


WEC PROPRIETARY CLASS 3



Westinghouse Energy Systems



9206170004 920610  
PDR ADDCK 0500049B  
P PDR

WED PROPRIETARY CLASS 3



Westinghouse Energy Systems



9206170004 920610  
PDR ADOCK 05000498  
P PDR

WCAP-13252

EVALUATION OF PRESSURIZED THERMAL SHOCK  
FOR SOUTH TEXAS UNIT 1

J. M. Chicots

March 1992

Technically Reviewed by: *E. Terek*  
E. Terek

Approved by: *T. A. Meyer*  
T. A. Meyer, Manager  
Structural Reliability & Plant Life Optimization

Work Performed Under Shop Order HOOP-106

Prepared by Westinghouse Electric Corporation  
for Houston Lighting and Power Company

WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION  
Nuclear and Advanced Technology Division  
P.O. Box 355  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230-0355

© 1992 Westinghouse Electric Corporation  
All Rights Reserved



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Table of Contents	i
List of Tables	ii
List of Figures	ii
1. Introduction	1
2. Pressurized Thermal Shock	2
3. Method for Calculation of $RT_{PTS}$	4
4. Verification of Plant-Specific Material Properties	5
5. Neutron Fluence Values	7
6. Determination of $RT_{PTS}$ Values for All Beltline Region Materials	9
7. Conclusions	11
8. References	12

## LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.	South Texas Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Beltline Region Material Properties	7
2.	Neutron Exposure Projections at Key Locations on the Reactor Vessel Clad/Base Metal Interface	8
3.	RT <sub>P</sub> T <sub>S</sub> Values for South Texas Unit 1	10

## LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.	Identification and Location of Beltline Region Material for the South Texas Unit 1 Reactor Vessel	6
2.	RT <sub>P</sub> T <sub>S</sub> versus Fluence Curves for South Texas Unit 1 Limiting Material - Intermediate Shell Plate, R1606-3	11

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A limiting condition on reactor vessel integrity known as pressurized thermal shock (PTS) may occur during a severe system transient such as a loss-of-coolant-accident (LOCA) or a steam line break. Such transients may challenge the integrity of a reactor vessel under the following conditions:

- severe overcooling of the inside surface of the vessel wall followed by high repressurization;
- significant degradation of vessel material toughness caused by radiation embrittlement; and
- the presence of a critical-size defect in the vessel wall.

Fracture mechanics analysis can be used to evaluate reactor vessel integrity under severe transient conditions.

In 1985 the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued a formal ruling on pressurized thermal shock. It established screening criterion on pressurized water reactor (PWR) vessel embrittlement as measured by the nil-ductility reference temperature, termed  $RT_{PTS}$ <sup>[1]</sup>.  $RT_{PTS}$  screening values were set for beltline axial welds, forgings and plates and for beltline circumferential weld seams for end-of-life plant operation. The screening criteria were determined using conservative fracture mechanics analysis techniques. All PWR vessels in the United States have been required to evaluate vessel embrittlement in accordance with these criteria through end-of-life. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has amended its regulations for light water nuclear power plants to change the procedure for calculating radiation embrittlement. The revised PTS Rule was published in the Federal Register, May 15, 1991 with an effective date of June 14, 1991<sup>[2]</sup>. This amendment makes the procedure for calculating  $RT_{PTS}$  values consistent with the methods given in Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2<sup>[3]</sup>

The purpose of this report is to determine the  $RT_{PTS}$  values for the South Texas Unit 1 reactor vessel and address the revised Pressurized Thermal Shock (PTS) Rule. Section 2 discusses the Rule and its requirements. Section 3 provides the methodology for calculating  $RT_{PTS}$ . Section 4 provides the reactor vessel beltline region material properties for the South Texas Unit 1 reactor vessel. The neutron fluence values used in this analysis are presented in Section 5. The results of the  $RT_{PTS}$  calculations are presented in Section 6. The conclusions and references for the PTS evaluation follow in Sections 7 and 8, respectively.

