	RM 366			U.S.	NUCLEAR R	EGULATOF	RY COMM	ISSION		APPROVED BY EXPI	OMB NO. RES 5/31	3150-01 /95	104
(Se				EVENT REP			ch blo	sk)	FORWARD THE IN (MNBB WASHING REDUCT) MANAGEN	TED BURDEN PE NFORMATION COL COMMENTS RE FORMATION AND 7714), U.S. NU TON, DC 20555 TON, DC 20555 TON, PROJECT MENT AND BUDGE	GARDING RECORDS	BURDEN MANAGE	ESTIMATE T EMENT BRANC COMMISSION HE PAPERWOR OFFICE C C 20503
ACILI	TY NAME	(1) Lin	nerick	Generating	Station	, Unit	1		DOCKET	NUMBER (2) 050003	52	1	PAGE (3)
nope	⁽⁴⁾ Tech rable NT DATE	due t	Cond o an	ition Where Inadequate P LER NUMBER (6)	ost-Mod	Test	& B Caus	ed by	nit 2 Perso	B Hydrogen onnel Erro OTHER FACIL	Γ.		
ONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH		YEAR	FACILITY NAME Limerick, Unit 2			DOCKET	NUMBER) 5 0 0 0 3 5 2
09	02	95		007	00	10	02	95	FACILITY NAME			DOCKET NUMBER	
	ATING (9)	1	20.4	402(b)	D PURSUANT	20.405(c)	EMENTS	OF 10 CFR 5: (Check one or mo 50.73(a)(2)(1v)			73.71(b)	
PO	WER (10)	23	11	405(a)(1)(i) 405(a)(1)(ii)		50.36(c			X	50.73(a)(2)(v 50.73(a)(2)(v		0TF	71(c) HER
			20.4	405(a)(1)(iii) 405(a)(1)(iv)	X	50.73(a 50.73(a)(2)(1)(2)(1	1)	50.73(a)(2)(v111) 50.73(a)(2)(v111)			(Specify in Abstract below and in Text.	
			20.4	405(a)(l)(v)	LICENSEE C	50.73(a	and discussions	A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER		50.73(a)(2)()	()	NRC FO	rm 366A)
CAUSE	SYST	EM CI	OMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABL TO NPRDS		0	AUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC	TURER	REPORTABL TO NPRDS
							-						
			STIDDI EME	NTAL REPORT EXPE	TED (14)				[VECTER	MONTH	I DA	Y YEAR
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14) YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).									EXPECTED PUNIT SUBMISSION DATE (15)			the subscription of the su	
(If	1 (1)	it to 1	400 5030		mately 15	single-	spaced	typewr	SU D	ATE (15)	he Ur	lit 2	'A'
(If BSTRAC	On 09 Prima inope to st Since Techn was e reduc opera later condi the U were occur in an	tt to 1 /02/ rry C rabl roke bot ical enter ced p ced t able det tion Jnit mini c. T n ina	400 spac 95 at ontai e aft as d h the spec ed. ower o 35% and t ermin . At 1 shu 		mately 15 rs, the r-LOCA associa The Ur A' and s (TS) n 3.0.3 placed b hours reduct: S Sect: rs, the termin ulated se of pment (ssocia	single-se Uni Hydro ated hit 2 'B' Sect 3 was d in s, th ion w ion 3 e Uni nated even this of a ted w	spaced t 1 ogen cool 'B' recc ion als the Ur as t .0.3 t 1 . 1 t re even Post	'A' Rec ing rec ombin 3.0. so en star it 2 cermi dic 'B' The c equivation the the	sub ntten lin and combin water combin ners v 3 to ntered tup n 2 'A' inated inot conse recon conse dific abov	ATE (15) (B' and the hers were thers were ther was control were inopy ther was control commenced for Unit node. Unit recombined the recombined the recombined ation Telle e recombined	valve operable a sh it 2. her wa ion pe of the as ope of the st (P) iners	le th ole. Uni powe as ersor e Uni erabl is ev rs di res di res f	ailed ne own at 1 er was nnel at 2 le and vent id not alting for

