

August 30, 1984

Mr. James G. Keppler Regional Administrator U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 799 Roosevelt Road - Region III Glen Ellyn, IL 60137

Subject: LaSalle County Station Units 1 and 2

Response to Inspection Report Nos. 50-373/84-14 and 50-374/84-18

NRC Docket Nos. 50-373 and 50-374

Reference (a): W. D. Shafer letter to Cordell Reed

dated July 17, 1984.

Dear Mr. Keppler:

This letter is in response to the inspection conducted by Messrs. M. J. Jordan, S. G. Guthrie and C. Evans on May 12 through June 18, 1984, of activities at LaSalle County Station Units 1 and 2. Reference (a) indicated that certain activities appeared to be in noncompliance with NRC requirements. The Commonwealth Edison Company response to the Notice of Violation is provided in the enclosure.

If you have any further questions on this matter, please direct them to this office.

Very truly yours,

D. L. Farrar Director of Nuclear Licensing

1 m

Attachment

cc: NRC Resident Inspector - LSCS

8409250285 840919 PDR ADOCK 05000373 Q PDR

ATTACHMENT

RESPONSE TO INSPECTION REPORT NOS. 50-373/84-14 and 50-374/84-18

NONCOMPLIANCE:

1. Technical Specification 6.1.1.4 requires that high radiation areas not equipped with computerized card readers be maintained locked except during periods when access to the area is required with positive control over each individual entry. In the case of a high radiation area established for a period of 30 days or less, direct surveillance to prevent unauthorized entry may be substituted.

Contrary to the above, an NRC inspector observed a contractor guard who was posted to provide direct surveillance of an unlocked high radiation area, apparently inattentive and also unaware of the specific area which required the direct surveillance thus entry to the high radiation area could have been made without the guard observing the entry.

CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN AND RESULTS ACHIEVED

The officer was immediately relieved of duty on that post, and another officer replaced him.

CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN TO AVOID FURTHER NONCOMPLIANCE

The officer observed as being inattentive by the NRC inspector was retrained, counseled in proper work practices and a warning letter was placed in the officer's personal file on May 23, 1984.

DATE OF FULL COMPLIANCE

Full compliance was achieved on May 23, 1984.

NONCOMPLIANCE:

Technical Specifications 6.2.A.7 requires, in part, that detailed written procedures be prepared, approved, and adhered to for surveillance and testing requirements. LaSalle Instrument Surveillance Procedure LIS-NB-03, "Reactor Vessel Low Low Water Level Recirculation Pump Trip Calibration and Functional Test," Section D.4, "Precautions," identified the effect on Reactor Recirculation pump 1B upon closing of switch LIS-1B21-N036B. LaSalle Surveillance Procedure LIS-NB-03, Section F.1, requires the ATWS control switch to be placed in the appropriate "TEST" position for the instrument to be tested.

Contrary to the above, on June 11, during performance of LIS-NB-03, the mechanic operated switch LIS-1B21-N036B when the ATWS control switch was aligned to "TEST" to reform testing of switch LIS-1B21-N036C, resulting in the trip from fast speed operation of Reactor Recirculation pump 1B.

CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN AND RESULTS ACHIEVED

Training was given to IM Department personnel on importance of procedure adherence.

CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN TO AVOID FURTHER NONCOMPLIANCE

Two copies of each instrument surveillance procedure will be provided to the personnel performing these surveillances so that personnel in the control rocm may follow along to avoid mistakes like this in the future.

DATE OF FULL COMPLIANCE

Full compliance was achieved on July 30, 1984.