34 AUG 16 P1: 17



August 13, 1984 L-84-207

Mr. James P. O'Reilly Regional Administrator, Region II U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Suite 2900 101 Marietta Street, NW Atlanta, GA 30323

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

Re: Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 Docket Nos. 50-250 and 50-251 Inspection Report 84-18

Florida Power & Light Company has reviewed the subject inspection report and a response is attached.

There is no proprietary information in the report.

Very truly yours,

J. W. Williams, Jr. Group Vice President Nuclear Energy

JWW/PLP/js

Attachment

cc: Harold F. Reis, Esquire PNS-LI-84-282

ATTACHMENT

Re: Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 Docket No. 50-250, 50-251 IE Inspection Report 84-18

FINDING 1:

Technical Specification 6.8.1 requires that written procedures and administrative policies shall be established, implemented and maintained that meet or exceed the requirements and recommendations of Section 5.1 and 5.3 of ANSI N18.7-1972 and Appendix "A" of USNRC Regulatory Guide 1.33.

Contrary to the above, on May 12, 1984, an Operating Procedure (OP) 1009.1, dated April 10, 1984, was found to be inadequately established and implemented in that an estimated critical condition, which was calculated in accordance with OP 1009.1, was in excess of 150 steps in error.

RESPONSE:

- 1) FPL concurs with the finding.
- 2) The reason for the finding was an oversight during the proofreading of the procedure that did not pick up a typographical error.
- Corrective action taken was to remove the plus sign from step 5.3 of Operating Procedure 1009.1 with an On The Spot Change (OTSC).
- 4) To prevent recurrence, the Shift Technical Advisor (STA) has been instructed to calculate an independent estimated critical condition for review by the Shift Supervisor. Also, personnel presently working in the Procedure Upgrade Program have been assigned to proofread all procedures prior to their issuance from the Procedure Upgrade Program.
- 5) Full compliance was achieved on June 4, 1984.