

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20665 June 3, 1992

Docket 50-341

Mr. William S. Orser Senior Vice President - Nuclear Operations Detroit Edison Company 6400 North Dixie Highway Newport, Michigan 48166

Dear Mr. Orser:

SUBJECT: RESPONSE TO ISSUES RAISED BY THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS (ACRS) REGARDING FERMI-2 POWER UPRATE SUBMITTAL (TAC NO. M82102)

During the presentations by GE and DECO representatives and the NRC staff to the ACRS Subcommittee on Thermal Hydraulic Phenomena, the ACRS asked a number of questions regarding both the generic BWR power uprate program and the Fermi-2 plant-specific uprate amendment request. By separate letter, the staff has requested that GE provide additional information to the ACRS regarding the generic BWR power uprate program. Additionally, we understand that your response to a staff Request for Additional Information (RAI) addresses a number of these questions.

Following is a list of the outstanding technical questions pertaining to the Fermi-2 power uprate amendment request, which were raised during the ACRS Subcommittee meeting. Please provide responses to these questions, or indicate the source of this information, if provided under separate cover.

- (1) Discuss the impact of increased reactor operating pressure on HPCI and RCIC reliability.
- (2) Explain the impact of power uprate on the minimum water level experienced during a loss-of-feedwater (LOFW) transient. (GE is providing a generic response to this question.)
- (3) Describe the effect of the flattening of the core radial power distribution on core void fraction, core thermal margins, and stability. (GE is providing a generic response to this question.)
- (4) Discuss the impact of power uprate on operator action times during transient and accident conditions. For example, how does the decrease in minimum water level associated with the LOFW transient affect the time available for operator actions to mitigate further failures?
- (5) Describe the effect of power uprate (flatter radial power distribution, increased decay heat production) on the acceptability of the core spray system to adequately cool the core in the event of a loss-of-coolant accident (LOCA).

9206110130 920603 PDR ADDCK 05000341 P PDR NRC FILE CENTER COPY

Df01 10

This request for information affects fewer than ten respondents; therefore, OMB clearance is not required under P. L. 96-511.

Please respond to these questions within 30 days of receipt of this letter. Your response will be forwarded by the staff to the ACRS for their review. If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact me at (301) 504-1341

Sincerely,

Original signed by

Timothy G. Colburn, Sr. Project Manager Project Directorate III-1 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV/V Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File NRC & LPDRs PD31 Rdg File Fermi p/f

BBoger

JZwolinski

LMarsh TColburn

RStransky

MShuttleworth

ARCS(10)

OGC

WShafer, RIII

OFFICE	LA:PD31	PM:PD31	PM:PD31	D:PD31 /W
NAME	MShuttleworth	RStransky:	TColburn	LMarsh
DATE	612/92	8/2/92	6/2/92	6/3/92

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY FILENAME: C:\ACRSOUS2

cc:

John Flynn, Esquire Senior Attorney Detroit Edison Company 2000 Second Avenue Detroit, Michigan 48226

Nuclear Facilities and Environmental Monitoring Section Office Division of Radiological Health Department of Public Health 3423 N. Logan Street P. O. Box 30195 Lansing, Michigan 48909

Mr. Sian Stasek U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Resident Inspector Office 6450 W. Dixie Highway Newport, Michigan 48166

Monroe County Office of Civil Preparedness 963 South Raisinvile Monroe, Michigan 48161

Regional Administrator, Region III U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Mr. A. Cecil Settles Director - Nuclear Licensing Detroit Edison Company Fermi 2 6400 North Dixie Highway Newport, Michigan 48166