Entergy Operations, Inc.

W. T. Cottle

May 15, 1992

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Station P1-137 Washington, D.C. 20555

Attention: Document Control Desk

SUBJECT:

Grand Gulf Nuclear Station

Unit 1

Docket No. 50-416 License No. NPF-29

Voluntary Report on Suppression Pool Makeup System

LER 92-002-00

GNRO-92/00044

Gentlemen:

Attached is Licensee Event Report (LER) 92-002 which is a final report.

Yours truly.

WTC/WBA/cd

attachment

cc: Mr. D. C. Hintz (w/a) Mr. J. L. Mathis (w/a) Mr. R. B. McGehee (w/a) Mr. N. S. Reynolds (w/a) Mr. H. L. Thomas (w/o)

> Mr. Stewart D. Ebneter (w/a) Regional Administrator U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II 101 Marietta St., N.W., Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. P. W. O'Connor, Project Manager (w/a) Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop 13H3 Washington, D.C. 20555

9205270318 920515 PDR ADOCK 05000416 PDR

LER92002/SCMPFLR - 1

JE28.

Voluntary Report on Suppression Pool Makeup System	NRC Form 366 19-837		LICENSEE EVENT R	EPORT (LER)		CLEAR RECULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO 3150-0104 EXPIRES 8-31/86
VOLUNTATY   REPORT ON SUPPRESSION   POOL Makeup System	FACILITY NAME IT	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	-	AND DESIGNATION OF THE PARTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF	DOCKET NUMBER	2) PAG5 (3)
Voluntary Report on Suppression Pool Makeup System   Event Date (8)   LER NUMBER (8)   REPORT DATE (7)   OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)	Grand Gu	1f Nuclear Stat	ion		0   5   0   0	0  4  1  6   1 OF 0   6
O   3   2   0   9   2   9   2   0   0   2   0   0   0   5   1   5   9   2   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	Voluntar	y, Report on Sup	pression Pool			VED (8)
0   3   2   0   9   2   9   2   0   0   2   0   0   0   5   1   5   9   2   0   0   5   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	MONTH DAY YEAR	S VEAD   ISKQUENTIAL! "IS	IVERON WALTER TOWN TO VERS	and the second second second second second second second		THE RESIDENCE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF TH
THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR \$ (Check one or more of the following) [11]   MODE (8)   1   20 402(b)   20 408(c)   30 408(c)   50 73(e)(2)(w)   73.71(c)   73.71(c		200000	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE			8 15 10 10 10 1 1 1
MODE (B)   1   26.402(b)   20.408(a)   30.73(a)(2)(iv)   73.71(b)   73.71(a)   73.71(a	0 3 2 0 9	2 9 2 0 0 2 -	0 0 5 1 5 9 2			0 [5]0 [0]0 [ ] ]
POWER 20.405(a)(1)(ii) 80.38(c)(1) 50.73(a)(2)(iv) 73.7(b) 73.		, but a second s			of the following) (1.1	
10   1   0   0   20 406(e)(1)(E)				Total Control of the		and the same of th
20.408(a)(11)(iii)	LEVEL			Property.		
20.406(a)(1)(iv) 50.73(a)(2)(iii) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B) Voluntary  LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)  TELEPHONE NUMBER  AREA COOF  AREA COOF  COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)  COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)  CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE  THE PROPERTIES OF THE COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE	110	Name and Address of the Owner o	processed.	parents.		below and in Text NRC Form.
VILLENSES CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)  William B. Abraham / Engineering Assistant 6 0 1 4 3 7 72 3 1  COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)				poerror.		
William B. Abraham / Engineering Assistant 6 0 1 4 3 7 72 3 1 complete one line for each component failure described in this REPORT (13)		20.408(a)(11(v)		Process Control of the Control of th		Animitary
William B. Abraham / Engineering Assistant 6 0 1 4 3 7 72 3 1 complete one line for each component failure described in this Report 131		and a conference on the second control of the second	LICENSES CONTACT FOR TH	HS LER (12)	a sinciana di mandalan A	
William B. Abraham / Engineering Assistant 6 0 1 4 3 7 72 3 1 COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT 138	NAME				Assessment and approximation	ELEPHONE NUMBER
COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)					AREA COOR	
DAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT M IFAC REPORTABLE	William	B. Abraham / Er	ngineering Ass	istant	6 0 1	4 3 7 72 3 1 1 9
【 10年10年 15年 15年 15年 15年 15年 15年 15年 15年 15年 15		COMPLETE ONE LI	NE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILU	RE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPOR	(T (10)	
	CAUSE SYSTEM CON			SE SYSTEM COMPONENT		
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14) EXPECTED MONTH DAY YES		SUPPLEMENTAL I	EPORT EXPECTED (14)		EXPENTED	
YES IT yes complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE!  ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 space; i.e. approximately fitteen single-space typewritten load) (18)	COLOR DE CONTRACTOR DE CONTRAC		Α		SUBMISSIO	N .

