 1932 Peak
FLATHEAD - CLARK FORK		
PEND OREILLE - KOOTENAY		
Libby Reregulating	30	50
Sullivan Creek	$\frac{7}{37}$	$\frac{16}{66}$
Subtotal	37	00
UPPER SNALE AREA	0	39
Palisades Addition	26	104
Twin Springs	17	106
Lucky Peak	20	38
Upper Scriver	36	120
Lower Scriver	93	205
Lynn Crandall	58	131
Garden Valley	16	23
Garden Valley Rereg. Subtotal	266	766
MIDDLE SNAKE AREA	0	660
Dworshak Additions	c	
DELE AND LOWER COLUMBIA AREA		
Grand Coulee 3rd Powerplant	0	3,600
Grand Coulee P.T.	0	128
Een Franklin	262	976
John Day Additions	0	621
Antilon Lake Pumped Storage	<u>-50</u> 212	1,000
Subtotal	212	6,325
PACIFIC SLOFE AREA	이 집 집 같은 것이 같은	272
High Ross .	34	230
Klamath River	127	122
Muddy-Meadows	50	14
Cougar Additions	11 3	4
Strube		85
Copper Creek	4 <u>5</u> 270	85
Subtotal	210	
TOTAL	785	8,544
	774	3,610
Total New Projects	11	4,934
Total Additional Units	11	.,

April 9, 1973

Bon

100

	•	254	GENERALING CAPACITY SCHEDULED 1420-314/1974 THPODGH JUNE				Sheet 1 of 4
PLANT		<u>unit No.</u>	10CATION	TYPE	NAMEPLATE RATING-MW	CAPABILITY EXPECTED-MW	EXPECTED DATE OF COMMERCIAL OPERATION
aneville Power Admin	nistration						
bor-hak	(USCE)	1 2 3	Ahsabka, Idabo	Hydrau]ic	90.0 90.0 220.0	103.5 103.5 253.0	Feb 1973 ** Mar 1973 Mar 1973
The Dalles	(USCE)	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Pie Dalles, Oregon	Hydraulic	86.0 Each	98.9 Each	Feb 1973 Feb 1973 Mar 1973 May 1973 Jun 1973 Aug 1973 Sep 1973
Grand Coulee Pump-Generator Add	(USBR) ditions	Р7 Р8	Crand Coulee, Washington	Hydraulic	: 50,0 Each	50.0 Each	Apr 1973 Jun 1973
3rd Power Plant		19 20 24 22 23 24		Hydraulic	600.0 Each	600.0 Each	Jun 1975 Jan 1976 Mav 1976 Jul 1978 Jan 1979 Jul 1979
Lower Granite	(USCE)	1,2,3 4 * 5 * 6 *	Wassawai, Washington	Hydraulic	135.0 Each	155,25 Each	Apr 1975 Feb 1979 Mar 1979 Apr 1979
lce Narbor *	(USCE)	4 5	Pasco, Washington	Hydraulic	110,96 Each	127.6 Each	Feb 1975 Mar 1975 Apr 1975

* Additional units at existing plants included in critical-year regulations and 30-year studies. Incremental capabilities attributable to these units are shown on January peak and critical period energy capability summary tabulations.

1.7 MAF usable storage June 1973; 2.0 MAF usable storage June 1974.

Note: A chronological tabulation of new installations appears in the section on nameplate ratings.

6

Attachment 2

		JANUARY 1973 THEODER JUNE 1984		contra.		Sheet 2 of 4	
PLANT		UNIT NO.	LOCATION	TYPE	NAMEPLATE BATING-MW	CAPABILITY EXPECTED-MW	EXPECTED DATE OF COMMERCIAL OPERATION
Bouneville Power Admin	nistration -conto	đ.					
Libby	(USCE)	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Jennings, Montana	Hydraulic	105.0 Each	120,75 Each	Jul 1975 ** Oct 1975 Jan 1976 Avr 1976 fuct 1982 Jan 1983 Apr 1983 Jul 1983
Lost Creek	(USCE)	1	McLeod, Oregon	Hydraulic	24.5 Each	28.2 Each	Oct 1975 Dec 1975
Teton	(USBR)	1 2 3	* Teton, Idaho -	Nydraulic	10,0 Each	10.0 Each	Jun 1976 Sep 1976 Jul 1979
Chief Joseph *	(USCE)	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Bridgeport, Washington	Hydraulic	95.0 Each	109,25 Each	Mar 1977 Jun 1977 Sep 1977 Dec 1977 Mar 1978 May 1978 Jul 1978 Sep 1978 Nov 1978 Jan 1979 Mar 1979
Little Goose *	(USCE)	4 5 6	Riparia, Washington	Hydraulic	135.0 Each	155,25 Each	Feb 1979 Mar 1979 Apr 1979
Lower Monumental *	(USCE)	4 5 6	Matthew, Washington	Hydraulic	135.0 Each	155.25 Each	Feb 1980 Mar 1980 Apr 1980

•

Additional units at existing plants included in critical-year regulations and 30-year studies. Incremental capabilities attributable to these units are shown on January peak and critical period energy capability summary tabulations.