## 2. PRESSURIZED THERMAL SHOCK

The PTS Rule requires that the PTS submittal be updated whenever there are changes in core loadings, surveillance measurements or other information that indicates a significant change in projected values.

The Rule outlines regulations to address the potential for PTS events on pressurized water reactor (PWR) vessels in nuclear power plants that are operated with a license from the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (USNRC). PTS events have been shown from operating experience to be transients that result in a rapid and severe cooldown in the primary system coincident with a high or increasing primary system pressure. The PTS concern arises if one of these transients acts on the beltline region of a reactor vessel where a reduced fracture resistance exists because of neutron irradiation. Such an event may produce the propagation of flaws postulated to exist near the inner wall surface, thereby potentially affecting the integrity of the vessel.

The Rule establishes the following requirements for all domestic, operating PWRs:

- \* All plants must submit projected values of  $RT_{PTS}$  for reactor vessel beltline materials by giving values for time of submittal, the expiration date of the operating license, and the projected expiration date if a change in the operating license or renewal has been requested. This assessment must be

submitted within six months after the effective date of this Rule if the value of  $RT_{pTS}$  for any material is projected to exceed the screening criteria. Otherwise, it must be submitted with the next update of the pressure-temperature limits, or the next reactor vessel surveillance capsule report, or within 5 years from the effective date of this Rule change, whichever comes first. These values must be calculated based on the methodology specified in this rule. The submittal must include the following:

- 1) the bases for the projection (including any assumptions regarding core loading patterns),
- 2) copper and nickel content and fluence values used in the calculations for each beltline material. (If these values differ from those previously submitted to the NRC, justification must be provided.)

- \* The  $RT_{pTS}$  (measure of fracture resistance) Screening Criteria for the reactor vessel beltline region are

270°F for plates, forgings, axial welds; and,  
300°F for circumferential weld materials.

- \* The following equations must be used to calculate the  $RT_{pTS}$  values for each weld, plate or forging in the reactor vessel beltline:

$$\text{Equation 1: } R_{i p T S} = I + M + \Delta R_{p T S}$$

$$\text{Equation 2: } \Delta R_{p T S} = (CF)f^{(0.28-0.10 \log f)}$$

- \* All values of  $RT_{pTS}$  must be verified to be bounding values for the specific reactor vessel. In doing this each plant should consider plant-specific information that could affect the level of embrittlement.



- \* Plant-specific PTS safety analyses are required before a plant is within 3 years of reaching the Screening Criteria, including analyses of alternatives to minimize the PTS concern.
- \* NRC approval for operation beyond the Screening Criteria is required.

### 3. METHOD FOR CALCULATION OF $RT_{PTS}$

In the PTS Rule, the NRC Staff has selected a conservative and uniform method for determining plant-specific values of  $RT_{PTS}$  at a given time.

In order to compare with the Screening Criteria, the value of  $RT_{PTS}$  for each reactor vessel must be calculated for each weld and plate or forging in the beltline region as given below.

$$RT_{PTS} = I + M + \Delta RT_{PTS}, \text{ where } \Delta RT_{PTS} = (CF)f^{(0.28-0.10 \log f)}$$

I = Initial reference temperature ( $RT_{NDT}$ ) of the unirradiated material

M = Margin to be added to cover uncertainties in the values of initial  $RT_{NDT}$ , copper and nickel contents, fluence and calculational procedures. M = 66°F for welds and 48°F for base metal if generic values of I are used.

M = 56°F for welds and 34°F for base metal if measured values of I are used.

f = Neutron fluence,  $n/cm^2$  ( $E > 1\text{MeV}$  at the clad/base metal interface), divided by  $10^{19}$

CF = Chemistry factor from tables<sup>[2]</sup> for welds and for base metal (plates and forgings). If plant-specific surveillance data has been deemed credible per Reg. Guide 1.99, Rev. 2<sup>[3]</sup> and two or more surveillance capsules have been tested, surveillance capsule data should be considered in the calculation of the chemistry factor.

#### 4. VERIFICATION OF PLANT-SPECIFIC MATERIAL PROPERTIES

Before performing the pressurized thermal shock evaluation, a review of the latest plant-specific material properties was performed.

