DOCKETED

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 84 SEP 12 A11:09

#### BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND
CAS CO., ET AL

(Hope Creek Generating Station,
Unit 1)

Docket No. 50-354-OL
)

STAFF'S RESPONSE TO INTERVENORS' PETITION
FOR ADDITIONAL TIME TO MAKE EXPERT
WITNESSES AVAILABLE FOR DEPOSITIONS AND
APPLICANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS THE PROCEEDING

#### I. INTRODUCTION

On August 20, 1984 the Public Advocate of the State of New Jersey (the Public Advocate) requested as extension of time until October to make its witnesses available for deposition. The Applicant has opposed this request and moved for dismissal of the proceeding for failure to comply with the Board's discovery order scheduling these depositions by September 3, 1984. For the reasons outlined below, Staff opposes the Applicants' request for dismissal and would allow West Valley's extension.

### II. BACKGROUND

On August 10, 1984 the Licensing Board, in responding to a motion to compel discovery, ordered the Public Advocate to identify its witnesses by August 20, 1984 and have them available for depositions within two weeks thereafter. The Public Advocate timely responded on August 20, 1984 by

for their depositions. In support of its request for this extension, it furnished a detailed report of these individuals' prior commitments that would preclude them from being adequately prepared and available for deposition within the two week period ordered by the Board. On August 24, 1984, Applicants opposed this request and moved to dismiss this proceeding for non-compliance with the Board's August 10, 1984 Order.

#### III. DISCUSSION

Dismissals of proceedings or parties are reserved for the most severe failures of participants to meet their obligations. Commonwealth Edison Company (Byron Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-678, 15 NRC 1400, 1416 (1982). This is not the case here. The Public Advocate has complied with the Board's August 10, 1984 Order by listing its witnesses. In view of the prior commitments of these witnesses and the fact that they were only given two weeks notice to appear for deposition, it is not unreasonable and cannot be considered an act of bad faith for the Public Advocate to request an extension of time for their deposition. Moreover, no prejudice has been demonstrated by the prior unavailability of these witnesses for deposition. There are only three remaining contentions to be litigated. Fuel loading for the Hope Crerk facility will not take place until January 1986. The hearing date will

It should be noted that in Byron the Appeal Board refused to dismiss an intervenor under much more egregious circumstances than here. In that case, in violation of a Board's discovery order, the intervenor had failed to supply any discovery. Id.

not be adversely impacted since it has not yet been scheduled. In addition, with the exception of the deposition schedule set in the Board's Order of August 10, 1984, the Public Advocate has met all of the Board's deadlines in this proceeding. See: "Statement of Policy on Conduct of Licensing Proceedings," CLI-81-8, 13 NRC 452, 454 (1981) regarding the imposition of sanctions in licensing proceedings. For these reasons, Staff opposes the Applicants' request for dismissal.

Staff does not oppose allowing the Public Advocate's witnesses to be deposed in October. As a practical matter, these individuals should be given the opportunity to adequately prepare. Otherwise, a second deposition, at the expense of all parties, may be necessary. This extension should only be granted, however, if the Public Advocate gives assurance that its witnesses are thoroughly prepared so that additional depositions are not required.

Respectfully submitted,

Lee Scott Dewey '

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 10th day of September, 1984

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

\*84 SEP 12 A11:09

DOCKETED

#### BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS CO., ET AL

(Hope Creek Generating Station, Unit 1) Docket No. 50-354-0L

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of "STAFF'S RESPONSE TO INTERVENORS' PETITION FOR ADDITIONAL TIME TO MAKE EXPERT WITNESSES AVAILABLE FOR DEPOSITIONS AND APPLICANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS THE PROCEEDING" in the above-captioned proceeding have been served on the following by deposit in the United States mail, first class, or as indicated by an asterisk, by deposit in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's internal mail system, this 7th day of September, 1984:

Marshall E. Miller, Chairman\*
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. David R. Schink
Administrative Judge
Department of Oceanography
Texas A & M University
College Station, TX 77840

Richard Fryling, Jr., Esq. Assistant General Solicitor Public Service Electric & Gas Co. 80 Park Plaza T5E Newark, New Jersey 07101

Director, Division of Environmental Control Tatnall Building Dover, Delaware 19901 Dr. Peter A. Morris\*
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Troy B. Conner, Jr., Esq. Conner & Wetterhahn 1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 1050 Washington, D.C. 20006

Richard F. Engel, Deputy Atty. Gen. Division of Law Environmental Protection Section Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex CN-112 Trenton, New Jersey 08625

Susan C. Remis, Esq.
Staff Attorney, Department of
the Public Advocate
Division of Public Interest Advocacy
CN-850, Justice Complex
Trenton, New Jersey 06825

Attorney General Tatnall Building Dover, Delaware 19901

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel\* U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Docketing and Service Section\*
Office of the Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. Ken Koschek Planning Group Department of Environmental Protection State of New Jersey CN 402 Trenton, New Jersey 08625 Mr. W. H. Bateman Resident Inspector, USNRC P.O. Box 241 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board Panel\* U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Legal Office
Department of Natural Resources
and Environmental Control
89 Kings Highway, P.O. Box 1401
Dover, Delaware 19903

Lee Scott Dewey