PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY

AND

ATLANTIC CITY ELECTRIC COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-354

NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS

CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-57 issued to a Public Service Electric and Gas Company and Atlantic City Electric Company (the licensee), for operation of the Hope Creek Generating Station, located on the east shore of the Delaware River in Lower Alloways Creek Township, Salem County, New Jersey.

The proposed amendment would change Hope Creek Generating Station

Technical Specifications 4.6.2.2.b, "Suppression Pool Spray," and 4.6.2.3.b,

"Suppression Pool Cooling," to include flow through the RHR heat exchanger

bypass line (in addition to the RHR heat exchanger) in the Suppression Pool

Cooling and Suppression Pool Spray flow path used during RHR pump testing.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91(a)(6) for amendments to be granted under exigent circumstances, the NRC staff must determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant

hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility inaccordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

 Will not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously analyzed.

The proposed amendment request changes Surveillance Requirement (SR) 4.6.2.3.b of Technical Specification (TS) 3.6.2.3, Suppression Pool Cooling, and SR 4.6.2.2.b of TS 3.6.2.2, Suppression Pool Spray, to clarify that the intent of these specific SRs is to confirm Residual Heat Removal (RHR) pump performance during Suppression Pool Cooling (SPC) and Suppression Pool Spray (SPS) operation. The proposed change revises the SRs to include the RHR heat exchanger bypass line, with the bypass valve closed, and the RHR heat exchanger in the SPC and SPS flow path used during performance of the surveillances.

The RHR system is an accident mitigation system. The proposed changes do not change the operation or capabilities of the RHR system in either mode of operation. The proposed changes do not involve any physical changes to the RHR system. The proposed changes merely modify the acceptable flow path for the surveillance teats, the purpose of which is to verify pump performance in these modes of operation. Therefore, the proposed change to the SRs for the SPC and SPS mode of operation of the RHR system will not increase the probability of an accident previously evaluated.

Furthermore, the performance of the RHR system in any of its operational modes will be unchanged by the proposed change. The changes affect only the pump performance SRs for the SPC and SPS modes of RHR system operation. The surveillances being changed only modify the acceptable flow path used during the performance of the pump performance surveillances. The surveillances still verify that pump performance has not degraded to a point where the accident mitigation function of the system has not been compromised. Therefore, the proposed change will not involve an increase in the consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

The proposed change, a clarification of the SPC and SPS mode flow paths for pump performance testing, does not result in a modification of the RHR system, change the method of SPC or SPS operation, or alter the system's effectiveness. Suppression Pool Cooling and Containment Spray Cooling, of which Suppression Pool Spray is a part, are manually initiated actions. Existing procedures for the initiation of these two modes of operation are unchanged, including the requirement that the Low Pressure Coolant Injection valve is closed before the containment spray valves can be opened. There are no new failure modes created by the proposed changes and no new accident initiating events are created. Therefore, the proposed changes will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

3. Will not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The proposed changes do not change the operation of the RHR systems in any of its modes of operation. The changes only clarify the fact that the purpose of the SRs is to confirm RHR pump performance through the most restrictive conditions of the flow path while operating in either the SPC or SPS modes. The changed surveillances still verify that pump performance has not degraded to a point where the original design basis can not be met. In order to assure the system meets its original design basis, adequate flow through the heat exchanger during surveillance testing will be maintained. Since the function of all of the operational modes of the RHR system are unaffected by the revised surveillance test flow path, the proposed changes will maintain the existing margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 15 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 15-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period, such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 15-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice of issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should cite the publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By March 11, 1996 , the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this

proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Pennsville Public Library, 190 S. Broadway, Pennsville, New Jersey 08070. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific

aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If the amendment is issued before the expiration of the 35-day hearing period, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of me significant hazards consideration. If a hearing is requested, the final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or the be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be

given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to John F. Stolz, Director, Project Directorate I-2: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to M. J. Wetterhahn, Esquire, Winston and Strawn, 1400 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005-3502, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated February 5, 1996, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room, located at the Pennsville Public Library, 190 S. Broadway, Pennsville, New Jersey 08070.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 6th day of February 1996.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

David H. Jaffe, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate I-2

Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of the Nuclear Reactor Regulation