Arkansas Nuclear One - Administrative Services Document Control Wednesday, January 31, 1996

Document Update Notification

COPYHOLDER NO:	103
TO:	NRC - WASHINGTON
ADDRESS:	NRC
DOCUMENT NO:	OP-1903.010
TITLE:	EAL CLASSIFICATION
REVISION NO:	33
CHANGE NO:	AP-33
SUBJECT:	NEW REVISION
	ANO-1 Docket 50-313
	ANO-2 Docket 50-368


Signature

Please sign, date, and return transmittal in envelope provided.

060086

9602060343 960206 PDR ADDCK 05000313 F PDR

Date



1 of 124

33

1.0 PURPOSE

This procedure establishes criteria for detection and classification of plant events into the four standard Emergency Classes.

2.0 SCOPE

This procedure is applicable to Units 1 and 2 in all modes; it does not include specific plant casualty procedures or systems operations requirements, but rather provides administrative processes only.

3.0 REFERENCES

- 3.1 REFERENCES USED IN PROCEDURE PREPARATION:
 - 3.1.1 MNO Emergency Plan
 3.1.2 *6L EAL Bases Document
 3.1.3 UREG-0654/FEMA-REP-1, Rev. 1
 3.1.4 CFR 50
 3.1.5 * Ach Position on Acceptable Deviations to Appendix 1
 t. NULL 2554/FEMA-REP-1, July 11, 1994
- 3.2 REFERENCES L D IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS PROCEDURE:
 - 3.2.1 100 104, "Condition Reporting and Corrective Actions"
 - 3.2.2 1903.0.. "Emerger ty Response/Notifications"
 - 3.2.3 1903.064 "Emacors y Response Facility Control Room"
 - 3.2.4 1903 065, "Ean gency Response Facility Technical Support Center (TSC)"
 - 3.2.5 1903.066, "Emergency Response Facility Operational Support Center (OSC)"
 - 3.2.6 1903.067, "Emergency Response Facility Emergency Operations Facility (EOF)"
 - 3.2.7 1203.025. "Natural Emergencies"
 - 3.2.8 2203.008, "Natural Emergencies"
 - 3.2.9 1202.XXX, "Emergency Operating Procedures"
 - 3.2.10 2202.XXX, "Emergency Operating Procedures"
 - 3.2.11 1404.016, "Post Earthquake Data acquisition and Measurement"
 - 3.2.12 2304.058, "Peak Shock Recorder Surveillance"
 - 3.2.13 1904.002, "Offsite Dose Projections-RDACS Method"
 - 3.2.14 1904.004, "Estimating Airborne Release Rates"
 - 3.2.15 NRC Position Paper on "Timeliness of Classification of Emergency Conditions" dated August 17, 1995

PROC./WORK PLAN NO. 1903.010	PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION				N	PAGE: REV: CHANGE:	2 of 124 33	
3.3	RELATED	ANO PRO	OCEDURES					
	3.3.1	1043	3.042, "F	esponse to	Security C	ontingen	cies"	
	3.3.2	1502	2.004, At	tachment H				
	3.3.3	1903	3.023, "F	ersonnel E	mergency"			
	3.3.4	ANO	Security	Plan/Secu	rity Proced	lures		
	3.3.5	1015	5.007, "#	ire Brigad	e Organizat	ion and	Responsil	bilities"
	3.3.6	1903	3.042, "1	outies of t	he Emergenc	y Medica	l Team"	
	3.3.7	1903	3.043, "1	outies of t	he Emergenc	y Radiat	ion Team	
3.4	REGULATO IMPLEMEN	TED IN	RESPONDE THIS PR	NCE CONTAIN OCEDURE INC	ING NRC COL	MMITMENT	S WHICH A	RE
	3.4.1	OCAN	N068708					
	3.4.2	OCNA	A068807					
			Section Attach Attach	nent 3				
	3.4.30	OCAN	N068320					
		А.	Section	4.15				
	3.4.40	OCAN	N108213					
		А.	3.2.2					
			4.11					
		с.	6.1.4					
	3.4.51	OCAL	N088308					
		А.	5.0					
		в.	6.1					
4.0 DEFINIT	IONS							
4.1	and foll those co	low-up onstitu	notifica uting an	tion to the Emergency	o the Arkan e NRC for c Class as li s", Section	ondition sted in	s/events	other th
4.2	sighting categori	gs that ize the	t have ex e situati	ceeded pre	instrument -determined initiating	limits	which wo	uld
	Notifica Alert	ation c	of Unusua	l Event				

Alert Site Area Emergency General Emergency



1

PROC./WORK P			REWORK PLAN TITLE: MERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION	PAGE: 3 of 124 REV: 33 CHANGE:
		4.2.1	Notification of Unusual Event - Unusual e progress or have occurred which indicate degradation of the level of safety of the releases of radioactive material requirin or monitoring are expected unless further safety systems occurs.	a potential plant. No g offsite respons
		4.2.2	Alert - Events are in progress or have or involve an actual or potential substantia the level of safety of the plant. Any re expected to be limited to small fractions Protective Action Guideline exposure leve	el degradation of eleases are s of the EPA
		4.2.3	Site Area Emergency - Events are in progr occurred which involve actual or likely m plant functions needed for protection of releases are not expected to exceed EPA F Guideline exposure levels except near the	Major failures of the public. Any Protective Action
		4.2.4	General Emergency - Events are in progress which involve actual or imminent substant degradation or melting with the potential containment integrity. Releases can be r to exceed EPA Protective Action Guideline off site for more than the immediate site	ial core for loss of ceasonably expecte e exposure levels
4.		response decision Departme offsite Director and Cont mitigate Technica	y Direction and Control - Overall direction which must include the non-delegable respo- to notify and to recommend protective acti- ent of Health personnel and other authoritie emergency measures. With activation of the typically assumes the responsibility for E rol. The management of on-site facility ac accident consequences remains with the TSC I Support Center. The Shift Superintendent bility for the Control Room and plant syste	nsibilities for t ons to Arkansas s responsible for EOF, the EOF mergency Directio tivities to Director in the retains
4.		facility	y Operations Facility (EOF) - A nearsite em v located approximately 0.65 miles northeast s (the ANO Training Center).	ergency response of the reactor
4.		Emergence is the i radius c	y Planning Zone (EPZ) - The EPZ considered nhalation zone and is that area within appr of ANO.	by this procedure oximately a 10 mi
4.	6	Emergeno	y Response Organization (ERO) - The organiz of the Initial Response Staff (IRS), the E	ation which is OF staff, the TSC

- 4.6 Emergency Response Organization (ERO) The organization which is composed of the Initial Response Staff (IRS), the EOF staff, the TSC staff, the OSC staff, and the Emergency Team members. It has the capability to provide manpower and other resources necessary for immediate and long-term response to an emergency situation.
- 4.7 EPA Protective Action Guideline (PAG) Exposure Levels The projected dose to reference man, or other defined individual, from an unplanned release of radioactive material at which a specific protective action to reduce or avoid that dose is recommended (i.e., 1 Rem TEDE or 5 Rem Child Thyroid (CDE)).
- 4.8 Exclusion Area Boundary That area surrounding ANO within a minimum radius of 0.65 miles of the reactor buildings and controlled to the extent necessary by Entergy Operations during an emergency.

PROC./WORK PLAN NO. 1903.010	PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION	PAGE: 4 of 124 REV: 33 CHANGE:	
			3

- 4.9 Initial Response Staff (IRS) The emergency organization composed of plant personnel which must be able to respond to the site in accordance with Table B-1 of the Emergency Plan.
- 4.10 Normal Makeup (MU) Capacity Normal MU capacity is defined as the maximum expected water addition to the RCS through the MU line with the letdown line isolated. This amount will vary with RC pressure.
- 4.11 Offsite Those areas not covered by Section 4.12.
- 4.12 Onsite The area within the Exclusion Area Boundary.
- 4.13 Operational Support Center (OSC) Emergency response center within the ANO Maintenance Facility where support is coordinated for the following functions:

Onsite Radiological Monitoring Maintenance Nuclear Chemistry Emergency Medical Support Fire Fighting Support

The OSC also serves as the briefing area for repair and damage control teams and is located in the Maintenance Facility.

- 4.14 <u>Technical Support Center (TSC)</u> The location within the ANO Administration Building equipped with instrumentation and communication systems and facilities useful in monitoring the course of an accident; this center is located in the 3rd Floor of the ANO Administration Building.
- 4.15 FISSION PRODUCT BARRIER FAILURE

4.15.1 Fuel Cladding Failure

- A. Unit 1 Greater than 1% failed fuel as indicated by ANY of the following:
 - Nuclear Chemistry analysis of RCS sample yields > 400 uCi/gm specific I-131.
 - Failed Fuel Iodine process monito: (RE 1237) indicates > 8.2 x 10⁵ CPM.
 - Containment Radiation Levels correspond to a Site Area Emergency from Containment Radiation EAL Plot (Attachment 5).
 - Engineering assessment of core damage indicates
 > 1% failed fuel.
- B. Unit 2 Greater than 1% failed fuel as indicated by ANY of the following:
 - Nuclear Chemistry analysis of RCS sample yields > 378 uCi/gm specific I-131.

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE:

1903.010

EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

- Containment Radiation Levels correspond to a Site Area Emergency from Containment Radiation EAL Plot (Attachment 6).
- Engineering assessment of core damage indicates
 > 1% failed fuel.

4.15.2 RCS Boundary Failure

- A. Unit 1 RCS leakage greater than normal makeup capacity (50 gpm).
- B. Unit 2 RCS leakage greater than 44 gpm (capacity of a single Charging Pump).

4.15.3 Containment Integrity Failure

- A. Abnormally high Containment High Range Radiation Monitor readings (RE-8060 or 8061 for Unit 1; 2RY-8925-1 or 2RY-8925-2 for Unit 2) and indications of radiological effluents outside of the Reactor Building that are not attributable to any other source.
- B. In the judgement of the SS/TSC Director/EOF Director, a breach of the Reactor Building exists. The variety of possible Reactor Building integrity failure scenarios precludes the development of an all inclusive list. In the absence of the conditions described in 4.15.3.A above, the SS/TSC Director/EOF Director must judge the potential for an offsite release to occur based on a current status of Reactor Building isolation systems and structural integrity.

4.15.4 Inability to Monitor a Fission Product Barrier

A. Following the failure of two fission product barriers, the inability to monitor the third barrier is to be regarded as equivalent to a failure of that barrier.

4.16 FISSION PRODUCT BARRIER CHALLENGE

- 4.16.1 Challenge to Fuel Cladding: any event or condition which in the judgement of the SS/TSC Director/EOF Director presents the potential for greater than 1% fuel cladding failure; for example:
 - A. RCS temperature and pressure indicates superheated conditions.
 - B. Indications of the core being uncovered.
 - C. Exceeding safety limits (e.g. DNBR or Local Power Distribution)

PROC./WORK PLAN NO.	

