ENCLOSURE (REVISION 7 TO VEGP-2 ISI PROGRAM DOCUMENT ISI-P-014)

TO

GEORGIA POWER COMPANY LETTER LCV-0668, "REVISION 7 TO INSERVICE INSPECTION PROGRAM"

VOGTLE ELECTRIC GENERATING PLANT, UNIT 2 NRC DOCKET 50-425

9601260112 960122 PDR ADOCK 05000425 Q PDR

GEORGIA POWER COMPANY INSERVICE INSPECTION PROGRAM

(ISI-P-014)

FOR

VOGTLE ELECTRIC GENERATING PLANT UNIT 2

PREPARED BY SOUTHERN NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY INSPECTION AND TESTING SERVICES GROUP

REV	DATE	DESCRIPTION		S	INC		GE	°C
			PREP'D BY (ITS)	REV'D BY (ITS)	APPV. BY (ITS)	APPV. VOGTLE PROJECT NMS	APPV. MGR. ENG. SUPP.	APPR GEN. MGR.
0	10/25/88	ORIGINAL ISSUE	\boxtimes	\ge	\times	\times	\times	\times
1	4/10/89	ALL REL. REQ'S 54, 55, 56	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\times	\mathbf{X}
2	5/18/89	REVISED RE. REQ'S 32 & 52	\bowtie	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\times	\times	\ge
3	6/11/90	DELETE RELIEF REQUESTS RR-45,47,48,54 REV RR- 32	\boxtimes	\mathbf{X}	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	X	X
4	6/10/91	INCORPORATE COMMENTS PER GPC LTR MSV-00318, 9/13/90	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	X	\ge
5	3/12/93	INCORPORATE COMMENTS PER PCR92-009 & 93-005	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	$\mathbf{\times}$	\times	\succ
6	11/5/93	INCORPORATE COMMENTS PER PCR 93-018 & ADD GENERAL COMMENTS	\boxtimes	\mathbb{X}	X	\mathbf{X}	X	X
7	11/8/95	REVISED RELIEF REQUESTS SECTION	DAD.	CWR	MB	Steat No	imB	JT6 for JB

VEGP-2 ISI-P-014 List of Effective Pages

Distribution List, Rev. 7 Table of Contents, Rev. 6 Introduction: Page 1-1, Rev. 7 Page 1-2, Rev. 7 Page 1-3, Rev. 7 Page 1-4, Rev. 7 Page 1-5, Rev. 7 Page 1-6, Rev. 7 Class 1: Page 2-1, Rev. 0 Page 2-2, Rev. 0 Page 2-3, Rev. 0 Page 2-4, Rev. 0 Page 2-5, Rev. 0 Page 2-6, Rev. 0 Page 2-7, Rev. 0 Page 2-8, Rev. 0 Page 2-9, Rev. 7 Page 2-10, Rev. 0 Page 2-11, Rev. 0 Page 2-12, Rev. 0 Page 2-13, Rev. 0 Page 2-14, Rev. 0 Page 2-15, Rev. 0 Page 2-16, Rev. 0 Page 2-17, Rev. 0 Page 2-18, Rev. 0 Page 2-19, Rev. 0 Page 2-20, Rev. 0 Page 2-21, Rev. 7 Page 2-22, Rev. 0 Page 2-23. Rev. 1 Page 2-24, Rev. 0 Page 2-25, Rev. 0 Page 2-26, Rev. 0 Page 2-27, Rev. 0 Page 2-28, Rev. 0 Page 2-29, Rev. 0 Page 2-30, Rev. 0 Page 2-31, Rev. 0 Page 2-32, Rev. 7 Page 2-33, Rev. 7

002824

P014 Rev. 7

Class 1 (contin	ued):
Page 2-34,	
Page 2-34a,	
Page 2-35,	
Class 2:	
Page 3-1,	Rev. 0
Page 3-2.	Rev. 7
Page 3-3.	Rev. 0
Page 3-4,	Rev. 2
Page 3-5,	
	Rev. 7
Page 3-7,	Rev. 0
Page 3-8,	Rev. 0
	Rev. 0
Page 3-10,	
Page 3-11,	
Page 3-12,	Rev. 0
Page 3-13,	
Page 3-14,	
Page 3-15,	
Page 3-16,	
Page 3-17,	Rev. 7
Page 3-18,	
Class 3:	
Page 4-1,	Rev. 0
Page 4-2,	Rev. 7
Page 4-3,	Rev. 7
Page 4-4,	Rev. 7
Page 4-5,	Rev. 7
Page 4-6,	Rev. 7
Page 4-7,	Rev. 7
Component S	upports:
Page 5-1,	Rev. 0
Page 5-2,	Rev. 0
Page 5-3,	Rev. 3
Page 5-4,	Rev. 3
	A 16 16 1 1 10
Page 5-5,	Rev. 0
Page 5-5,	Rev . 0
Page 5-5, Page 5-6,	Rev. 0 Rev. 0 Rev. 0
Page 5-5, Page 5-6, Page 5-7,	Rev. 0 Rev. 0 Rev. 0 sts: Rev. 7
Page 5-5, Page 5-6, Page 5-7, Relief Reques	Rev. 0 Rev. 0 Rev. 0 sts:
Page 5-5, Page 5-6, Page 5-7, Relief Reques Page 6-1,	Rev. 0 Rev. 0 Rev. 0 sts: Rev. 7
Page 5-5, Page 5-6, Page 5-7, Relief Reques Page 6-1, Page 6-2,	Rev. 0 Rev. 0 Rev. 0 sts: Rev. 7 Rev. 7
Page 5-5, Page 5-6, Page 5-7, Relief Reques Page 6-1, Page 6-2, Page 6-3,	Rev. 0 Rev. 0 Rev. 0 sts: Rev. 7 Rev. 7 Rev. 7
Page 5-5, Page 5-6, Page 5-7, Relief Reques Page 6-1, Page 6-2, Page 6-3, Page 6-4,	Rev. 0 Rev. 0 Rev. 0 sts: Rev. 7 Rev. 7 Rev. 7 Rev. 7
Page 5-5, Page 5-6, Page 5-7, Relief Reques Page 6-1, Page 6-2, Page 6-3, Page 6-3, Page 6-4, Page 6-4a Page 6-5, Page 6-6,	Rev. 0 Rev. 0 Rev. 0 sts: Rev. 7 Rev. 7 Rev. 7 Rev. 7 Rev. 7
Page 5-5, Page 5-6, Page 5-7, Relief Reques Page 6-1, Page 6-2, Page 6-3, Page 6-3, Page 6-4, Page 6-4a Page 6-5,	Rev. 0 Rev. 0 Rev. 0 sts: Rev. 7 Rev. 7 Rev. 7 Rev. 7 Rev. 7 Rev. 7

