-	U.S. NOLE	FOIA - 94-137		
()	RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) REQUEST	PARTIAL PARTIAL PARTIAL		
RECI	JESTER Mr. Paul Gunter			
	PART IAGENCY RECORDS RELEASED OR NOT LOCATED (See	checked boxes)		
	No agency records subject to the request have been located.			
	No additional agency records subject to the request have been located.			
	Requested records are available through another public distribution program. See Comments section,			
	Agency records subject to the request that are identified in Appendix(es) are alree NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC.	eady available for public inspection and copying at the		
X	Agency records subject to the request that are identified in Appendix(es) are bein at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC, in a folder under this FOIA	ng made available for public inspection and copying A number.		
	for public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington	on, DC, in a folder under this FOIA number.		
	Agency records subject to the request the sentified in Appendix(es) may be inspected and the comments section may be inspected and the comments section and the comments se	ted and copied at the NRC Local Public Document		
	Enclosed is information on how you may use on access to and the charges for copying records located in N.W., Washington, DC.	at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street,		
X	Agency records subject to the request are enclosed.	and the second state of the second stat		
	Records subject to the request have been referred to another Federal agency(ies) for review and direct r	response to you.		
	Fees			
+	You will be billed by the NRC for fees totaling \$ You will receive a refund from the NRC in the amount of \$			
-+				
	in view of NHC's response to this request, no further action is being taken on appeal letter dated	, No,		
Τ	Certain information in the requested records is being withheld from public disclosure pursuant to the ex in Part II, B, C, and D. Any released portions of the documents for which only part of the record is bein inspection and popular in the NRC Public Document Room. 2120 L Street, N.W. Washington, DC in a	emptions described in and for the reasons stated ng withheld are being made available for public folder under this FOIA number.		
СОМ	MENTS			
	The records listed on the enclosed Appendix L were for Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations of the and Commerce in August 1992. For your information, it has been determined that re enclosed Appendix L was an actual piece of thermo-la and records number 15, 109, 128, 193, 201, 224 and 2 located.	orwarded to the House Committee on Energy cord number two on the g material. This record 33 on Appendix L cannot be		
	4510060008	32pp.		
SIGNA	TURE, DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PUBLICATIONS SERVICES			
- Andrewski	9510060008 950223 PDR FOIA GUNTER94-137 PDR			
100				

Re: FOIA-94-137

	Page No.	1	APPE	ENDIX L	
	08/05/92		INDEX O	F THERMO-LAG DOCUMENTS	
	DATE	ADDRESSEE	AUTHOR	TITLE	ORGANIZATION
1.	04/11/77	Feldman	UL	Small-Scale Test Program to Evaluate the Fire Resistence Performanceof a Mastic Coating when Subjected to Simulated Exterior and Interior Exposure Conditions	UL
2.	04/13/79	TSI	ITL	ITL: Final examination of 1 sample of fire-retardent material marked"330HM144, Batch 12279, 3/24/79" submitted	ITL
3.	07/01/79	Agents/Bro- kers etc	ANI	Bulletin to Agents/Brokers, Insurance Managers & Architect Engineers	ANI
4.5.	06/01/80 08/21/81	Harrison	TSI Feldman	TSI Technical Note 71880 2 Reports on 1-Hr ASTM E119 Fire Simulation Facil. Fire Test Followed by Short Term Hose Stream in Class 1E Cable Conduit Assembly and Cable Tray, Conduits & Air Drop	TSI
6.	09/01/81			Assembly TSI Technical Note 90181, Engineering Design Info. Thermo-Lag 330-1 Subliming Coating Envelope System For Fire Resistive Enhancement of Crit. Compon., Volume 2	TSI
7.	09/01/81			Technical Note 90181, Engineering Design Info. Thermo-lag 330-1 Subliming Coating Envelope System for Fire Resistive Enhancement of Critical Components, Volume 3	TSI
8.	09/01/81			TSI Technical Note 90181, Engineering Design Information Thermo-Lag 330-1 Subliming Coating Envelope System for Fire Resistive Enhancement of Critical Components, Vol.	TSI
9.	03/01/82	TSI		ITL Report 82-3-2, Witnessing of Fire Tests on 2/3-2/4/82.	ITL

.

.

Page No. 2 08/05/92

à,

	DATE	ADDRESSEE	AUTHOR	TITLE	ORGANIZATION
10.	05/17/82 05/28/82	TSI Madden	ITL Feldman	ITL Report No. 82-5-355-A Submit the Following Technical Support for Proposed use of	ITL TSI
12	06/18/82	TSI	ITL	Thermo-Lag 330-1 Subliming Coating Envelope System ITL Report No. 82-5-355B	ITL
13.	08/01/82	PPL&C	Beitel	Proprietary Qualification Fire Test of a Protective Envelope System	SWRI
14.	09/14/82	Feldman	Storment	ITL letter re: densities for 3 samples of Thermo-Lag 330-1 given to Storment by Ben Evans for density measurements	ITL
15.	09/20/82	Feldman	Storment	ITL letter re: densities for 3 samples of Thermo-Lag 330-1	ITL
16.	10/04/82	Kubicki	Feldman	I.T.L. Report 82-5-355A, Fire Simulation Facility Fire Tests, water hose stream impact tests and electrical circuitry continuity tests	TSI
17.	11/01/82		Siegel	I.T.L. Report 82-11-80, 1-Hour Fire Endurance Tests Conducted on Test Articles Containing "Generic" Cables Protected with Thermo-Lag 330-1 Subliming Envelope System	ITL .
18.	11/01/8:	2	Siegel	ITL Rpt 82-11-241, 1-Hour Fire Endurance Tests Conducted on the Thermo-Lag 330-1 Subliming Coating System Applied by the Direct Spray-on Design to 4-Inch Diameter Std.Elect	ITL
19.	11/01/8	2	Siegel	ITL Report 82-11-81, 3-Hr. Fire Endurance Tests Conducted on Test Articles Containing "Generic" Cables Protected with Thermo-Lag Subliming Coating Envelope System	ITL
20	11/01/8	32 TSI	Siegel	ITL Report 82-11-240, 1-Hr. Fire Endurance Tests Conducted on	ITL

Thermo-Lag 330-1 Subliming Coating System Applied by Direct Spray-onDesign to 4-Inch Diameter Std. Elect. Condui Page No. 3 08/05/92

2

۴.

	DATE	ADDRESSEE	AUTHOR	TITLE	ORGANIZATION
21.	12/02/82	Feldman	Bornhoeft	TSI Tech Note 1130-83A, Selected Info. on Thermo-Lag 330-1 SublimingFire Barrier Systems for Use as Protective Fire Barrier for the SafeHot Shutdown	TSI
22.	01/26/83	TSI	ITL	or critical components ITL - Calorimetric Determination of Potential Heat Release of Thermo-Lag 330-1	ITL
23.	04/11/83	TSI	ITL	ITL - Report NO. 83-3-199 Examination of 1 "Thermo-Lag sample submitted marked,	ITL
24.	06/01/83		Feldman	TSI Technical Note 80181, Thermo-lag 330-1 Subliming Coating Fire Barrier Systems, Application Procedures, Revision IV	TSI
25.	06/01/83			I.T.L. Report 83-5-472A, 1-Hour Fire Endurance Test Conducted on TheThermo-Lag 330 Sublimit Fire Barrier System Applied by Direct	ITL
26,	08/12/83			ITL Report 83-5-472, 1-Hour Fire Endurance Test Conducted on Thermo-Lag 330 Subliming Fire Barrier System Applied by Direct Spraying	ITL
27. 28.	08/30/83 10/11/83	TSI	ITL Bechtel	ITL - Report No. 83-8-183 Technical Specification for Furnishing and Installation of Fire Barrier Materials for the SNUPPS	ITL Bechtel
29.	01/01/84		TSI	TSI Technical Note 20684, Thermo-Lag 330 Fire Barrier System Installation Procedures Manual Nuclear Plant	TSI
30.	01/01/84	Union Elec	TSI	TSI Technical Note 10484A	TSI

Page No. 4 08/05/92

1

. *

	DATE	ADDRESSEE	AUTHOR	TITLE	ORGANIZATION
31.	02/03/84	Wagoner	Weber	Procurement of Fire Barrier Material - Purchase Order	Callaway
32.	02/07/84	Stafford	Rippe	Specifications 10466-E-097, TS for Furnishing and Installation of Fire Barrier Materials for the Standardized Nuclear	TSI
	00/01/04	mer	TTT	TTL Report No. 84-3-275	ITL
33.	03/01/84	Brooks	Stokes	Fire Wrap	Callaway
35.	10/22/84	Youngblood	Johnson	 Fermi 2 NRC Docket 50-341 Detroit Edison to NRC Letter of 8/4/84	Detroit Ed
36	12/01/84	TSI	ITL	ITL Report No. 84-12-181	ITL
37.	01/01/85		NFPA	NFPA 251 - Standard Methods of Fire Tests of Building Construction and Materials	NFPA
38.	02/01/85		TSI	TSI Technical Note 111781-Engineering Report on Ampacity Test for 600 Volt Power Cables	TSI
39.	07/29/85	Lainas	Johnston	Request for exemptions from the requirements of Appendix R to 10 CFR 50, Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant Units 1 & 2, Tac No.	NRC
40.	10/31/85			55809/10 Final Report CTP 1092a, 3-Hour Qualification Test Flex Conduit Protected with Appendix R Insulation in Conjunction	SWRI
41.	11/01/85		Lohman	Assist TSI Technical Note 20684, Thermo-Lag 330 Fire Barrier System Installation Procedures Manual Power Generating Plant Applications,	TSI
42.	03/21/86	Salkiewicz	Licht	Fire Test Report 86-42	3 M
43,	06/05/86	5		Document Review/Approval and Distribution Form -	3 M

Standard Project Plan. 3M/TSI Interface Proposal: Installation Only. Page No. 5 08/05/92

	DATE	ADDRESSEE	AUTHOR	TITLE	ORGANIZATION
44.	06/13/86	*		Preliminary Interface Fire Test of 3M 1-hr fire protection system interfaced to TSI 1-Hr. Perform Fire Protection	ЗM
45.	07/16/86	TSI	3 M	Receival Acknowledge and Solete Document Return	3 M
46.	08/19/86			3M and TSI Interface Test	3 M
47.	08/19/86			3M and TSI Interface Test 2.5" CNDT 3-Hr. 3M Chem 66 Ft. 86-92	ЗМ
48.	08/19/86			3M and TSI Interface Test 7C/12 Data	3 M
49.	08/19/86			3M and TSI Interface Test 2.5" CNDT 3Hr	3 M
50.	08/19/86	Light		3M Fire Test	ME
51.	09/13/86	TSI	ITL	ITL - Report No.	ITL
52.	09/17/86			Interface Fire Test of 3M Interam 1-Hr Fire Protection System	3 M
				Joinedto TSI 1-Hr Perform Fire Protection System E-119 Test 5" Steel	
53.	10/01/86	3M Company	TCTC	Twin City Testing Corporation, Qualification Fire Tests of The 3-Hour 3M Interam E-50D Interface	TCTC
				to a 3-Hour TSI Board on a 2 1/2 Dia. Steel Conduit	
54.	10/10/86		Peisert	Proposal for a Qualification Fire Test of a 3M/TSI Interface on a 1-Hr. System PJ-24, 86-112	ЗМ
55.	10/23/86		Koza	3M Fire Test Beruest (Report 86-115	3M
56.	11/14/86		Koza	Proposal for a Qualification 3M/TSI Interface Fire Test at Construction Technology Laboratories 1-Hr. System	Με
57.	01/21/87	Feldman	Fava	Special Services Invest. of Ampacity Ratings for Power Cables in Steel	UL

