

CATAWBA - UNITS 1 & 2	TABLE 2.2-1 (Continued) TABLE NOTATIONS (Continued)	
	NOTE 1: (Cont	
		K <sub>3</sub> = Overtemperature ∆T reactor trip depressurization setpoint penalty coefficient as presented in the Core Operating Limits Report;
		P = Pressurizer pressure, psig;
		P' = 2235 psig (Nominal RCS operating pressure);
		S = Laplace transform operator, s <sup>-1</sup> ;
	and $f_1(\Delta I)$ is a function of the indicated difference between top and bottom detectors of the power- range neutron ion chambers; with gains to be selected based on measured instrument response during plant STARTUP tests such that:	
2-8	(1)	For $q_{\rm c}$ - $q_{\rm b}$ between the "positive" and "negative" $f_1(\Delta I)$ breakpoints as presented in the Core Operating Limits Report;
Amendment No. 115 (Unit Amendment No. 109 (Unit		$f_1(\Delta i) = 0$ , where $q_t$ and $q_b$ are percent RATED THERMAL POWER in the top and bottom halves of the core respectively, and $q_t + q_b$ is total THERMAL POWER in percent of RATED THERMAL POWER;
	(ii)	For each percent $\Delta I$ that the magnitude of $q_t - q_b$ is more negative than the $f_1(\Delta I)$ "negative" breakpoint presented in the Core Operating Limits Report, the $\Delta I$ Trip Setpoint shall be automatically reduced by the $f_1(\Delta I)$ "negative" slope presented in the Core Operating Limits Report; and
	(Åii)	For each percent $\Delta I$ that the magnitude of $q_t - q_b$ is more positive than the $f_1(\Delta I)$ "positive" breakpoint presented in the Core Operating Limits Report, the $\Delta I$ Trip Setpoint shall be automatically reduced by the $f_1(\Delta I)$ "positive" slope presented in the Core Operating Limits Report.
1) .	NOTE 2:	The channel's maximum Trip Setpoint shall not exceed its computed Trip Setpoint by more than 4.5% of Rated Thermal Power.

Attachment 1 -

## TABLE 2.2-1 (Continued) TABLE NOTATIONS (Continued)

NOTE 3: (Continued)

Overpower  $\Delta T$  reactor trip heatup setpoint penalty coefficient as presented in K. = the Core Operating Limits Report for T > 590.8°F and  $K_{A} = 0$  for T  $\leq$  590.8°F,

As defined in Note 1. -

- T'' =Indicated  $T_{avg}$  at RATED THERMAL POWER (Calibration temperature for  $\Delta T$ instrumentation, (<-590.8°F), °F
- As defined in Note

and  $f_2$  ( $\Delta I$ ) is a function of the indicated differences between top and bottom detectors of the power-range neutron ion chambers; with gains to be selected based on measured instrument response during plant startup tests such that:

- for  $q_t q_b$  between the "positive" and "negative"  $f_2(\Delta I)$  breakpoints as presented in (i)the Core Operating Limits Report;  $f_2(\Delta I) = 0$ , where q, and q<sub>b</sub> are percent RATED THERMAL POWER in the top and bottom halves of the core respectively, and  $q_t + q_b$  is total THERMAL POWER in percent of RATED THERMAL POWER;
- for each percent  $\Delta I$  that the magnitude of  $q_t q_b$  is more negative than the  $f_2(\Delta I)$ (ii)"negative" breakpoint presented in the Core Operating Limits Report, the AT Trip Setpoint shall be automatically reduced by the  $f_2(\Delta I)$  "negative" slope presented in the Core Operating Limits Report; and
- for each percent  $\Delta I$  that magnitude of  $q_1 q_2$  is more positive than the  $f_2(\Delta I)$ "positive" breakpoint presented in the Core Operating Limits Report the  $\Delta I$  Trip (111)Setpoint shall be automatically reduced by the  $f_2(\Delta I)$  "positive" slope presented in the Core Operating Limits Report.

The channel's maximum Trip Setpoint shall not exceed its computed Trip Setpoint by more than 3.0% (Unit 1) and 3.3% (Unit 2) of Rated Thermal Power.

CATAWBA -UNITS

-

20 NO

2

10

Amendment No.

109

(Unit

NJ ----

NOTE 4:

Attachment 2 Catawba Nuclear Station Technical Specification Change Page 1 of 2

Proposed revision to Technical Specification Table 2.2-1 Reactor Trip System Instrumentation Trip Setpoints

## In Note 1

change "T'  $\leq$  590.8 ° F (Nominal T<sub>AVG</sub> allowed by Safety Analysis)"

to "T'  $\leq$  585.1 ° F, (Nominal T<sub>AVG</sub> allowed by Safety Analysis)"

#### In Note 3

change "T" = Indicated  $T_{AVG}$  at RATED THERMAL POWER (Calibration temperature for  $\Delta T$  instrumentation,  $\leq 590.8$  °F)"

to "T" = Indicated T<sub>AVG</sub> at RATED THERMAL POWER (Calibration temperature for  $\Delta$ T instrumentation,  $\leq$  585.1 °F)"

# **Technical Justification**

The values for T' and T'' are defined as  $T_{AVG}$  Allowed by Safety Analysis and Reference  $T_{AVG}$  at RATED THERMAL POWER respectively. In Note 1 and 3 of Table 2.2-1. When the steam generators are replaced at Catawba Unit 1, programmed full power  $T_{AVG}$  will be changed from 590.8 °F to 585.1 °F. This temperature was chosen based on returning the secondary side steam pressure to the original value after replacement of the steam generators. 585.1 °F was the assumed value for nominal full power  $T_{AVG}$  in all applicable safety analyses related to replacement of the steam generators.

## No Significant Hazards Analysis

The following analysis, required by 50.91, concludes that the proposed amendment will not involve significant hazards considerations as defined by 10 CFR 50.92.

10 CFR 50.92 states that a proposed amendment involves no significant hazards considerations if operation in accordance with the proposed amendment would not:

- Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or
- (2) Create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or

Attachment 2 Catawba Nuclear Station Technical Specification Change Page 2 of 2

(3) Involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

This proposed change to the Technical Specifications does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated. Changing the value for  $T_{AVO}$  in Notes 1 and 3 of Table 2.2-1 will update the value to agree with the  $T_{AVO}$  assumed in the applicable safety analyses for replacement of the steam generators. Acceptable results were obtained for all required reanalyses. The probability of an accident will not be significantly affected by operation with the new  $T_{AVO}$  value, because all equipment will be operated within acceptable design limits. The consequences of previously evaluated accidents which are affected by this change have been evaluated, and have been determined to be within acceptable limits.

This proposed change will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated. This change does not change the physical configuration of the plant, and all analyses which are affected by replacement of the steam generators have been determined to have acceptable results assuming this value for  $T_{AVG}$ .

This proposed change to the Technical Specifications will not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety. All safety analyses which were affected by replacement of the steam generators assumed this value for  $T_{AVG}$  and the results were determined to be within previously acceptable limits.

Attachment 3