Relief Request	s (continued):
Page 6-8,	
Page 6-9,	Rev. 0
Page 6-10,	Rev. 0
Page 6-11,	Rev. 0
Page 6-12,	Rev. 0
Page 6-13,	Rev. 0
Page 6-14,	Rev. 5
Page 6-15,	
Page 6-16,	
Page 6-17,	
Page 6-18,	
Page 6-19,	
Page 6-20,	
Page 6-21,	
Page 6-22,	
Page 6-23,	
Page 6-24, Page 6-25,	
Page 6-25, Page 6-26,	
Page 6-27,	
Page 6-28,	
Page 6-29,	
Page 6-30,	
Page 6-31,	
Page 6-32,	
Page 6-33,	Rev. 4
Page 6-34,	Rev. 0
Page 6-35,	
Page 6-36,	Rev. 7
Page 6-37,	
Page 6-38,	
Page 6-39,	
Page 6-40,	
Page 6-41,	
Page 6-42,	
Page 6-43,	
Page 6-44, Page 6-45,	
Page 6-46,	
Page 6-47,	
Page 6-48,	
Page 6-49,	
Page 6-49a	
Page 6-50,	

P014 Rev. 7

Relief Request	s (continued)
Page 6-51,	and the second se
Page 6-52,	
Page 6-53,	
Page 6-54,	
Page 6-55,	
Page 6-56,	Rev. 0
Page 6-57,	Rev. 0
Page 6-58,	Rev. 0
Page 6-59	Rev. 3
Page 6-59a,	Rev. 3
Page 6-60,	Rev. 3
Page 6-61,	Rev. 0
Page 6-62,	
Page 6-63,	Rev . 0
Page 6-64,	Rev. 0
Page 6-65,	
Page 6-66,	
Page 6-67,	
Page 6-68,	
Page 5-69,	
Page 6-70,	
Page 6-71,	
Page 6-72,	
Page 6-73,	
Page 6-74,	
Page 6-75,	
Page 6-76,	
Page 6-77,	
Page 6-78,	
Page 6-79,	Rev. 0
Page 6-80,	
Page 6-81,	Rev. 0
Page 6-82,	
Page 6-83,	Rev. 0
Page 6-84, Page 6-85,	
Page 6-85a	
Page 6-86,	
Page 6-87,	
	Rev. 3
Page 6-89,	
Page 6-90,	
Page 6-91,	
Page 6-92,	
Tage 0-92,	ILCV. J

P014 Rev. 7

"

Relief Requests (Continued): Page 6-93, Rev. 6 Page 6-93a, Rev. 6 Page 6-93b, Rev. 6 Page 6-94, Rev. 5 Page 6-95, Rev. 4 Page 6-96, Rev. 4 Page 6-97, Rev. 4 Page 6-98, Rev. 0 Page 6-99, Rev. 3 Page 6-100, Rev. 1 Page 6-101, Rev. 1 Page 6-102, Rev. 5 Page 6-103, Rev. 5 Page 6-104, Rev. 5 Page 6-105, Rev. 5 Page 6-106, Rev. 7 Page 6-107, Rev. 7 Page 6-108, Rev. 7 Page 6-109, Rev. 7 Page 6-110, Rev. 7 Page 6-111, Rev. 7 Page 6-112, Rev. 7 Page 6-113, Rev. 7 Page 6-114, Rev. 7 Page 6-115, Rev. 7 Page 6-116, Rev. 7 Page 6-117, Rev. 7 Page 6-118, Rev. 7 Page 6-119, Rev. 7 Page 6-120, Rev. 7 Page 6-121, Rev. 7

002824

5 of 5

P014 Rev. 7

10

VEGP-2 INSERVICE INSPECTION PROGRAM

(ISI-P-014)

DISTRIBUTION LIST

HOLDER	MANUAL NUMBER
T. V. Greene, Jr.	1
Deleted	2
A. E. Cardona	5
Deleted	6
L. K. Mathews	8
J. J. Churchwell	9
K. G. Lowery	10
Deleted	11
L. L. Rowe	12
Supervisor, Engineering Support	13

002824

P014 Rev. 7

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant - Unit 2 (VEGP-2) Inservice Inspection (ISI) Program (ISI-P-014)

Revision 7 Summary of Changes

Affected ISI Program Document Pages

Changes

Distribution List Changed to reflect current organization. 1-1 thru 1-6 Added reference for Pre-VEGP Improved Technical Specification Section changes, added words for clarification and added RR-58 and RR-59 to the General Relief Request listing. Removed references to the Line and Equipment Designation Lists on page 1-1. Also reformatted section. 2-9, 2-21, 2-32, Added applicable relief request numbers in table and 2-33, 2-34, and 2-34a re-formatted the table resulting in an extra page. 3-2, 3-6, 3-16, Added applicable relief request numbers in table and 3-17 and 3-18 re-formatted the table. 4-2 thru 4-7 Added applicable relief request numbers in table. 6-1 thru 6-4a Added references to RR-58 through RR-62 and reformatted the table resulting in an extra page. On page 6-1, revised scope to reflect RRs prepared in addition to the pre-service RRs. 6-35 and 6-36 Removed sentence for clarification on page 6-35 and changed location of Alternate Examination heading for clarification. 6-37 and 6-38 For consistency and clarification, changed relief request format and changed wall thicknesses to reflect appropriate nominal and actual thickness measurements. Also added implementation schedule.