Conduits and in Open-Ladder Cable trays with Field-Applied Enclosures Page No. 08/05/92

6

	DATE	ADDRESSEE	AUTHOR	TITLE	ORGANIZATION
58.	01/23/87	Rippe	Hall	Fire and Hose Stream Tests of Three Electrical Protective Envelope	CTL
59.	02/10/87			Synopsis on the Thermo-Lag 330 Fire Barrier System for Power Generating Plant	TSI
60.	03/02/87	NRC	Bradish	Applications RIDS, LER 87-005-00: on 870302, While Unit in Mode 4, Hourly Fire Watch Patrol Not Performed in Accordance w/TS 3.7.12.	Palo Verde
61.	03/25/87	USNRC	Booker	River Bend Station - Unit 1 - Docket No. 50-458	River Bend
62:	03/31/87	Palo Verde Unit 1	Bradish	LER - Fire Watch Patrol Missed Due to Personnel	Palo Verde
63.	04/01/87			ITL Report 87-4-3, 1-Hour Fire Endurance Test Conducted on 4-Inch Diameter WPPS System "In-Situ" Steel Conduit Sections Protected	ITL
64.	04/13/87		WHI	Fire Endurance Test fgor Protective Barrier with a Non-Contacting Penetrating Item	WHI
65.	04/26/87	Oyster Creek, Unit 1	Zimmerman	Failure to Post a Fire Watch for a Non-Functional Fire Barrier Due to Personnel Error in Failing to Follow Procedure	Oyster Crk
66.	06/01/87	TSI	ITL	ITL Report 87-5-76 - 3	ITL
67.	06/25/87		ANI	"ANI/MARKP RA Guidlines for Fire Stop and Wrap Systems in Nuclear	ANI
68.	07/07/87 11/01/87	Garret	Bel ANI	ITL Report 87-5-77 "ANI/MAKRP RA Guidelines for Fire Stop and Wrap Systems at Nuclear Facilities"	WPPS ANI
70.	06/16/88	Wash Nuclear Plant, U-2	Washington	Technical Specificaiton Violation of Cable Spreading Room Fire Barrier Caused by Missing	Wash Nucl

Thermo-Lag Insulation Due to Personnel Error Page No. 7 08/05/92

	DATE	ADDRESSEE	AUTHOR	TITLE	ORGANIZATION
21.	12/12/88	Coones	Lyle	SWRI Porposal No. 01-8040	SRI
72.	02/03/89	Rippe	Hamilton	File Code No 228.410 - Furnishing and Installation of Thermal Insulation Outside the Drywell - River Bend	River Bend
23.	03/03/89	Gulf States	Feldman	Your Letter Dated 3 February 1989 - Gulf States Utilities "Draft"	TSI
74.	03/16/89	River Bend Station	England	LER - Inadequate Thermo Lag Coverings as Fire Barriers Per TS	River Bend
75.	03/31/89	Feldman	Hamilton	File Code No. 228.410 - Furnishing and Installation of Thermal Insulation Outside the Drywell - River Bend Station - Unit 1	River Bend
76.	04/07/89	Gulf States	Feldman	Letter confirming telephone conference regarding forthcoming test program	River Bend
77.	04/17/89	NRC	England	RIDS, LER 89-009-00: on 890316, Inadequate Thermo-Lag Coverings as Fire Barriers Per TS 7 7 7 a W//890417 Letter.	River Bend
79.	09/01/89	TSI	CTL	"Fire test on Aluminum Ladder Back Cable Tray Protected by Thermo- Lag Prefabricated Panels in a Steel Bulkhead	CTL
29.	10/01/39	TSI	Const. Tech. Lab.	Fire Test on Stael Ladder Back Cable Tray Protected with Modified 3-Hour Design Using Thermo-Lag Prefabricated Panels and	CTL
80.	10/01/89		Const. Tech. Lab.	Fire Test on Aluminum Ladder Back Cable Tray Protected by Thermo-LagPrefabricated Panels in a Steel Bulkhead	TTL
81.	10/12/89	NRC	Cahill	RIDS, Final Deficiency Report CP-89-025 Regarding Site Fabricated Thermo-Lag Panels.	Comanche P

Page No. 8 08/05/92

	DATE	ADDRESSEE	AUTHOR	TITLE	ORGANIZATION
82.	10/23/89	Gulf	Feldman	GSU's File No. ED-89-1078	River Bend
83.	10/31/89	Coones	Feldman	CTL Report No. 824-59 and 824-63	River Berd
84.	11/01/89	TSI	Const. Tech. Lab.	Fire Test on Aluminum Ladder Back Cable Tray Protected by Thermo-LagPrefabricated Panels	CTL
85.	11/01/89	TSI	Const. Tech. Lab.	Fire Test on Aluminum Ladder Back Cable Tray Protected by Thermo-LagPrefabricated Panels	CTL
86.	11/10/89	Crouse	Backen	Meeting with TSI Management Addressing the Thermolag Fire Test Failure in October	River Bend
87.	12/01/89	NRC	Warnick	RIDS, Forwards Inspection Reports 50-445/89-71 and 50-446/89-71 on 891004-1107 and Notice of Violation	NRC
88.	12/01/89	Cahill	Warnick	Inspection at Comanche Peak	NRC
89.	12/01/89	Cahill	Warnick	Dockets 50-445/89-71 and 446/89-71:	NRC/NRR
90.	12/18/89	Coones	Feldman	7 December 1989 Meeting Summary TSI's Comments	River Bend
91.	12/18/89	England	Hamilton	Condition Report 89-1144 Supplementary Information G9.25.1.3 10 CFR 50.73 License Event Report System	River Bend
92.	01/09/90	USNRC	Booker	River Bend Station - Unit 1 - Docket No. 50-458	Gulf State
93.	01/11/90	Feldman	Hamilton	TSI Comments on GSU Fire Test and Drawing Details	River Bend
94.	03/08/90	NRC	Odell	RIDS, LER 90-003-00: on 900206, Inadequate Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier Envelopes Surrounding Safe Shutdown Circuits per TS 3/4.7.7	River Bend
95.	05/30/90		ITL	ITL Test: Drying (Curing) Time - Procedure No. FED-STD-141 Method 4061	ITL
96.	05/31/90)	ITL	ITL Test: Drying (Curing) Time - Procedure No. FED-STD-141	ITL

Page No. 9 08/05/92

	DATE	ADDRESSEE	AUTHOR	TITLE	ORGANIZATION
97.	07/12/90	NRC	Odell	RIDS, LER 90-003-01: on 900206, Inadequate Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier Envelopes Surrounding Safe Shutdown Circuits	River Bend
98.	07/13/90	NRC	Cahill	RIDS, Submits Info. Re Revised Acceptance Criteria for Thermo-Lag	Comanche P
99.	07/20/90	Cahill	Collins	Response to Telephone Request Regarding the Acceptability of Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier	NRC/NRR
100.	08/29/90	Plant	Hamilton	CR Extension Request	River Bend
10.1.	11/23/90	Manager	ASTM	1990 Annual Book of ASTM Standards - Section 4	ASTM
102.	11/23/90		ASTM	Designation E 84 - 89a 1990 Annual Book of ASTM Standards - Section 4	ASTM
103.	01/01/91			Designation E 119 - 8 Preliminary Engineering Test Report on 1-and 3-hour Fire Resistive Test Program Conducted on Test Articles Protected with GSU's Institu Fire Barrier Designs,	
104.	01/07/91	Perry Nuclear	Hegrat	Cable Tray Raceways Found to be Imported as a Fire Barrier, Adversely Affecting Safe	Perry
105.	02/04/91	NRC	Odell	Shutdown Requirements RIDS, LER 90-003-02: on 900206, Small Holes, Cracks & Unfilled Seams Found in Thermo-Lag Matl. of Fire Barrier Envelopes Around Redundant Safe	River Bend
106		1101100	04011	Watch Estab. River Bend Station - Unit	River Bend
100	02/04/91	USNRC	Udell	1 - Docket No. 50-458	TSI
101.	05/11/91	Ulie	Lohman	Requested	101
108.	05/24/91	Hamilton	Beitel	3-Hour Test, Draft Final Report for 10/26/89 Test	SRI

Page No. 10 08/05/92

.

	DATE	ADDRESSEE	AUTHOR	TITLE	ORGANIZATION
109.	06/11/91	Norrholm	Petrosino	Visit to River Bend to review the Circumstances surrounding LER 90-03 regarding thermolag	NRC
110 .	06/28/91	NRC	Odell	Fire protection material RIDS, LER 91-003-03: on 900206, Found Deficiencies in Thermo-lag Fire Barrier Envelopes Around Redundant Safe Shutdown Circuits. Conducted Series of Fire Endur. Tests	River Bend
	06/29/91	McCracken	Notley	Request from Ruben Feldman, President of Thermal Science, Inc. (TSI) of St. Louis, Missouri	NRC
1/2.	07/23/91	Berlinger	McCracken	Proposed information notice on Thermo-Lag fire retardant material	NRC/NRR
113.	08/06/91		Rossi	NRC Information Notice No. 91-47: Failure of Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier Material to Pass Fire Endurance Test	NRC/NRR
114.	08/23/91	Garrett	Lohman	TSI's Response to NRC Inforamtion Notice 91-47, Failure of Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier Material to Pass Fire Endurance Test	TSI
115.	09/10/91	Feldman	Miraglia	Request for Information Regarding Fire Barrier System Materials and Design	NRC/NRR
116.	09/10/91	Feldman	Miraglia	RIDS, Informs of Reviewed Documents Provided by Plant and Other Information Concerning Thermo-Lag.	NRC/NRR
117.	09/18/91	Chatfield		Thermo-Lag Data Sheets and test Reports	TSI
118.	09/18/91	Feldman	Miraglia	Letter referring to written response of September 10, 1991	NRC/NRR
119.	09/18/91	1		ITL Report 87-5-76, 3-Hour Fire Endurance Test Conducted on a Two-inch Diameter Conduit Test Section Protected	ITL

with Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier System Page No. 11 08/05/92

	DATE	ADDRESSEE	AUTHOR	TITLE	ORGANIZATION
120.	10/01/91	Paul	Wesson	NRC Investigations of Thermal Science, Inc. of	Consultant
121.	10/05/91	Miraglia	Feldman	Thermal Science's response to list of questions sent to them in	TSI
122.	10/07/91	NRC	Hegrat	lLetter from NRC Dated 9/10/91 LER Cable Tray Raceways Found to be Impaired as a Fire Barrier,	Perry
123.	10/11/91	Feldman	Miraglia	Adversely Affecting Safe Shutdown Requirements. Letter forwarding copy of official transcript of meeting held on October 17, 1991	NRC/NRR
12.4.	10/31/91	Miraglia	Plisco/Wes	Fact Finding Visit River Bend Station	NRC/NRR
125.	10/31/91	Feldman	Miraglia	Letter of review of transcript of October 17,	NRC/NRR
126.	11/12/91	Miraglia	Feldman	TSI, Partial Response to Questions Contained in NRC's Lettter dated	TSI
127.	11/19/91	Ishack	Novak	IRS Report "Failure of Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier Material to Pass	NRC/AEOD
128.	11/29/91	Ishak	Novak	FireEndurance Test" Failure of the Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier Material to Pass Fire	NRC/AEOD
129.	12/03/93	Miraglia	Feldman	Thermal Science, Inc.'s Supplemental Response to	TSI
				QuestionsContained in NRC's Letter Dated	
130.	12/06/9	1 All OL & CP licensees	Rossi	NRC Information Notice 91-79: Deficiencies in the Procedures for Installing Thermo-Lag	NRC/AEOD
131,	12/11/9	1 Miraglia	Plisco	Fact finding visit to Washington Nuclear Project, Unit 2	NRC
132	12/18/9	1 Miraglia	Plisco	Fact Finding Visit to Perry Nuclear Power Plant	NRC

Page No. 12 08/05/92

۰.