The beltline region is defined by the PTS Rule<sup>[2]</sup> to be "the region of the reactor vessel (shell material including welds, heat affected zones and plates or forgings) that directly surrounds the effective height of the active core and adjacent regions of the reactor vessel that are predicted to experience sufficient neutron irradiation damage to be considered in the selection of the most limiting material with regard to radiation damage." Figure 1 identifies and indicates the location of beltline region materials for the South Texas Unit 1 reactor vessel.

Material property values were derived from vessel fabrication material certifications<sup>[5]</sup>. Fast neutron irradiation-induced changes in the tension, fracture and impact properties of reactor vessel materials are largely dependent on chemical composition, particularly in the copper concentration. The variability in irradiation-induced property changes, which exists in general, is compounded by the variability of copper concentration within the weldments.

A summary of the pertinent chemical and mechanical properties of the beltline region plate and weld materials of the South Texas Unit 1 reactor vessel are given in Table 1 <sup>[5]</sup>. The initial  $RT_{NDT}$  values (I-RTNDT) are also presented in Table 1.




Figure 1. Identification and Location of Beltline Region Material for the South Texas Unit 1 Reactor Vessel

TABLE 1  
SOUTH TEXAS UNIT 1 REACTOR VESSEL  
BELTLINE REGION MATERIAL PROPERTIES [5]

Material Description	CU (%)	NI (%)	I-RTNDT (°F)
Intermediate Shell, R1606-1	0.04	0.63	10
Intermediate Shell, R1606-2	0.04	0.61	0
Intermediate Shell, R1606-3	0.05	0.62	10
Lower Shell, R1622-1	0.05	0.61	-30
Lower Shell, R1622-2	0.07	0.64	-30
Lower Shell, R1622-3	0.05	0.66	-30
Inter. Shell Longitudinal Welds	0.03	0.05	-50
Lower Shell Longitudinal Welds	0.03	0.05	-50
Circumferential Weld	0.03	0.04	-70

#### 5. NEUTRON FLUENCE VALUES

The calculated fast neutron fluence ( $E > 1$  MeV) at the clad/base metal interface of the South Texas Unit 1 reactor vessel for 2.5 (April 1992), 32 and 48 EFPY are shown in Table 2. These values were projected using the results of the Capsule U radiation surveillance program<sup>[4]</sup>. In the evaluation of the future exposure of the reactor pressure vessel the design basis exposure rates were employed. Since the South Texas Unit 1 reactor has operated for only one fuel cycle and equilibrium fuel management has not been fully established, the use of these design basis values is still appropriate. The use of the design basis values should result in conservative predictions of future vessel exposure that can be refined as additional dosimetry becomes available.

TABLE 2

NEUTRON EXPOSURE PROJECTIONS AT KEY LOCATIONS ON  
THE REACTOR VESSEL CLAD/BASE METAL INTERFACE

Material	EFPY	$(\bar{E} > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$ [n/cm <sup>2</sup> ]
Intermediate Shell	2.5	$2.27 \times 10^{18}$
Basemetal	32	$2.90 \times 10^{19}$
	48	$4.35 \times 10^{19}$
Intermediate Shell	2.5	$1.33 \times 10^{18}$
Long. Weld	32	$1.71 \times 10^{19}$
At 0° Azimuth	48	$2.56 \times 10^{19}$
Intermediate Shell	2.5	$1.33 \times 10^{18}$
Long. Weld	32	$1.95 \times 10^{19}$
At 120° Azimuth	48	$2.92 \times 10^{19}$
Intermediate Shell	2.5	$1.39 \times 10^{18}$
Long. Weld	32	$1.79 \times 10^{19}$
At 240° Azimuth	48	$2.68 \times 10^{19}$
Intermediate/Lower Shell Circ. Weld	2.5	$2.27 \times 10^{18}$
	32	$2.90 \times 10^{19}$
	48	$4.35 \times 10^{19}$
Lower Shell	2.5	$2.84 \times 10^{18}$
Basemetal	32	$3.54 \times 10^{19}$
	48	$5.31 \times 10^{19}$
Lower Shell	2.5	$1.35 \times 10^{18}$
Long. Weld	32	$1.71 \times 10^{19}$
At 90° Azimuth	48	$2.56 \times 10^{19}$
Lower Shell Weld	2.5	$2.21 \times 10^{18}$
At 210° and	32	$2.78 \times 10^{19}$
330° Azimuths	48	$4.17 \times 10^{19}$