	(continued)
6-40	Revised weld examination limitations for consistency with PSI and ISI examinations and added three welds that were inadvertently omitted from original relief request.
6-45 thru 6-49a	Corrected description, percentage and comment for one weld and re-formatted the table resulting in an extra page.
6-53	Added two welds that were inadvertently omitted in the original relief request.
6-54 and 6-55	Added two CVCS Charging Pump welds that were inadvertently omitted from original relief request. Also made some editorial changes and changed item number on Letdown Heat Exchanger.
6-85 and 6-85a	Changed relief request to note the relocation of snubber testing requirements to a licensee-controlled document.
6-106 thru 6-110	Relief to use the ASME Section XI Code, 1989 Edition with Addenda through 1990 in the repair of a Steam Generator Manway Cover.
6-111 thru 6-113	Request approval to use ASME Code Case N-416-1 and augment the N-416-1 requirements with additional surface examination on the root pass layer of butt and socket welds.
6-114 thru 6-116	Request approval to use ASME Code Case N-498-1.
6-117 thru 6-119	Request approval to use ASME Code Case N-509.
6-120 and 6-121	Request that selected Class 2 components be excluded from the surface and volumetric examination requirements as allowed by the 1989 Addenda through 1992 Editions of ASME Section XI.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

This document details the scope of inservice inspections for the Vogtle Electric Generating Plant (VEGP) Unit 2 and includes the following points of interest:

- Frequency of inspections.
- ° Identification of all areas to be examined.
- ° Relief Requests.

The contents of this document are subject to change (with approval) during the course of inservice inspections.

The American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI, 1983 Edition through Summer 1983 Addenda is currently used for inservice inspection (ISI). The actual edition applicable to ISI is the latest edition approved by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission 12 months prior to the date of issuance of the operating license per 10 CFR 50.55A. Additionally, Inspection Program B will be used as defined by IWA-2400 of Section XI.

1.2 Scope

This document is a description of the Inservice Inspection Program for Class 1, 2, and 3 components.

1.3 Component Upgrading

All plant components have been reviewed to determine the appropriate classification for inservice inspection. The classification information is given in the Line Designation List and Equipment Designation List located in the VEGP Unit 2 Inservice Inspection Plan, ISI-P-013. It must be noted, however, that the classification of components as ISI Class 1, 2, or 3 for inservice inspection does not imply that the components were designed or constructed in accordance with the same ASME classification requirements. The component design codes remain as stated in the VEGP Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR).

1.4 Responsibility

Georgia Power Company bears the overall responsibility for the performance of the inservice inspections. Certain nondestructive examinations will be performed by a qualified inspection agency. The results of such examinations will be reported to Georgia Power Company for final evaluation and disposition.

1.5 Records

Records and documentation of all information and inspection results, which provide the basis for evaluation and which facilitate comparison with results from subsequent inspections, will be available for the active life of the plant.

1.6 Methods of Examination

The method of examination planned for each area is delineated in subsequent sections. Personnel performing nondestructive examinations will be trained in accordance with the American Society for Nondestructive Testing (ASNT) and the ASME Code.

1.6.1 Eddy Current

Eddy current examinations will be performed on the steam generator tubing in accordance with the Pre-VEGP Improved Technical Specification Section 4.4.5.0 and Regulatory Guide 1.83, Rev. 1. The alternative techniques used for this examination, as permitted by paragraph IWA-2240, either satisfy or exceed the requirements of Appendix IV of ASME Section XI and/or Article 8, Appendix I of ASME Section V.

1.6.2 Liquid Penetrant

Dye penetrant inspections will be performed whenever a surface examination is required on nonmagnetic components.

1.6.3 Magnetic Particle

Magnetic particle tests will usually be used when surface examination of carbon steel components is required.

1.6.4 Radiographic

Radiographic techniques may be used as an alternative volumetric method to ultrasonic examinations.

1.6.5 Ultrasonic

Ultrasonic examinations will be conducted in accordance with the provisions of Articles 4 and 5 of Section V, ASME, or Appendix III of Section XI, ASME, as applicable. The reactor vessel will be examined to the requirements of Regulatory Guide 1.150, Rev. 1 to the extent practical.

p

1.6.6 Visual Tests

A visual test (VT) inspection will be employed to provide evidence of leakage or to provide a report of the general condition of the component.

- A. The VT-1 inspection shall be performed to determine corrosion, erosion, wear, cracks, or physical damage of the part, component, or surface being inspected.
- B. The VT-2 inspection shall be performed to determine and locate leakages from pressure retaining components or excessive leakage from components without leakage collection systems.
- C. The VT-3 inspection shall be performed to determine the structural, general, and physical conditions of components or their supports.
- D. The VT-4 inspection shall be performed to ensure the operability of components and their mechanical or hydraulic devices.

1.7 Evaluation of Examination Results

Examination results are evaluated per IWA-3000, IWB-3000, and IWF-3000 of the ASME Code, Section XI. Articles IWC-3000 and IWD-3000, "Acceptance Standards for Flaw Indications", are in the course of preparation and, as yet, are not available for use. Therefore, the rules of IWB-3000 may be utilized for ISI Class 2 and 3 components.

1.8 Repair and Replacement Procedures

Repair and replacement procedures have been developed by Georgia Power Company.

1.9 Limitations of Examinations

Certain limitations to nondestructive examination of welds due to geometric configuration or inaccessibility were identified during the Preservice Inspection. During ISI the required examination will be accomplished to the maximum extent possible and limitations will be documented in relief requests. Known relief requests are contained in the Relief Request section of this document. Additional relief requests will be submitted as necessary.

The inservice inspection program outlined in the attached tabulations have been developed as a result of a design review and the Preservice Inspection.

1.10 Augmented Examinations

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has required certain augmented examinations to assure structural reliability. The areas of interest and the examinations to be performed are discussed below.

1.10.1

The Reactor Coolant Pump flywheels shall be examined per the recommendations of Regulatory Position C.4.b of Regulatory Guide 1.14 Revision 1, August 1975. (Reference: Pre-VEGP Improved Technical Specification Section 4.4.10.2.)

1.10.2

The four main steam lines and feedwater lines from the containment penetration flued head outboard welds up to the first five-way restraint shall be examined. The extent of the inservice examinations completed during each inspection interval (ASME Code Section XI) shall provide 100 percent volumetric examination of circumferential and longitudinal pipe welds to the extent practical. (Reference: Pre-VEGP Improved Technical Specification Section 4.4.10.3.)