Challenge to RCS Boundary: any event or condition which, in 4.16.2 the judgement of the SS/TSC Director/EOF Director could result in RCS leakage in excess of normal makeup capacity (i.e., 50 gpm for Unit 1 or 44 gpm for Unit 2); for example: RCS pressure > 2450 psig and not decreasing. A. Two out of three seals failed on any RCP (U-1). R. Three out of four seals failed on any RCP (U-2). C. Failure of any component resulting in RCS leakage D. greater than Tech. Spec. limits but less than normal make up capacity; (50 gpm) for Unit 1 or (44 gpm) for Unit 2. Challenge to Containment Building Integrity: any event or 4.16.3 condition which in the judgement of the SS/TSC Director/ EOF Director significantly increases the potential for failure of containment integrity; for example: Containment pressure > Reactor Building spray A. actuation setpoint and increasing with no available RB spray or cooling. Hydrogen concentrations in containment > 3.5%. Β. Occurrence of system or component failure which C. degrades the capability to maintain containment integrity as defined by Tech Specs. PLANT TRANSIENT 4.17 Any unplanned reactor trip from criticality. 4.17.1 A planned reactor trip in which the expected post-trip 4.17.2 response did not occur. Any event resulting in an automatic ESAS (Unit 1) or ESF 4.17.3 (Unit 2) actuation or any event requiring manual initiation of these systems where automatic initiation would likely have occurred. Any turbine-generator power change in excess of 100 MWe in 4.17.4 less than one (1) minute other than a momentary spike due to a grid disturbance or a manually initiated runback. Any unplanned main turbine or main feedwater pump turbine 4.17.5 trip which results in a significant plant transient (change in excess of 100 MWe). RESPONSIBILITY AND AUTHORITY 5.0

5.1 The responsibility for event classification is assigned to the individual with responsibility for Emergency Direction and Control (i.e., The Shift Superintendent, TSC Director, or EOF Director).

PROC.WORK PLAN NO.	PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE:	PAGE:	7 of 124
1903.010	EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION	REV: CHANGE:	33

- 5.2 The Control Room Supervisor (CRS) will assume Emergency Direction and Control responsibilities whenever the SS is not available to assume this responsibility (e.g. the SS becomes incapacitated and a replacement has not yet arrived).
 - 5.3 Any individual who observes an initiating condition which warrants an emergency class declaration, as described in Attachments 3 and 4, shall immediately notify the person with current responsibility for Emergency Direction and Control (i.e. SS/TSC Director/EOF Director).

6.0 INSTRUCTIONS

NOTE On emergencies that effect both units such as earthquakes, tornado's, etc., the unit with the highest Emergency Action Level Classification should be the one that is declaring the emergency.

6.1 CLASSIFYING EMERGENCIES:

NRC guidelines recommend that once indications are available to ANO staff that an EAL has been exceeded, a 15 minute goal is a reasonable period of time for assessing and classifying an emergency

- 6.1.1 When indications of abnormal occurrences are received by the Control Room staff, the SS/TSC Director/EOF Director shall:
 - A. Verify the indications of the off-normal event or reported sighting.
 - B. Ensure that the immediate actions (e.g., use of Emergency and Abnormal Operating Procedures) are taken for the safe and proper operation of the plant.
 - C. Compare the abnormal conditions with those listed in the "Index Of Emergency Action Levels" (Blue Tabs -Unit 1; Green Tabs - Unit 2).
 - D. Turn to the appropriate tab which corresponds to the condition picked from the Index Of EALs.

NOTE Unit 1 EALs - Blue Tabs Unit 2 EALs - Green Tabs

- E. Assess the information available from valid indications or reports, then:
 - 1. Compare information to criteria given for EAL,
 - Review any Related EALs to determine if the abnormal conditions meet those criteria, and

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE:

EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

3. Declare the emergency classification that is indicated. (IF it appears that different classifications could be made for the current plant conditions, the highest classification indicated should be the one that is declared.

NOTE

The emergency action levels described in this procedure are not intended to be used during maintenance and/or testing situations where abnormal temperature, pressure, equipment status, etc., is expected. In addition, each EAL contains information on the mode(s) of operation during which it is applicable.

- F. If the indications or reports do not match the given EALs, then refer to the Miscellaneous Tab and using appropriate judgement, determine if the plant status warrants an emergency declaration.
- 6.1.2 Due to the speed in which events sometimes progress and the duty of the plant operators to take immediate corrective actions, an event may occur which was classifiable as an emergency, however, prior to offsite notifications the corrective actions taken may have removed the conditions that would have resulted in an emergency declaration. In this situation, offsite authorities (i.e. ADH and NRC) must be notified of the most severe emergency class that occurred (prior to the time when notifications could be made) with a brief description of events and the current plant status provided via form 1903.0112. Subsequent activation of response organizations should be based upon the current plant conditions.
- 6.1.3 If no emergency declaration is apparently necessary, then refer to procedure 1903.011, "Emergency Response/Notifications", Section 6.3 to determine if a Courtesy Call to the Arkansas Department of Health is required.
- 6.1.4 Upon declaration of an emergency classification implement procedure, 1903.011, "Emergency Response Notifications", to ensure that immediate notification requirements are met and the proper Emergency Plan response is taken.
- 6.1.5 Upgrade the emergency classification if plant conditions degrade per steps 6.1.1.A through F.
- 6.1.6 Downgrade the emergency classification when plant conditions have improved and step 6.2 is applicable.
- 6.2 DOWNGRADING THE EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:
 - 6.2.1 Assess the current plant conditions, then perform the following:
 - A. Compare the abnormal conditions with those listed in the "Index Of Emergency Action Levels" (Blue Tabs -Unit 1; Green Tabs - Unit 2)



PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE:

EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

B. Turn to the appropriate tab which corresponds to the condition picked from the Index Of EALs.

> NOTE Unit 1 EALs - Blue Tabs Unit 2 EALs - Green Tabs

- C. Assess the information available from valid indications or reports, compare it to the given EALs. Obtain concurrence from NRC and State officials that downgrading is appropriate (if their emergency response organizations have been activated as a result of this event). Downgrade to the emergency classification that is indicated.
- D. If the indications or reports do not match the given EALs, then refer to the Miscellaneous Tab and using appropriate judgement, determine if the plant status warrants downgrading the emergency classification.
- 6.2.2 Perform notifications to downgrade the emergency classification if appropriate per procedure 1903.011, "Emergency Response/Notifications".
- 6.2.3 If no emergency classification appears necessary, then terminate the emergency per step 6.3.
- 6.2.4 If the emergency classification is still required, repeat steps 6.2.1 through 6.2.3 whenever plant conditions again appear to have improved.
- 6.3 TERMINATING THE EMERGENCY:
 - 6.3.1 Compare the existing plant conditions with the following:
 - A. Plant conditions no longer meet the emergency action level criteria <u>AND</u> it appears unlikely that current conditions will degrade further requiring reinstitution of an emergency classification.
 - B. Non-routine releases of radioactive material to the environment are under control or terminated.
 - C. Any fire, flood, earthquake, or similar emergency condition is controlled or has ceased.
 - D. All specified corrective actions have occurred <u>OR</u> the plant has been placed in the appropriate operational mode.
 - E. All required notifications have been completed.
 - F. NRC and State officials are in agreement that termination or transition to the recovery phase is appropriate (if their emergency response organizations have been activated as a result of this event).

1903.010		EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION	REV: CHANGE:	33
	6.3.	2 If the conditions of 6.3.1 A-F are met, emergency or proceed to the recovery pha		the

7.1 Attachment	1	+	Unit	1	Index	of	EALs	
----------------	---	---	------	---	-------	----	------	--

- 7.2 Attachment 2 Unit 2 Index of EALs
- 7.3 Attachment 3 Unit 1 Emergency Action Levels
- 7.4 Attachment 4 Unit 2 Emergency Action Levels
- 7.5 Attachment 5 Unit 1 Containment Radiation EAL Plot
- 7.6 Attachment 6 Unit 2 Containment Radiation EAL Plot

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 1

UNIT 1

INDEX OF EALS

1.0	PRIMARY	SYSTEM	EVENTS

1.1	RCS Activity Indicates >0.1% Failed FuelNUE
1.2	RCS Activity Indicates > 1% Failed FuelALERT
1.3	Core Damage Indicated with an Inadequate Core Cooling Condition SAE
1.4	Containment Radiation Reading which Indicates LOCA and >1% Cladding
	Failure
1.5	Containment Radiation Reading which Indicates LOCA and >50% Fuel
	OverheatGE
1.6	Core MeltGE
1.7	Loss of or challenge to all 3 Fission Product BarrierGE
RCS I	LEAKAGE
2.1	RCS Leakage > T.S. Limits requiring a plant S/D or C/DNUE
2.2	RCS Leakage > Normal Makeup Capacity (50 gpm) ALERT
2.3	RCS Leakage > Normal Makeup Capacity (50 gpm) with >1.0% Failed
	Fuel ConditionsSAE
2.4	RCS Leakage > HPI CapacitySAE
SECO	NDARY SYSTEM EVENTS
3.1	Uncontrolled OTSG Depressurization Resulting in MSLI ActuationNUE
3.2	OTSG Tube Leak > .347 gpmNUE
3.3	OTSG Tube Leak >10gpm Concurrent with an On-going Steam ReleaseALERT
3.4	OTSG Tube Rupture with Primary to Secondary Leakage > Normal
	Makeup Capacity (50 gpm) with ongoing steam releaseSAE
14 AV	OTSG Tube Leak >1 gpm with >1% Failed Fuel and on-going Steam
3.5	OIDO IUDE DEGR FI GPM HIGH FIC CHILLEN FOR FICE
3.5	Release
	Release
	ReleaseSAE TRICAL POWER FAILURES Degraded PowerNUE
ELEC	ReleaseSAE TRICAL POWER FAILURES Degraded PowerNUE Station BlackoutALERT
ELEC 4.1 4.2	Release
ELEC'	ReleaseSAE TRICAL POWER FAILURES Degraded PowerNUE

5.0 RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS

5.1	Radiological Effluents >.05 mRem/hr TEDE or .15 mRem/hr Child
	Thyroid CDE at Site Boundary or Liquid Radiological Effluents exceed
	Tech Spec LimitsNUE
5.2	Radiological Effluents >.5 mRem/hr TEDE or 1.5 mRem/hr Child
	Thyroid CDE at Site Boundary or Liquid Radiological Effluents exceed
	10 times Tech Spec limitsALERT
5.3	Radiological Effluents >50 mRem/hr TEDE or 150 mRem/hr Child
	Thyroid CDE at the Site Boundary SAE
5.4	Radiological Effluents >250 mRem/hr TEDE or 500 mRem/hr Child
	Thyroid CDE at the Site BoundaryGE
5.5	High Radiation/Airborne LevelsALERT
5.6	Spent Fuel AccidentSAE



2.0

3.0

4.0

PROC.WORK PLAN NO. PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE:

EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

.....

ATTACHMENT 1

UNIT 1

INDEX OF EALS

6.0 SAFETY SYSTEM FUNCTION

6.1	Deviation from T.S. action statements when required to shutdown or
0.1	cooldown or deviations pursuant to 10CFR50.54 (x)
6.2	RPS Failure to Complete an Automatic TripALERT
6.3	RPS Failure to Complete a Manual TripSAE
6.4	Loss of Dose Assessment CapabilitiesNUE
6.5	Loss of CommunicationsNUE
6.6	Loss of Control Room AnnunciatorsALERT
6.7	Loss of Control Room Annunciators with Transient in Progress SAE
6.8	Control Room Evacuation ALERT
6.9	Control Room Evacuation and control of shutdown systems not
	established in 15 minutesSAL
6.10	Loss of Decay Heat Removal Systems ALERT
6.11	Degraded Hot Shutdown Capability SAE

7.0 HAZARDS TO STATION OPERATION

7.1	Security Threat or Attempted Entry or Attempted Sabotage
7.2	Ongoing Security Threat within Protected Area Security FenceALERT
7.3	Oppoing Security Threat Within Plant Building
7.4	Ongoing Security Threat Within CR or Vital AreaGE
7.5	Fire or Explosion Onsite
7.6	Fire or Explosion Onsite Affecting One Train of ANY ES SystemsALERI
7.7	Fire or Explosion Onsite Affecting Both Trains of ANY ES Systems SAL
7.8	Aircraft Crash, Unusual Aircraft Activity, Train Derailment,
	Turbine Failure, Toxic or Flammable Gas ReleaseNUE
7.9	Aircraft Crash, Missiles, Toxic or Flammable Gas Affecting One
	Train of ANY ES SystemsALERI
7.10	Direraft Crash, Missiles, Toxic or Flammable Gas Affecting Both
	Trains of ANY ES SystemsSAE