1

	DATE	ADDRESSEE	AUTHOR	TITLE	ORGANIZATION
133.	12/24/91	Miraglia	Plisco	Fact Finding Visit to	NRC
134.	12/27/91	USNRC	Odell	River Bend Station - Unit	River Bend
135.	12/27/91	NRC	Odell	1 - Docket No. 50-458 RIDS, LER 91-003-04: on 900296, Deficiencies in Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier Envelopes Noted.	Gulf State
136.	12/31/91	Ishack	Novak	Const. Fire Water Estab. & Repair Meth. Developed w/911127 IRS Report, "Deficiencies in the Procedures for Installing Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier	NRC
13.7.	01/03/92	NRC	Lyster	Materials" Perry Nuclear Power Plant Docket No. 50-440 - LER	Centerior
138	01/07/92	Miraglia	Plisco	91-020-01 Fact Finding Visit to	NRC
139.	01/09/92	Martin	Miraglia	Callaway Plant Washington Nuclear Project, Unit 2 - Fire Protection Program	NRC/NRR
140.	01/13/92	Wilson	Feldman	Concerns Additional Information Submitted in Accordance with our Telephone Conference with TSI on	TSI
141.	01/15/92	Feldman	Miraglia	01/10/92. Thank You Letter for Use of Photograph of Gulf States Utilities	NRC/NRR
142.	01/1//92	Thadani	Miraglia	TestArticle Transfer of Thermo-Lag	NRC/NRR
143.	01/20/92	Wilson	Lohman	a)Qual Assur Regrmnts Contained in Nuclr Cust Purch Order	TSI
				b)BechtelPwr Crp Purch Order #8856-F-56718 for Susq Stm Elec Dated 15 Oct 81 c)Gibbs/Hill Spec 2323-MS-38H, Rev 1,	
144.	02/03/92	2 Miraglia	Walker	Referral of potential health and safety issue	NRC/NRR
145,	02/06/93	2 Distributi	Miraglia	Daily Highlight - Forthcoming Meeting with	NRC/NRR

NUMARC on Thermo-Lag FireBarrier Issues [to be held 02/12/92] Page No. 13 08/05/92

	DATE	ADDRESSEE	AUTHOR	TITLE	ORGANIZATION
146.	02/07/92	**	Harrell	Notice of Significant Licensee Technical Meeting [to be held	NRC/RIV
147.	02/07/92	NRC	Harrell	Technical Meeting to Discuss Findings Associated with an Inspection of Licensee Actions Regarding Appl. of Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier & Compliance with App. B Requirements.	Gulf State
148.	02/07/92	Russell	Murley	Transfer of Thermo-Lag Technical Issues	NRC/NRR
149.	02/07/92	Davis	Miller	Perry Nuclear Power Plant - Fire Protection Program Concerns (AITS 92-0013)	NRC
150.	02/11/92	All holders of licenses	Partlow	Draft Generic Letter to all holders of operating licenses or construction permits for nuclear power reactors, thermo-lag fire barriers (generic letter	NRC
151.	02/11/92	Murley	Miraglia	Special Review Assignment of Potential Safety Concerns regarding Thermo-Lag Fire Barriers, ref. memo to Miraglia from Murley dated 8/15/91	NRC/NRR
152.	02/11/92	All holders of licenses	Partlow	Draft Generic Letter to all holders of operating licenses or construction permits for nuclear power reactors, thermo-lag fire barriers (Generic Letter 92-xx)	NRC
153.	02/13/92	Murley	Miraglia	Minutes - Meeting Between the Special Review Team for the Review of Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier Performance and NUMARC	NRC/NRR
154.	02/18/92	NRC	Odell	RIDS, LER 91-008-01: on 910415, Fire Hazards Analysis Deficiencies Including Lack of Fire Wrap/Inadequate Fire Barrier Caused by	River Bend

Errorsbeing Made in Original Develop. of FHA. Page No. 14 08/05/92

	DATE	ADDRESSEE	AUTHOR	TITLE	ORGANIZATION
155.	02/18/92	Files	Miraglia	Telephone conversation with Len Bickwit	NRC-NRR
156.	02/19/92	Regional Administra tors	Miraglia	Meeting Between the NRR Special Review Team for the Review of Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier Performance and NUMARC	NRC/NRR
157.	02/20/92	West	Mizuno	Backfit discussion in Thermo-Lag generic Letter	NRC
158.	02/21/92	Miraglia	Walker	Referral of Potential Health and Safety Issue to NRR	NRC/OIG
159.	02/24/92	Rasin	Miraglia	Transmittal of Requested Materials	NRC/NRR
160.	02/28/92	Miraglia	Feldman	NRC's Draft Generic Letter Dated 2/11/92, Thermo-Lag Fire Barriers	TSI
161.	03/03/92	Miraglia	Marion	Draft Generic Letter on Thermo-Lag Fire Barriers Request for Comment	NUMARC
162.	03/04/92	Miraglia	Walker	Referral of Potential health and Safety Issues to NRR	NRC
163.	03/09/92	Feldman	Wilson	Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier Materials and Related Installation Training Services. NRC rpt. 99901226/91-01	NRC
164.	03/10/92			TU electric, design engineering organization, scope B, engineering report, evaluation of thermo-lag fire barrier systems, ER-ME-067, Rev. 0, preliminary, confirm, regd 3/30/92	TU ELECTRI
165.	03/11/92	TSI	ITL	ITL Invoice Number 56119 - review of TSI test reports to verify	ITL
166 .	03/12/92	Feldman	Thadani	TSI Comments on Draft Generic Letter on	NRC/DST
167.	03/18/92	Marion	Thadani	Trip Reports in Response to March 3, 1992 Request for Additional Technical Information re:	NRC/DST
168.	03/20/92	2 Miraglia	Davis	Perry Nuclear Power Plant - fire protection program concerns	NRC/NRR

Page No. 15 08/05/92

a 4

	DATE	ADDRESSEE	AUTHOR	TITLE	ORGANIZATION
169.	03/27/92	Deddens	Beach	Inspection Report No.	NRC/DRP
170.	03/30/92		TU	TU Electric Design Engineering Organization	TU
171.	04/03/92	Miraglia	Davis	Callaway Plant Thermo-Lag Concerns	NRC
172.	04/03/92	Barkhurst, Entergy	Beach	Notice of Violation (NRC Inspection Report No. 50-382/92-03)	NRC/DRP
173.	04/03/92	Miraglia	Davis	Callaway Plant -	NRC-R:III
174.	04/08/92	TSI File	Madden	Summary of Rubin Feldman's Presentation Concerning Thermo-Lag Fire Barriers at EEI Fire Protection Committee	NRC/DST
175.	04/09/92	NRC	Beach	Meeting, Phoenix, A2 Meeting Notice on Application of Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier and Compliance with Requirements of Appendix P to 10 CFP part 50	NRC
176.	04/13/92	WMcPhail	MKQuick	Thermo-Lag Fire Test	Comanche P
177.	04/16/92	Miraglia	Zimmerman	WNP-2 Fire Protection	NRC/NRR
178.	04/20/92	Murley	Miraglia	Special Review Assignment Regarding Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier	NRC/NRR
				Performance - Final Technical Report	
179.	04/20/92	NRC	Feldman	Resp. to Notice of Nonconformance Issued by NRC to TSI	TSI
180.	04/21/92	Russell	Murley	Final Report - Special Review Team for the Review of Thermo-Lag	NKC/NRR
181.	04/29/92			One Hour - Three Hour Fire Barrier Installation	WNP-2
182.	04/29/92		Levin	Report of Test, NIST FR	NIST
) 83.	05/01/92	NRC .	Cahill	RIDS, Discuss Confirm. Testing of Thermo-Lag Fire Sys. ComprehensiveConfirmatory Testing Program Initiated to Envelope Full Range of	Comanche P
				Protected Conduit & Cable Tray Co	

Page No. 16 08/05/92 4

	DATE	ADDRESSEE	AUTHOR	TITLE	ORGANIZATION
184.	05/06/92	NRC	Cahill	RIDS, Submits Results of Evaluation of Thermo-Lag 330-1 Fire BarrierSys, Per NRC Concerns Raised	Comanche P
185'.	05/06/92	NRC	Odell	at 2/12/92 Meeting. RIDS, LER 91-008-02: on 910415, Deficiencies Noted in Fire Hazards Analysis Requirements (FHA). Review & Verification of FHA by	Gulf State
186.	05/06/92	USNRC	Cahill	Indepnt Contractor Initiated. W/920506 Ltr CPSES - Docket No. 50-445 and 50-446 - Evaluation of Thermo-Lag 330-1 Fire Barrier System	TU Elec
187.	05/06/92	USNRC	Odell	River Bend Station - Unit 1 - Docket No 50-458/Report 92-04	River Bend
188.	05/08/92	Thadani	Feldman	Additional Information on	TSI
) 89.	05/13/92	Marion	Thadani	Transmittal of Technical	NRC/DST
190.	05/13/92	Felüman	Thadani	Technical Report Completed by NRC for	NRC/DST
191.	05/19/92	Miraglia	Walker	Referral of Potential Health and Safety Issues	NRC/NRR
192.	05/19/92	Babrauskas	Welch	Report of Analysis, NIST	NIST
193.	05/19/92	Architzel	Walker	Referral of technical information - ITL test	NRC
194.	05/21/92	Walker	West	Interactions with Thermal Science Inc. Personnel during May 1992 visit to Omega Point Labs, San	NRC/NRR
195.	05/22/92	Babrauskas	Watters	Report of Analysis, NIST, Qualitative Survey of Fire Resistant Materials	NIST
196.	05/26/92	Thadani	Marion	Final Report - Special Review Team	NUMARC
197.	05/26/92	Thadani	Marion	Final Report-Special Review Team for the Review of Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier Performance	NUMARC
198.	06/01/92	Feldman	Thadani	Request Pertinent	NRC/DST

in Seams During Installation of Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier. Page No. 17 08/05/92

4

	DATE	ADDRESSEE	AUTHOR	TITLE	ORGANIZATION
199.	06/04/92	Walker	Architzel	Receipt of Technical Information and	NRC
200.	06/05/92	Martin	Thadani	Request for Inspection of 10 CFR 50 Appendix R, Thermo-Lag Fire Barriers at Susquehanna Steam Electric Station,	NRC/DST
201.	06/05/92	Russell	McCracken	Forthcoming Meeting with Thermal Science, Incorporated, Friday, June 12, 1992, 8:00am-11:00am, USNRC,	NRC/NRR
202,	06/05/92	Russell	McCracken	Forthcoming Meeting With Thermal Science, Inc. [to be held June 12, 1992]	NRC/NRR
203.	06/05/92	Feldman	Thadani	Letter regarding invitation for NRC to observe fire endurance tests	NRC/DST
204.	06/08/92	Walker	Thadani	Potential Health and Safety Issues Regarding Thermo-Lag Fire Barriers	NRC/DST
205.	06/08/92	McCracken	Widmann/We st	Trip to Omega Point Labs, San Antonio, TX, regarding Thermo-Lag FireBarrier Test Program, Comanche Peak Unit 2	NRC/SPLB
206.	06/09/92	Miller	McCracken	Request for Additional Information (RAI) regarding Testing and Installation of Thermo-Lag Fire Barriers (TAC Nos. M75910 and M75911)	NRC
207.	06/10/92	Russell	Widmann	Background Information for Overview and Near Term Actions ConcerningThermo-Lag Fire Barrier Systems	NRC/DST
208.	06/16/92	Architzel	Walker	Referral of Potential Safety Significant Issue Regarding Thermo-Lag 330-1 Ampacity Derating	NRC
209.	06/16/92	Berlinger	McCracken	Thermo-Lag fire barrier information notice (Tac No. M82809)	NRC/NRR

Page No. 18 08/05/92

.