1.10.3

During each ten year inspection interval, a minimum of 7.5 percent of the required welds in the engineered safety systems (safety injection, containment heat removal, and residual heat removal) will be subjected to an ultrasonic examination. For VEGP-2 this commitment will require that a minimum of 70 welds be ultrasonically examined each ten-year interval. These added welds are mostly in thin-walled or small-diameter piping that Section XI exempts from volumetric weld examinations because of size, thickness, pressure, or temperature.

1.10.4

Snubbers installed on safety-related systems as well as snubbers whose failure of the system on which they are installed would adversely affect a safety-related system shall be examined. (Reference: Pre-VEGP Improved Technical Specification Section 3/4.7.8.)

1.10.5

Georgia Power Company letter GN-1345 dated February 9, 1987, to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission requires that a walkdown for visual observation of leakage be performed on accessible ASME Code Class 3 portions of the nuclear service cooling water system. This visual observation is required to be performed with the system at operational pressures during each refueling interval for the first ten years of service. In addition, an ultrasonic examination of two representative welds which are in piping 24 inches in diameter, one in each unit, will be performed every 40 months for the first 10 years of service.

1.11 Relief Requests

During the course of the preservice inspection, examination areas were identified where total compliance with the requirements of the ASME Code were not achieved. Relief requests were prepared for each of these areas. Relief requests were also added post-PSI in order to take advantage of ASME Section XI Code Cases and a later ASME Code Addenda. The relief requests address the area of relief, ASME Code examination requirements, Code Item No. and Category (if applicable), basis for relief, alternate examination (if any), and implementation schedule (if applicable). Each relief request is identified by a unique number and is contained in Section 6 of this document. Relief requests that pertain to a particular category and code item no. are listed opposite the appropriate item no. in the IWB, IWC, IWD, or IWF Table. General relief requests that do not apply to a unique item no. are identified below.

Relief Request No.	Examination Area
RR-18	Use of Section XI, Appendix III for austenitic and dissimilar metal piping welds
RR-22	Technique for UT examination of welds in centrifugally cast piping and static-cast elbows
RR-23	Use of a single calibration block for examination of three sizes of centrifugally cast piping and three sizes of static-cast elbows
RR-31	Use of piping calibration blocks to examine thin-wall vessel welds
RR-35	Technique for volumetric exam of thin-wall piping
RR-36	Volumetric exam of Class 2 thin-wall piping

Relief Request No.	Examination Area				
RR- 37	Volumetric exam of small-diameter Class 2 piping				
RR-38	Subsection IWE				
RR-4 0	Notch length in basic ultrasonic calibration blocks for examination of vessel welds				
RR- 58	Steam Generator Manway Cover repair				
RR- 59	Alternative pressure test requirement for welded repairs or installation of replacement items by welding on Class 1, 2, and 3.				

1.12 Code Cases To Be Used During ISI

The guidance of the Code Cases listed in Regulatory Guide 1.147, Revision 4, and subsequent approved revisions, may be used during Inservice Inspections.

-

B-E, PRESSURE RETAINING PARTIAL PENETRATION WELDS IN VESSELS

Extent and Frequency of Examination

Item No.	Parts Examined	Examination Requirements/ Figure No.	Examination Method ¹	lst Interval	2nd, 3rd, 4th Intervals	Relief Request	Comments
B4.10	Partial Penetration Welds						
B4.11	Vessel Nozzles	External Surfaces	VT-2	25% nozeles	Same as for 1st interval	RR-60	
B4.12	Control Rod Drive Nozzles	External Surfaces	VT-2	25% nozzles	Same as for 1st interval	RR-60	
B4.13	Instrumentation Nozzles	External Surfaces	VT-2	25% nozzles	Same as for 1st interval	RR-60	
`	Pressurizer						
B4.20	Heater Penetration Welds	External Surfaces	VT-2	All nozzles	Same as for 1st interval	RR-60	

NOTES:

(i) The examinations shall be performed during conduct of the system hydrostatic test (IWB-5222).

001164

÷

B-H, INTEGRAL ATTACHMENTS FOR VESSELS

		Examination					
Item No.	Parts Examined ¹	Requirements/ Figure No.	Examination Method	l st Interval	2nd, 3rd, 4th Intervals	Relief <u>Request</u>	Comments
	Reactor Vessel						
B8.10	Integrally Welded Attachments	IWB-2500-13, -14, and -15	PT/MT ⁴	Weld ²	Weld ²	RR-52, 61	
	Pressurizer						
B8.20	Integrally Welded Attachments	IWB-2500-13, -14, and -15	PT/MT ⁴	Weld ²	Weld ²	RR-10,16, 61	
	Steam Generator						
B8.30	Integrally Welded Attachments	IWB-2500-13, -14, and -15	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A to VEGP
	Heat Exchangers						
B8.40	Integrally Welded Attachments	IWB-2500-13, -14, and -15	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A to VEGP

001164

4

B-P, ALL PRESSURE RETAINING COMPONENTS

					nd Frequency of amination		
Item No.	Parts Examined	Test <u>Requirements³</u>	Examination Method ⁴	l st Interval	2nd, 3rd, 4th Intervals	Relief Request	Comments
	Reactor Vessel						
B15.10	Pressure Retaining Boundary	System leak- age test ¹ ⁷ (IWB-5221)	VT-2	Each refuel- ing outage ⁵	Each refuel- ing outage ⁵		
B15.11	Pressure Retaining Boundary	System hydro test ² (IWB-5222)	VT-2	One test ⁶	One test per interval ⁶	RR-60	
	Pressurizer						
B15.20	Pressure Retaining Boundary	System leak- age test ¹⁷ (IWB-5221)	VT-2	Each refuel- ing outage ⁵	Each refuel- ing outage ³		
B15.21	Pressure Retaining Boundary	System hydro test ² (IWB-5222)	VT-2	One test ⁶	One test per interval ⁶	RR-60	
	Steam Generators						
B15.30	Pressure Retaining Boundary	System leak- age test ¹ ⁷ (IWB-5221)	VT-2	Each refuel- ing outage ⁵	Each refuel- ing outage ⁵		

B-P, CONTINUED

.