8.0 NATURAL EVENTS

8.	1	Tornado, Flood, Loss of Dardanelle Reservoir, EarthquakeNUE	1
8.	2	Tornado, High Winds, Flood, Loss of Dardanelle Reservoir,	There is
		EarthquakeALE	"MCL
8.	3	Tornado, High Winds, Flood, Loss of Dardanelle Reservoir,	
		EarthquakeSAE	

9.0 MISCELLANEOUS EVENTS

9.1	Plant Conditions Exist Which Require an Increased Awareness by Operations Staff and State and/or Local Authorities	NUE
	Operations Staff and State and/or Local Authorities	AT INTER
9.2	Plant Conditions Exist that Warrant Activation of the TSC	ALERI
9.3	Plant Conditions Exist that Warrant Activation of the Emergency	
	Response Facilities	DAL
9.4	Plant Conditions Exist That Make Release of Large Amount of	CE
	Radioactivity Possible	GE

PROCEDUREAWORK PLAN TITLE:

ATTACHMENT 2

UNIT 2

INDEX OF EALS

1.0 PRIMARY SYSTEM EVENTS

1.1 RCS Activity Indicates >0.1% Failed Fuel......NUE 1.2 RCS Activity Indicates >1.0% Failed Fuel.....ALERT 1.3 Core Damage Indicated with an Inadequate Core Cooling Condition....SAE 1.4 Containment Radiation Indicates LOCA and >1% Cladding Failure.....SAE 1.5 Containment Radiation Indicates LOCA and >50% Fuel Overheat......GE 1.6 Core Melt with Containment Integrity Lost or Challenged.......GE 1.7 Loss of or challenge to all 3 Fission Product Barriers......GE

2.0 RCS LEAKAGE

2.1 RCS Leakage > Tech Spec Limits requiring a Plant S/D or C/D.....NUE
2.2 RCS Leakage > 44 gpm....ALERT
2.3 RCS Leakage > 44 gpm with ICC Conditions.....SAE

3.0 SECONDARY SYSTEM EVENTS

3.1	Uncontrolled S/G Depressurization Resulting in MSIS ActuationNUE
3.2	S/G Tube Leak > Tech. Spec. LimitsNUE
3.3	S/G Tube Leak >10gpm with an Ongoing Steam Release ALERT
3.4	S/G Tube Rupture >44 gpm With an Ongoing Steam Release and RCS
	Activity > 1.0 µCi/gmSAE

4.0 ELECTRICAL POWER FAILURES

4.1	Degraded PowerNUE
4.2	Station BlackoutALERT
4.3	Loss of All Vital DCALERT
4.4	Blackout > 15 minutesSAE
4.5	Loss of All Vital DC for > 15 minutes

5.0 RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS

5.1	Radiological Effluents >.05 mrem/hr TEDE or .15 Child Thyroid CDE at Site Boundary or Liquid Radiological Effluents exceed
	Tech Spec. LimitsNUE
5.2	Radiological Effluents >.5 mrem/hr TEDE or 1.5 mrem/hr Child
	Thyroid CDE at Site Boundary or Liquid Radiological Effluents
	exceed 10 times Tech. Spec. LimitsALERT
5.3	Radiological Effluents >50 mrem/hr TEDE or 150 mrem/hr Child
	Thyroid CDE at the Site Boundary SAE
5.4	Radiological Effluents >250 mrem/hr TEDE or 500 mrem/hr Child
	Thyroid CDE at the Site BoundaryGE
5.5	High Radiation/Airborne LevelsALERT
5.6	Spent Fuel AccidentSAE



PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 2 UNIT 2

INDEX OF EALS

6.0 SAFETY SYSTEM FUNCTION

6.1	Deviation from T.S. action statements when required to shutdown or
	cooldown or deviations pursuant to 10CFR50.54 (X)NUE
6.2	RPS Failure to Complete an Automatic TripALERT
6.3	RPS Failure to Complete a Manual TripSAE
6.4	Loss of Dose Assessment CapabilitiesNUE
6.5	Loss of CommunicationsNUE
6.6	Control Room Evacuation ALERT
6.7	Control Room Evacuation and control of shutdown systems not
	established in 15 minutesSAE
6.8	Loss of Decay Heat Removal SystemsALERT
6.9	Loss of Both S/Gs as a Heat Removal MethodSAE
6.10	Loss of Control Room AnnunciatorsALERT
6.11	610 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

7.0 HAZARDS TO STATION OPERATION

7.1	Security Threat or Attempted Entry or Attempted SabotageNUL
7.2	Ongoing Security Threat Within Protected Area Security FenceALERT
7.3	Ongoing Security Threat Within Plant BuildingsSAE
7.4	Ongoing Security Threat Within CR or Vital AreaGE
7.5	Fire or Explosion OnsiteNUE
7.6	Fire or Explosion Onsite Affecting One Train of ESF SystemsALERT
7.7	Fire or Explosion Onsite Affecting Both Trains of ESF Systems SAE
7.8	Aircraft Crash, Unusual Aircraft Activity, Train Derailment,
	Turbine Failure, Toxic or Flammable GasNUE
7.9	Aircraft Crash, Missiles, Toxic or Flammable Gas Affecting One
	Train of ESF SystemsALERT
7.10	Aircraft Crash, Missiles, Toxic or Flammable Gas Affecting Both
	Trains of ESF SystemsSAE

8.0 NATURAL EVENTS

8.1	Tornado, Flood, Loss of Dardanelle Reservoir, Earthquake	.NUE
8.2	Tornado, High Winds, Flood, Loss of Dardanelle Reservoir,	
	Earthquake	. ALERT
8.3	Tornado, High Winds, Flood, Loss of Dardanelle Reservoir,	
	Earthquake	. SAE

9.0 MISCELLANEOUS EVENTS

9.1	Plant Conditions	Exist Which Require an Increased Awareness by
	Operations Staff	and State and/or Local AuthoritiesNUE
9.2	Plant Conditions	Exist that Warrant Activation of the TSCALERT
9.3	Plant Conditions	Exist that Warrant Activation of the Emergency
	Response Facility	/SAE
9.4	Plant Conditions	Exist That Make Release of Large Amount of
	Radioactivity Po:	ssibleGE



1 5

6

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 PRIMARY SYSTEM EVENTS

1.1

CONDITION:

RCS Activity indicates >0.1% failed fuel

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE:

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES All

CRITERIA:

ATED EA	LS:			TAB
В.	Failed Fuel Iodin	e monitor (RE 1237)	indicates $>3.3 \times 10^5$ (CPM
		OR		
	µCi/gm specific I	.31		
А.	Nuclear Chemistry	analysis of RCS sam	nple yields >40.0	
Gre	eater than 0.1% faile	d fuel as indicated	by EITHER of the follo	owing:

RCS Activity indicates >1% failed fuel High Radiation / Airborne Levels Initiation of Plant S/D or C/D due to T.S. L.C.O.



PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

TAB

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 PRIMARY SYSTEM EVENTS

1.2

CONDITION:

ł

RCS Activity indicates >1% failed fuel

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. Greater than 1% failed fuel is indicated by Either of the following:

A. Nuclear Chemistry analysis of RCS sample yields >400 µCi/gm specific I¹³¹

OR

B. Failed Fuel Iodine monitor (RE 1237) indicates >8.2 x 10⁵ CPM.

RELATED EALS:

 RCS Activity indicates >0.1% failed fuel
 1

 Containment Radiation indicates LOCA and >1% clad failure
 1

 Loss of or Challenge to 3 Fission Product Barriers
 1

 Core Damage indicated with an ICC Condition
 1

 High Radiation/Airborne Levels
 5

 Initiation of Plant S/D or C/D due to T.S. L.C.O.
 6



ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 PRIMARY SYSTEM EVENTS

1.3

CONDITION:

Core Damage Indicated with an Inadequate Core Cooling Condition

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. Inadequate core cooling capacity exists as evidenced by CETS indicating superheated conditions of Region 3 of Figure 4 of EOP 1202.013.

AND

- 2. Greater than 1% failed fuel is indicated by EITHER of the following:
 - A. Nuclear Chemistry analysis of RCS sample yields >400 µCi/gm specific I¹³¹

OR

B. Failed Fuel Iodine process monitor (RE 1237) indicates >8.2 x 10⁵ CPM.

RELATED EALS:

Containment Radiation High/Very High	1
Core Melt	
Loss of or challenge to 3 Fission Product Barriers RCS Leakage	2
RC5 Deakage	



ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 PRIMARY SYSTEM EVENTS

1.4

CONDITION:

Containment Radiation reading which indicates LOCA and >1% cladding failure

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

¢

Site Area Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. Containment Radiation Levels correspond to a Site Area Emergency as Determined from the Containment Radiation EAL Plot (Att 5)

AND

2. LOCA occurring within the containment building

RELATED EALS:	TAB
Containment Radiation indicates LOCA and > 50% fuel overheat	1
Loss of or Challenge to 3 Fission Product Barriers	1
Core Melt	1
Radiological Effluents	5

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 PRIMARY SYSTEM EVENTS

1.5

CONDITION:

Containment Radiation readings which indicate LOCA and >50% fuel overheat

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

General Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. Containment Radiation Levels correspond to a General Emergency as determined from the Containment Fadiation EAL Plot (Att 5)

AND

2. LOCA occurring within the Containment Building

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE:

RELATED EALS:	TAB
Loss of or Challenge to 3 Fission Product Barriers Radiological Effluents Core Melt	$\frac{\frac{1}{5}}{\frac{1}{1}}$



ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 PRIMARY SYSTEM EVENTS

1.6

CONDITION:

Core Melt

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

General Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. CETs indicate superheat conditions of Region 4 of Figure 4 of EOP 1202.013.

RELATED EALS:

Loss of or Challenge to 3 Fission Product Barriers Containment Radiation High/Very High Radiological Effluents

	TAB	
	1	
	1	
	5	
-	and the state decide as	



ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 PRIMARY SYSTEM EVENTS

1.7

CONDITION:

Loss of or challenge to all 3 Fission Product Barriers

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE:

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

General Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

Either of the following conditions exist: 1. Fuel Cladding Failure (refer to section 4.15.1) A. Challenge to Fuel Cladding (refer to section 4.16.1) В. AND Either of the following conditions exist: 2. A. RCS boundary failure (refer to section 4.15.2) Challenge to RCS boundary (refer to section 4.16.2) Β. AND Either of the following conditions exist: 3. Containment Integrity failure (refer to section 4.15.3) Α. Challenge to Containment Integrity (refer to section 4.16.3) Β.

 RELATED EALS:
 TAB

 Containment Radiation High/Very High
 1

 Core Melt
 1

 Radiological Effluents
 5

 Natural Events
 8



ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 RCS LEAKAGE

2.1

CONDITION:

RCS Leakage > Tech. Spec. limits requiring a Plant S/D or C/D

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES Above CSD

CRITERIA:

1. RCS Leakage exceeds T.S. 3.1.6 requirements necessitating a Plant S/D or C/D

ELATED EALS:	TAB
RCS Leakage > Normal Makeup Capacity (50 gpm)	2
Initiation of Plant S/D or C/D due to TS LCO	6
OTSG Tube Leak	3





ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 RCS LEAKAGE

2.2

CONDITION:

RCS Leakage > Normal Makeup Capacity (50 gpm)

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE:

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES Above CSD

CRITERIA:

1. An RCS leak necessitates EITHER of the following:

A. Need to open the BWST suction for the operating makeup pump due to a decreasing makeup tank level.

OR

B. Full or partial HPI is needed to maintain the RCS Pressure or Pressurizer Level

RELATED EALS:

1

RCS Leakage > Normal Makeup Capacity with Failed Fuel Conditions	2
RCS Leakage > HPI Capacity	2
Containment Radiation High/Very High	1
Core Damage Indicated with an ICC Condition	1
Loss of or Challenge to 3 Fission Product Barriers	1
Radiological Effluents	5
Core Melt	1

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE:

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 RCS LEAKAGE

2.3

CONDITION:

RCS Leakage > Normal Makeup Capacity (50 gpm) with >1.0% Failed Fuel Conditions

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES Above CSD

CRITERIA:

 RCS Leakage > Normal Makeup Capacity (50 gpm) (EAL 2.2) with ≥1.0% Failed Fuel in the RCS (EAL 1.2)

NOTEEAL 2.2RCS Leakage > Normal Makeup Capacity (50 gpm)EAL 1.2RCS Activity Indicates >1% failed fuel

RELATED EALS:

TAB

Containment Radiation indicates LOCA and fuel failure	1
Core Damage Indicated with an ICC Condition Loss of or Challenge to 3 Fission Product Barriers	$-\frac{1}{1}$
Radiological Effluents	5
Core Melt	



1

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 RCS LEAKAGE

2.4

CONDITION:

RCS Leakage > HPI Capacity

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES Above CSD

CRITERIA:

RCS Leakage > HPI Capacity as indicated by:

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE:

A. Full available HPI being injected into the core

AND

B. RCS Pressure/Pressurizer Level continues to decrease or RCS Subcooling margin remains inadequate with no indication of recovery.