9510060012 951002 PDR ADOCK 05000352 S PDR

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLE (5-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION				APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95				
1	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION			ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WIT THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE T THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANC (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWOR REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104). OFFICE O MANAGEMENT AND RUDGET WASHINGTON DC 20503					
FACILITY NAME (1)	FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET NUMBER (2)			LER NUMBER (6)					
	050000	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2 05 5				
Limerick Generating Station, Unit 1	0500035	95	007	0	2 OF 5				

Conditions Prior To The Event

On September 2, 1995, Unit 1 was in Operational Condition (OPCON) 1 (Power Operation) at approximately 23% power. The unit was returning to 100% power following a maintenance outage of short duration to replace a failed fuel bundle. Operations personnel were performing the Surveillance Test (ST) Procedure ST-6-057-200-1, "Containment Atmospheric Control Valve Test," for the Unit 1 'A' Primary Containment Post-Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA) Hydrogen Recombiner. During performance of this ST procedure, the cooling water supply valve, HV-057-110A, failed to stroke as designed.

Description of the Event

On September 2, 1995 the Unit 1 A recombiner failed its ST procedure. As part of the troubleshooting activities, the Unit 1 'B' and the Unit 2 'A' and 'B' recombiners were tested to determine if the same condition existed. At 0715 hours, the Unit 1 'A' and 'B' and the Unit 2 'A' (i.e., 1A, 1B, and 2A) recombiners were declared inoperable after their associated cooling water supply valves failed to stroke as designed. The Unit 2 'B' recombiner was demonstrated to be operable.

Since both the Unit 1 'A' and 'B' recombiner trains were inoperable and the Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) of Technical Specification (TS) Section 3.6.6.1 could not be met (i.e., TS 3.6.6.1 allows only 1 recombiner to be inoperable), the TS Action of Section 3.0.3 to commence a Unit 1 shutdown was entered. With the Unit 2 'A' recombiner being inoperable, Unit 2 entered the TS LCO Action Statement of TS Section 3.6.6.1, which allows continued operation with one train unavailable. During the immediate investigation into the problem, Station personnel discovered that the Unit 2 'B' recombiner had been inoperable for longer than the 30 days permitted by TS Section 3.6.6.1. In a conservative action, the Action Statement of TS Section 3.0.3 was entered for Unit 2.

Power reduction commenced for both units while the problems were being resolved. Unit 1 reduced power to approximately 8% and was later placed in the startup mode (OPCON 2) per TS Section 3.0.3. Unit 2 power was reduced to approximately 35%. At 1823 hours on September 2, 1995, repairs and testing of the Unit 2 'A' recombiner

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEA	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION				APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95				
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT TEXT CONTINUATION	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION			ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMA THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT E (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMIS WASHINGTON, DC 2055-0001. AND TO THE PAPE REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104). OFFICE MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. WASHINGTON, DC 20503					
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)]	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)				
	05000252	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2.05.5				
Limerick Generating Station, Unit 1	05000352	95	007	0	3 OF 5				

were satisfactorily completed, and following a management review of the corrective actions and testing methods, the 'A' recombiner was declared operable, and the Unit 2 power reduction was then terminated. Station personnel later determined that TS Section 3.0.3 was not applicable for the Unit 2 condition since the Unit 2 'B' recombiner was operable and the time limits of TS Section 3.6.6.1 were applicable from the time of identification (i.e., 0715 hours on September 9, 1995).

At 1950 hours, repairs and testing of the Unit 1 'B' recombiner were satisfactorily completed, and following a management review of the corrective actions and testing methods, the 'B' recombiner was declared operable. The Unit 1 shutdown was then terminated. At 2055 hours, repairs and testing of the Unit 1 'A' recombiner were completed and the recombiner was declared operable and the return to nominal full power commenced.

A 1-hour notification was made to the NRC at 0819 hours on September 2, 1995, in accordance with the requirements of 10CFR50.72 (a)(1)(i)(A) since a plant shutdown had commenced at 0743 hours per TS Sections 3.6.6.1 and 3.0.3. This event is also reportable per 10CFR50.72 (b)(2)(iii)(D) due to loss of the recombiner system safety function on Unit 1. A 1-hour notification was made to the NRC at 1230 hours on September 2, 1995, since Unit 2 initially entered TS Section 3.0.3. This notification was later retracted since the Unit 2 'B' recombiner was operable and TS Section 3.0.3 did not apply. Additionally, this event resulted in independent safety trains being inoperable for a common cause. This LER is being submitted in accordance with the requirements of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B), (a)(2)(V)(D), and (a)(2)(vii)(D).

