

# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

# SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 84 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-40

## OMAHA PUBLIC POWER DISTRICT

#### FORT CALHOUN STATION, UNIT NO. 1

#### DOCKET NO. 50-285

#### Introduction

By application dated June 8, 1984, the Omaha Public Power District (the licensee) proposed changes to the administrative controls section of their technical specifications (TS). Specifically, the plant support organization structure is proposed to be revised to reflect a licensee reorganization. A separate nuclear division will be added to provide dedicated management support to the Fort Calhoun Station. In addition, the plant organization is proposed to be revised to reflect the addition of new personnel and title changes. Our evaluation of the proposed changes follows.

## Evaluation

Under the current TS, the Division Manager-Production Operations and the Section Manager Operations have direct responsibility for all electrical generating facilities, including the Fort Calhoun Station. Under the proposed specifications, the Section Manager - Operations position will be eliminated, and the Manager - Fort Calhoun Station will report to the new Division Manager - Nuclear Production. The new division would provide dedicated management support to the Fort Calhoun Station. In addition, there would be the elimination of one management level between the General Manager and the Manager - Fort Calhoun Station. We agree that the new division would provide better management support to the station and that it is desirable for the Manager - Fort Calhoun Station to report to a higher level of management in the licensee's organization. On this basis, the licensee's proposed reorganization is acceptable.

Because of the above changes, the following TS are proposed to be changed: 5.5.1.6.e, 5.5.1.7.c, 5.5.1.8, 5.5.2.2, 5.5.2.8.h, 5.5.2.9, 5.5.2.10.a,b, and c, 5.6.1.b, and 5.7.1.b and d. These changes remove (1) the title Section Manager - Operations or Division Manager - Production Operations and replace it with the title Division Manager - Nuclear Production and (2) the title Assistant General Manager - Production Operations, Fuels, and Quality Assurance and Regulatory Affairs and replaces it with the title Assistant General Manager - Nuclear Production, Production Operations, Fuels, and Quality Assurance and Regulatory Affairs. The above changes are acceptable. In addition, Figure 5-1 entitled OPPD Support Staff would be changed to reflect the new titles. This is also acceptable.

The plant organization (Figure 5-2) is proposed to be changed to reflect new positions and a title change of two persons. Two new positions have been added to the staff of the Supervisor - Chemical and Radiation Protection: Radioactive Waste Coordinator and As Low As Reasonably Achievable (ALARA) Coordinator. In addition, the Supervisor - Training would be redesignated as Supervisor - Station Training and would report to the Manager - Fort Calhoun Station instead of the Supervisor - Technical. This person would also be responsible for the retraining program. Lastly, the Supervisor - Administrative Services would be redesignated Supervisor - Administrative Services and Security. The addition of the Radioactive Waste Coordinator and ALARA Coordinator to the staff of the Supervisor - Chemical and Radiation Protection reflects actual positions that were in effect at the plant for some time and this is acceptable. Having the Supervisor - Station Training reporting to the plant manager reflects an increased attention to station training and is acceptable. In addition, having this person responsible for retraining is also acceptable. Changing the title of Supervisor - Administrative Services to Supervisor - Administrative Services and Security better reflects this person's duties and is, therefore, acceptable.

#### Environmental Consideration

This amendment involves a change in the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area. The staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that this amendment involves no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, this amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR §51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR §51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

# Conclusion

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that:
(1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Date: September 7, 1984

Principal Contributor:

E. G. Tourigny