On March 20, 1992, during the review of motor-operated valves in accordance with Generic Letter 89-10, GGNS determined that the actuators for the SPMU dump valves were undersized. This could result in a torque up to 200% of rated on the actuator. During startup testing, these actuators had been overtorqued several times. Limitorque states that the actuators are capable of surviving a one time overtorque of two times the rated torque without sacrifice to the actuator qualification. The overtorqued actuators were replaced with identical actuators already onsite that had never been overtorqued. Since the valves are only required to stroke open one time to perform their safety function, continued operation until the current refueling outage was justified.

Additionally, a design change was initiated to replace these actuators with properly-sized actuators during the current refueling outage which began April 17, 1992. The occurrence posed no adverse affects on plant safety or the ability to perform their intended functions. This event is being reported as a Voluntary Report.

NRC Form 366A

#### LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED DMB NO 3150-0104

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)
	T T	FEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER	
Grand Gulf Nuclear Station	0  5   0   0   0   4   1   6   9	9 2 - 0 0 2 - 0 0	0 2 0 0 0 6

TEXT IN more apace is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

### A. Reportable Occurrence

On March 20, 1992 an evaluation was completed to establish the required torques/thrusts to open and close certain safety related motor operated valves. This evaluation revealed that the actuators on the Suppression Pool Makeup Dump Valves (Q1E30F001A/B and Q1E30F002A/B) had operated at overtorqued conditions prior to plant startup. Due to industry interest, this event is being reported as a Voluntary Report.

#### B. Initial Conditions

The reactor was in Operational Condition 1, at full power, with reactor water at approximately 529 degrees F and 1022 psig.

## C. Description of Occurrence

The Suppression Pool Makeup System (SPMU) [BT] consists of two redundant subsystems, each of which is capable of dumping the makeup volume from the upper containment pool to the suppression pool by gravity flow. Two 30 inch lines are routed from the upper containment pool, down opposite sides of the steam tunnel, and terminate at elevation 133 ft. (i.e., approximately 21 ft. above the suppression pool). Each line has two series mounted normally closed motor operated butterfly valves. Both valves in each line are powered from the same divisional power source (i.e., the valves in the "A" line are Division I powered and the valves in the "B" line are Division II powered). The design safety function of the SPMU System is to transfer water from the upper containment pool to the suppression pool under the following accident conditions:

- o following a large-break LOCA, when a low suppression pool level is sensed, in order to insure post-LOCA drywell vent coverage of at least two feet above the top of the top row of vents so that long-term steam condensation is maintained, or
- o following a small-break LOCA after a thirty (30) minute time delay, to add additional water to the suppression pool in order to satisfy the long-term suppression pool heat sink requirements.

Generic Letter 89-10 requests that licensees establish:

o the torque/thrust required to open/close all safety related motor operated valves against the maximum expected design differential pressure and flow rate.

Attachment to GNRO-92/00044

NRC Form 3665. (6-63)	LICENSEE EVENT REPO	RT (LER) TEXT CONTINU	JATION		PULATORY COMMISS DMB NO. 3150-0104 1/88
FACILITY NAME (1)	The state of the s	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NU	MBER (6)	PAGE (3)
			YEAR SEG	JENTIAL REVISION	
Grand Gulf N	uclear Station	0   5   0   0   0   4   1   6	9 2 - 0	0 2 - 0 0	0 3 0 0

TEXT IN more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

- o a program to set/verify the torque switch settings based on the calculated torque/thrust requirements.
- o a testing program under which the safety related MOVs are actually stroked against the design flow rate and differential pressure

During implementation of the Generic Letter (GL) 89-10 program, an evaluation revealed a nonconformance with SPMU Dump Valve design specifications.

The torque required to stroke a butterfly valve is dependent on both the flow rate and differential pressure across the valve; therefore, as a part of the GL implementation, Design Engineering performed an evaluation to establish the maximum expected differential pressure and flow rate (i.e., design conditions) which the valve would be expected to stroke against (i.e., open) to perform its design safety function.

The manufacturer of the valves, Henry Pratt Company, was contracted to evaluate the valves at the maximum expected differential pressure and flow rate, which Design Engineering had established, and provide the torque required to stroke the valve.

The valves were then evaluated to determine:

- o if the required torque exceeded the maximum torque which could be applied to the valve without overstressing the weak link, and
- o if the actuator was capable, considering torque switch settings and spring pack ratings, of delivering the torque required to stroke the valve.

This evaluation revealed that the actuators on the SPMU Dump Valves (Q1E30F001A, Q1E30F001B, Q1E30F002A & Q1E30F002B) were undersized and would be required to operate at torque values which were in excess of the manufacturer's published torque rating.

Limitorque states that their SMB actuators are capable of surviving a one-time overtorque of two (2) times the published torque rating without sacrifice to the actuator qualification; however, Limitorque does recommend that the gearing in the actuators, which have been overtorqued, be visually inspected for cracks and/or excessive wear.