RELATED EALS:

Containment Radiation High/Very High Core Damage Indicated with an ICC Condition Loss of or Challenge to 3 Fission Product Barriers Radiological Effluents Core Melt	$ \frac{1}{1} \\ \frac{1}{1} \\ \frac{1}{5} \\ 1 1 $
--	--



ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 SECONDARY SYSTEM EVENTS

3.1

CONDITION:

Uncontrolled OTSG Depressurization Resulting in MSLI Actuation

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE:

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES Above CSD

CRITERIA:

 Any manual or automatic actuation of MSLI due to uncontrolled OTSG depressurization below 600 psig.

RELATED EALS:	TAB
OTSG Tube Leak Radiological Effluents	35



1

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE:

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 SECONDARY SYSTEM EVENTS

3.2

CONDITION:

OTSG Tube Leakage > .347 gpm

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES Above CSD

CRITERIA:

0

 RCS Leak rate of > .347 gpm, with conincident Main Steam line N-16 alarm(s), Steam Line High Range RAD Monitors Increase (RI-2681 or 2682) or condenser off gas process monitor count rate increase or Nuclear Chemistry sample indicating Primary-Secondary tube leak.

RELATED EALS:	TAB
OTSG Tube Leak	<u>3</u>
RCS Leakage	2



ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 SECONDARY SYSTEM EVENTS

3.3

CONDITION:

OTSG Tube Leakage > 10 gpm concurrent with ongoing steam release

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE:

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES Above CSD

CRITERIA:

 RCS Leakrate increase of ≥10 gpm, with coincident Main Steam Line N-16 alarm(s) or condenser off gas process monitor count rate increase, or Steam Line High Range Rad Monitors Increase (RI-2681 or 2682) or Nuclear Chemistry sample indicating Primary-Secondary tube leak.
 <u>AND</u>
 <u>ANY</u> of the following occur:

- A. Loss of offsite powerB. Steam release to the environment indicated by:
 - 1. Main Steam Safety Valve(s) lift

 - 3. P7A running with steam from affected OTSG
 - 4. Steam line break outside containment

RELATED EALS:

TSG Tube Rupture >50 gpm with ongoing steam release	3
TSG Tube Leak with failed fuel	3
ICS Leakage	2
Radiological Effluents	5
ligh Radiation/Airborne Levels	5
lectrical Power Failures	4
oss of or Challenge to 3 Fission Product Barriers	1

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

33

TAB

2

5

4

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 SECONDARY SYSTEM EVENTS

3.4

CONDITION:

OTSG Tube Rupture with primary to secondary leakage >normal makeup capacity (50 gpm) with ongoing steam release

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES Above CSD

CRITERIA:

1.	OTSG Tube Rupture as indicated by BOTH of the following:
	A. RCS Leakage > Normal Makeup Capacity (50 gpm)
	B. Coincident Main Steam line N-16 alarm(s) or condenser off gas process monitor count rate increase or Steam Line High Range Rad Monitors Increase (RI2681 or RI2682) or Nuclear Chemistry Sample indicating Primary-Secondary tube leak.
	AND
2.	ANY of the following occur:
	A. Loss of offsite powerB. Steam release to the environment indicated by:
	 Main Steam Safety Valve(s) lift Use of ADV(s) to control affected OTSG pressure P7A running with steam from affected OTSG Steam line break outside containment

RELATED EALS:

RCS Leakage Radiological Effluents Loss of or Challenge to 3 Fission Product Barriers Electrical Power Failures

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE:

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 SECONDARY SYSTEM EVENTS

3.5

CONDITION:

OTSG Tube Leak >1 gpm with >1% failed fuel with ongoing steam release

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES Above CSD

CRITERIA:

 OTSG Leakrate increase of >1 gpm, with coincident Main Steam Line N-16 alarm(s), or condenser off gas process monitor countrate increase or Nuclear Chemistry sample indicating Primary-Secondary tube leak.

AND

2. Greater than 1% failed fuel indicated in the RCS (EAL 1.2)

AND

ANY of the following occur:

A. Loss of offsite powerB. Steam release to the environment indicated by:

Main Steam Safety Valve(s) lift
 Use of ADV(s) to control affected OTSG pressure
 P7A running with steam from affected OTSG

4. Steam line break outside containment

RELATED EALS:

RCS Leakage Radiological Effluents Loss of or Challenge to 3 Fission Product Barriers Electrical Power Failures

2	
 5	
 1	
 4	

TAB



1

12:

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: **EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION**

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 ELECTRICAL POWER FAILURES

4.1

CONDITION:

Degraded Power

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES All

CRITERIA:

Only Diesel Generator (Station Blackout Diesel or Emergency Diesel) power is 1. available to 4160V Buses (A3 and/or A4).

AND

2. No voltage indicated on 6.9 KV AND 4.16 KV nonvital buses (H1, H2, Al, and A2)

RELATED EALS:	TAB
Blackout OTSG Tube Leak	43



PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE:

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 ELECTRICAL POWER FAILURES

4.2

CONDITION:

Station Blackout

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. All 4160V buses de-energized.

REI	Am	TP D	EAL	Q +
L'ET	141	ELV	Sec. 4	120 1

- 1			
	Blackout more than 15 minutes Loss of Control Room Annunciators	<u> </u>	



PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 ELECTRICAL POWER FAILURES

4.3

CONDITION:

Blackout for more than 15 minutes.

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. All 4160V buses de-energized for greater than 15 minutes.

DE	TBT	ETD.	FAT	C .
P.L.	12021	ELU	EAL	10 .

_
- 1
-
-



ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 ELECTRICAL POWER FAILURES

4.4

CONDITION:

Loss of All Vital DC Power

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. Loss of voltage on ALL of the following busses

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE:

A. D01 and D02 B. RA1 and RA2 C. D11 and D21

1.2

RELATED EALS:

[and the second se		
Loss	of	All Vital DC	Power for more than 15 minutes	4
Loss	of	Control Room	Annunciators	6



ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 ELECTRICAL POWER FAILURES

4.5

CONDITION:

Loss of All Vital DC Power for more than 15 minutes

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE:

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. Loss of voltage on <u>ALL</u> of the following busses

A. D01 and D02
B. RA1 and RA2
C. D11 and D21

AND

2. DC power is not restored within 15 minutes

RELATED EALS:

Fleet	trical Power Failures	4
	of Control Room Annunciators	6



PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS

5.1

CONDITION:

1

Projected or measured activity at the Site Boundary, averaged over one hour, is greater than or equal to .05 mrem/hr TEDE or .15 mrem/hr Child Thyroid CDE or Liquid radiological effluents exceed Tech. Spec. Limits.

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. Radiological Release which exceeds ANY of the following limits

A. Projected activity at the Site Boundary, as calculated by the RDACS method, indicate greater than or equal to .05 mrem/hr TEDE or .15 mrem/hr Child Thyroid CDE.

OR

B. Offsite monitoring teams report activity at the Site Boundary which, when averaged over the previous one hour, exceeds .05 mrem/hr TEDE or .15 mrem/hr Child Thyroid CDE.

OR

C. Liquid radiological effluents exceed Tech. Spec. Limits.

RELATED EALS:

 Radiological Effluents
 5

 High Radiation/Airborne Levels
 5

 OTSG Tube Leak
 3



PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

· · · · · ·

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS

5.2

CONDITION:

Projected or measured activity at the Site Boundary, averaged over one hour, is greater than or equal to .5 mrem/hr TEDE or 1.5 mrem/hr Child Thyroid CDE or Liquid radiological effluents exceed 10 times Tech. Spec. Limits.

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. Radiological Release which exceeds ANY of the following limits

A. Projected activity at the Site Boundary, as calculated by the RDACS method, indicates greater than or equal to .5 mrem/hr TEDE or 1.5 mrem/hr Child Thyroid CDE.

OR

B. Offsite monitoring teams report activity at the Site Boundary which, when averaged over the previous one hour, exceeds .5 mrem/hr TEDE or 1.5 mrem/hr Child Thyroid CDE.

OR

C. Liquid radiological effluents exceed 10 times Tech. Spec. Limits.

RELATED EALS:

Radiological Effluents5OTSG Tube Leak3Containment Radiation High1



EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE:

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS

5.3

CONDITION:

Radiological Effluents are greater than or equal to 50 mrem/hr TEDE or 150 mrem/hr Child Thyroid CDE at the Site Boundary.

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. Radiological Release which exceeds ANY of the following limits

A. Projected dose rates at the Site Boundary, as calculated by the RDACS method, indicate greater than or equal to 50 mrem/hr TEDE or 150 mrem/hr Child Thyroid CDE.

OR

B. Offsite monitoring teams report dose rates at the Site Boundary are greater than or equal to 50 mrem/hr TEDE or 150 mrem/hr Child Thyroid CDE.

ELATED EALS:	TAB
Radiological Effluents	5
Containment Radiation High / Very High	<u>1</u>
Loss of or Challenge to 3 Fission Product Batriers	<u>1</u>
Core Melt	1



PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS

5.4

CONDITION:

Radiological Effluents are greater than or equal to 250 mrem/hr TEDE or 500 mrem/hr Child Thyroid CDE at the Site Boundary.

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

General Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. Radiological Release which exceeds ANY of the following limits

A. Projected dose rates at the Site Boundary, as calculated by the RDACS method, indicate greater than or equal to 250 mrem/hr TEDE or 500 mrem/hr Child Thyroid CDE.

OR

B. Offsite monitoring teams report dose rates at the Site Boundary are greater than or equal to 250 mrem/hr TEDE or 500 mrem/hr Child Thyroid CDE.

RELATED EALS:	TAB
Core Melt Loss of or Challenge to 3 Fission Product Barriers Containment Radiation High / Very High	<u> </u>



ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS

5.5

CONDITION:

High Radiation/Airborne Levels

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES All

CRITERIA:

. The	loss of control of radioactive material results in ANY of the following:
А.	Containment radiation indicates >2R/hr
в.	Area Radiation levels in controlled access (excluding containment) increase by 1 Rem/hr at 2 or more locations.
c.	General area radiation levels outside of radiologically controlled areas increase by 10 mRem/hr.
D.	Airborne levels as follows:
	 Auxiliary Building >100 DAC (General Area) Turbine Building >10 DAC
IOTE:	"Loss of Control" shall be defined as: ANY radioactive material outside its normal system boundries. (For Example: spent resin spill, RCS liquid spill, spent fuel accident resulting in gaseous release, etc.)

RELATED EALS:

Radiological Effluents Containment Radiation High	5
Spent Fuel Accident	5
RCS Leakage	2

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS

5.6

CONDITION:

Spent Fuel Accident

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. The loss of water OR damage to a spent fuel assembly occurs in the spent fuel pool, refueling canal, or Rx core (head removed).