	DATE	ADDRESSEE	AUTHOR	TITLE	ORGANIZATION
210.	06/17/92	Walker	Thadani	Review of NIST'S Toxilogical Evaluation of the Combustion Products from a Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier Material Decomposed Under Flaming	NRC/NRR
211.	06/19/92		CPSES	andNon-Flaming Conditions SES Thermo-Lag Barrier Applications - Thermo-Lag Fire Test Conduitand	CPSES
212.	06/20/92	Directors	Holian	Daily Highlight - Comanche Peak Steam	NRC/NRR
213.	06/22/92	Thadani	Feldman	Update NRC on Preliminary Results of Recent Fire Resistive Tests Performed by Texas	TSI
214.	06/22/92	NRC	Beach	Preliminary Notification of Event or Unusual Occurrence - PNO-IV-92-29, "Thermo-Lag Initial Test Results."	NRC
215.	06/24/92	Thadani	McCracken	Forthcoming Meeting with Nuclear Management and Resources Counsel (NUMARC)	NRC/DST
216.	06/24/92	Commission ers	Taylor	SECY 92-227, Failure of the Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier System to Maintain Cabling in Wide Trays and Small Conduits Free from Fire Damage.	NRC/EDO
217.	06/26/92	NRR Project Managers	Partlow	MPA X-201, NRC Bulletin 92-01, Failure of Thermo-Lag 330 Fire Barrier Sys. to Maintain Cabling in Wide Cable Trays and Small Conduits Free From Fire Damage	NRC/NRR
218.	06/29/92	Architzel	Walker	Referral of Information Indicating Potential Discrepancies Between Current Qualification Test Data and Commanche Peak Acceptance Criteria at Units 1 and 2	NRC.
219,	06/30/92	Thadani	McCracken	Forthcoming Meeting with Nuclear Management and Resources Council	NRC/NRR

(NUMARC) [to be held July 7, 1992]

.

1

Page No. 19 08/05/92

	DATE	ADDRESSEE	AUTHOR	TITLE	ORGANIZATION
220.	07/01/92	Madden	Nowlen	Letter - Sandia's comments on circuit integrity tests performed in conjunction with the Texas Utilities (TU) sponsored testing at	SANDIA
221.	07/01/92	Gill	McCracken/ Architzel	Omega Point Lab Referral of Potential Safety Significant Issue Regarding Thermo-Lag	NRC/SPLB
222.	07/02/92	NRC	Cahill	330-1 Ampacity Derating RIDS, LER 92-011-00: on 920618, Failure of Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier Endurance Tests Results in Some Raceways Declared Inoperable. Causedby Inadeauate Vendor	Comanche P
223.	07/08/92	NUMARC Admin.	Rasin	Install. Specs. NRC Meeting with NUMARC on Thermo-Lag Fire	NUMARC
224.	07/08/92	Walker	Thadani	Small Scale Thermo-Lag Fire Test Being Conducted	NRC/DST
225.	07/09/92	NRC	Cahill	RIDS, Provides Requested Actions Specified in NRC Bulletin 92-001. Failure of Thermo-Lag 330 Fire Barrier Sys. to Maintain Cabling in Wide Cable	Comanche P
2-26.	07/10/92	NRC	Madsen	Trays RIDS, LER 92-012-00: on 920611, Thermo-Lag Fire Rated Barriers FoundInoperable Resulting in TS Violation & Condition Outside Plant	Limerick
227.	07/16/92	NRC internal		DST Highlights - 1-hr Thermo-Lag Panel Test Conducted at NIST on 7/15/92	NRR/DST
228.	07/20/92	Reg Admin	Murley	NRC bulletin 92-01 - failure of thermo-lag 330 fire barrier system	NRC/NRR
229.	07/20/92	Russell	Thadani	Action Plan for Resolution of Technica Issues on Thermo-Lag "are	NRC/DST
230.	07/21/92	NRC	Marintte	Barrier Systems News Release and 2.206 Petition against the	NIRS

river bend station for operating in violation of NRC safety fire protection requirements.

St. Ch

Page No. 20 08/05/92

	DATE	ADDRESSEE	AUTHOR	TITLE	ORGANIZATION
231.	07/21/92	Madden	Steckler	Prelimnary Rpt on Fire-Endurance Tests of Subliming Fire-Barrier Panels	NIST
232.	07/21/92	Chairman & Commission ers	Taylor	Information on Thermo-Lag	NRC/EDO
233. 234.	07/21/92 07/22/92	Thadani Marsh	Madden Mascianton io	Fire Barrier Testing Meeting with Nuclear Utility Management and Resource Council (NUMARC)	NRC/DST NRC
235	07/30/92	Madden	Babrauskas	Inadequate Fire Endurance Design Practices	NIST

Page No. 21

	DATE	ADDRESSEE	AUTHOR	TITLE
236.	Undated			Appendix R Questions & Answers, Generic Ltr 86-10
237.	Undated			Waterford SSER 5, page 9-4
238.	07/01/82			ITL Report No. 82-5-355F, Ampacity Derating Test for 1000 Volt Power Cables in a Ladder Cable Tray
239.	10/05/82	Distributi	on Evans	ITL, Inc. Test Report No. 82-5-355B, Entitled: Three-Hour Fire Endurance Tests on Thermo-Lag 330-1 Subliming Coating Envelope System for Washington Public Power Supply System Nuclear Projects
240.	08/27/86			3M/TSI Interface Test Proposal, Installation Only, 3M Ceramic Materials Department, Project Plan PJ-21
241.	8/27/86			3M Ceramic Materials Department Project Plan PJ-21
242.	12/22/90	Hamilton	Siegle	Letter report summarizing results of test program
243.	9/18/91			APS Log No. 13-MM-301-58-1, Report of the Pilot Scale Vertical Fire Endurance Test of an Insulation System for Rigid Conduits
244.	04/09/92	Walker	Ulie	Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier System Presentations Given During Edison Electric Institute Fire Protection Committee Meeting - March 30-April 1, 1992 w/o attachments
245.	04/09/92	Walker	Ulie	Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier System Presentations Given During Edison Electric Institute Fire Protection Committee Meeting - March 30-April 1, 1992 w/attachments



Nuclear Information and Resource Service

1424 16th Street NW, Suite 601, Washington, DC 20036 202-328-0002; fax: 202-462-2183; e-mail: nirsnet@aol.com

March 11, 1994

PREFLOSI OF INFORMATION ACT REQUEST

FOIA-94-137 Rec'd 3-15-94

Mr. Donnie H. Grimsley Division of Rules and Records Office of Administration and Resources Management U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REQUEST

Mr. Grimsley:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 522, as amended, and 10 CFR 9.8 of the Commission's regulations, Nuclear Information and Resource Service, herein after referred to as NIRS, requests the following documents regarding Nuclear Regulatory Commission documents pertaining to documents and communications between the NRC and licensees on the fire barrier manufacturer, Thermal Sciences Incorporated (TSI), and its product Thermo-Lag-330-1.

Specifically, NIRS requests the following documents;

1.) All NRC communications with all commercial nuclear power licensees referencing TSI or Thermo-Lag 330-1 for the years 1981 through 1991;

2.) All documents to and from the NRC offices of Dr. Thomas E. Murley and Frank J. Miraglia Jr. referencing TSI or Thermo-Lag for the years 1981 through 1993,

3.) All documents between the NRC Office of the Inspector General and the NRC staff for the years 1990 through 1993 that reference TSI or Thermo-Lag 330-1;

4.) All documents to and from NRC staff members Dennis Kapecki and David Knotely referencing TSI or Thermo-Lag for the years 1981 through 1991;

5.) All documents to and from the NRC office of Bill Russell and TSI for the years 1981 through 1991;

6.) All documents between the NRC and the Chair of the House Oversight and Investigations Subcommittee of the House Energy and Commerce Committee between the years 1989-1994 that reference TSI or Thermo-Lag-330-1;

9501040263

R printed on recycled paper

dedicated to a sound non-nuclear energy policy.

7.) All documents to and from the NRC office of Conrad McCracken and TSI for the years 1981 and 1993.

Please consider documents and communications to include reports, studies, test results, correspondence, memoranda, meeting notes, meeting minutes, working papers, graphs, charts, diagrams, notes and summaries of conversations and interviews, computer records and any other form of written communications including NRC internal documents.

Pursuant to this request, please provide all documents and communications prepared or utilized by, in the possession of, or routed through the NRC related to items 1-7.

Pursuant to and in compliance with 10 CFR 9.41 of the Commission's regulation governing request for waiver of fees, NIRS put forth the following information.

NIRS seeks the requested information solely to contribute to and help shape the public debate on adequate fire protection and public and worker safety.

NIRS intends to use the information in order to advance the concerns for public understanding and safety.

NIRS is qualified to make use of the requested information. The staff has demonstrated the ability to interpret information and communicate that information in a form comprehensible to the general public. Members of the NIRS staff have published articles in such national journals as <u>The Progressive</u>, <u>Nuclear Times</u>, <u>Newsday</u> and <u>Bulletin of Atomic Scientists</u>. NIRS is quoted as a reliable source of information on nuclear issues in newspapers across the country, including the <u>New York Times</u>, <u>The Washington Post</u>, and <u>The San Francisco Chronicle</u>.

NIRS has a working relationship with fire protection consultants, physicists, engineers, and other respected professionals who contribute to the full understanding of technical records.

The information sought by NIRS is not, to the best of our knowledge, in the public domain.

The general public has displayed a great interest in nuclear issues and fire safety at nuclear power plants and the requested information will certainly increase the public's understanding of this matter and the role of government in regulating fire protection at nuclear power plants and public safety.

NIRS has demonstrated its ability and commitment to inform the public on all important nuclear issues. NIRS regularly publishes a trade journal, <u>The Nuclear Monitor</u>, for which this information will be of use. Since 1978, NIRS has provided information on nuclear issues to the public, the press, members of Congress, state and local government officials as well as hundreds of citizen groups across the country. NIRS provides this information free of charge and has neither a commercial nor a private interest in the agency records sought.