					nd Frequency of mination		
Item No.	Parts Examined	Test <u>Requirements³</u>	Examination Method ⁴	l st Interval	2nd, 3rd, 4th Intervals	Relief Request	Comments
	Steam Generators - I	(continued)					
B15.31	Pressure Retaining Boundary	System hydro test ² (IWB-5222)	VT-2	One test ⁶	One test per interval ⁶	RR-60	
	Heat Exchangers						
B15.40	Pressure Retaining Boundary	System leak- age test ^{1 7} (IWB-5221)	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A to VEGP
B15.41	Pressure Retaining Boundary	System hydro test ² (IWB-5222)	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A to VEGP
	Piping						
B15.50	Pressure Retaining Boundary	System leak- age test ^{1 7} (IWB-5221)	VT-2	Each refuel- ing outage ⁵	Each refuel- ing outage ⁵	•	
B15.51	Pressure Retaining Boundary	System hydro test ² (IWB-5222)	VT-2	One test ⁶	One test per interval ⁶	RR-60	

B-P, CONTINUED

19

					nd Frequency of mination		
Item No.	Parts Examined	Test <u>Requirements³</u>	Examination Method ⁴	l st Interval	2nd, 3rd, 4th Intervals	Relief Request	Comments
	Pumps						
B15.60	Pressure Retaining Boundary	System leak- age test ^{1 7} (IWB-5221)	VT-2	Each refuel- ing outage ⁵	Each refuel- ing outage ⁵		
B15.61	Pressure Retaining Boundary	System hydro test ² (IWB-5222)	VT-2	One test ⁶	One test per interval ⁶	RR-60	
	Valves						
B15.70	Pressure Retaining Boundary	System leak- age test ^{1 7} (IWB-5221)	VT-2	Each refuel- ing outage ⁵	Each refuel- ing outage ⁵		
B15.71	Pressure Retaining Boundary	System hydro test ² (TWB-5222)	VT-2	One test ⁶	One test per interval ⁶	RR-60	

B-P, CONTINUED

NOTES:

- (1) The pressure retaining boundary during the system leakage test shall correspond to the reactor coolant system boundary, with all valves in the normal position, which is required for normal reactor operation startup. The VT-2 examination shall, however, extend to and include the second closed valve at the boundary extremity.
- (2) The pressure retaining boundary during the system hydrostatic test shall include all Class 1 components within the system boundary.
- (3) System pressure tests of the reactor coolant system shall be conducted in accordance with IWA-5000. System pressure tests for repaired, replaced, or altered components shall be governed by IWA-5214(c).
- (4) Visual examination of IWA-5240.
- (5) The system leakage test (IWB-5221) shall be conducted prior to plant startup following each reactor refueling outage.
- (6) The system hydrostatic test (IWB-5222) shall be conducted at or near the end of each inspection interval.
- (7) A system hydrostatic test (IWB-5222) and the accompanying VT-2 examination are acceptable in lieu of the system leakage test (IWB-5221) and VT-2 examination.

001164

3

2-34a

C-A, PRESSURE RETAINING WELDS IN PRESSURE VESSELS

		Extent and Frequency of Examination						
Item No.	Parts Examined	Examination Requirements/ Figure No	Examination Method	lst Interval ³	2nd, 3rd, 4th Intervals	Relief Request	Comments	
C1.10	Shell Circum- ferential Welds	IWC-2500-1	UT	Welds ¹ at gross structural discontinuity ² only	Same as for 1st interval ⁴	RR-29,30, 62		
C1.20	Head Circum- ferential Welds	IWC-2500-1	UT	Head-to-shell weld1	Same as for 1st interval ⁴	RR-30,62		
C1.30	Tubesheet-to- Shell Weld	IWC-2500-2	UT	Tube- sheet-to- shell weld ¹	Same as for 1st interval ⁴	RR-29,30 , 62		

NOTES:

(1) Includes essentially 100% of the weld length.

(2) Gross structural discontinuity is defined in NB-3213.2. Examples are junctions between shells of different thicknesses, cylindrical shell-toconical shell junctions, shell (or head)-to flange welds, and head-to-shell welds.

(3) In the case of multiple vessels of similar design, size, and service (such as steam generators, heat exchangers), the required examinations may be limited to one vessel or distributed among the vessels.

(4) The vessel areas selected for the initial examination shall be reexamined over the service lifetime of the component.

001164

.

C-C, INTEGRAL ATTACHMENTS FOR VESSELS, PIPING, PUMPS, AND VALVES

					nd Frequency of mination		
Item No.	Parts Examined ¹	Examination Requirements/ Figure No	Examination Method	l st Interval	2nd, 3rd, 4th Intervals	Relief <u>Request</u>	Comments
	Pressure Vessels						
C3.10	Integrally Welded Attachments	IWC-2500-5	PT/MT	100% of required areas of each weld- ed attach- ment ²	Same as for 1st interval ² ³	RR-61, 62	
	Piping						
C3,20	Integrally Welded Attachments	IWC-2509-5	PT/MT	100% of required areas of each welded attachment ⁴	Same as for 1st interval ³	RR-61	
	Pumps						
C3.30	Integrally Welded Attachments	IWC-2500-5	PT/MT	100% of required areas of each welded attachment ⁴	Same as for 1st interval ³	RR-61	

100

C-H, ALL PRESSURE RETAINING COMPONENTS

					d Frequency of mination		
Item No.	Parts Examined ¹	Test ² <u>Required</u>	Exan. ation ³ Method	Each Period ⁴	Each Interval ⁴	Relief <u>Request</u>	Comments
	Pressure Vessels						
C7.10	Pressure Retaining Components	IWC-5221 test ⁸	VT-2	Pressure retaining boundary ⁶ ⁷		RR-46	
C7.20	Pressure Retaining Components	IWC-5222 test	VT-2		Pressure retaining boundary ⁵ ⁷	RR-60	
	Piping						
C7,30	Pressure Retaining Components	IWC-5221 test ⁸	VT-2	Pressure retaining boundary ⁶ ⁷		RR-46	
C7.40	Pressure Retaining Components	IWC-5222 test	VT-2		Pressure retaining boundary ⁵ ⁷	RR-44,60	
	Pumps						
C7.50	Pressure Retaining Components	IWC-5221 test ⁸	VT-2	Pressure retaining boundary ⁶ ⁷		RR-46	
001164				3-16			014 Rev. 7

