Docket No. 50-461

Mr. Frank A. Spangenberg
Manager - Licensing and Safety
Clinton Power Station
P. O. Box 678
Mail Code V920
Clinton, Illinois 61727

DISTRIBUTION
Docket File
NRC & Local PDRs
PD3-3 Reading
BBoger
JZwolinski
JHannon
PKreutzer
CCarpenter

Jlombardo OGC ACRS (10) NKTrehan Region III, DRP PD3-3 Reading

Dear Mr. Spangenberg:

SUBJECT:

STATION BLACKOUT SAFETY EVALUATION FOR CLINTON POWER STATION, RESPUNSE TO THE STATION BLACKOUT RULE, 10 CFR 50.63 "LOSS OF ALL ALTERNATING CURRENT POWER" (TAC NO. M68529)

By letters dated April 16, 1989, March 30, and May 17, 1990, Illinois Power Company submitted responses to the Station Blackout (SBO) Rule, 10 CFR 50.63, "Loss of All Alternating Current Power." Enclosed is the staff's Safety Evaluation (SE) of those responses and the associated Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC) Technical Evaluation Report (TER) SAIC-91/6666, "Clinton Power Station, Station Blackout Evaluation," dated July 31, 1991 (Attachment 1 of the Enclosure).

You have proposed to use the Division III emergency diesel generator (EDG) as an alternate ac (AAC) power source and have submitted your response in the SBO generic response format. The Division III EDG only supports its dedicated bus and is not connectable to the emergency buses of the other divisions. You have not proposed any modifications to use the excess capacity of the Division III EDG to cope with a SBO or it. Therefore, the Division III EDG is not classified by the NRC staff as an power source and Clinton is being evaluated as a coping plant.

The staff finds, that upon the satisfactory resolution of the recommendations presented in the enclosed SE, that your responses and the proposed method of dealing with a SBO can be acceptable. You should submit, within 30 days of receipt of this SE, confirmation of the resolution of these recommendations and present a schedule for the implementation in accordance with 10 CFR 50.63(c)(4). The following areas may require follow-up inspection by the NRC to verify that the implementation of any modifications and the supporting documentation which Illinois Power may propose as a result of this evaluation are adequate to meet the SBO Rule:

- a. hardware and procedural modifications;
- b. SBO procedures in accordance with RG 1.155, Position 3.4, and NUMARC 87-00, Section 4;

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

- operator staffing and training to follow the identified actions in the SBO procedures;
- d. EDG reliability program which meets, as a minimum, the guidelines of RG 1.155; and, but not limited to,
- e. equipment and components required to cope with a SBO which are incorporated in a QA program that meets the guidance of RG 1.155, Appendix A: and, but not limited to,
- f. actions taken pertaining to the specific recommendations noted in the SE.

The guidance provided on Technical Specifications (TS) for a SBO states that the TS should be consistent with the Interim Commission Policy Statement on Technical Specifications. The staff has taken the initial position that TS are required for SBO response equipment. However, the question of how specifications for the SBO equipment will be applied is currently being considered generically by the staff in the context of the Technical Specification Improvement Program and remains as an open item at this time. In the interim, the staff expects plant procedures to reflect the appropriate testing and surveillance requirement to ensure the operability of the necessary SBO equipment. If the staff later determines that TS regarding the SBO equipment is warranted, you will be notified of the implementation requirements.

The attached SE contains nine recommendations and requests that you confirm implementation of these recommendations, including a schedule for their completion, within 30 days of receipt of the SE. Should you conclude that alternatives to these recommendations are more suitable, you should detail these alternatives in your response. However, further technical review may be required.

The information requested in this letter affects fewer than 10 respondents; therefore, OMB clearance is not required under Public Law 96-511.

Sincerely,

original signed by

C. E. Carpenter, Jr., Project Manager Project Directorate III-3 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV/V Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: As stated

cc: See next page

*See Previous Concurrence

PD3-3:LA PKreutzer 05/29/92 *PD3-3:PM JLombardo/bj 05/08/92 PD3-37PM CCarpenter 05/29/92

PD3-3:D JHannon 05/29/92 *OGC JHull 5/13/92



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

May 29, 1992

Docket No. 50-461

Mr. Frank A. Spangenberg Manager - Licensing and Safety Clinton Power Station P. O. Box 678 Mail Code V920 Clinton, Illinois 61727

Dear Mr. Spangenberg:

SUBJECT:

STATION BLACKOUT SAFETY EVALUATION FOR CLINTON POWER STATION, RESPONSE TO THE STATION BLACKOUT RULE, 10 CFR 50.63 "LOSS OF ALL ALTERNATING CURRENT POWER" (TAC NO. M68529)

By letters dated April 16, 1989, March 30, and May 17, 1990, Illinois Power Company submitted responses to the Station Black at (SBO) Rule, 10 CFR 50.63, "Loss of All Alternating Current Power." Enclosed is the staff's Safety Evaluation (SE) of those responses and the associated Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC) Technical Evaluation Report (TER) SAIC-91/6666, "Clinton Power Station, Station Blackout Evaluation," dated July 31, 1991 (Attachment 1 of the Enclosure).

