

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

June 18, 1992

Docket No. 50-382

Mr. Ross P. Barkhurst Vice President Operations Entergy Operations, Inc. Post Office Box B Killona, Louisiana 70066

Dear Mr. Barkhurst:

SUBJECT: REACTOR VESSEL MATERIAL SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS - REPORT OF TEST RESULTS FOR WATERFORD STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, UNIT NO. 3

By letter dated April 2, 1992, Entergy Operations, Inc., requested an extension for submittal of a summary report of the test results from a capsule of material pulled from the Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit No. 3 reactor vessel during Refueling Outage 4 on April 11, 1991. The report was due on April 11, 1992, and the requested extension was for submittal by November 30, 1992. The Regulations, specifically 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H, Section III.A, allow for the granting of extensions, and it is our practice to consider requests for reasonable and just causes.

Entergy Operations, Inc., cites as causes difficulties in the contract negotiations with the test facility, difficulties incurred in arranging for shipping of the capsule, and the 7-month delay in the test facility schedule due to other commitments. The April 2, 1992, letter states that the contract negotiations occurred in December 1991, and subsequent discussions with your staff revealed that the process was not started until about 3-months after the capsule was withdrawn from the vessel. While we agree that Entergy did not have sufficient time to complete the process once it was started, it is clear that insufficient attention was given to the planning and managing of the requirement and that the delay in starting the negotiations was the direct cause of the ultimate delay in submittal of the report.

The Waterford 3 reactor vessel material, configuration, and irradiation history are such that we do not believe there is a safety problem with the delay of the summary report until November 1992. The next refueling outage is currently scheduled for September 1992, and it is of some concern that the test results could indicate that changes are advisable at this outage. It is therefore requested that Entergy Operations, Inc., advise this office in August 1992, when the results become available from the test facility, as to any potential adverse results that should be considered during this refueling outage. However, based on our belief that there is no safety problem and your assertion that changes during the refueling are not likely, the request for submittal of the final report in November 1992 is approved.

DR ADOCK 05000382

1000

NING FILE CENTER COPYDFOI

110

# Mr. Ross P. Barkhurst

However, it remains of some concern to us that Waterford was lax in the preplanning for the testing and submittal of the report. Every effort should be made by your organization to correct this and ensure a timely submittal for future specimens. While we provide an extension for the first final report on the basis of no safety concern, Waterford is directed to the regulatory requirements and the need to adhere to the special reporting.

If you have any questions, please let us know.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

John Larkins, Director Project Directorate IV-I Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV/V Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File D. Wigginton S. Little B. Boc NRC & Local PDRs M. Virgilio ACRS (10) (P-315) PD4-1 Plant File

PD4-1 Reading J. Larkins J. Tsao A. B. Beach, RIV

and the second s	and seems to spin the state with the space was represented by the	RM: PD4-1	D: P84-1	EMCB	ADR4/5	D:022	NLO
NAME	SLittle	Dwigginton	automkins	HELMANN	MVirgitio	BBoger	mzoBur
		614192					

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY Document Name: WAT00001.1tr

## Mr. Ross P. Barkhurst - 2 -

However, it remains of some concern to us that Waterford was lax in the preplanning for the testing and submittal of the report. Every effort should be made by your organization to correct this and ensure a timely submittal for future specimens. While we provide an extension for the first final report on the basis of no safety concern, Waterford is directed to the regulatory requirements and the need to adhere to the special reporting.

If you have any questions, please let us know.

Sincerely,

Volue), Larking

John Larkins, Director Project Directorate IV-I Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV/V Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

Mr. Ross P. Barkhurst Entergy Operations, Inc.

### Waterford 3

#### CC:

Mr. Glenn Miller, Administrator Radiation Protection Division Office of Air Quality and Nuclear Energy Post Office Box 82135 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70884-2135

Mr. John R. McGaha Vice President, Operations Support Entergy Operations, Inc. P. O. Box 31995 Jackson, Mississippi 39286

William A. Cross Bethesda Licensing Office 3 Metro Center Suite 610 Bethesda, Maryiand 20814

Mr. Robert B. McGehee Wise, Carter, Child & Caraway P.O. Box 651 Jackson, Mississippi 39205

Mr. D. F. Packer General Manager Plant Operations Entergy Operations, Inc. P. O. Box B Killona, Louisiana 70066

Mr. L. W. Laughlin, Licensing Manager Entergy Operations, Inc. P. O. Box B Killona, Louisiana 70066

Winston & Strawn Attn: N.S. Reynolds 1400 L Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3502 Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000 Arlington, Texas 76011

Resident Inspector/Waterford NPS Post Office Box 822 Killona, Louisiana 70066

Parish President St. Charles Parish P. O. Box 302 Hahnville, Louisiana 70057

Mr. Donald C. Hintz President and Chief Operating Officer Entergy Operations, Inc. P. O. Box 31995 Jackson, Mississippi 39286

Chairman Louisiana Public Service Commission On: American Place, Suite 1630 Batch Rouge, Louisiana 70825-1697

Mr. R. F. Burski, Director Nuclear Safety Entergy Operations, Inc. P. O. Box B Killona, Louisiana 70066

## Mr. Ross P. Barkhurst

- 2 -

However, it remains of some concern to us that Waterford was lax in the preplanning for the testing and submittal of the report. Every effort should be made by your organization to correct this and ensure a timely submittal for future specimens. While we provide an extension for the first final report on the basis of no safety concern, Waterford is directed to the regulatory requirements and the need to adhere to the special reporting.

If you have any questions, please let us know.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

John Larkins, Director Project Directorate IV-I Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV/V Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cu: See next page

DISTR'BUTION Docket Sile D. Wigginton S. Little

B. Boger

NRC & Local PDRs M. Virgilio ACRS (10) (P-315) PD4-1 Plant File PD4-1 Reading J. Larkins J. Tsao A. B. Beach, RIV

OFC	LA:004-1	RMK PD4-1	D: P.D.4-1	EMCB	ADR4/5	D: DRPN	NZ-D OGC
I NAME	SLittle	L. Agginton	Juankins	Helmonie	Virgitio	BBoger	M20Bull
DATE	6/4/92	6/4/92	6/4/92	6/5/92	6/ 18/92	61/8/92	6 HS/92

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY Document Name: WAT00001.ltr