C-H, ALL PRESSURE RETAINING COMPONENTS (Continued)

				Extent and Fr Examinat			
Item No.	Parts Examined ¹	Test ² <u>Required</u>	Examination ³ Method	Each Period ⁴	Each Interval ⁴	Relief <u>Request</u>	Comments
C7.60	Pressure Retaining Components	IWC-5222 test	VT-2		Pressure retaining boundary ⁵ ⁷	RR-60	
	Valves						
C7.70	Pressure Retaining Components	IWC-5221 test ⁸	VT-2	Pressure retaining boundary ⁶ ⁷		RR-46	
C7.80	Pressure Retaining taining Com- ponents	IWC-5222 test	VT-2		Pressure retaining boundary ⁵ ⁷	RR-44,60	

001164

C-H. ALL PRESSURE RETAINING COMPONENTS (Continued)

NOTES:

- (1) Other than open ended portions of systems.
- (2) System pressure tests of IWA-5000 and IWC-5000.
- (3) Visual examination of IWA-5240.
- (4) No components within the pressure retaining boundary [as defined by Note (7)] are exempt or excluded from the examination requirements, except as specified in IWA-5214 (c) for regurs and replacements.
- (5) The system hydrostatic test (IWC-5222) shall be conducted at or near the end of each inspection interval or during the same inspection period of each inspection interval of Inspection Program B.
- (6) Where portions of a system are subject to system pressure tests associated with two different system functions, the VT-2 examination need only be performed during the test conducted at the higher of the test pressures of the respective system function.
- (1) The pressure retaining boundary included only those portions of the system required to operate or support the safety system function up to and including the first normally closed valve (including a safety or relief valve) or valve capable of automatic closure when the safety function is required.
- (8) A system hydrostatic test (IWC-5222) and accompanying VT-2 examination are acceptable in lieu of the system pressure test (IWC-5221) and VT-2 examination.

*

D-A, SYSTEMS IN SUPPORT OF REACTOR SHUTDOWN FUNCTION

		Test And		Extent and Fr Examin		Relief	
Item No.	Parts Examined	Examination Requirements	Examination Method	Each Period	Each Interval_	Request	Comments
D1.10	Pressure Retaining Components ¹	IWA-5000/ IWD-5221 ⁵	VT-2	Pressure retaining boundary ⁴		RR-49,50 *	
		IWA-5000/ IWD-5223 ⁵	VT-2		Pressure retaining boundary ² ⁴	RR-49, 60	
D1.20	Integral Attachment- Component Sup- ports and Re- straints ³	Figure IWD-2500-1	VT-3		Integral attachment	RR-56, 61	
D1.30	Integral Attachment- Mechanical and Hydraulic Snubbers ³	Figure IWD-2500-1	VT-3		Integral attachment	RR-61	
D1.40	Integral Attachment- Spring Type Supports ³	Figure IWD-2500-1	VT-3		Integral attachment	RR-61	

D-A, CONTINUED

		Test And		Extent and Fr Examin		D. F. C		
Item No.	Parts Examined	Examination Requirements	Examination Method	Each Period	Each Interval	Relief Request	Comments	
D1.50	Integral Attachment- Constant Load Type Supports ³	Figure IWD-2500-1	VT-3		Integral attachment		N/A to VEGP	
D1.60	Integral Attachment- Shock Ab- sorbers ³	Figure IWD-2500-1	VT-3		Integral attachment		N/A to VEGP	

NOTES:

- (1) The system boundary extends up to and including the first normally closed valve or valve capable of automatic closure as required to perform the safety-related system function.
- (2) The system hydrostatic test shall be conducted at or near the end of each inspection interval or during the same inspection period of each inspection interval for Inspection Program B.
- (3) In the case ...ultiple components within a system of similar design, function, and service, the integral attachment of only one of the multiple components shall be examined. The integral attachments selected for examination shall correspond to those component supports selected by IWF-2510(b).
- (4) There are no exemptions or exclusions from these requirements except as specified in IWA-5214(c).
- (5) A system hydrostatic test (IWD-5223) and accompanying VT-2 examination are acceptable in lieu of the system pressure test (IWD-5221) and VT-2 examination.

D-B, SYSTEMS IN SUPPORT OF EMERGENCY CORE COOLING, CONTAINMENT HEAT REMOVAL, ATMOSPHERE CLEANUP, AND REACTOR RESIDUAL HEAT REMOVAL

		Test And	Description	Extent and Fi Examina		Relief	
Item No	o. Parts Examined	Examination Requirements	Examination Method	Each Period	Each Interval	Request	Comments
D2.10	Pressure Retaining Components ¹	IWA-5000/ IWD-5222 ⁵	VT-2	Pressure retaining boundary ⁴		RR-50,51	
		IWA-5000/ IWD-5223 ⁵	VT-2		Pressure retaining boundary ² ⁴	RR-60	
D2.20	Integral Attachment- Component Sup- ports and Re- straints ³	Figure IWD-2500-1	VT-3		Integral attachment	RR-61	
D2.30	Integral Attachment- Mechanical and Hydraulic Snubbers ³	Figure IWD-2500-1	VT-3		Integral attachment	RR-61	
D2.40	Integral Attachment- Spring Type Supports ³	Figure IWD-2500-1	VT-3		Integral attachment	RR-61	

D-B, CONTINUED

		Test And	Examination	Extent and Fr Examin		Relief	
Item No.	Parts Examined	Examination Requirements	Method	Each Period	Each Interval	Request	Comments
D2.50	Integral Attachment- Constant Load Type Supports ³	Figure IWD-2500-1	VT-3		Integral attachment		N/A to VEGP
D2.60	Integral Attachment- Shock Ab- sorbers ³	Figure IWD-2500-1	VT-3		Integral attachment		N/A to VEGP

NQTES:

- (1) The system boundary extends up to and including the first normally closed valve or valve capable of automatic closure as required to perform the safety-related system function.
- (2) The system hydrostatic test shall be conducted at or near the end of each inspection interval or during the same inspection period of each inspection interval for Inspection Program B.
- (3) In the case of multiple components within a system of similar design, function, and service, the integral attachment of only one of the multiple components shall be examined. The integral attachments selected for examination shall correspond to those component supports selected by IWF-2510(b).
- (4) There are no exemptions or exclusions from these requirements except as specified in IWA-5214(c).
- (5) A system hydrostatic test (IWD-5223) and accompanying VT-2 examination are acceptable in lieu of the system pressure test (IWD-5222) and VT-2 examination.