AND

 Radiation levels increase to 10 R/hr on Area Radiation Monitors or 10 Rem/hr HP Survey Report.

RELATED EALS:

Radiological Effluents	5
High Radiation/Airborne Levels	
	whether an an article and an article and the second s



PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 SAFETY SYSTEM FUNCTION

6.1

CONDITION:

.

Deviation from T.S. action statements when required to shutdown or cooldown or deviations pursuant to 10CFR50.54(x)

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES Above CSD

CRITERIA:

1. EITHER of the following conditions exist:

A. Inability to reach required mode within Tech. Spec. limits.

B. Deviation from Tech Specs authorized pursuant to 10CFR50.54(x)

RELATED EALS:	TAB
RCS Leakage	2
OTSG Tube Leak	3
RCS Activity High	1



6.2

CONDITION:

Reactor Protection System Failure to Complete an Automatic Trip

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES Hot Stdy-Pwr Ops

CRITERIA:

A valid RPS trip setpoint is exceeded on ANY TWO RPS channels and the RPS 1. fails to initiate and complete an automatic trip that brings the reactor subcritical.

AND

Subsequent efforts to manually trip the Reactor from the Control Room and 2. bring it subcritical are successful.

RELATED EALS:

RPS Failure to Complete a Manual Trip Core Melt Core Damage Indicated with an ICC Condition Loss of or Challenge to 3 Fission Product Barriers



6

TAB

6.3

CONDITION:

Reactor Protection System Failure to Complete an Manual Trip

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES Hot Stdy-Pwr Ops

CRITERIA:

 A valid RPS trip setpoint is exceeded on ANY TWO RPS channels and the RPS fails to initiate and complete an automatic trip that brings the reactor subcritical.

AND

-162.5

 Failure of manual trip function occurs. (Failure to trip the Reactor in the Control Room; i.e., must leave Control Room to trip the Reactor.)

RELATED EALS:

Loss of or Challenge to 3 Fission Product Boundaries Core Melt	1
Core Damage Indicated with an ICC Condition	1



EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 SAFETY SYSTEM FUNCTION

6.4

CONDITION:

Loss of Dose Assessment Capabilities

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES All

CRITERIA:

The following conditions exist in the PASS Building: 1.

- A. SPING is inoperable AND Β.
- PASS sampling is in progress AND
- Inability to obtain and analyze local grab samples every 2 hours. C.

OR

2. The following conditions exist in the Low Level Radwaste Building:

- A. SPING is inoperable AND
- Compacting is in progress AND Β.
- C. Inability to obtain and analyze local grab samples every 2 hours.
 - OR

3. Reactor Building Purge System or Penetration Ventilation System is not isolable, and the applicable SPING is inoperable.

OR

4. All of the following conditions exist for any source of gaseous effluents in the Auxiliary Building or Spent Fuel Storage Building ventilation systems.

Applicable SPING is inoperable AND Α. Β. Inability to obtain and analyze local grab samples every 2 hours

RELATED EALS:

None

6.5

CONDITION:

Loss of Communications

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. Complete loss of ANY TWO of the following:

A. Plant telephone systems (Commercial Telephones and microwave)

- B. Station Radio
- C. Emergency Notification System

RELATED EALS:

None	
	And wanted of the lattice of the state of th



6.6

CONDITION:

Loss of Control Room Annunciators

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. Loss of both AC and DC power to >50% of control room annunciators.

RELATED EALS:

Loss of Control Room Annunicators with Transient in Progress

TAB

CHANGE:

ATTACHMENT UNIT 1 SAFETY SYSTEM FUNCTION

6.7

CONDITION:

Loss of Control Room Annunciators with Transient in Progress

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

Loss of both AC and DC power to >50% of control room annunciators. 1.

AND

A plant transient is initiated or in progress. (See section 4.17 of this 2. procedure for the definition of a Plant Transient.)

LATED EALS:	TAB
lone	



6.8

CONDITION:

Control Room Evacuation

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. Control Room evacuation is expected to occur OR has already occurred.

RELATED EALS:

Control Room Evacuation and control of shutdown systems not established in 15 minutes Fire or explosion onsite affecting both trains of <u>any</u> ES Systems TAB

6.9

CONDITION:

Control Room Evacuation and control of shutdown systems not established in 15 , minutes.

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

 Control Room evacuation has occurred AND control of shutdown systems is not established from local stations within 15 minutes.

RELATED EALS:

Core Damage Indicated with an ICC Condition Loss of Decay Heat Removal Systems Core Melt	<u> </u>
--	----------

6.10

CONDITION:

Loss of Decay Heat Removal Capabilities

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES CSD-Refueling S/D

CRITERIA:

- Loss of Decay Heat Removal capabilities shall be identified as <u>ANY</u> of the following:
 - A. RCS indicates saturated conditions
 - B. Loss of both Decay Heat trains for >1 hr and OTSGs are not available for decay heat removal (NA if Fuel Transfer Canal is flooded)
 - C. HPI is required for cooling the reactor core.

RELATED EALS:

	170
Core Damage Indicated with an ICC Condition Radiological Effluents	1
Loss of or Challenge to 3 Fission Product Barriers High Radiation/Airborne Levels	$\frac{5}{1}$
Core Melt	

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 SAFETY SYSTEM FUNCTION

6.11

CONDITION:

Degraded Hot Shutdown Capability

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES Above CSD

CRITERIA:

- 1. Degraded HSD capability shall be identified as ANY of the following:
 - A. Loss of <u>ALL</u> steam removal capability on <u>BOTH</u> OTSGs. (eg. Loss of <u>ALL</u> Turbine Bypass Valves, Atmospheric Dump Valves, and Main Steam Safety Valves)
 - B. Loss of ALL feedwater supply capability on BOTH OTSGS. (eg. Loss of BOTH Main Feedwater Trains and BOTH EFW trains)
 - C. BOTH HPI Trains are inoperable.

RELATED EALS:

1
1
1

7.1

CONDITION:

Security threat onsite but outside the Protected Area Security Fence (e.g., attempted entry or sabotage which has been stopped outside the security fence).

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES All

CRITERIA:

Same as the Condition stated above.

RELATED EALS:

Ongoing security Threat Inside Protected Area Fence but outside plant buildings

TAB

EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 HAZARDS TO STATION OPERATION

7.2

CONDITION:

.

Ongoing security threat within the Protected Area Security Fence but outside of plant buildings

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES All

CRITERIA:

Same as the Condition stated above.

RELATED EALS:

Ongoing security threat within plant buildings but not in Control Room or vital areas.

TAB

EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 HAZARDS TO STATION OPERATION

7.3

CONDITION:

Ongoing security threat within plant buildings but not within the Control Room or vital areas

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

Same as the Condition stated above.

RELATED EALS:

Ongoing security Threat within Control Room or Vital Areas



7.4

CONDITION:

Ongoing security threat within the Control Room or vital areas

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

General Emergency

MODES __All

CRITERIA:

Same as the Condition stated above.

RELATED FALS:

None	
이 것은 것은 것 같은 것 같아요. 그 것 같은 것은 것 같은 것 같아요. 가는 것 같아요. 것 같아요.	International distance and international
이 이 집에 다 같이 해야 하는 것 같아. 이 집에 다 가지 않는 것 같아. 이 것 같아. 이 것 같아.	



PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 HAZARDS TO STATION OPERATION

7.5

CONDITION:

Fire or Explosion Onsite

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. Fire within the Protected Area Security Fence which is not extinguished within 10 minutes.

OR

2. Explosion causing facility damage.

RELATED EALS:

Fire or	Explosion	Onsite	Affecting	One Train	of an ES	System	7



7.6

CONDITION:

Fire or Explosion Onsite Affecting One Train of ANY ES Systems

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1.	Fi	re	or	expl	osion	onsite

AND

 A potential or actual loss of a single train of <u>ANY</u> ES system as a result of the fire or explosion

RELATED EALS:

Fire or Explosion Onsite Affecting Both Trains of <u>ANY</u> ES System Control Room Evacuation	7 6



7.7

CONDITION:

Fire or Explosion Onsite Affecting Both Trains of ANY ES Systems

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1.	Fire	or	explosion	onsite

AND

 A potential or actual loss of <u>Both</u> trains of <u>ANY</u> ES system as a result of the fire or explosion

RELATED EALS:

Contr estab.) Room Evacuation and co ished in 15 minutes	ontrol of	shutdown systems	not	6
100					

7.8

CONDITION:

Aircraft Crash, Unusual Aircraft Activity, Train Derailment, Turbine Failure, Toxic or Flammable Gas Release

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. ANY of the following:

A. Aircraft crash onsite.

B. Unusual Aircraft activity over the facility.

C. Train derailment onsite.

D. Turbine rotating component failure causing rapid plant shutdown.

E. Toxic or flammable gas release which limits or restricts access to areas required for security or safe operation of the plant.

RELATED EALS:

	IND
Fire or Explosion Onsite	7
Security Threat	7
Aircraft Crash, Missiles, Toxic or Flammable Gas Affecting One	7
Train of <u>ANY</u> ES System	7

7.9

CONDITION:

Aircraft Crash, Missiles, Toxic or Flammable Gas Affecting One Train of <u>ANY</u> ES Systems

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1.	ANY	of	the	foll	owing	1 :

- A. Aircraft crash onsite.
- B. Missiles/Projectiles from any source
- C. Toxic or flammable gas release

AND

2. A potential OR actual loss of a single train of ANY ES system

RELATED EALS:

Fire or Explosion Onsite Affecting One Train of an ES System Aircraft Crash, Missiles, Toxic or Flammable Gas Affecting Both Trains of ANY ES System	7
--	---

7.10

CONDITION:

Aircraft Crash, Missiles, Toxic or Flammable Gas Affecting Both Trains of ANY ES System

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. ANY of the following:

- A. Aircraft crash onsite.
- B. Missiles/Projectiles from any source
- C. Toxic or flammable gas release

AND

2. A potential OR actual loss of BOTH trains of ANY ES system

ELATED EALS:	TAB
Fire or Explosion Onsite Affecting Both Trains of an ES System	7



ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 NATURAL EVENTS

8.1

CONDITION:

Tornado, Flood, Loss of Dardanelle Reservoir, Earthquake

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. ANY of the following:

- A. Tornado observed on the ground within the Exclusion Area
- B. Flood Lake level >340' elev. and rising, with forecasted lake level >350' elev.
- C. Low Level Lake level <337' elev. AND forecasted by U.S. Army Corp of Engineers to reach 335' elev.
- D. Earthquake VERIFIED earthquake of magnitude of .01g

RELATED EALS:

TAR

tel provincia de la provincia de la compañía de la				an arrive weight the property of a second strategy of the second strategy of the second strategy of the second	IND
Tornado, Hig Earthquake:	h Winds,	Flood, I	Loss of Dardanelle	Reservoir,	8
war endaare i					

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 NATURAL EVENTS

8.2

CONDITION:

Tornado, High Winds, Flood, Loss of Dardanelle Reservoir, Earthquake

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. ANY of the following:

- A. Tornado striking vital facility structures (e.g. housing ES related equipment)
- B. High Winds Sustained winds of >60 mph (10 minute average as reported by RDACS from either the 10 or 57 meter instruments).
- C. Flood Flood waters >350' elev. and are forecasted by U.S. Army Corp of Engineers to reach or exceed 354' elev.
- D. Low Level Lake level <335' elev
- E. Earthquake VERIFIED earthquake of magnitude of >.1g
- F. Any of the above resulting in the potential or actual loss of <u>ONE</u> train of <u>ANY</u> ES system.

