

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Wisconsin Electric Power Company
Point Beach Nuclear Plant

Docket Nos. 50-266; 50-301
License Nos. DPR-24; DPR-27

During an NRC inspection conducted from March 16 through May 3, 1992, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions", 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, the violation is listed below:

- A. 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, "Instructions, Procedures, and Drawings", requires in part that activities affecting quality be prescribed by documented instructions, procedures or drawings, of a type appropriate to the circumstances.


Contrary to the above:

1. On April 29, 1992, a pressure test being performed on a portion of the residual heat removal system lacked adequate instructions. The instructions directing the valve lineup for this test failed to assure all necessary valves were placed in their required positions. Additionally, the drawing used at the location of this activity to perform the valve lineup was not appropriate, as it did not contain an accurate depiction of the existent piping configuration.
2. On April 28, 1992, an inspection of an electrical panel was performed with a procedure that did not contain adequate precautions to prevent opening the wrong panel and thereby inadvertently deenergizing a safeguards bus.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement 1).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Wisconsin Electric is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555, with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region III, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or if contested, the basis for disputing the violation; (2) corrective action taken and the results achieved; (3) corrective action to be taken to avoid further violations; and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Where good cause is shown, consideration may be given to extending your response time.

Dated at Glen Ellyn, Illinois
this 15th day of May, 1992


L. Robert Greger, Chief
Reactor Projects Branch 3

9206020274 920515
PDR ADDCK 05000266
G PDR