Under the amended fee waiver standard, NIRS is clearly entitled to a full waiver of all search, review and duplication fees. This standard calls for such a waiver, "if disclosure of the information is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to the public understanding of the operation or activities of the government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester." 5 U.S.C. 552 (a) (4) (A) (iii)

In light of the foregoing, the NIRS request meets this standard on its face. NIRS has no commercial interest in this matter, but rather seeks this information to help the general public better understand the role of government in regulating the nuclear industry.

For all the reasons stated above, the NIRS request falls squarely within the Congressional intent in enacting the Freedom of Information Act and the fee waiver provision, We, therefore, ask that the Agency grant a full waiver for this FOIA request.

Thank you for your anticipated cooperation

Bullante

Paul Gunter, Director Reactor Watchdog Project

Madden



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555 February 11, 1992

LIMITED DISTRIBUTION

MEMORANDIM	FOR:	Thomas	Ε.	Murley,	Director
nchoroan John	ron.	Office	of	Nuclear	Reactor Regulation

FROM: Frank J. Miraglia, Deputy Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: SPECIAL REVIEW ASSIGNMENT OF POTENTIAL SAFETY CONCERNS REGARDING THERMO-LAG FIRE BARRIERS

REFERENCE: Memorandum from T. Murley to F. Miraglia, "Special Review Assignment and Plan for Response to Allegations of Potential Safety Concerns Regarding Thermal Science, Inc," dated August 15, 1991

Attached is the NRR Special Review Team final report that documents the findings and recommendations regarding the Thermo-Lag fire barrier technical issues. A large volume of documents have been reviewed and five site visits were conducted to understand the safety issues and to review the allegations. The primary objective of the review team, to identify any issues of safety significance that could affect the continued safe operation of those plants using Thermo-Lag, has been completed using the guidelines provided in the referenced memorandum.

The review team found sufficient technical information to justify issuance of a generic letter requiring licensees to confirm the adequacy of the Thermo-Lag fire barriers installed to meet 10 CFR Section 50.48. The proposed generic letter is enclosed with the final report. Although the technical issues identified were not judged to be of an immediate safety concern, NRC action is necessary to assure compliance with NRC regulations. In addition, sitespecific safety concerns may exist regarding the technical issues identified.

The majority of the technical issues regarding the adequacy of the previous fire endurance and ampacity derating testing can be resolved by conducting testing. Therefore, the review team recommends that the industry conduct fire endurance and ampacity testing to confirm the adequacy of Thermo-Lag. Other testing options are also discussed in the final report.

Several programmatic issues were identified during the course of the review and are discussed in the final report. We recommend these issues be assigned to the cognizant NRR division for further review and corrective action, if necessary.



Since the Special Review Team has completed their primary task, I recommend that the responsibility for followup review activities of the technical concerns be transferred to the Division of Systems Technology following your review of the attached report. In preparation for the transfer, a meeting was held on January 21, 1992, between the NRR technical staff and the Office of the Inspector General.

The line organization that will be responsible for pursuing the Thermo-Lag issues is as follows:

Frank J. Miraglia, Deputy Director, NRR William T. Russell, Associate Director for Inspection and Technical Assessment Ashok Thadani, Director, Division of Systems Technology Conrad McCracken, Chief, Plant Systems Branch Ralph Architzel, Chief, Plant Systems Section Pat Madden, Senior Fire Protection Engineer

The review team is prepared to discuss any specific issues discussed in the report, if necessary.

Frank Mercalia

Frank Janinglie Deputy Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: As stated

- cc w/enclosure:
- R. Architzel
- P. Madden
- K. Walker
- E. Pawlik
- B. Grimes

cc w/o enclosure:

- W. Russell
- A. Thadani
- C. McCracken
- B. Hayes

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555 Hrchitz

February 13, 1992

MEMORANDUM	FOR:	Thomas	Ε.	Murley.	Director
		Office	of	Nuclear	Reactor Regulation

FROM:

Frank J. Miraglia, Jr., Deputy Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: MINUTES - MEETING BETWEEN THE SPECIAL REVIEW TEAM FOR THE REVIEW OF THERMO-LAG FIRE BARRIER PERFORMANCE AND NUMARC

The Special Review Team for the review of Thermo-Lag fire barrier performance met with representatives of the Nuclear Utilities Management and Resources Council (NUMARC) on February 12, 1992, to discuss the results of our review and to obtain a commitment for a coordinated industry response to our concerns. Enclosure 1 is a list of attendees.

During the meeting, we gave the meeting attendees the proposed generic letter on Thermo-Lag fire barriers (Enclosure 2) and presented the information included in Enclosure 3.

NUMARC agreed to inform industry of our concerns regarding Thermo-Lag fire barriers. NUMARC also agreed to comment on the proposed generic letter and provide a preliminary schedule of actions to resolve the issues by February 28, 1992.

NUMARC requested a list of all of the test reports we identified during our review, a copy of TSI's October 2, 1986 Mailgram regarding ampacity derating, and a copy of the TSI vendor inspection report. We will provide the list of tests and the Mailgram to NUMARC with a copy of these meeting minutes. We will provide the inspection report when it is issued.

Frank Miraglia, Jr.

Frank J. Miraglia, Jr., Deputy Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures: (3) as stated

cc w/enclosures: J. Sniezek

92030 20329 20gg-

61153

Meeting Attendees

Title.

F.	Miraglia
G.	Holahan
L.	Plisco
Κ.	S. West
Ρ.	Madden
С.	McCracken
R.	Kiessel
Α.	Thadani
С.	Berlinger
R.	Architzel
Τ.	Bergman
G.	Mulley
Η.	Fossett
R.	Fields
Κ.	Walker
S.	Gagner
Β.	Rasin
Α.	Marion
G.	Rombold
J.	P. Sursock
J.	MacGregor
Μ.	Philips
J.	Clarke
С.	Beckett
D.	Snell
D.	Woodlan
Ε.	Dorbeck
R.	Lohman

Name

Organization USNRC/NRR USNRC/OIG USNRC/OIG USNRC/OIG USNRC/OIG USNRC/OPA NUMARC NUMARC NUMARC EPRI Winston & Strawn Winston & Strawn Energy Daily TU Electric TU Electric TU Electric Consumers Power Co. Thermal Science, Inc. Manager, QA

Deputy Director, NRR Deputy Director, DST Section Chief, DLPQ Allegation Program Manager Sr. Fire Protection Engineer Branch Chief, SPLB Staffer, OGCB Director, DST Branch Chief, OGCB Section Chief, SPLB Project Manager, Comanche Peak Sr. Special Agent Inspector Special Agent Special Agent Public Affairs Officer Vice President Manager Sr. Project Manager Program Manager Associate Attorney Reporter Principal Engineer **EEI Fire Protection Committee** Docket Licensing Manager EEIFPC Secretary

DRAFT GENERIC LETTER February 11, 1992

TO: ALL HOLDERS OF OPERATING LICENSES OR CONSTRUCTION PERMITS FOR NUCLEAR POWER REACTORS.

SUBJECT: THERMO-LAG FIRE BARRIERS (GENERIC LETTER 92-XX)

Purpose

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing this generic letter to require licensees to provide information to verify that Thermo-Lag 330-1 fire barrier systems manufactured by Thermal Science, Incorporated (TSI, the vendor), St. Louis, Missouri, comply with the NRC's requirements.

The NRC reviewed Thermo-Lag 330-1 fire barrier systems after receiving reports from Gulf States Utilities (GSU) that these systems had failed qualification fire tests and had installation problems. The NRC reviewed fire endurance and ampacity derating test reports, installation procedures, and as-built configurations and identified the following concerns regarding Thermo-Lag fire barriers: test results that are incomplete or indeterminate, installations that are not constructed in accordance with the vendor's installation procedures, incomplete installation procedures, and as-built fire barrier configurations that may not be qualified by a valid fire endurance test or justified by an engineering analysis. The NRC is concerned that licensees may not be meeting the requirements of Section 50.48, "Fire protection," and General Design Criterion (GDC) 17,

Enclosure 2

"Electric power systems," of Appendix A, "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants," to Part 50 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR Part 50). The NRC is requiring information on compliance with 10 CFR 50.48, GDC 17, and associated license conditions under the provisions of 10 CFR 50.54(f).

-2-

Qualification Requirements for Fire Barriers

Section 50.48 requires that each operating nuclear power plant have a fire protection plan that satisfies GDC 3, "Fire protection." GDC 3 requires that structures, systems, and components important to safety be designed and located to minimize, in a manner consistent with other safety requirements, the probability and effects of fires and explosions. Systems associated with achieving and maintaining safe shutdown conditions are of major importance to safety because damage to these systems can lead to core damage.

Fire protection features required to satisfy GDC 3 include features to ensure that one train of those systems necessary to achieve and maintain hot shutdown conditions be maintained free of fire damage.¹ One means of complying with this requirement is to separate one safe shutdown train from its

¹See Appendix R to 10 CFR Part 50, "Fire Protection Program for Nuclear Power Facilities Operating Prior to January 1, 1979."

GENERIC LETTER 92-XX -3redundant train with fire-rated barriers. The level of fire

resistance required of the barriers depends on the other fire protection features provided in the fire area.

The NRC provided guidance on acceptable methods of satisfying the regulatory requirements of GDC 3 in Branch Technical Position (BTP) Auxiliary and Power Conversion Systems Branch (APCSB) 9.5-1, "Guidelines for Fire Protection for Nuclear Power Plants;" Appendix A to BTP APCSB 9.5-1; BTP Chemical Engineering Branch (CMFB) 9.5-1, "Fire Protection For Nuclear Power Plants," July 1981: and Generic Letter (GL) 86-10, "Implementation of Fire Protection Requirements," April 24, 1986. In the BTPs and GL 86-10, the staff stated that the fire resistance ratings of fire barriers should be established in accordance with National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Standard 251, "Standard Methods of Fire Tests of Building Construction and Materials," by subjecting a test specimen that represents the materials, workmanship, method of assembly, dimensions, and configuration for which a fire rating is desired to a "standard fire exposure" at a nationally recognized laboratory2. In GL 86-10, the staff also provided guidance on the acceptance criteria for fire tests and on evaluations of deviations from tested configurations.

.

² American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Standard E119 was adopted by the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) as NFPA Standard 251.

GDC 17 requires that onsite electric power systems be provided to permit functioning of structures, systems and components important to safety. The onsite electrical power system is required to provide sufficient capacity and capability to ensure that vital functions are maintained. The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) Standard 279, "Criteria for Protection Systems for Nuclear Power Generating Stations," provides guidance regarding acceptable methods of satisfying GDC 17. IEEE 279 states that the quality of the protection system components shall be achieved by specifying requirements, such as for the derating of components.

Areas of Concern

GENERIC LETTER 92-XX

Fire Endurance Testing and Application of Test Results

Many fire endurance tests have been conducted on electrical raceways protected with Thermo-Lag 330-1 fire barrier systems. Although many of the test reports document results that meet the NRC's temperature acceptance criterion discussed in GL 86-10, some test assemblies have failed, such as the assembly tested in October 1989 at the Southwest Research Institute (SwRI) and discussed in NRC Information Notice (IN) 91-47, "Failure of Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier Material to Pass Fire Endurance Test."

The NRC has reviewed approximately 40 1-hour and 3-hour fire

-4-

endurance test reports involving Thermo-Lag 330-1 fire barrier systems and has found that the test assemblies met the NRC's temperature acceptance criteria when the test article protective envelope was constructed by TSI personnel using TSI's installation procedures. However, the NRC has found other Thermo-Lag 330-1 fire barrier test assemblies that failed to meet the NRC's temperature acceptance criterion. In most cases, the test assemblies that failed were either constructed by the licensee's or contractor's qualified installers, or did not follow TSI's installation procedures. In the fire endurance test conducted in October 1989 at SwRI, the test article that failed was constructed by TSI-certified licensee personnel using TSI's installation procedures.