RELATED EALS:

Tornado, High Winds, Flood, Loss of or challenge to all	Loss of Dardanelle Reservoir, 3 Fission Product Barriers	Earthquake	8
			And the sub-line of the sub-li
Land and the second			

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: **EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION**

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 NATURAL EVENTS

8.3

CONDITION:

Tornado, High Winds, Flood, Loss of Dardanelle Reservoir, Earthquake

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES Above CSD

CRITERIA:

1. ANY of the following:

- A. High Winds - Sustained winds of >67 mph (10 minute average as reported by RDACS from either the 10 or 57 meter instruments).
- Β. Flood - Flood Water Level is >361' elev.
- Low Level Lake level <335' elev. and Emergency Cooling Pond not C. available
- D. VERIFIED Earthquake >0.2g
- Tornado, high wind, flood, low lake level or earthquake resulting in E. the potential or actual loss of BOTH trains of ANY ES system.

RELATED EALS:

TAD

1705
1
The second secon

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 MISCELLANEOUS EVENTS

9.1

CONDITION:

Other plant conditions exist that warrant increased awareness on the part of the operating staff and state and/or local offsite authorities or require plant shutdown under technical specification requirements or involve other than normal controlled shutdown.

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES All

CRITERIA:

An event has occurred and the following conditions exist:

1. This event is not covered by any other EAL

AND

2. This event does not challenge or cause the loss of a fission product barrier

AND

 In the judgement of the SS/TSC Director/EOF Director this event requires an increased awareness by the ANO staff and offsite authorities.

RELATED EALS:

Plant C	Conditions	Exist	that	Warrant	Precautionary	Activation	of	the	9
									And the second to address a providing spin second second
1									-

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 MISCELLANEOUS

9.2

CONDITION:

Other plant conditions exist that warrant precautionary activation of the Technical Support Center and placing the near-site Emergency Operations Facility and other key emergency personnel on standby.

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES All

CRITERIA:

The following conditions must exist

1. This event is not covered by any other EAL.

AND

 This event must either challenge or cause the loss of a fission product barrier.

OR

Plant conditions exist that warrant activation of the Emergency Response Organization.

RELATED EALS:

TAB

9

Plant Conditions Exist that Warrant Activation of the Emergency Response Centers.



PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 MISCELLANEOUS

9.3

CONDITION:

Other plant conditions exist that warrant activation of the emergency response facilities and monitoring teams or a precautionary notification to the public near the site.

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

The following conditions must exist

1. This event is not covered by any other EAL.

AND

The event must cause ANY of the following:

A. Challenge two fission product barriers

B. Failure of one fission product barrier and a challenge to another

C. Failure of Two fission product barriers

RELATED EALS:

	* 1 540
Plant Conditions Exist that Make Release of Large Amounts of Radioactivity Possible	9
이 같은 것 같은	

ATTACHMENT 3 UNIT 1 MISCELLANEOUS

9.4

CONDITION:

Plant Conditions Exist That Make Release of Large Amounts of Radioactivity Possible

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

General Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

The following conditions must exist:

1. This event is not covered by any other EAL

AND

 Events have occurred that make a release of large amounts of radioactivity in a short period of time possible.

RELATED EALS:

None

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 RCS ACTIVITY

1.1

CONDITION:

RCS Activity indicates >0.1% failed fuel

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES 1-5

CRITERIA:

1. Greater than 0.1% failed fuel as indicated by EITHER of the following:

- A. Selected isotope activity $(I^{131}) > 5.5E^5$ CPM (2RR4806 on 2C14 or 2RITS 4806B on 2C22)
- B. Specific I¹³¹ sample results >37.8 µCi/gm

RELATED EALS:

RCS Activity	1
T.S. L.C.O.'s	6
General Area Radiation/Airborne	5
	Transa ta fa



PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 RCS ACTIVITY

1.2

CONDITION:

RCS Activity indicates >1.0% failed fuel

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES 1-5

CRITERIA:

1. Greater than 1% failed fuel as indicated by RCS Sample Analysis $>378~\mu\text{Ci/gm}$ specific I 131

RELATED EALS:

General Area Radiation/Airborne Containment Radiation	5
Loss of or Challenge to 3 Fission Product Barriers	1
Core Damage/ICC	1
	and the second s

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 Core Damage/ICC

1.3

CONDITION:

Core Damage Indicated with an Inadequate Core Cooling Condition

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES 1-5

CRITERIA:

1.	Great >378	er than 1% failed fuel as indicated by RCS sample analysis $\mu \text{Ci/gm}$ Specific I^{131}
		AND
2.	Inade	quate core cooling capacity exists as indicated by <u>ANY</u> of the following:
	Α.	Th RTD and average CET temperature indicates >10°F superheat AND RVLMS LVL 7 or Lower indicates Dry.
	В.	Th RTD and average CET temperature indicates >10°F superheat with both RVLMS Channels inoperable AND RCS temperatures increasing.
	C.	CET Temperatures indicate greater than 700°F.

RELATED EALS:

Decay Heat Removal	6
Containment Radiation	
Core Melt	
RCS Leakage	



1

EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 CONTAINMENT PARAMETERS

1.4

CONDITION:

Containment Radiation readings which indicate LOCA and >1% cladding failure

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

 Containment Radiation Levels correspond to a Site Area Emergency as determined from the containment radiation EAL plot (Att 6)

AND

2. LOCA occurring within the Containment Building

RELATED EALS:

Containment Radiation Loss of or challenge to 3 Fission Product Barriers Radiological Effluents Core Melt

1	
1	
 5	-
 1	



ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 CONTAINMENT RADIATION

1.5

CONDITION:

Containment Radiation readings which indicate LOCA and >50% fuel overheat

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

General Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

 Containment Radiation Levels correspond to a General Emergency as determined from the containment radiation EAL plot (Att 6)

AND

2. LOCA occurring within the Containment Building

ELATED EALS:	TAB
Loss of or challenge to 3 Fission Product Barriers Radiological Effluents Core Melt	1 1

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 CORE MELT

1.6

CONDITION:

Core Melt with Containment Integrity Lost or Challenged

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

General Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

- 1. ANY of the following events occur
 - A. Small or Large LOCA and a complete failure of ALL ECCS systems occurs.
 - B. Loss of ALL feedwater AND S/G Level in both S/G's is <70" (Wide Range) AND a complete failure of ALL ECCS Systems occurs.
 - C. Anticipated transient without a Rx trip

AND

 Containment Integrity is lost <u>OR</u> challenged as defined by 4.15.3 or 4.16.3 (Definitions)

ELATED EALS:	TAB
Loss of or challenge to 3 Fission Product Barriers	1
Containment Radiation	1
Radiclogical Effluents	5

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 LOSS OF OR CHALLENGE TO 3 FISSION PRODUCT BARRIEPS

1.7

CONDITION:

Loss of or challenge to all 3 Fission Product Barriers

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

General Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. Either of the following conditions exist: Fuel Cladding Failure (refer to section 4.15.1) A. Challenge to Fuel Cladding (refer to section 4.16.1) Β. AND 2. Either of the following conditions exist: Α. RCS boundary failure (refer to section 4.15.2) Β. Challenge to RCS boundary (refer to section 4.16.2) AND 3. Either of the following condition exist Containment Integrity failure (refer to section 4.15.3) A. Β. Challenge to Containment Integrity (refer to section 4.16.3)

RELATED EALS:

Containment Radiation
Core Melt
Radiological Effluents
Natural Events



ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 RCS LEAKAGE

2.1

CONDITION:

RCS Leakage > Tech. Spec. Limits requiring a plant S/D or C/D

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES 1-4

CRITERIA:

RCS Leakage exceeds ANY of the following limits: (T.S. 3.4.6.2) 1. A. RCS pressure boundary leakage >0 B. Unidentified RCS Leakage >1 gpm C. Identified RCS Leakage >10 gpm RCS Pressure Isolation Valves Leakage > T.S. Table 3.4.6.-1 limits D. AND 2. A Plant S/D or C/D is required.

RELATED EALS:	TAB
RCS Leakage	2
T.S. L.C.O.'s	6
Primary to Secondary Leakage	3
General Area Radiation/Administration	5





ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 RCS LEAKAGE

2.2

CONDITION:

.

RCS Leakage > 44 gpm

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES 1-4

CRITERIA:

1. RCS Leakage is >44 gpm (Capacity of a single Charging Pump).

RELATED EALS:

RCS Leakage	2
General Area Radiation/Airborne	
Containment Radiation	
Decay Heat Removal	
Primary to Secondary Leakage	

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 RCS LEAKAGE

2.3

CONDITION:

RCS Leakage > 44 gpm with ICC Conditions

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES 1-4

CRITERIA:

1. RCS Leakage is >44 gpm (Capacity of a single Charging Pump).

AND

- Inadequate Core Cooling conditions exist as indicated by <u>ANY</u> of the following:
 - A. Th RTD and average CET temperature indicates >10°F superheat AND RVLMS LVL 7 or Lower indicates Dry.
 - B. Th RTD and average CET temperature indicates >10°F superheat with both RVLMS Channels inoperable AND RCS temperature increasing.

C. CET Temperatures indicate greater than 700°F.

RELATED EALS:

1
5
1
1
1

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 MSIS

3.1

CONDITION:

Uncontrolled S/G Depressurization Resulting in MSIS Actuation

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES 1-4

CRITERIA:

1. Any actuation of MSIS due to uncontrolled Steam Generator depressurization.

RELATED EALS:

Primary to Secondary Leakage Radiological Effluents	3
	The second s

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 PRIMARY TO SECONDARY LEAKAGE

3.2

CONDITION:

S/G Tube Leak > Tech Spec Limits

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES 1-4

CRITERIA:

1. Primary to Secondary Leakage exceeds EITHER of the following limits

A. Total leakage through both S/G's is > 1 gpm

OR

B. Leakage to 1 S/G is >.5 gpm

RELATED EALS:

	when the second
RCS Leakage	2
Primary to Secondary Leakage	3
Radiological Effluents	5



33

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 PRIMARY TO SECONDARY LEAKAGE

3.3

CONDITION:

S/G Tube Leak >10 gpm with an Ongoing Steam Release

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES 1-4

CRITERIA:

S/G tube leak >10 gpm with a Steam Release in Progress as indicated by ANY of 1. the following:

A. Main Steam Safety Valves maintaing S/G Pressure

- B. SDBCS Atmospheric Dump Valves in Use
- C. Steam Line Break Outside of Containment
- D. 2P7A is in use and continued operation is required to maintain S/G levels.

RELATED EALS:

Primary to Secondary Leakage RCS Leakage	3
General Area Radiation/Airborne	5
Radiological Effluents Electrical Power	5
Liectical rower	4

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 PRIMARY TO SECONDARY LEAKAGE

3.4

CONDITION:

Steam Generator Tube Rupture >44 gpm With an Ongoing Steam Release and RCS Activity > 1.0 $\mu\text{Ci}/\text{gm}.$

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES 1-4

CRITERIA:

S/G tube leak >44 gpm with a Steam Release in Progress as indicated by <u>ANY</u> of the following:
 A. Main Steam Safety Valve(s) maintaining S/G Pressure
 B. SDBCS Atmospheric Dump Valve(s) in Use
 C. Steam Line Break Outside of Containment

D. 2P7A is in use and continued operation is required to maintain S/G levels.

AND

2. RCS Activity > 1.0 μCi/gm (T.S. 3.4.8)

RELATED EALS:

5
1
1
4



PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 ELECTRICAL POWER

4.1

CONDITION:

Degraded Power

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES All Modes

CRITERIA:

1. Temporary Loss of Normal Control Room Lighting

AND

2. No voltage indicated on Both 4.16 KV nonvital busses (2A1 & 2A2)

AND

 One Emergency Diesel or Station Blackout Diesel started and supplying a vital bus (2A3 or 2A4)

RELATED EALS:

Electrical Power MSIS	43
Primary to Secondary Leak	3

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 ELECTRICAL POWER

4.2

CONDITION:

Station Blackout

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES All Modes

CRITERIA:

1. Loss of all Control Room Lighting except emergency DC Lights

AND

2. No voltage indicated on Both 4.16 KV nonvital busses. (2A1 and 2A2)

AND

3. No voltage indicated on Both 4.16 KV vital busses (2A3 and 2A4)

RELATED EALS:

Electrical Power Communications, Dose Assessment Primary to Secondary Leak Decay Heat Removal Core Melt	4 6 3 6 1

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 ELECTRICAL POWER

4.3

CONDITION:

Loss of All Vital DC

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES 1-4

CRITERIA:

1. Loss of <u>All</u> of the following busses has occurred:

A. 2D01 and 2D02 B. 2RA1 and 2RA2 C. 2D21 and 2D23 D. 2D22 and 2D24

ELATED EALS:	TAB
Electrical Power Communications, Dose Assessment	46



87 of 124 33

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 ELECTRICAL POWER

4.4

CONDITION:

Blackout >15 minutes.

