



**CENTERIOR
ENERGY**

PERRY NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

10 CENTER ROAD
PERRY, OHIO 44081
(216) 259-3737

Mail Address:
PO. BOX 97
PERRY, OHIO 44081

Michael D. Lyster
VICE PRESIDENT - NUCLEAR

June 17, 1992
PY-CEI/NRR-1511 L

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Document Control Desk
Washington, D. C. 20555

Perry Nuclear Power Plant
Docket No. 50-440
Fitness-For-Duty Blind Performance
Testing Incident

Gentlemen:

Attachment 1 reports an unsatisfactory Licensee Blind Performance Test Procedure result received from our NIDA certified laboratory. This notification is submitted in accordance with 10CFR26, Appendix A, 2.8(e)(4).

If you need additional details, please contact the Site Protection Section Manager, Thomas E. Mahon at (216) 259-3737, extension 5314.

Sincerely,

Michael D. Lyster

MDL:DWC:ss

Attachment

cc: NRC Project Manager
NRC Resident Inspector
NRC Region III

9206240202 920617
PDR ADOCK 05000440
P PDR

Aozz
4/1

Unsatisfactory Blind Performance Test Result

Investigative Summary

On May 11, 1992, our Medical Services Unit (MSU) supervisor submitted a blind proficiency sample to our NIDA certified laboratory. This sample was known to be positive for amphetamine and methamphetamine. On May 18, 1992, the MSU supervisor received a negative result on this sample from the laboratory. The laboratory's Scientific Director was contacted and informed that the sample was a blind proficiency sample known to be positive. He was requested to send associated documentation to our Fitness for Duty Coordinator for review. He was also requested to perform an analysis to determine the root cause of the unsatisfactory result.

On May 19, 1992, the Scientific Director of the laboratory reported that their analysis indicated that the root cause was personnel error on the part of the certifying scientist.

The analytical work performed on the sample resulted in a positive result for the subject drugs. However, the certifying scientist incorrectly transcribed the results of the confirmation test from the extraction log (utilized to record the results of the confirmation test) to the Toxicology Forensic Report form (utilized to prepare the final report to our Medical Review Officer). Rather than record the result of the confirmation test as positive, the certifying scientist recorded the result as negative on the Toxicology Forensic Report Form. From that form, the final report was prepared and forwarded to our MRO.

As a result of this incident, the laboratory's Scientific Director immediately instituted the following corrective action.

1. The transcriptions of all confirmation test results from the extraction log to the Toxicology Forensic Report form will receive a second review to ensure accuracy.
2. All certifying scientists were advised of the requirement for this second review. Their signatures acknowledging this are on file at the laboratory.

Documentation obtained from the laboratory was provided to our MRO for evaluation. After evaluating the information, the MRO concurred with the laboratory's corrective action.

Prepared by: Michele L. Benedict 6/16/92
Michele L. Benedict, Fitness-For-Duty Coordinator Date

Acknowledged by: Daniel S. Isenschmid 6/16/92
Dan Isenschmid, Scientific Director, Date
Southgate Laboratory