## 6. DETERMINATION OF $RT_{PTS}$ VALUES FOR ALL BELTLINE REGION MATERIALS

Using the prescribed PTS Rule methodology,  $RT_{PTS}$  values were generated for beltline region materials of the South Texas Unit 1 reactor vessel for 2.5 EFPY (April 1992), 32 EFPY (end-of-license EFPY) and 48 EFPY.

The PTS Rule requires that each plant assess the  $RT_{PTS}$  values based on plant specific surveillance capsule data under certain conditions. These conditions are:

- Plant specific surveillance data has been deemed credible as defined in Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, and
- $RT_{PTS}$  values change significantly. (Changes to  $RT_{PTS}$  values are considered significant if the value determined with  $RT_{PTS}$  equations (1) and (2), or that using capsule data, or both, exceed the screening criteria prior to the expiration of the operating license, including any renewed term, if applicable, for the plant.)

For South Texas Unit 1, the use of plant specific surveillance capsule data does not arise because there has been only one capsule removed from the reactor vessel, hence there is insufficient data at this time.

Table 3 provides a summary of the  $RT_{PTS}$  values for beltline region materials for 2.5 EFPY, 32 EFPY and 48 EFPY, respectively, using the PTS Rule.

TABLE 3  
RT<sub>PTS</sub> VALUES FOR SOUTH TEXAS UNIT 1

Material	2.5 EFPY (°F)	32 EFPY (°F)	48 EFPY <sup>*</sup> (°F)
Intermediate Shell Plate, R1606-1	60	77	80
Intermediate Shell Plate, R1606-2	50	67	70
Intermediate Shell Plate, R1606-3	63	84	87
Lower Shell Plate, R1622-1	24	45	48
Lower Shell Plate, R1622-2	33	62	66
Lower Shell Plate, R1622-3	24	45	48
Inter. Shell Longitudinal Weld @ 0°	18	35	38
Inter. Shell Longitudinal Weld @ 120°	19	36	38
Inter. Shell Longitudinal Weld @ 240°	18	35	38
Lower Shell Longitudinal Weld @ 90°	18	35	38
Lower Shell Longitudinal Weld @ 210°	21	38	40
Lower Shell Longitudinal Weld @ 330°	21	38	40
Circumferential Weld	1	18	20

\* The values for 48 EFPY are presented for information only.

## 7. CONCLUSIONS

As shown in Table 3, the  $RT_{PTS}$  values remain below the NRC screening values for PTS using the projected fluence values for both the end-of-life (32 EFPY) and 48 EFPY. A plot of the  $RT_{PTS}$  values versus the fluence are shown in Figure 2 for the most limiting material, the intermediate shell plate, R1606-3, in the South Texas Unit 1 reactor vessel beltline region.




Figure 2.  $RT_{PTS}$  versus Fluence Curves for South Texas Unit 1  
Limiting Material - Intermediate Shell Plate, R1606-3.

8. REFERENCES

- [1] 10CFR Part 50, "Analysis of Potential Pressurized Thermal Shock Events," July 23, 1985.
- [2] 10CFR Part 50, "Fracture Toughness Requirements for Protection Against Pressurized Thermal Shock Events," May 15, 1991.  
(PTS Rule)
- [3] Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, "Radiation Embrittlement of Reactor Vessel Materials," U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, May 1988.
- [4] WCAP-12629, "Analysis of Capsule U from the Houston Lighting and Power Company South Texas Unit 1 Reactor Vessel Radiation Surveillance Program," E. Terek, et al., August 1990.  
(Westinghouse Proprietary Class 3)
- [5] Combustion Engineering Material Chemistry Test Records (on file at Westinghouse, NATD).