Analysis of the Event

The consequences of this event were minimal and there was no release of radioactive material to the environment as a result of this event. A postulated event requiring the use of the recombiners did not occur while the recombiners were inoperable. The Unit 2 'B' recombiner was operable throughout the period of concern for Unit 2 and therefore the recombiner system safety function was available for Unit 2 if a postulated LOCA occurred.

NRC FORM.366A U.S. NUCLEA (5-92)	R REGULATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95				
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT TEXT CONTINUATION	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION				TO COMPLY WITH JEST: 50.0 HRS. IEN ESTIMATE TO NAGEMENT BRANCH TORY COMMISSION. 0 THE PAPERWORK 0 OFFICE OF 1. DC 20503.	
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6) PAGE			PAGE (3)	
	05000350	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	0.5	
Limerick Generating Station, Unit 1	05000352	95	007	0	408 5	

The recombiners are designed to control the quantity of hydrogen and oxygen postulated to be generated in the Primary Containment following a design basis LOCA with an assumed non-mechanistic fuel failure. During the time periods that the recombiners were inoperable, sufficient Emergency Core Cooling Systems (ECCS) were operable to prevent fuel failure. Without the high fuel temperatures, associated with fuel failure, the generation of hydrogen and oxygen in the Primary Containment would have been prevented and therefore the recombiners would not have been needed to mitigate the accident.

Cause of the Event

The cause of the event is personnel error. During installation of a modification to the recombiner temperature recorders, a wiring error and several logic errors were made that rendered the recombiners inoperable. These conditions should have been identified during Post Modification Testing (PMT). However, contrary to the requirements of procedure MOD-C-5, "Mod Process Acceptance Testing," the system manager did not prepare the PMT in advance of the completion of the modification installation, and the maintenance planner who planned the modification work orders and the PMT did not involve the system manager. During the development of the PMT, the planner did not realize that the modification had the potential for adversely impacting the logic function of the temperature recorders and the recombiners. As a result, the PMT only verified the indication function and missed the logic errors. The planner did not involve the system manager due to a misperception that the modification was only a recorder replacement (as was being performed with several other recorders) and due to a lack of familiarity with the modification process procedures from inadequate training.

Even though there were several individual personnel errors during the installation of the modification, the modification process relies on the PMT to identify any installation errors. The specific personnel errors which occurred during installation were investigated and determined not to have generic implications. The inadequate training was determined to have generic implications and appropriate corrective actions were developed.

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION				APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95				
LICENSEE EVENT P TEXT CONTIN				714), U.S. NUCLI TON, DC 20555-0	TO COMPLY WITH JEST: 50.0 HRS. DEN ESTIMATE TO NAGEMENT BRANCH TORY COMMISSION. O THE PAPERWORK O THE PAPERWORK O FFICE OF DC 20503.				
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6))	PAGE (3)			
		05000353	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	5 OF 5			
Limerick Generating Station,	Unit l	05000352	95	007	0	5 02 5			

Corrective Actions

- 1. As a result of a similar Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station event and an Engineering Self Assessment, the various common procedures which address the modification process were streamlined and enhanced. These procedural changes were under development at the time of this event. These enhancements have been reviewed and were determined adequate to address this event being reported.
- 2. A review was performed of all Engineering Projects (2273 total, including archived data) Engineering Change Requests (ECR) and no similar issues were identified. All modification and ECR implementation was placed on hold as soon as the cause of the event was identified, and is being released only through the Senior Manager-Design Engineering until the enhanced modification process/procedures stated in 1 above are determined to be fully understood and implemented by appropriate Station personnel.
- 3. All Hands meetings have been conducted by the Senior Manager-Design Engineering with personnel from Maintenance Planning, Design Engineering, Plant Engineering, and Operations. These meetings were conducted to ensure personnel understand the 'lessons learned' from this event, and are aware of the modification process enhancements stated in 1 above.
- 4. A training needs analysis will be performed by December 15, 1995, for Maintenance personnel on the modification/ECR process including the PMT process. Training lesson plans and materials will be developed as necessary by February 1, 1996.

Previous Similar Occurrences

None