D-C, SYSTEMS IN SUPPORT OF RESIDUAL HEAT REMOVAL FROM SPENT FUEL STORAGE POOL

		Test And Examination	Examination	Extent and Fr Examina		Relief	
Item No.	Parts Examined	Requirements	Method	Each Period	Each Interval	Request	Comments
D3.10	Pressure Retaining Components ¹	IWA-5000/ IWD-5221 ⁵	VT-2	Pressure retaining boundary ⁴		RR-50,53	
		IWA-5000/ IWD-5223 ⁵	VT-2		Pressure retaining boundary ² ⁴	RR-53,60	
D3.20	Integral Attachment- Component Supports and Restraints ³	Figure IWD-2500-1	VT-3		Integral attachment	RR-61	
D3.30	Integral Attachment- Mechanical and Hydraulic Snubbers ³	Figure IWD-2500-1	VT-3		Integral attachment	•	N/A to VEGP
D3.40	Integral Attachment- Spring Type Supports ³	Figure IWD-2500-1	VT-3		Integral attachment		N/A to VEGP

D-C, CONTINUED

		Test And Examination	Examination	Extent and Fr Examina		Relief	
Item No.	Parts Examined	Requirements	Method	Each Period	Each Interval	Request	Comments
D3.50	Integral Attachment- Constant Load Type Supports ³	Figure IWD-2500-1	VT-3		Integral attachment		N/A to VEGP
D3.60	Integral Attachment- Shock Absorbers ³	Figure IWD-2500-1	VT-3		Integral attachment		N/A to VEGP

NQTES:

- (1) The system boundary extends up to and including the first normally closed valve or valve capable of automatic closure as required to perform the safety-related system function.
- (2) The system hydrostatic test shall be conducted at or near the end of each inspection interval or during the same inspection period of each inspection interval for Inspection Program B.
- (3) In the case of multiple components within a system of similar design, function, and service, the integral attachment of only one of the multiple components shall be examined. The integral attachments selected for examination shall correspond to those component supports selected by IWF-2510(b).
- (4) There are no exemptions or exclusions from these requirements except as specified in IWA-5214(c).
- (5) A system hydrostatic test (IWD-5223) and accompanying VT-2 examination are acceptable in lieu of the system pressure test (IWD-5221) and VT-2 examination.

6.0 RELIEF REQUESTS

6.1 Scope

The following ISI relief requests have been prepared from information determined in support of inspection activities (including preservice inspection) at VEGP Unit 2.

Relief Request No.	Examination Area
RR-1	Intentionally blank
RR-2	Mechanized exam of reactor vessel lower shell-to-bottom head weld
RR-3	Intentionally blank
RR-4	Mechanized exam of reactor vessel meridional welds
RR-5	Mechanized exam of reactor vessel bottom head circumferential welds
RR-6	Volumetric exam of reactor vessel flange ligament areas
RR-7	Volumetric exam of reactor vessel closure head welds
RR-8	Mechanized exam of elbow-to-reactor vessel safe-end welds
RR- 9	Volumetric exam of the reactor vessel closure head studs
RR- 10	Pressurizer support skirt integrally welded attachments
RR-11	Visual exam of reactor vessel supports
RR-12	Volumetric exam of pressurizer welds
RR-13	Intentionally blank

001163

"

Relief Request No.	Examination Area
RR-14	Volumetric exam of pressurizer surge- nozzle-to-vessel weld
RR-15	Volumetric exam of pressurizer surge- nozzle inner radius
RR-16	Pressurizer integrally welded attachments
RR-17	Volumetric exam of steam generator nozzle-to-elbow welds
RR-18	Section XI, Appendix III for austenitic and dissimilar metal piping welds
RR- 19	Volumetric exam of steam generator channel head to tube sheet welds
RR-2 0	Volumetric exam of Class 1 piping welds
RR-21	Volumetric exam of Class 1 branch pipe connection welds
RR-22	Volumetric exam of cast piping and elbows
RR-23	Cast piping calibration block
RR-24	Volumetric exam of reactor coolant pump nozzle-to-elbow and nozzle-to-pipe welds
RR-25	UT calibration block for reactor coolant pump nozzle-to-pipe welds
RR-26	Volumetric exam of SA 376 piping welds
RR-27	Visual exam of Class 1 pump and valve internal surfaces

Relief Request No.	Examination Area
RR-28	Volumetric examination of Steam Generator outlet nozzle inner radius
RR- 29	Volumetric examination of Steam Generator secondary side welds
RR- 30	Volumetric and surface exam of Class 2 vessel welds
RR-31	Use of piping calibration blocks to examine thin-wall vessels
RR -32	Surface exam of RHR heat exchanger reinforcing plates to nozzle welds
RR-33	Intentionally blank
RR- 34	Volumetric exam of Class 2 piping welds
RR-35	Technique for volumetric exam of thin-wall piping
RR-36	Volumetric exam of Class 2 thin-wall piping
RR-37	Volumetric exam of small-diameter Class 2 piping
RR-38	ASME Section XI; Subsection IWE
RR-39	Mechanized volumetric examination of pressure-retaining shell and head welds in reactor vessel outside the beltline region
RR -40	Notch length in Basic Ultrasonic Calibration Blocks for examination of vessel welds

Relief Request No.	Examination Area
RR-41	Use of a centrifugally-cast stainless steel (SA-351 CF8A) piping calibration block for the mechanized examination of the reactor vessel nozzle to safe-end welds
RR-42	Volumetric examination of nozzle inner radius section for steam generator inlet and outlet nozzles
RR-43	VT-4 visual examination of snubbers
RR-44	Class 2 piping hydrostatically tested to Class 1 requirements
RR-4 5	Relief Request withdrawn
RR-4 6	System pressure test on Class 2 components
RR-47	Relief Request withdrawn
RR- 48	Relief Request withdrawn
RR- 49	System pressure test on Class 3 vertical pit type pumps
RR- 50	System pressure test on Class 3 component
RR-51	Relief Request withdrawn
RR-52	Reactor Vessel integrally welded attachments
RR-53	Class 3 hydrostatic test on Spent Fuel Cooling & Purification
RR-54	Relief Request withdrawn conditionally
RR-55	Pressurizer Safety Relief Valve Piping

