



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 169 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-32
AND AMENDMENT NO. 168 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-37
VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY
SURRY POWER STATION, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2
DOCKET NOS. 50-280 AND 50-281

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated November 7, 1991, Virginia Electric and Power Company (the licensee) requested changes to Technical Specifications (TS) Section 6 for the Surry Power Station, Units 1 and 2. The proposed TS changes would remove language describing, or committing to, any previous operator training programs to reflect the fact that the training programs at Surry Power Station, Units 1 and 2 have been accredited and developed using a systems approach to training (SAT) in accordance with Generic Letter (GL) 87-07, "Information Transmittal of Final Rulemaking for Revisions to Operator Licensing - 10 CFR 55 and Conforming Amendments." These changes would also delete reference to the March 28, 1980 NRC letter and substitute the licensee's SAT-based accredited training programs.

2.0 BACKGROUND

Surry Power Station received accreditation for initial and requalification training of licensed operators and senior operators on November 14, 1985. Accreditation for the Shift Technical Advisor Training Program was received on August 26, 1987. GL 87-07 requires written notification from the licensee that the substitute programs are accredited and, in the case of requalification training programs, certified to be SAT-based. Written notification of the accreditation of the Surry Power Station operator training programs was made to the NRC in accordance with GL 87-07 by letter dated March 20, 1989.

3.0 EVALUATION

The proposed changes apply to Sections 6.1.B.1, 6.1.B.2 and 6.1.B.3 of the TS for the Surry Power Station. The modification to Section 6.1.B.1 deletes reference to the NRC letter of March 28, 1980. The change to Section 6.1.B.2 allows substitution of the accredited training programs (including Shift Supervisor, Assistant Shift Supervisor, Control Room Operator-Nuclear, and Shift Technical Advisor) for the previous training requirements. The revision to Section 6.1.B.3 redefines the responsibility of the Manager-Nuclear Training to encompass the responsibilities for the retraining and replacement

programs that have achieved accreditation, and the responsibility for maintaining accreditation.

The proposed changes have been determined to be administrative in nature, and are consistent with the guidance in NUREG-1262. The March 28, 1980 NRC letter is made obsolete by the implementation of the proposed accredited and certified training programs per GL 87-07. The previous requirements for Shift Technical Advisor in Section 6.1.B.2 are also deleted and replaced with the accredited training programs that include Shift Supervisor, Assistant Shift Supervisor, Control Room Operator-Nuclear, and Shift Technical Advisor. The revision to Section 6.1 B.3 is required to assure implementation and maintenance of the training programs for the Surry Power Station.

4.0 SUMMARY

The staff has reviewed the licensee's proposed revisions to TS Sections 6.1.B.1, 6.1.B.2 and 6.1.B.3 and has found them to be consistent with the guidance of 10 CFR Part 55, GL 87-07, and NUREG-1262. Therefore, the staff finds the proposed revisions to be acceptable.

5.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the Virginia State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendments. The State official had no comment.

6.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendments relate to changes in recordkeeping, reporting, or administrative procedures or requirements. Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR Part 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR Part 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

7.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of these amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: F. Rinaldi

Date: June 1, 1992

DATED: June 4, 1992

AMENDMENT NO. 169 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-32 - SURRY UNIT 1
AMENDMENT NO. 168 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-37 - SURRY UNIT 2

Docket File

NRC & Local PDRs

PDII-2 Reading

S. Varga, 14/E/4

G. Lainas, 14/H/3

H. Berkow

D. Miller

F. Rinaldi

B. Buckley

OGC-WF

D. Hagan, 3302 MNBB

G. Hill (R) P-137

Wenda Jones, ANBB-7103

C. Grimes, 11/F/23

ACRS (10)

CPA/PA

OC/LFMB

M. Sinkule, R-II