Attachment to GNRO-92/00044

Y COMMISSION

AGE (3)

OF

NRC Form 3/5A 9-831		THE RESIDENCE OF THE PERSON OF	CONTRACTOR DESCRIPTION OF STREET, SAN THE STRE	U.B. NUCLEAR REQU	NE WHITE STREET	
1.0-631	LICENSEE EVENT RE	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION APPROVED OMB NO. 3 EXPIRES. 8/31/88				
FACILITY NAME (1)	A SECURE AND A SECURE ASSESSMENT	DOCKST NUMBIR (2)	LER NUMBE	R (6)	1	
			YEAR SEQUENT	AL HEVISION		
Grand Gulf Nu	nclear Station	0 15 10 10 10 14 11 16	d 2-01d	2 -0 10 (	0 1 7	

Therefore, since the actuators on the SPMU Dump Valves had been overtorqued during startup testing and no inspections had been performed as recommended by Limitorque, a Material Nonconformance Report was initiated to document and resolve the condition.

## D. Apparent Cause

TEXT IN menna anama la manusimant com autoblicanal MINO Form MINA (a) 1571

An investigation was performed to identify the cause and circumstances surrounding the condition. It was concluded that the actuators were undersized because the flow rate specified in the design specification for the valves, which was used by the valve manufacturer to size the actuators, was the minimum required flow rate (i.e., 45,500 gpm) to ensure a post-LOCA drywell vent coverage of at least two feet above the top of the top row of vents with maximum ECCS pumpdown of the suppression pool. The valves should have been sized using maximum expected flow rate (i.e., approximately 65,000 gpm) based on the actual configuration of the system.

During startup testing of the SPMU valves, problems associated with the sizing of the actuators were encountered with the installed SMB-000-5 actuators.

When these valves were initially stroked during preoperational testing, under design basis conditions (from the closed to the open position with the upper containment pool full of water), problems such as torque switches tripping or chattering and motor overload relays tripping were observed. Subsequent to observing these occurrences, personnel verified valve orientation and packing tightness in an effort to determine what was causing the problems with the actuators torquing out.

On September 3, 1981, correspondence was sent to the valve manufacturer requesting information on the adequacy of the SMB-000-5 actuators for the SPMU Dump Valve application. The response from the manufacturer stated that the size of the actuators was adequate and suggested that the torque switch settings be increased to eliminate the problems with the torque switches shattering and tripping.

The torque switch settings were set at the highest possible setting (i.e. 5), which due to the configuration of the installed spring packs essentially bypassed the torque switches. With the torque switches set at 5, the valves stroked with no noted problems. The decision was made to bypass or take the torque switches out of the circuit due to the problems which had been encountered during preoperational testing.

F DATES !	Farm	366 A
*********	E 484 SS1	amonto PN
100 49-9		

#### LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED DMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 8/31/88

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)
	YEAR SEQUENTIAL AEVISION NUMBER	
Grand Gulf Nuclear Station	0   5   0   0   0   4   1   6   9 2 - 0   0   2 - 0   0   0	15 OF 016

TEXT Iff more apose is required, use additional NRC Form 365A's. (17)

During the design basis review for MOV's it was discovered that the flow rate specified in the valve specification was developed using non-conservative assumptions. The technique used was an average flow rate (45,000 GPM) required to support draining the upper pools in the required time. Actual flow rate experienced (approx. 62,000 GPM) peaks above this value then falls below the average value as the upper pool level drops. The difference in the two calculation methods resulted in the actual peak flow rate being approximately 1.4 times the average value used by the the AE in the valve specification. This error had gone undiscovered since the end result is the same (i.e. the total volume is transferred in the required time), until a review of the valve actuator capability was undertaken as a result of the current MOV program.

#### E. Corrective Actions

As an interim solution the existing SMB-000-5 actuators, which were undersized, were replaced with refurbished SMB-000-5 actuators obtained from Unit 2 stock. This interim measure was justified for operation until RF05 based on:

- o Limitorque stating that the SMB-000 actuators can survive a one time overtorque of 200% without sacrifice of the actuator qualification.
- o the refurbished Unit 2 actuators have never been overtorqued.
- o the valves are only required to stroke open one time to perform their safety function.

A Design Change will be immented during RF05 to replace the existing SMB-000-5 actuat which are undersized, with SMB-00-10 actuators which are proper sized for the application.

## F. Safety Assessment

The SMB-000-5 actuators, which were removed and replaced with the refurbished SMB-000-5 actuators from Unit 2, were disassembled and the gearing was inspected in accordance with the recommendations specified by Limitorque. No cracks or excessive wear were found in any of the actuators. All of the components were found to be in good condition. Therefore, it was concluded, based on the inspection results, that the actuators were capable of performing their design safety function.

Attachment to GNRO-92/00044

NRC Form 266A (9-63) LICENSEE EV	ENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINU	The state of the s	COLLATORY COMMISSION CIMB NO. 2180-0104 01/88
FACILITY NUME IT	DOCKET MUMBER (2)	VER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)
Grand Gulf Nuclear Stati	on 0   5   6   0   0   4   1   6	912 - 01012 - 010	0 6 0 0 0 6

YEXT IF coore apece is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

# Additional Information

Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes are identified in the text within  $[\ ].$