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. Blackout has occurred as indicated by ALL of the following:

- A. Loss of all Control Room lighting except emergency DC lights
- B. No voltage indicated on Both 4.16 KV nonvital busses (2A1 and 2A2)
- C. Neither Vital 4.16 KV Buss energized (2A3 or 2A4)

AND

2. The Blackout Condition exists for >15 minutes

RELATED EALS:	TAB
Decay Heat Removal Electrical Power Primary to Secondary Leakage Core Melt	6 4 3 1
Radiological Effluents	5

PROCEDURE/N'ORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 ELECTRICAL POWER

4.5

CONDITION:

Loss of ALL Vital DC for >15 minutes

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

Loss of both of the following trains of DC Busses has occurred:

 <u>RED TRAIN</u>
 <u>QD01</u>
 <u>QD02</u>
 <u>QRA1</u>
 <u>QD22</u>
 <u>QD21</u>
 <u>QD22</u>
 <u>QD23</u>
 <u>QD24</u>

 Power is not restored to at least one train within 15 minutes

RELATED EALS:	TAB
Communications, Dose Assessment Decay Heat Removal Core Melt Radiological Effluents	6 6 1 5



PAGE:

REV:

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS

5.1

CONDITION:

Projected or measured activity at the Site Boundary, averaged over one hour, is greater than or equal to .05 mrem/hr TEDE or .15 mrem/hr Child Thyroid CDE or Liquid radiological effluents exceed Tech. Spec. Limits.

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES All

CRITERIA:

- 1. Radiological Release which exceeds ANY of the following limits
 - Α. Projected activity at the Site Boundary, as calculated by the RDACS method, indicate greater than or equal to .05 mrem/hr TEDE or .15 mrem/hr Child Thyroid CDE.

OR

Β. Offsite monitoring teams report activity at the Site Boundary which, when averaged over the previous one hour, exceeds .05 mrem/hr TEDE or .15 mrem/hr Child Thyroid CDE.

OR

Liquid radiological effluents exceed Tech. Spec. Limits. C.

ELATED EALS:	TAB
Radiological Effluents General Area Radiation/Airborne Primary to Secondary Leak	5 5 3



ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS

5.2

CONDITION:

Projected or measured activity at the Site Boundary, averaged over one hour, is greater than or equal to .5 mrem/hr TEDE or 1.5 mrem/hr Child Thyroid CDE or Liquid radiological effluents exceed 10 times Tech. Spec. Limits.

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES All

CRITERIA:

Radiological Release which exceeds <u>ANY</u> of the following limits
 Projected activity at the Site Boundary, as calculated by the RDACS method, indicate greater than or equal to .5 mrem/hr TEDE or 1.5 mrem/hr Child Thyroid CDE.
 <u>OR</u>
 Offsite monitoring teams report activity at the Site Boundary which, when averaged over the previous one hour, exceeds .5 mrem/hr TEDE or 1.5 mrem/hr Child Thyroid CDE.
 <u>OR</u>
 Liquid radiological effluents exceed 10 times Tech. Spec. Limits.

 ReLATED EALS:
 TAB

 Radiological Effluents
 5

 Primary to Secondary Leak
 3

 Containment Radiation
 1



ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS

5.3

CONDITION:

Radiological Effluents are greater than or equal to 50 mrem/hr TEDE or 150 mrem/hr Child Thyroid CDE at the Site Boundary.

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. Radiological Release which exceeds ANY of the following limits

A. Projected dose rates at the Site Boundary, as calculated by the RDACS method, indicate greater than or equal to 50 mrem/hr TEDE or 150 mRem/hr Child Thyroid CDE.

OR

B. Offsite monitoring teams report dose rates at the Site Boundary are greater than or equal to 50 mrem/hr TEDE or 150 mrem/hr Child Thyroid CDE.

RELATED EALS:

TAR

	TAB
adiological Effluents ontainment Radiation oss of or Challenge to 3 Fission Product Barriers ore Melt	5 1 1 1 1

EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 RADIOLOGICAL EFFLUENTS

5.4

CONDITION:

Radiological Effluents are greater than or equal to 250 mrem/hr TEDE or 500 mrem/hr Child Thyroid CDE at the Site Boundary.

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

General Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. Radiological Release which exceeds ANY of the following limits

A. Projected dose rates at the Site Boundary, as calculated by the RDACS method, indicate greater than or equal to 250 mrem/hr TEDE or 500 mrem/hr Child Thyroid CDE.

OR

B. Offsite monitoring teams report dose rates at the Site Boundary are greater than or equal to 250 mrem/hr TEDE or 500 mrem/hr Child Thyroid CDE.

 TAB

 Core Melt
 1

 Loss of or Challenge to 3 Fission Product Barriers
 1

 Containment Radiation
 1



EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 GENERAL AREA RADIATION/AIRBORNE

5.5

CONDITION:

High Radiation/Airborne Levels

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. The	loss of control of radioactive material results in ANY of the following:
Α.	Containment radiation indicates >2R/hr
В.	Area Radiation levels in controlled access (excluding containment) increase by 1 Rem/hr at 2 or more locations.
c.	General area radiation levels outside of radiologically controlled areas increase by 10 mRem/hr.
D.	Airborne levels as follows: • Auxiliary Building ≥100 DAC (General Area) • Turbine Building ≥10 DAC
NOTE:	"Loss of Control" <u>Shall</u> be defined as: <u>Any</u> Radioactive material outside its normal system boundaries. (For Example: Spent resin spill, RCS liquid spill, Spent fuel accident resulting in gaseous release, etc.)

 ReLATED EALS:
 TAB

 Radiological Effluents
 5

 Containment Radiation
 1

 Spent Fuel Damage
 5

 RCS Leakage
 2

EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 SPENT FUEL ACCIDENT

5.6

CONDITION:

Spent Fuel Accident

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

 The loss of water OR damage to a spent fuel assembly occurs in the spent fuel pool, refueling canal, or Rx core (head removed).

AND

 Radiation levels increase to 10 R/hr by Area Radiation Monitors or 10 Rem/hr HP survey report.

RELATED EALS:

IAD
5



PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 T.S. L.C.O.'s

6.1

CONDITION:

Deviation from T.S. action statements when required to shutdown or cooldown or deviations pursuant to 10CFR50.54(x)

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES 1-4

CRITERIA:

1. EITHER of the following conditions exist:

A. Inability to reach required mode within Tech. Spec. limits.

B. Deviation from Tech Specs authorized pursuant to 10CFR50.54(x)

ELATED EALS:	TAB
RCS Leakage Primary to Secondary Leakage RCS Activity	2 3 1



ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 RPS FAILURE

6.2

CONDITION:

Reactor Protection System Failure to Complete an Automatic Trip

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES 1-2

CRITERIA:

1.	A valid RPS trip setpoint is exceeded
	AND
2.	Ten (10) or more CEAs fail to insert as result of the automatic trip
	AND
з.	CEAs are inserted either by manual trip or DSS.

ELATED EALS:	TAB
RPS Failure Core Melt Core Damage/ICC	<u> </u>



PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: **EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION**

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 RPS FAILURE

6.3

CONDITION:

Reactor Protection System Failure to Complete a Manual Trip

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES 1-2

CRITERIA:

1. A valid RPS trip setpoint is exceeded AND 2. Ten (10) or more CEAs fail to insert after the RPS, DSS and manual trip (Example: 2B7 & 2B8 feeder breakers opened to insert CEAs due to a failure of automatic and manual RPS trips.)

RELATED EALS: TAB Loss of or Challenge to 3 Fission Product Barriers Core Melt Core Damage/ICC



EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2

LOSS OF COMMUNICATIONS/DOSE ASSESSMENT

6.4

CONDITION:

Loss of Dose Assessment Capabilities

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1.	The following conditions exist in the PASS Building:
	A. SPING is inoperable
	B. PASS sampling is in progress
	C. Inability to obtain and analyze local grab samples every 2 hours.
	OR
2.	The following conditions exist in the Low Level Radwaste Building:
	A. SPING is inoperable
	B. Compacting is in progress
	C. Inability to obtain and analyze local grab samples every 2 hours.
	OR
3.	Reactor Building Purge penetration is not isolable and both the applicable SPING and the Process Radiation Monitor are inoperable.
	OR
4.	All of the following conditions exist for any source of gaseous effluents in the Auxiliary Building, Auxiliary Extension Building, or Spent Fuel Storage Building ventilation systems.
	A. Applicable SPING is inoperable
	B. Applicable Process Radiation Monitor is inoperable
	C. Inability to obtain and analyze local grab samples every 2 hours.

	TAB
Communications, Dose Assessment	6

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 LOSS OF COMMUNICATIONS/DOSE ASSESSMENT

6.5

CONDITION:

Loss of Communications

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES All

CRITERIA:

Complete loss of <u>ANY TWO</u> of the following:
 A. Plant te'ephone systems (Commercial telephones and microwave)
 B. Station Radio
 C. Emergency Notification System

LATED EALS:	TAB
lone	



ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 CONTROL ROOM EVACUATION

6.6

CONDITION:

Control Room Evacuation

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. Control Room evacuation is expected to occur OR has already occurred

RELATED EALS:

Control Room Evacuation	6



101 of 124 33

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 CONTROL ROOM EVACUATION

6.7

CONDITION:

Control Room Evacuation and control of shutdown systems not established in 15 minutes.

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES 1-4

CRITERIA:

 Control Room evacuation has occurred AND control of shutdown systems is not established from local stations within 15 minutes.

RELATED EALS:

Core Damage/ICC Decay Heat Removal	
Core Melt	

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 DECAY HEAT REMOVAL

6.8

CONDITION:

.

Loss of Decay Heat Removal Capabilities

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES 5-6

CRITERIA:

- Loss of Decay Heat Removal capabilities shall be identified as <u>ANY</u> of the following:
 - A. RCS indicates saturated conditions
 - B. Loss of both shutdown cooling trains for >1 hr and S/G's not available for decay heat removal (NA if Fuel Transfer Canal >23 ft)
 - C. HPSI injection required for cooling the core

ELATED EALS:	TAB
Spent Fuel Accident Core Damage/ICC Radiological Effluents Loss of or Challenge to 3 Fission Product Barriers High Radiation/Airborne Core Melt	5 1 5 1 5 1 1



ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 DECAY HEAT REMOVAL

6.9

CONDITION:

Loss of Both S/Gs as a Heat Removal Method

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES 1-4

CRITERIA:

1. ALL of the following conditions exist:

A. S/G level in BOTH S/Gs is <70"

AND

B. ECCS Vent System is utilized

RELATED EALS:

Containment Radiation	1
RCS Leakage	2
Core Melt	11
Loss of or Challenge to 3 Fission Product Barriers	1
	and an an an and a strength of the strength of

REV:

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2

LOSS OF CONTROL ROOM ANNUNCIATORS

6.10

CONDITION:

Loss of Control Room Annunciators

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES ALL

CRITERIA:

1. Loss of BOTH AC and DC power to 9 or more of the Control Room Annunciator Panels.

RELATED EALS:

Loss of Control Room Annunciators with a Transient in progress Electrical Power





ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 LOSS OF CONTROL ROOM ANNUNCIATORS

6.11

CONDITION:

Loss of Control Room Annunciators with a Transient in Progress

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES ALL

CRITERIA:

1. Loss of **BOTH** AC and DC power to 9 or more of the Control Room Annunciator Panels.

AND

 A plant transient is initiated <u>OR</u> in progress. (See Section 4.17 of this procedure for the definition of a Plant Transient).