48 Full storage available July 1973, contingent upon filling after April 1973.

Note: A chronological tabulation of new installations appears in the section on nameplate ratings.

NEW GENERATING CAPACITY SCHEDULED FOR SERVICE -contd. JANUARY 1973 THEOREM JUNE 1984 NEW GINERATING CAFACITY SCHEDULED FOR SERVICE -contd. INTERNEY 1971 (DEODCH HINE 1984

.

Sheet 3 of 4

12

PLANT	UNIT NO.	LOCATION	TYPE	NAMEPLATE RATING-MW	CAPABILITY EXPECTED-MW	EXPECTED DATE OF COMMERCIAL OPERATION
Bonnewille Power Administration -contd.						
Borneville * (USCE) 2nd Powerhouse	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Bonneville, Washington	Hydrauiic	68,0 Each	77.8 Each	May 1982 Jul 1982 Sep 1982 Nov 1982 Jan 1983 Mar 1983 May 1983 Jul 1983
Puget Sound Power & Light Company						
South Whidbey Island	1	Langley, Washington	Comb. Turbine	26.5	28.7	Jan 1973
tolstrip (50% of units #1 and #2)	1	Colstrip, Montana	Steam	330.0 Each	350.0 Each	Jul 1975 Jul 1976
B. C. Hydro and Power Authority						
Mica (Canadian Storage)		Near Argenta, B. C.		Downstre	am Benefits	Apr 1973
Portland General Electric Company						
Harborton	1 thro 4	Portland, Oregon	Comb. Turbine	63.6 Each	64,4 Each	Sep 1973
Bethel	1,2	Salem, Oregon	Comb. Turbine	63.6 Each	63.8 Each	Sep 1973
Combustion Turbines Group #2	14.1.35		Comb. Turbine		432.0	Aug 1974
Trojan	$\{V_{i}\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$	Near Prescott, Oregon	Nuclear	1,216.0	1,130.0	Jul 1975
Pacific Power & Light L. many						
Jim Bridger (Imported from Outside of Area)	2 3	Rock Springs, Wyoming	Steam	500.0 Each	500.0 Each	Sep 1975 Sep 1976
Washington Public Power Supply System						Sep 1976
MPPSS #2	1	Richland, Washington	Nuclear	1,100.0	1,100.0	Sep 1977
The Washington Water Power Company						
Othello	1	Othello, Washington	Comb. Turbine		32,8	Jun 1973

Additional units at existing plants included in critical-year regulations and 30-year studies. Incremental capabilities attributable to these units are shown on January poak and critical period energy capability summary tabulations.

Note: A chromological tabulation of new installations appears in the section on nameplate ratings.

х.

NEW CENERATING CAPACITY SCHEDULED FOR SERVICE -contd. JANUARY 1973 THROUGH JUNE 1984

uled resources in this report

Sheet 4 of 4

EXPECTEL DATE

- -

Note: These new thermal units have been included as scheduled resources in this report although they do not meet the established criteria for inclusion in the West Group Forecast. The preliminary planning has been completed, and the units have also been included under the Hydro-Thermal Program as scheduled resources in meeting West Group planned requirements.

PLANT	UNIT NO.	LOCATION	TYPE	NAMEPLATE RATING-MW	CAPABILITY EXPECTED-MW	OF COMMERCIAL OPERATION
Puget Sound Power & Light Company						
Colstrip (75% of units #3 and #4)	3 4	Colstrip, Montana	Steam		700.0 Each	Sep 1978 Sep 1979
Portland General Electric Company						
Boardman	1	Boardman, Oregon	Nuclear		1150.0	Sep 1980
Washington Public Power Supply System						
WPPSS #1	1	Richland, Washington	Nuclear		1233.0	Sep 1980
WPPSS #3	1		Nuclear		1100.0	Sep 1981