The NRC reviewed fire test reports from various testing facilities and found that testing methods and procedures used during some of the qualification tests did not meet the NRC's guidance. NFPA 251 advises that the test conditions should be evaluated carefully because variations from the construction or conditions that are tested may substantially change the performance characteristics of the assembly. The test reports reviewed did not contain sufficient details of the construction methods used for the test article, did not contain details of the materials used, did not contain dimensioned drawings, and documented test configurations that were atypical of as-built configurations.

-5-

In GL 86-10, the NRC provided guidance on deviations from tested fire barrier configurations. While reviewing the Thermo-Lag fire barriers, the NRC staff found several instances in which licensees installed fire barrier configurations that may not have been gualified by fire endurance testing or justified by engineering analysis. For example, when the NRC conducted its review, some licensees could not justify their practice of extrapolating test results from small barrier enclosures to significantly larger enclosures, or installing barriers using procedures and materials that were different from those tested. The MRC visited site after issuing IN 91-47, and also found several licensees that had constructed fire walls, partitions, and vaults using Thermo-Lag as a component. These licensees could not provide qualification tests or engineering analyses of deviations from tested configurations to demonstrate the acceptability of these fire barriers.

Ampacity Derating Design Basis

Cables enclosed in electrical raceways protected with fire barrier materials are derated because of the insulating effect of the fire barrier material. Other factors that affect ampacity derating include cable fill, cable loading, cable type, raceway construction, and ambient temperature. The National Electrical Code, Insulated Cable Engineers Association publications, and other industry standards provide general ampacity derating

-6-

factors, but do not consider the effects of passive fire barrier systems. Although a national standard ampacity derating test method has not been established, ampacity derating factors for raceways enclosed with fire barrier material have been determined by testing.

TSI has documented a wide range of ampacity derating factors that were determined by testing. For example, TSI provided test reports to licensees that document ampacity derating factors for cable trays that range from 7 percent to 28 percent for 1-hour barriers and from 16 percent to 31 percent for 3-hour barriers. On October 2, 1986, TSI informed its customers by Mailgram that, while conducting tests in September 1986, at the Underwriter Laboratories (UL) facilities, TSI found that the ampacity derating factors for Thermo-Lag barriers were greater than previous tests indicated. However, the test procedure and test configuration differed from previous tests, and the results from the different tests may not be comparable to each other. The NRC is concerned that licensees may be using nonconservative ampacity derating factors since the tested configurations may not represent as-built configurations. The NRC learned during its review that testing conducted at SwRI found the ampacity derating as 37 percent for a 1-hour barrier.

Deficiencies in the Installation and Inspection Procedures

-7--

While conducting site visits after issuing IN 91-47, the NRC staff observed a number of installations that were not in accordance with TSI's installation procedures and some installations that did not appear to be qualified by fire endurance testing or an engineering analysis. In IN 91-79, "Deficiencies in the Procedures for Installing Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier Materials," the NRC staff discussed installation problems resulting from TSI's incomplete installation procedures, licensees' inadequate installation procedures, and inadequate quality control oversight. In IN 91-79, the staff listed the installation details in which it found differences.

Actions Covered by this Generic Letter

The NRC's regulations require that safe shutdown equipment be protected from fire. The NRC has found qualification test failures, test results that are indeterminate, installation problems, and differences between reported ampacity derating factors. Therefore, the licensees should confirm that Thermo-Lag 330-1 fire barrier systems have been qualified by representative fire endurance and ampacity derating testing and that these qualified barriers have been installed with appropriate quality controls to ensure that they comply with the NRC's requirements.

Reporting Requirements

-8-

All addressees are required, pursuant to Section 182a of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and 10 CFR Section 50.54(f), to provide a written report within 120 days from the date of this generic letter. In this written report, the licensee shall:

- (1) State that it has identified all fire barriers using TSI's Thermo-Lag 330-1 to meet 10 CFR 50.48 or that it does not use Thermo-Lag 330-1 at the facility to meet this requirement.
- (2) State that it has qualified the Thermo-Lag 330-1 fire barriers by conducting a fire endurance test in accordance with NFPA 251 or in accordance with previous licensing commitments.
- (3) State that it has constructed the as-built Thermo-Lag 330-1 fire barriers in accordance with the procedures used to assemble the qualification test article and that the asbuilt fire barrier configuration represents the materials. workmanship, method of assembly, dimensions, and configuration of the qualification test assembly configuration or that the licensee has analyzed the deviations from the tested configuration.
- (4) State that the design basis for the ampacity derating

-9-

-10factors used for all raceways protected by Thermo-Lag 330-1 is consistent with the as-built configuration and that representative ampacity derating test results have been reviewed for applicability.

GENERIC LETTER 92-XX

- (5) List any necessary corrective actions and a schedule for any deficiencies identified while conducting the actions described above and describe any compensatory measures taken in accordance with technical specifications or administrative controls.
- (6) List any Thermo-Lag 330-1 fire barriers that cannot be verified in accordance with reporting requirements (1) through (5), provide a justification for continued operation until such time as the identified barriers can be verified, and provide a schedule for completing the verifications.

The licensee should retain all documentation of any reviews performed to satisfy the reporting requirements for any future NRC audit.

If the addressee cannot provide the information required or meet the reporting deadlines, it shall include in the response a justification for alternative approaches and schedules. The NRC encourages licensees to work together to develop acceptable generic solutions to the problems addressed in this generic

GENERIC LETTER 92-XX letter.

The written reports required shall be addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 under oath or affirmation. A copy of the report shall also be submitted to the appropriate regional administrator.

Backfit Discussion

The NRC is requiring information that will enable the NRC staff to determine if licensees are complying with 10 CFR Section 50.48. The staff is not establishing a new position regarding compliance in this generic letter. Accordingly, this generic letter does not constitute a backfit. Thus, 10 CFR 50.109 does not apply, and no backfit analysis need be prepared.

Request for Voluntary Submittal of Impact Data

This request is covered by Office of Management and Budget Clearance Number 3150-0011, which expires May 31, 1994. The estimated average number of burden hours is 200 person-hours for each addressee's response, including the time required to assess the requirements for information, search data sources, gather and analyze the data, and prepare the required letters. This estimated average number of burden hours pertains only to the

-11-

identified response-related matters and does not include the time to implement the actions required to comply with the applicable regulations, license conditions, or commitments. Commints on the accuracy of this estimate and suggestions to reduce the burden may be directed to Ronald Minsk, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-0011), NEOB-3019, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, D.C. 20503, and to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Information and Records Management Branch, Division of Information Support Services, Office of Information and Resources Management, Washington, D.C. 20555.

Although not required, the following information would assist the NRC in evaluating the cost of complying with this generic letter:

- the licensee staff's time and costs to perform requested inspections, corrective actions, and associated testing
- (2) the licensee staff's time and costs to prepare the required reports and documentation
- (3) the additional short-term costs incurred as a result of the inspection findings such as the costs of the corrective actions or the costs of down time
- (4) an estimate of the additional long-term costs that will be

-12-

GENERIC LETTER 92-XX

incurred in the future to implement commitments such as the estimated costs of conducting future inspections or increased maintenance

-13-

If you have any questions about this matter, please contact one of the technical contacts or the lead project manager listed below.

Sincerely,

James G. Partlow Associate Director for Projects Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:

List of Recently Issued Generic Letters

Technical Contacts: Pat Madden, NRR 301-504-2854

Ralph Architzel, NRR 301-504-2804

Lead Project Manager:

MEETING MINUTES

INTRODUCTION (Frank Miraglia)

- A special review team (F. Miraglia, L. Plisco, and S. West), which was established by Dr. Murley, has been reviewing concerns regarding Thermo-Lag fire barriers since July 1991. The purpose of the meeting is to advise industry, through NUMARC, of the results of the team's review.
- NRR plans to issue a generic letter that discusses the concerns. The letter will ask the licensees to provide information needed by the staff to verify licensee compliance with the NRC's fire protection requirements.
- NUMARC is requested to inform industry of the concerns and to coordinate an industry response to the concerns.

BACKGROUND (Frank Miraglia)

- As many as 50 stations use Thermo-Lag barriers to satisfy the NRC's requirements for protecting safe shutdown capability from fire (10 CFR 50.48 and Appendix R). The installation of Thermo-Lag on raceways also impacts ampacity derating (GDC 17).
- Gulf States Utilities reported fire barrier problems at River Bend Station:

1987 - GSU started finding Thermo-Lag fire barrier installation problems at River Bend removal of stress skin and ribs (LER 87-005).

April 1989 - GSU reported additional fire barrier installation problems (LER 89-009).

October 1989 - "as-designed" 3-hour Thermo-Lag fire barrier failed fire endurance test conducted at Southwest Research Institute (GSU "Informational reports," December 20, 1989 and January 9, 1990).

March 1990 through May 1991 - GSU found additional installation problems at RBS (LER 90-003, Rev. 1, 2, and 3; and LER 91-008.

February 1991 - the staff received allegations that raised questions as to the ability of Thermo-Lag to meet NRC requirements for fire barriers.

Enclosure 3

May 1991 - the staff visited RBS to review the circumstances surrounding the failed fire test and the installation discrepancies. The staff found that the results of the fire test raised questions regarding the ability of Thermo-Lag to provide a fire rated barrier.

June 1991 - In response to the River Bend operating experience and the allegations, NRR established the special review team to review the safety significance and generic applicability of the technical issues regarding the use of Thermo-Lag.

SCOPE OF REVIEW - REVIEW ACTIVITIES (Loren Plisco)

The review team's activities included:

Reviewed docket information for River Bend, Comanche Peak, WNP2, Perry, Fermi, and Susquehanna.

Reviewed information provided voluntarily by the licensees for Palo Verde, Callaway, and D.C. Cook.

Reviewed vendor technical documentation, 40 fire endurance test reports, and 9 ampacity derating test reports.

Visited River Bend, Comanche Peak, WNP2, Perry and Callaway to obtain information on the use of Thermo-Lag by the industry.

Met with the vendor and conducted a vendor inspection at the vendor's site.

During the course of its review, the review team:

Issued IN 91-47, "Failure of Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier Material To Pass Fire Endurance Test," August 6, 1991. This IN informed the licensees of installation problems found by GSU at River Bend Station and of the results of a 3-hour fire endurance test of a 30-inch wide aluminum cable tray in October 1989 at the Southwest Research Institute (October 1989). In this test, the Thermo-Lag fire barrier failed resulting in high temperatures inside the cable tray envelope and loss of circuit integrity within about 60 minutes. Catastrophic failure and collapse of the tray occurred within 90 minutes. Issued IN 91-79, "Deficiencies in the Procedures for Installing Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier Materials," December 6, 1991, which informed the licensees of installation problems that the team had found during visits to River Bend, Comanche Peak, WNP2, and Perry. Examples included: joint sealing, configuration and orientation of ribs, banding materials and methods, scoring and grooving of panels, and thickness acceptance criteria.

Prepared a proposed generic letter that presents its technical findings and concerns and requires the licensees to provide information needed by the staff to verify compliance with NRC requirements.

<u>FINDINGS</u> (Steve West - Walk through proposed Generic Letter and provide details.)

