

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Files *JK*
(Thru) Roger S. Boyd, Chief
Research & Power Reactor Safety Branch

FROM : Marvin C. Gaske *Marvin C. Gaske*
Research & Power Reactor Safety Branch
Division of Reactor Licensing

SUBJECT: MEETING WITH JERSEY CENTRAL AND GENERAL ELECTRIC PERSONNEL REGARDING
OYSTER CREEK REACTOR, DOCKET NO. 50-219

DATE: March 17, 1965

Mr. Donald Reese of Jersey Central Power and Light Company telephoned on March 5, 1965 and requested that a meeting be held with representatives of General Electric, Jersey Central and the Regulatory Staff in attendance to discuss various developments regarding the design of the Jersey Central reactor. He stated that the meeting was primarily desired by the General Electric Company. It was tentatively agreed that the meeting would be held on March 19; however, Mr. Reese was informed that there might be legal considerations which would make it undesirable for additional information to be presented to the Regulatory Staff at this particular time.

Following consultation with legal counsel, Mr. Troy Conner, Mr. Reese was contacted and informed that the Regulatory Staff intended to file a brief with the Commission on Wednesday, March 10. He was told that the Regulatory Staff intended to state in the brief that the record for the Jersey Central reactor case is sufficient regarding core design and the list of 11 items regarding which the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board required additional information. He was also informed that the Staff planned to state that sufficient information was available to the Board for issuance of an "unqualified" Provisional Construction Permit for a 1600 MW thermal reactor. It was requested that, if Jersey Central or General Electric intended to present information to the Staff which would influence its conclusions regarding the sufficiency of the record in the above respects, that a meeting be arranged immediately. Mr. Reese stated that the information to be presented at the proposed meeting on March 19 would be over and above that which is in the licensing record for the reactor and should not in any way influence the Staff's conclusions. He indicated that such information would merely elaborate on how General Electric and Jersey Central intended to carry out the solution of the unresolved design and operational problems which were discussed in the Staff Hazards Analysis issued prior to the public hearing. Accordingly, on this basis, it was agreed that there was no reason the meeting could not be held on March 19 as had previously been tentatively agreed.

cc: E. G. Case
T. Conner, OGC
M. Gaske



Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

H/89