Electrical Power	4
이 것 같은 것 같	
이 이 가슴이 있는 것이 있는 것이 가슴을 알고 있는 것이 가지 않는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있다. 이 가슴이 있는 것이 가 있는 것이 없는 것이 있는 것이 없는 것이 있는 것이 없는 것이 없 않는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없다. 것이 없는 것이 없다. 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없 한 것이 없는 것이 없다. 것이 없는 것이 없이 있는 것이 없는 것이 없이 않이	And the second descent of a second second
그는 사람이 가장 가장 여러 들었다. 이 가장에 잘 가지만 그 가장에 가지 않는 것이다.	
이 같은 사람이 있는 것이 같은 것 같아. 그는 것이 안 집에서 가지 않는 것이는 것이 많이 나라.	
이 집에 집에 집에 있는 것이 가지 않았다. 이 가격을 잘 들었다. 것이 가지 않는 것이 있는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없다. 것이 없는 것이 없다. 것이 없는 것이 없다. 것이 없는 것이 않는 것이 없는 것이 않는 것이 않이 않는 것이 않는 것이 않는 것이 않는 것이 않 않이 않는 것이 않이 않는 것이 않는 것이 않이 않이 않이 않이 않이	
이 이 것 같아요. 이 것 같아요. 이 것 같아? 것 같아요. 이 이 가지 않는 것 이 가지 않는 것 같아.	
Lesson and the second se	 A second state of the second state

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 SECURITY THREAT

7.1

CONDITION:

Security threat onsite but outside the Protected Area Security Fence (e.g. attempted entry or sabotage which has been stopped outside the security fence).

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES All

CRITERIA:

Same as the Condition stated above.

RELATED EALS:

Security Threat	7
	And the second se



PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 SECURITY THREAT

7.2

CONDITION:

Ongoing security threat within the Protected Area Security Fence but outside of plant buildings.

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES All

CRITERIA:

Same as the Condition stated above.

RELATED EALS:

TAI

	TAB
Security Threat Fire or Explosion	7 7

EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 SECURITY THREAT

7.3

CONDITION:

.

Ongoing security threat within plant buildings but not within the Control Room or vital areas.

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

RELATED EALS:

Same as the Condition stated above.



curity Threat re/Explosion	7
ITE/Explosion	7
	Construction of the second statement of the second sta

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 SECURITY THREAT

7.4

CONDITION:

Ongoing security threat within the Control Room or vital areas.

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

General Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

Same as the Condition stated above.

RELATED EALS:

None

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 FIRE/EXPLOSION

7.5

CONDITION:

Fire or Explosion Onsite

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1.	Fire within the Protected Area Securi within 10 minutes.	ty Fence	which	is	not	extinguished	

OR

2. Explosion causing facility damage.

RELATED EALS:

Fire or Explosion Security Threat	7
승규는 것은 것을 하는 것은 것을 알았는 것을 가지 않는 것을 하는 것을 가지 않는 것을 하는 것을 것을 했다.	
엄마 같은 것이 같아요. 이 것 같아요. 아이는 것 같아요. 아이는 것이 않았다. 나는 것 같아요.	-
요즘 집에 가지 않는 것 같은 것 같이 다 지않는 것 같아요. 이가 가 잘 했는 것 같아요.	
그는 그 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은	-

ATTACHMINT 4 UNIT 2 FIRE/EXPLOSION

7.6

CONDITION:

Fire or Explosion Onsite affecting One Train of ESF Systems

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1.	Fire	or	explosion	onsite

AND

 A potential or actual loss of a single train of <u>ANY</u> ESF system as a result of the fire or explosion.

RELATED EALS:

	B 2 March 1997 Control of the second state
Fire or Explosion	7
Communications, Dose Assessment	
Control Room Evacuation	6
그 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같이 잘 잘 알려요? 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같이 많을 것 같이 없다.	
Low restances and particular and particu	the second s

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 FIRE/EXPLOSION

7.7

CONDITION:

Fire or Explosion Onsite affecting Both Trains of ESF Systems

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. F	ire	or	exp.	losi	on	onsi	te
------	-----	----	------	------	----	------	----

AND

 A potential or actual loss of Both trains of <u>ANY</u> ESF system as a result of the fire or explosion.

RELATED EALS:

Communications, Dose Assessment Control Room Evacuation	청 김 씨는 영문 김 태표	6



ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 OTHER HAZARDS

7.8

CONDITION:

Aircraft Crash, Unusual Aircraft Activity, Train Derailment, Turbine failure, Toxic or Flammable Gas

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1.	ANY	of	the	foll	owing

- A. Aircraft crash onsite
- B. Unusual Aircraft activity over the facility
- C. Train derailment onsite
- D. Turbine rotating component failure causing rapid plant shutdown
- E. Toxic or flammable gas release which limits or restricts access to areas required for security or safe operation of the plant.

RELATED EALS:

IAD

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 OTHER HAZARDS

7.9

CONDITION:

Aircraft Crash, Missiles, Toxic or Flammable Gas Affecting One Train of ESF Systems

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES All

CRITERIA:

ELATED EALS:	TAB
Fire or Explosion Other Hazards	77
	and the second sec
	Internet as a descent to a descent



PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 OTHER HAZARDS

7.10

CONDITION:

Aircraft Crash, Missiles, Toxic or Flammable Gas Affecting Both Redundant ESF Trains

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. ANY of the following

- A. Aircraft crash onsite
- B. Missiles/Projectiles from any source
- C. Toxic or flammable gas release

AND

2. A potential OR actual loss of BOTH trains of ANY ESF system

TAB	EALS:
7	r Explosion



ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 NATURAL PHENOMENON

8.1

CONDITION:

Tornado, Flood, Loss of Dardanelle Reservoir, Earthquake

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES All

CRITERIA:

1. ANY of the following

- A. Tornado observed on the ground within the Exclusion Area
- Β. Flood - Lake level >340' elev. and rising with forecasted lake level >350' elev.
- Low Level Lake level <337' AND forecasted by U.S. Army Corp of C. Engineers to reach 335'
- D. Earthquake - VERIFIED earthquake of magnitude of .01g

RELATED EALS: TAB Natural Phenomenon 8





ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 NATURAL PHENOMENON

8.2

CONDITION:

Tornado, High Winds, Flood, Loss of Dardanelle Reservoir, Earthquake

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES All

CRITERIA:

- 1. ANY of the following
 - Tornado striking vital facility structures (e.g. housing ES related A. equipment)
 - Β. High Winds - Sustained winds of >72 mph (10 minute average as reported by RDACS from either the 10 or 57 meter instruments).
 - Flood Flood waters >350' and are forecasted by U.S. Army Corp of C. Engineers to reach or exceed 354'
 - Low Level Lake level <335' elevation D.
 - Earthquake VERIFIED Earthquake of magnitude >.1g Ε.

RELATED FALS.

8

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 NATURAL PHENOMENON

8.3

CONDITION:

Tornado, High Winds, Flood, Loss of Dardanelle Reservoir, Earthquake

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES 1-4

CRITERIA:

1.	ANY	of	the	foll	owing

- A. High Winds Sustained winds of >80 mph (10 minute average as reported by RDACS from either the 10 or 57 meter instruments).
- B. Flood Flood Water Level is >361' elev.
- C. Low Level Lake level <335' elev. and Emergency Cooling Pond not available.
- D. VERIFIED Earthquake >0.2g
- E. Tornado, high wind, flood, low lake level or earthquake resulting in the potential or actual loss of BOTH trains of ANY ESF system.

RELATED EALS:

1



ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 MISCELLANEOUS

9.1

CONDITION:

Other plant conditions exist that warrant increased awareness on the part of the operating staff and state and/or local offsite authorities or require plant shutdown under technical specification requirements or involve other than normal controlled shutdown.

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Notification of Unusual Event

MODES All

CRITERIA:

An event has occurred and the following conditions exist:

1. This event is not covered by any other EAL

AND

2. This event does not challenge or cause the loss of a fission product barrier

AND

 In the judgement of the SS/TSC Director/EOF Director this event requires an increased awareness by the ANO Staff and offsite authorities.

ELATED EALS:	TAB
RCS Activity	
RCS Leakage	2
Primary to Secondary Leak	
Radiological Effluents	5
f.S. L.C.O.'s	
Loss of Indications/Communications/Dose Assessment	



PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 MISCELLANEOUS

9.2

CONDITION:

Other plant conditions exist that warrant precautionary activation of the Technical Support Center and placing the near-site Emergency Operations Facility and other key emergency personnel on standby.

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Alert

MODES All

CRITERIA:

The following conditions must exist

1. This event is not covered by any other EAL

AND

 This event must either challenge or cause the loss of a fission product barrier.

RELATED EALS:

RCS Activity	1
RCS Leakage	2
Primary to Secondary Leak	
Radiological Effluents	3
Decay Heat Removal	

PROCEDURE/WORK PLAN TITLE: EMERGENCY ACTION LEVEL CLASSIFICATION

ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 MISCELLANEOUS

9.3

CONDITION:

Other plant conditions exist that warrant activation of emergency response facilities and monitoring teams or a precautionary notification to the public near the site.

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

Site Area Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:

The following conditions must exist

1. This event is not covered by any other EAL

AND

2. This event may cause ANY of the following:

A. Challenge to two fission product barriers

B. Failure of one fission product barrier and a challenge to another

C. Failure of 2 fission product barriers

 TAB

 Core Damage/ICC

 Containment Radiation

 Decay Heat Removal

 Radiological Effluents

 RCS Leakage

 Primary to Secondary Leak



ATTACHMENT 4 UNIT 2 MISCELLANEOUS

9.4

CONDITION:

Plant Conditions Exist That Make Release of Large Amounts of Radioactivity Possible

EMERGENCY CLASSIFICATION:

General Emergency

MODES All

CRITERIA:


The following conditions must exist

1. This event is not covered by any other EAL

AND

 Events have occurred that make a release of large amounts of radioactivity in a short period of time possible.

RELATED EALS: TAB Core Melt 1 Loss of or Challenge to 3 Fission Product Barriers 1 Containment Radiation 1 Radiological Effluents 5




- 1. If the plant has been operating at 100% for the past 30 days, use the reading from RE-8060 or RE-8061.
- 2. If the plant has been operating at less than 100% power for the past 30 days, determine the radiaiton level as follows:

Rad level = Reading from RE-8060 or RE-8061 X ______ 100

estimated ave. power for the past 30 days

- B. Determine the time after shutdown (in hours).
- C. Find the intersection of the values from A an B on the graph.
- D. Determine the emergency class.
 - 1. SITE AREA EMERGENCY intersection is between the two curves
 - 2. GENERAL EMERGENCY intersection is above the upper curve



INSTRUCTIONS

- A. Determine the containment radiation level.
 - 1. If the plant has been operating at 100% for the past 30 days, use the reading from 2RY-8925-1 or 2RY-8925-2.
 - 2. If the plant has been operating at less than 100% power for the past 30 days, determine the radiaiton level as follows:

- B. Determine the time after shutdown (in hours).
- C. Find the intersection of the values from A an B on the graph.
- D. Determine the emergency class.
 - 1. SITE AREA EMERGENCY intersection is between the two curves
 - 2. GENERAL EMERGENCY intersection is above the upper curve