The special review team found:

- The NRC and the licensees have addressed similar concerns regarding fire barrier testing and installation in the past.
- The fire resistance ratings for the Thermo-Lag 330-1 fire barrier system are indeterminate.

The review team reviewed about 40 fire endurance test reports and found that the validity of the tests and the acceptability of the test results as technical bases for establishing the fire resistance ratings of Thermo-Lag fire barriers are indeterminate. The problems identified by the review team included inadequate documentation of test procedures and results, incomplete or inadequate test procedures, unqualified test personnel, inadequate test equipment, questionable methods of assembly and quality assurance, and failure to meet NRC acceptance criteria. The team also found that the configurations of the test specimens for many of the previously performed tests are atypical of the field installations observed during the plant site visits.

 The ampacity derating factors for the Thermo-Lag 330-1 fire barrier system are indeterminate.

> The special review team also reviewed nine ampacity derating test reports and found conflicting test results. For example, the vendor has reported derating factors for cable

trays that range from 7 percent to 28 percent for 1-hour fire barriers and from 16 percent to 31 percent for 3-hour barriers. In addition, ampacity derating tests of Thermo-Lag materials conducted for 3M found the ampacity derating to be 37 percent for a 1-hour barrier. There are similar inconsistencies for conduit barriers.

- Some licensees have not adequately reviewed and evaluated fire endurance test results and ampacity derating test results to determine the validity of the tests and the applicability of the test results to their plant designs (reference Generic Letter 86-10).
- Some licensees have not adequately reviewed installed fire barrier configurations to ensure that they either replicate the tested configurations or provide an equivalent level of protection (reference Generic Letter 86-10).
- Some licensees used inadequate or incomplete installation procedures during the construction of their Thermo-Lag barriers (Information Notice 91-79).

PROPOSED ACTIONS (Frank Miraglia)

The issues potentially affect a large number of licensees. Therefore, the NRC recommends that NUMARC coordinate an industry response to the concerns.

NRC plans to work closely with the industry to achieve resolution of the identified concerns, and to be involved with any new qualification testing, if needed.

The special review team is being phased out and the remaining review and follow-up activities are being transferred to NRR's Division of Systems Technology (A. Thadani). The Plant Systems Branch will be the primary review branch (C. McCracken, R. Architzel, and P. Madden).

NUMARC RESPONSE

NUMARC agreed to inform industry of the concerns regarding Thermo-Lag fire barriers. NUMARC also agreed to comment on the proposed generic letter and provide a preliminary schedule of actions to resolve the issues by February 28, 1992. NUMARC requested a list of all of the test reports identified by the review team, a copy of TSI's October 2, 1986 Mailgram regarding ampacity derating, and a copy of the TSI vendor inspection report. The list of tests and the Mailgram will be sent to NUMARC with a copy of these meeting minutes. The inspection report will be provided after it is issued.



GULF STRIES

February 18, 1992 RBG-36516 File Nos. G9.5, G9.25.1.3

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

River Bend Station - Unit 1 Docket No. 50-458

UTILITIES

484.0008.804 15.6094 40.6081

Please find enclosed Supplement 1 to Licensee Event Report No. 91-008 for River Bend Station - Unit 1. This report is submitted to document additional reportable conditions identified in GSU's review of the Fire Hazards Analysis and to provide a status of Fire Hazards Analysis issues. This report is submitted pursuant 10CFR50.73.

Sincerely,

Manager - Oversight River Bend Nuclear Group

1134

EPDG/GAB/DCH/MRC/kvm

cc: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011

> NRC Resident Inspector P.O. Box 1051 St. Francisville, LA 70775

INPO Records Center 1100 Circle Parkway Atlanta, GA 30339-3064

Mr. C.R. Oberg Public Utility Commission of Texas 7800 Shoal Creek Blvd., Suite 400 North Austin, TX 78757

PDR ADOCK 05000458

NRC ROAM 366 US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	an a	
	APPROVED Expi	OMB NO 3150-0104 RES 4/30/92
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER- INFORMATION COLLECTIO COMMENTS REGARDING BU AND REPORTS MANAGEME REGULATORY COMMISSION THE PAPERWORK REDUCT OF MANGEMENT AND ACC	RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS N REQUEST SOO HRS FORWARD ROEN BSTIMATE TO THE RECORDS NT BRANCH IP 3101 U.S. NUCLEAR WASHINGTON OC 70555 AND TO ION PROJECT ISTSOCIO4I OFFICE
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER	DET WASHINGTON DC 20503
RIVER BEND STATION	0 5 0 0	0141518 1 OF 016
FIRE HAZARDS ANALYSIS DEFICIENCIES INCLUDING LACK	OF FIRE WRAP/I	NADEQUATE
EVENT CATE (5) LER HUMBER (6) REPORT DATE (7)	OTHER FACILITIES INVOL	VED (B)
MONTH DAY YEAR YEAR NUMBER HUMBER MONTH DAY YEAR SA	CILITY NAMES	DOCKET NUMBERIS
		0 5 0 0 0 0 1
OPERATING THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED FURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CPR & ICAKS O	ne or more of the following) (11	0 15 0 10 10 1 1
20 402 (b) 20 402 (b) 20 402 (c) 50 7	3(a)(2)((v)	73 73 (6)
LEVEL 1 0 0 20 408 (a) (1) (a) 50 38 (a) (1) (a) 50 7	3(a)(2)(v)	73.71(e)
20 400(a)(111m) X 50 73(a)(2)(i) 50 7	3(a)(2)(viii)(A)	Derow and in Test NAC Form 366A
20 40% (a) (1) (iv) 50.73 (a) (2) (iii) 50.7	3(a)(2)(mm)(B)	
20.4/6 (a) (3) (w) 50.7.3 (a) (2) (iii) 80.7.	3(#)(2)(#)	
LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)		TE ERNOWE NUMBER
L.A. ENGLAND, DIRECTOR - NUCLEAR LICENSING	AREA CODE	CELEPHONE NUMBER
	51014	3 8 1 1 - 14 1 14 5
COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN T	HIS REPORT (13)	
CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT TURER TO NORDS CAUSE SYSTEM CON	MPONENT MANUFAC	REPORTABLE TO NPROS
	11 111	
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED 1141	EXPECTE	MONTH DAY YEAR
X YES (II you complem EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) NO	SUBNISSIC DATE 115	015 011 912
ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 speces i.e. epproximetely lifteen single spece typewritten lines) (16)	an and an	and the second second second second second second
At 1345 hours on 4/15/91, with the reactor at ful	l power in O	perational
condition 1, it was discovered that electrical ca	bles located	in fire
(RCIC inboard steam isolation valve) and 1F51*MOV	VAIVES IESI*	MOVF063
breaker valve), did not have fire wrap contrary t	o Fire Hazar	ds Analysis
(FHA) requirements. At 1300 on 4/23/91, addition	al cables, w	hich could
cause the same problem were found in fire areas A	B-2, C-2 and	C-6. RCIC
these values are required not to change position	for operatio	Since
and fire damage to these cables may cause loss of	RCIC, the c	ables would
require wrapping in these fire areas.		NELSE DULLE
Upon discovery of this condition, the affected ca	bles were tr	eated as
having missing fire barriers and the action state	ment prescri	bed in
Technical Specification 3/4.7.7, "Fire Rated Asse	mblies", was	and the set of the
original development of the FHA were the cause for	rrors made d	fied cables
not being wrapped in the identified fire areas.	Additional	TTEN CADIES
deficiencies have been discovered during the FHA	review. The	se recently
discovered deficiencies concern Appendix R separa	tion and a f	ire area
actions to address each of these conditions	emented corr	ective
actions for the Appendix R separation deficiencie	s will be pr	ctive ovided in a
supplemental report by May 1, 1992.	nert be pr	ortaged th d
NRC Form 364 (6.89)		

-

NRC FORM 396A	Ì			ROVED	OMB	NO 215	0-0104				
TEXT CON	ITINUATION	ESTIM INFOR COMM AND I REGU IME I OF M	ATEC RMAT REPO LATO PAPEI ANAG	D BURDE ION COL S REGARC RTS MAN DRY COM RWORK S IEMENT A	N PER	RESPI	ONSE T OUEST NESTIM RANCH SHINGT PROJEC WASHI	0 COMP 500 HF ATE TO IP 5301 ON DC 1 T 13150 NGTON 1	LY W1 15 FO THE R US N 10555 01041 DC 201	PWAR ECOR UCLE AND OFFI	115 05 40 10 10
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	and Apato Cont. American	Ú.	PAGE (3)							
DIVED DEND STATION		YEAR	1	SEQUEN	TAL	A I	UNBER		1	1	-
KIVER BEND STATION	0 15 10 10 10 1 41 51 8	8 911		010	1 0		n	0.0	0.0	1	

REPORTED CONDITION

At 1345 hours on 4/15/91, with the reactor at full power in Operational Condition 1, it was reported to the shift supervisor that certain electrical cables associated with valves 1E51*MOVF063 (*ISV*) (RCIC inboard steam isolation valve) and 1E51*MOVF078 (*VTV*) (RCIC vacuum breaker valve) located in fire area ET-2 (Electrical Tunnel "B" West), did not have fire wrap. This discovered condition is contrary to requirements contained in the FHA. While working on resolution of this issue, additional cables which could cause the same problem were found in fire areas AB-2, C-2 and C-6. At 1300 hours on 4/23/91, these additional areas of concern were reported to the shift supervisor. The FHA lists Method 1 as the analyzed method of shutdown for fire areas AB-2, C-2, C-6 and ET-2. Method 1 shutdown is identified as using 3 safety relief valves (SRVs) (*RV*) for reactor pressure vessel (RPV) (*JE*) pressure control, RCIC for RPV level control, and RHR-A for suppression pool cooling and shutdown cooling. The FHA lists these valves as "Passive Valves" required for Method 1 shutdown which means the valves must not change position due to fire damage on their cables. The FHA states the identified cables for these valves should be wrapped in these fire areas.

The affected cables did not have the required fire wrap (fire barrier) since plant startup; therefore, the fire barrier is considered inoperable per Technical Specification 3/4.7.7 and this report is submitted pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) as operation prohibited by the Technical Specification.

Additional reportable conditions have been discovered as a result of the FHA review. These conditions concern Appendix R separation and the discovery of a previously unidentified fire area. These conditions are described in the Investigation section below.

INVESTIGATION

The River Bend Station - Unit 1 Appendix R Data Management System lists equipment, raceways, and cables by fire area. A review of this data base found inconsistencies between the data Dase and the FHA for the identified cables which may cause spurious operation of valves 1E51*MOVF063 and 1E51*MOVF078. The FHA indicates the cables should be wrapped in these fire areas but the data base indicates the cables do not require wrap.

