

ENCLOSURE 1

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Entergy Operations, Inc.
Grand Gulf

Docket No. 50-416
License No. NPF-29

During the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) inspection conducted on March 14 through April 10, 1992, violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1991), the violations are listed below:

- A. Technical Specification 6.8.1.a requires that written procedures be established, implemented and maintained covering the applicable procedures recommended in Appendix "A" of Regulatory Guide 1.33, Revision 2. Regulatory Guide 1.33 recommends procedures to be in place for the control of maintenance, repair, replacement and modification work. Administrative Procedure 01-S-07-1, Control of Work on Plant Equipment and Facilities, provides instructions for authorizing work on plant equipment.

Contrary to the above, Procedure 01-S-07-01 was inadequate in that it allowed the scope of a work order to be changed without an operability review by the control room prior to resuming work. On April 1, 1992, this inadequacy resulted in the loss of air flow monitoring from the fuel handling area (FHA) and the failure to manually estimate the air flow from the FHA as required by Technical Specification 3.3.7.12.

This is a Severity Level IV Violation (Supplement I).

- B. Technical Specification 6.8.1.a requires that written procedures be established, implemented and maintained covering the applicable procedures recommended in Appendix "A" of Regulatory Guide 1.33, Revision 2. Regulatory Guide 1.33 recommends procedures covering equipment control and BWR offgas treatment.
1. Administrative Procedure 01-S-06-1, Protective Tagging System, paragraph 6.3.2.a requires that the operator have the equipment clearance form and tags with him, when positioning switches, valves, fuses, blank flange, etc., to the protective position as indicated on the equipment clearance form.

Administrative Procedure 01-S-06-2, Conduct of Operations, paragraph 6.2.10.f states that one of the specific responsibilities of non-licensed operators is to ensure that the placing and removal of red tags authorized by the Plant Supervisor or Shift Supervisor are completed correctly.

Contrary to the above, on March 27, 1992, an operator accidentally opened a breaker that was not indicated on the equipment clearance form, causing an inadvertent Engineered Safety Feature actuation.

2. Surveillance Procedure 06-OP-1000-D-0001, Daily Operating Logs, Data Sheet III, requires operations to plot percent of rated thermal power versus offgas pretreatment radiation monitor reading every four hours to ensure that the adjusted radiation monitor reading has not increased by greater than 50 percent.

Contrary to the above, on March 28, 1992, at 1600 hours, an operator incorrectly plotted an acceptable reading for percent of rated thermal power versus offgas pretreatment radiation monitor reading. This resulted in an isotopic analysis of the offgas recombiner effluent not being performed as required by TS 4.11.2.7.2.b.

3. Chemistry Procedure 08-S-03-22, Installed Radiation Monitoring System Alarm Setpoint Determination and Control, paragraph 6.1.4.c requires, in part, that after calculations, if an installed effluent radiation monitor setpoint decreases by more than 25 percent, the chemist performing the calculation must recommend to the Shift Superintendent, that the monitor be declared inoperable until the new setpoint is incorporated.

Contrary to the above, on March 25, 1992, a chemist failed to recommend to the Shift Supervisor that the Radwaste Building Vent Monitor be declared inoperable after determining that a setpoint change was required. This resulted in operations not declaring the monitor inoperable per TS 3.3.7.12.a in a timely manner.

This is Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Entergy Operations, Inc. is hereby required to submit a written statement or

explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555, with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region II, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector, Grand Gulf Nuclear Station within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice. This reply should be clearly marked as a "reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include (for each violation): (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dated at Atlanta, Georgia
this 06 day of May 1992