

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 14, 1996

Mr. Guy R. Horn Vice President - Nuclear Nebraska Public Power District P. O. Box 499 Columbus, NE 68602-0499

SUBJECT: COOPER NUCLEAR STATION - INDIVIDUAL PLANT EXAMINATION (IPE) FOR

INTERNAL EVENTS (TAC NO. M74400)

Dear Mr. Horn:

On March 31, 1993, the Nebraska Public Power District (NPPD) submitted its response to NRC Generic Letter (GL) 88-20, "Individual Plant Examination for Severe Accident Vulnerabilities," and its associated supplements, for the Cooper Nuclear Station (CNS). On October 21, 1994, the NRC staff sent a request for additional information (RAI) regarding that submittal to NPPD. NPPD responded to the RAI in a letter dated February 20, 1995.

The staff's evaluation of the Cooper IPE is documented in the enclosed reports. Enclosure 1 is the Staff Evaluation Report; Enclosures 2, 3 and 4 are the contractor Technical Evaluation Reports (TERs) for the front-end, back-end and human reliability analyses, respectively. Based on these reviews, the staff concludes that: (1) the CNS IPE submittal for internal events including internal flooding is complete with respect to the information requested by GL 88-20 (and associated guidance in NUREG-1335), and (2) the IPE results are reasonable, given the CNS design, operation, and history. The staff concludes that NPPD's IPE process is capable of identifying the most likely severe accidents and severe accident vulnerabilities, and that the CNS IPE has met the intent of GL 88-20.

The Cooper IPE has estimated a total core damage frequency (CDF) of 8E-5 per reactor year, excluding internal flooding; the highest CDF calculated by any licensee for a boiling water reactor. The high CDF is the result of a number of factors and assumptions, such as suppression pool lost at 200°F as a source of water for core cooling, no credit for hardened vent, and no credit for load shedding.

In its review, NPPD used the criteria in Nuclear Management and Resources Council (NUMARC) 91-04, "Severe Accident Issue Closure Guidelines," to screen for plant-specific vulnerabilities. On the basis of these criteria, NPPD did not identify any vulnerabilities and stated that "no modifications are planned based on these insights." NPPD has indicated that it plans to maintain a "living" probabilistic risk analysis (PRA) for CNS and will continue to consider plant modifications or changes, as appropriate, based on revised risk insights.

NING FILE CENTER COPY

In accordance with GL 88-20, NPPD proposed to resolve Unresolved Safety Issue (USI) A-45, "Shutdown Decay Heat Removal Requirements," for CNS. Based on the IPE process used to search for decay heat removal (DHR) vulnerabilities, and our review of CNS plant-specific features, the staff finds that NPPD's DHR evaluation is consistent with the intent of the USI A-45 resolution, and is therefore acceptable. No other splific USIs or generic safety issues were proposed for resolution as part of the CNS IPE.

This completes the staff review of TAC No. 74400. If you have any questions, please call me at (301) 415-1301.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:

David L. Wigginton, Acting Project Manager Project Directorate IV-1 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-298

Enclosures: 1. Staff Evaluation Report

TER (Front-End)
 TER (Back-End)

4. TER (Human Reliability Analysis)

cc w/encl 1: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

w/Enclosures 1, 2, 3 and 4:

Docket File PUBLIC ACRS

D. Wigginton

W. Beckner

N. Su

w/Enclosure 1:

PD4-1 r/f

J. Roe

OGC (15B18)

E. Butcher

P. Noonan

J. Dyer, RIV

E. Adensam (EGA1) W. McNeil, RIV

Document Name: C0074400.IPE

OFC	PD4-20M	PD4-1 \\
NAME	PNoonan	DWigginton:vw
DATE	2/13/96	2/14/96
COPY	YES (NO)	MES/NO

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

In accordance with GL 88-20, NPPD proposed to resolve Unresolved Safety Issue (USI) A-45, "Shutdown Decay Heat Removal Requirements," for CNS. Based on the IPE process used to search for decay heat removal (DHR) vulnerabilities, and our review of CNS plant-specific features, the staff finds that NPPD's DHR evaluation is consistent with the intent of the USI A-45 resolution, and is therefore acceptable. No other specific USIs or generic safety issues were proposed for resolution as part of the CNS IPE.

This completes the staff review of TAC No. 74400. If you have any questions, please call me at (301) 415-1301.

Sincerely,

David L. Wigginton, Acting Project Manager

Project Directorate IV-1

Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-298

Enclosures: 1. Staff Evaluation Report 2. TER (Front-End) 3. TER (Back-End)

4. TER (Human Reliability Analysis)

cc w/encl 1: See next page

Mr. Guy R. Horn Nebraska Public Power Company

cc:

Mr. John R McPhail, General Counsel Nebraska Public Power District P. O. Box 499 Columbus, NE 68602-0499

Nebraska Public Power District ATTN: Mr. John Mueller, Site Manager P. O. Box 98 Brownville, NE 68321

Randolph Wood, Director Nebraska Department of Environmental Control P. O. Box 98922 Lincoln, NE 68509-8922

Mr. Larry Bohlken, Chairman Nemaha County Board of Commissioners Nemaha County Courthouse 1824 N Street Auburn, NE 68305

Senior Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 218 Brownville, NE 68321

Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000 Arlington, TX 76011

Ms. Cheryl Rogers, LLRW Program Manager Division of Radiological Health Nebraska Department of Health 301 Centennial Mall, South P. O. Box 95007 Lincoln, NE 68509-5007

Mr. Ronald A. Kucera, Department Director of Intergovernmental Cooperation Department of Natural Resources P.O. Box 176
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Cooper Nuclear Station

Lincoln Electric System ATTN: Mr. Ron Stoddard 11th & O Streets Lincoln, NE 68508

Midwest Power ATTN: Richard J. Singer, Manager-Nuclear 907 Walnut Street P. O. Box 657 Des Moines, IA 50303

Nebraska Public Power District ATTN: Mr. Robert C. Godley, Nuclear Licensing & Safety Manager P. O. Box 98 Brownville, NE 68321