You have proposed to use the Division III emergency diesel generator (EDG) as an alternate ac (AAC) power source and have submitted your response in the SBO generic response format. The Division III EDG only supports its dedicated bus and is not connectable to the emergency buses of the other divisions. You have not proposed any modifications to use the excess capacity of the Division III EDG to cope with a SBO event. Therefore, the Division III EDG is not classified by the NRC staff as an AAC power source and Clinton is being evaluated as a coping plant.

The staff finds, that upon the satisfactory resolution of the recommendations presented in the enclosed SE, that your responses and the proposed method of dealing with a SBO can be acceptable. You should submit, within 30 days of receipt of this SE, confirmation of the resolution of these recommendations and present a schedule for the implementation in accordance with 10 CFR 50.63(c)(4). The following areas may require follow-up inspection by the NRC to verify that the implementation of any modifications and the supporting documentation which Illinois Power may propose as a result of this evaluation are adequate to meet the SBO Rule:

- a. hardware and procedural modifications;
- b. SBO procedures in accordance with RG 1.155, Position 3.4, and NUMARC 87-00, Section 4;

- operator staffing and training to follow the identified actions in the SBO procedures;
- EDG reliability program which meets, as a minimum, the guidelines d. of RG 1.155;
- equipment and comments required to cope with a SBO which are e. incorporated in a UA program that meets the guidance of RG 1.155, Appendix A; and, but not limited to,
- f. actions taken pertaining to the specific recommendations noted in the SE.

The guidance provided on Technical Specifications (TS) for a SBO states that the TS should be consistent with the Interim Commission Policy Statement on Technical Specifications. The staff has taken the initial position that TS are required for SBO response equipment. However, the question of how specifications for the SBO equipment will be applied is currently being considered generically by the staff in the context of the Technical Specification Improvement Program and remains as an open item at this time. In the interim, the staff expects plant procedures to reflect the appropriate testing and surveillance requirement to ensure the operability of the necessary SBO equipment. If the staff later determines that TS regarding the SBO equipment is warranted, you will be notified of the implementation requirements.

The attached SE contains nine recommendations and requests that you confirm implementation of these recommendations, including a schedule for their completion, within 30 days of receipt of the SE. Should you conclude that alternatives to these recommendations are more suitable, you should detail these alternatives in your response. However, further technical review ay be required.

The information requested in this letter affects fewer than 10 respondents: therefore, OMB clearance is not required under Public Law 96-511.

Sincerely,

C. E. Carpenter, Jr., Project Manager Project Directorate III-3

Division of Reactor Projects III/IV/V Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: As stated

cc: See next page Mr. Frank A. Spangenberg Illinois Power Company

cc:

Mr. J. S. Perry Vice President Clinton Power Station Post Office Box 678 Clinton, Illinois 61727

Mr. J. A. Miller
Manager Nuclear Station
Engineering Department
Clinton Power Station
Post Office Box 678
Clinton, Illinois 61727

Sheldon Zabel, Esquire Schiff, Hardin & Waite 7200 Sears Tower 233 Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606

Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission RR#3, Box 229 A Clinton, Illinois 61727

Ms. K. K. Berry Licensing Services Manager General Electric Company 175 Curtner Avenue M/C 382 San Jose, California 95125

Regional Administrator, Region III 799 Roosevelt Road, Building 4 Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Chairman of DeWitt County c/o County Clerk's Office DeWitt County Courthouse Clinton, Illinois 61727

Mr. Robert Neumann Office of Public Counsel State of Illinois Center 100 W. Randolph, Suite 11-300 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Clinton Power Station Unit No. 1

Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety Office of Nuclear Facility Safety 1035 Outer Park Drive Springfield, Illinois 62704

Mr. Donald Schopfer
Project Manager
Sargent & Lundy Engineers
55 East Monroe Oreet
Chicago, Illinois 60603