FHA Section V "Fire Hazards Evaluation Conclusions" states that for fire areas AB-2, C-2, C-6 and ET-2 shutdown can be achieved by Method 1. FHA Section I and Tables 1, 2 and 6 identify Method 1 shutdown equipment. Reactor core isolation cooling (RCIC) (*BN*) is used for

T (LEI	R)					E	STIMA	TED	AUROS							
							NO R	ATOR APERN NAGE	N COL EGAR TS MAN Y COM NORK MENT	LECTIC DING BI MISSIO REDUC	IN REUROE	IQUEST N ESTIMA SHINGTO PROJECT WASHIN	D COMPL 500 HR ATE TO T 19 5301 U DN DC 2 T 131504 NGTON C	5 FO HE RI 0555 1041	N THI RWARI ICORD JCLEAN AND T OFFIC	SOSROE
000	CKET	NUM	BER 12			-		LER	NUME	ER (6)			,	AGE	31	
						* 1		1	EQUEN NUM	TAL		EV SION		T		
0	5	0	0 0		5 8	9	11	_	0 10	18	_	0 11	0 13	OF	0 1	6
	0	00CKET	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	0000 KET NUMBER 12	0 5 0 0 0 4	0 5 0 0 0 4 5 8	0 5 0 0 0 4 5 8 9	0 5 0 0 0 4 5 8 9 1	0 5 0 0 0 4 5 8 9 1 -	0 5 0 0 0 4 5 8 9 1 - 0 0	0 5 0 0 4 5 8 9 1 0 0 8	DOCKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER (6) 0 5 0 0 4 5 8 9 1 0 0 8	DOCKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER (6) 0 5 0 0 4 5 8 9 1	DOCKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER (6) 0 5 0 0 4 5 8 9 1 0 0 8 0 1 0 3 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 3 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 3	DOCKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (7500) 0 5 0 0 4 5 9 1 0 0 8 0 1 0 3 0F	DOCKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3) 0 5 0 0 4 5 8 9 1 0 0 8 0 1 0 3 0

reactor pressure vessel (RPV) level control in Method 1 shutdown. The RCIC inboard steam isolation valve 1E51*MOVF063 and the RCIC vacuum breaker valve 1E51*MOVF078 are passive valves for Method 1 shutdown which means they must not change position due to fire damage. FHA Table 2 states that cables for these two valves, which may result in spurious signals, are wrapped in these fire areas. Circuit analysis on cables 1ICSABC001 and 1ICSABC004 (*CBL2*) found that fire damage can cause spurious closure of valve 1E51*MOVF063 which would prevent steam from reaching the RCIC turbine (*TBR*). Circuit analysis on cables 1ICSEBC001 and 1ICSEBC003 found that fire damage can cause spurious opening of valve 1E51*MOVF078 which would adversely affect RCIC vacuum breaker capabilities.

Since these valves are required not to change position for operation of RCIC and RCIC is required for safe shutdown in the affected fire areas, the valves are correctly classified in the FHA as "Passive -Method 1 Components". Therefore, to comply with the USAR, FHA, and 10CFR50 Appendix R Section III.G, the cables would require wrapping in ' fire areas AB-2, C-2, C-6 and ET-2. With the exception of FHA Table 8 with regards to fire area AB-2, the FHA correctly indicates these cables require wrapping in these fire areas. The Appendix R data base is incorrect as it indicates the cables are not required to be wrapped.

Additional reportable conditions have been discovered as a result of the FHA review. These conditions concerned Appendix R separation and the discovery of a previously unidentified fire area.

Three areas were identified where compliance with Appendix R separation criteria, as identified in the FHA and/or USAR, was not provided. Two of the areas, the main control room and a fire area in the fuel building, involved equipment required for spent fuel pool cooling only and not equipment required for safe shutdown of the reactor vessel. In both cases immediate actions were taken and administrative controls implemented to address the concerns with spent fuel pool cooling until permanent corrective actions can be identified and implemented. The third area is in the reactor containment building. Containment cooling could be lost due to potential fire damage in this fire area since separation in accordance with Appendix R, Section G requirements is not provided. The affected raceways were treated as having missing fire barriers and fire watch requirements specified in Technical Specification 3/4.7.7, "Fire Rated Assemblies" were implemented. Permanent corrective action for these three areas will be identified in a supplemental report by May 1, 1992.

During the final FHA review, all fire areas except one were found to have a fire hazards analysis and 58 of 62 fire areas were found to have administrative controls identified in the FHA included in their pre-fire strategies. A preliminary fire hazards analysis for the new

LICENSEE EV	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION						LV WTH THIS PS FORWARD THE RECORDS U S NUCLEAR 20555 ANO TO 01041 OFFICE DC 20503			
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6) PAG								
			1	SEQUENTIAL	REVISIO	1	T			
RIVER BEND STATIO	0 5 0 0 4 5 8	9 1	_	01018	_01	0 4	OF	0 6		

fire area, not previously identified in the FHA, was performed to determine potential impact on safe shutdown capability. The preliminary analysis indicated that safe shutdown for this new fire area is provided utilizing Method 1 shutdown equipment and by initiating high pressure core spray (HPCS) in lieu of reactor core isolation cooling (RCIC) for level control during a fire. Also, administrative controls to align valve 1SFC*MOV120 to supply cooling to the upper fuel pools were necessary. Modification request (MR) 92-0013 was initiated on January 27, 1992, to make necessary document changes to the FHA and USAR for the new fire area. A new pre-fire strategy was prepared to identify this information to reactor operators and the fire brigade. Pre-fire strategies for the four fire areas were revised to include the omitted administrative controls identified in the FHA.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

A detailed review and verification of the FHA by an independent contractor was initiated as a result of NRC Inspection Report No. 50-458/90-02. The conditions as described in this report were identified by the independent contractor during resolution of questions identified in the review and verification process. Evaluations of all questions arising from the final review of the FHA by the independent contractor were completed in January 1992.

Upon discovery of the condition identified on 4/15/91, the affected cables were treated as having missing fire barriers and the action statement prescribed in Technical Specification 3/4.7.7, "Fire Rated Assemblies", was implemented for areas containing these cables. With the exception of the Division II electrical room located in the northeast corner of "D" tunnel on elevation 70', fire watches had been previously in place for the affected areas due to operability questions associated with penetration seals. However, there is no assurance that fire watches had been in place for the entire time period since startup.

For the affected fire areas, an analysis has been performed to determine what alternate system for RCIC is available (free of fire damage). The analysis determined that low pressure core spray (LPCS) (*BM*) is free of fire damage in Fire Areas AB-2, C-2, & C-6 and high pressure core spray (HPCS) (*BJ*) is free of fire damage in Fire Area ET-2.

Errors made during the original development of the FHA were the cause of inconsistencies found within the FHA and between the FHA and the Appendix R data base. These inconsistencies resulted in the identified circuits not being protected in accordance with 10CFR50, Appendix R, Section III.G. A contributing factor involving these

LICENSEE EVENT REPO	APPROVED OM& NO 3150-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/92 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 500 HRS FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH IP 5301 US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON DC 20555 AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (31500104) OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET WASHINGTON DC 20503											
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER	PAGE (3)								
			1	EQUENT	A .	AEV	5 ON			-		-
RIVER BEND STATION	0 15 10 10 10 14 15 8	9 1	_	10	8	_ 0	1 1	0	5	OF	0	, 6

uses Division I and III components. Review of this condition has determined there are also Division I cables/equipment which are required for Method 2 shutdown, which primarily uses Division II components. The cables for this type of equipment are considered "Appendix R Crossover Cables". Analysis has determined that there are approximately 80 of these crossover cables. A review of these crossover cables was performed and with one exception no similar deficiencies exist. The exception is the Division II cable chase area located in the northeast corner of D-Tunnel. In this area, RCIC may be lost due to fire damage on crossover cables. As previously stated in the investigation, it was found that this area had not been previously identified or evaluated in the FHA. Analysis for this new fire area (AB-18) demonstrates safe shutdown capability is provided. Since the area contains only Division II cabling, safe shutdown can be achieved utilizing Method 1 shutdown methodology and substituting HPCS for RCIC for RPV level control.

As previously stated, permanent corrective actions for the Appendix R separation issues identified in the FHA review will be identified in a supplemental report by May 1, 1992. The corrective actions to address the new fire area included the identification of the proper safe shutdown method, implementation of administrative controls to align valve 1SFC*MOV120 to provide cooling to the upper fuel pools, documentation changes to the FHA and USAR, and the preparation of a pre-fire strategy for this area.

Similar events have been reported in LERS 87-005, 89-009, 89-036, and 90-003. LERS 87-005, 89-009 and 90-003 reported installation-related deficiencies in Thermo-Lag fire barriers. LER 89-036 reported an event in which the fire hazards analysis specified that certain motoroperated valves (MOVs) should be normally de-energized. The actual condition of the valves was that they were energized. New issues identified during the FHA review have revealed FHA deficiencies concerning spent fuel pool cooling and a previously unidentified fire area.

SAFETY ASSESSMENT

The FHA states safe shutdown can be achieved in fire areas AB-2, C-2, C-6 and ET-2 using Method 1 shutdown. Method 1 is identified as using 3 SRVs for RPV pressure control, RCIC for RPV level control, and RHR-A for suppression pool cooling and shutdown cooling. Since the affected cables were not wrapped in these fire areas, fire damage could cause loss of RCIC. With the loss of RCIC, a review was made to determine what alternate method of RPV level control was available in these fire areas. Analysis has demonstrated that for Fire Areas AB-2, C-2 & C-6, LPCS is free of fire damage and for ET-2 & the new fire area (AB-18),

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION						EST STIMA NCH II NGTO DJECT ASHIN	500 HF TE TO F 5301 IN DC 1 13150 GTON	15 FOR THE RE US NU 20555 F 01041 OC 2056	WARD CORDS CLEAR IND TO DEFICE					
DOCKET NUMBER (2)					LER NUMBER ISI PAG									
	*E.A.#	1	SEQUEN	EM	REVI			TT						
141518	911	_	0 0	18.	_ 0	11	96	OF	0,6					
	1415 8	141518 911	4 5 8 911 -	145891 010	VEAR SEQUENTIAL SEQUENTIAL SEQUENTIAL	VEAR SEQUENTIAL REV. NUMBER NUMBER NUMBER NUMBER	VEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER NUMBER	VEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER N	VEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION VUMBER VUMBER 14518 911 - 01018 - 011 96 OF					

HPCS is free of fire damage. This demonstrates that with a fire in any of these fire areas, at least one method of safe shutdown is unaffected.

Fire Areas C-25 (main control room) and FB-1 (fuel bldg.) were identified as areas where potential fire damage could cause a loss of spent fuel pool cooling. Calculation No. G13.18.14.0*46-0 was developed which demonstrates the time required for the spent fuel pool temperature to reach the design limit of 155.6 degrees F with the present fuel load is approximately 5.3 days. Abnormal Operating Procedure (AOP)-0031 "Shutdown From Outside Main Control Room" and pre-fire strategies for fire area FB-1 have been revised to address manual actions which may be required to restore spent fuel pool cooling with a fire in these areas. These corrective actions and administrative controls have been implemented to address these concerns under present fuel pool load conditions until permanent corrective actions are identified and implemented.

The FHA indicates safe shutdown can be achieved in Fire Area RC-5/2-13 (reactor containment bldg.) using Method 1 or 2 depending on the location of the fire. The FHA states containment unit cooler 1HVR*UC1B is separated from its alternate counterpart by 24 ft. and a 10 ft. radiant energy shield and is being protected from intervening combustibles by wrapping the intervening combustibles with a 3-hour rated barrier. Since the cables for this unit cooler were not wrapped in accordance with Appendix R, Section III.G requirements, fire damage could cause a loss of containment cooling. The affected cables were treated as having missing fire barriers and fire watch requirements specified in Technical Specification 3/4.7.7, "Fire Rated Assemblies" have been implemented.

NOTE:

Energy Industry Identification System Codes are identified in the text as (*XX*).

arr part