Relief Request No.	Examination Area
RR-56	Auxiliary Feedwater Integrally Welded Attachments and Associated Component Supports
RR-57	Remote Mechanized Volumetric and/or Surface Examination of Pressure Retaining Reactor Vessel Outlet Nozzle-to-Shell Welds, Inner Radius, and Reactor Vessel Outlet Nozzle-to-Safe End Welds.
RR-58	Steam Generator Manway Cover repair
RR-59	Alternative pressure test requirement for welded repairs or installation of replacement items by welding on Class 1, 2, and 3 (Reference ASME Code Case N-416-1.)
RR-60	Alternative rules for 10-Year System hydrostatic testing for Class 1, 2, and 3 systems (Reference ASME Code Case N-498-1.)
RR-61	Alternative rules for the selection and examination of Class 1, 2, and 3 integrally welded attachments (Reference ASME Code Case N-509.)
RR-62	Selected Class 2 components be excluded from surface and volumetric examinations as allowed by the 1989 Addenda thru 1992 Edition of ASME Section XI

<u>RR-22</u>

Component or Relief Area

Volumetric examination of pressure-retaining welds in centrifugally-cast stainless steel piping and static-cast stainless steel elbows made of SA 351-CF8A material in the Reactor Coolant System.

Requirement from which Relief is Requested

ASME Code Section XI, Article III - 4420 requires that the examination shall be performed using sufficiently long examination beam path to provide coverage of the required examination volume in two beam-path directions. The examination shall be performed from two sides of the weld, where practicable or from one side of the weld as a minimum. Article III - 4430 requires that the angle beam examination for reflectors transverse to the weld shall be performed on the weld crown on a single scan path to examine the weld root by one-half V-path in two directions along the weld. Article III-2430 requires that manual scanning shall be done at twice (+5dB) the primary reference level as a minimum. A meaningful ultrasonic examination cannot be accomplished on the cast stainless steel using conventional shear-wave techniques. Since a 1/2-node examination using a refracted 45° longitudinal wave was found to be the superior technique, relief is requested from the above requirements.

The technique used was demonstrated during PSI and found acceptable per the requirements of IWA-2240.

Basis for Relief

The cast SA-351, CF8A material contains a banded microstructure that consists of a duplex grain size ranging from extremely coarse to very fine. This irregular grain structure causes significant attenuation and some angular variations during a typical shear-wave ultrasonic examination. A better technique was developed wherein a 1.0 inch dual-element focused transducer, utilizing a 45° refracted longitudinal wave with a frequency of 1.0 Mhz was used. During calibration, the primary reference level is set using side-drilled holes. Scanning is possible only at the primary reference level due to excessive noise associated with the higher gain levels and the metallurgical structure of the material.

RR-22 (continued)

A demonstration using this technique was performed for Region II of the NRC and was determined to be a conservative method of detecting ID reflectors. (Reference NRC report numbers 50-425/85-24 and 50-425/85-25).

Alternate Examination

A refracted-longitudinal wave ultrasonic examination, which is a 1/2 node examination, will be performed. Scanning will be done at the primary reference level.

The Code-required surface examination will be performed on all welds.

<u>RR-23</u>

Component or Relief Area

A single calibration block made from centrifugally-cast 29-inch inside diameter (ID) and 2.45-inch nominal wall piping was used to examine centrifugally cast piping and statically cast elbows as follows:

Component Diameter	Nominal Thickness	Measured Thickness
27-1/2"(Cold Leg) 29" & 31"(Hot Leg)	2.32" to 2.69" 2.45" to 3.63"	2.35" to 3.15" 2.50" to 3.51"
31"(Intermediate Leg)	2.60" to 3.63"	2.60" to 3.51"

Requirement from which Relief is Requested

Subparagraph III-3410, Appendix III of ASME Section XI requires that basic calibration blocks shall be made from material of the same nominal diameter and nominal wall thickness or pipe schedule as the pipe to be examined. Relief is requested from this requirement for main loop piping in the primary reactor coolant system.

Basis for Relief

The single calibration block described above was fabricated from a dropout of actual piping installed at VEGP. Due to its compatibility with the materials being examined and the issues associated with examining cast stainless steel, it was determined during preservice and the first inservice inspection interval to use this one calibration block for multiple examinations. Relief Request RR-23 was therefore submitted as part of Revision 0 to the VEGP Inservice Inspection Program.

The original version of RR-23 specified 27-1/2" diameter components with nominal thicknesses ranging from 2.32" to 2.35", 29" diameter components with a nominal thickness ranging from 2.45" to 2.48", and 31" diameter components with nominal thicknesses ranging from 2.60" to 2.62". Relief was subsequently granted for the three diameters and thickness ranges in an NRC letter dated December 17, 1991 from David J. Lange (NRC) to W. G. Hairston, III (GPC).

RR-23 (continued)

Following completion of the Period 1 and 2 ISI examinations for Interval 1, it was determined that actual thicknesses (particularly elbows), indicated on the approved Relief Request, far exceeded the actual "examination area" thicknesses of the indicated components

A sensitivity demonstration was performed in the presence of an NRC Region II representative using the 2.45" thick calibration block and a 3.00" thick calibration block. Results of the demonstration were acceptable and it was acknowledged that the examinations performed on these components were being conducted in a conservative manner. GPC has concluded that re-examination of these components during Interval 1 (using a thicker block) would not provide any appreciable increase in safety. Correspondingly, it is estimated that to build scaffolding, remove and subsequently replace insulation, and to examine these welds would result in an additional 3 to 4 Rem of exposure were the affected components re-examined.

Alternate Examination

Examinations were conducted as described above.

Implementation Schedule:

Beginning with the 3rd period of the first interval, this Relief Request will not be utilized. The use of an additional calibration block (329A) with a 32-inch inside diameter (ID) and 3.00-inch nominal wall, along with Code Case N-461, provides the appropriate coderequired calibration block thickness for examinations of the main loop piping welds. All intermediate leg welds (31-inch ID), hot leg elbow to steam generator nozzle welds (29inch ID) and cold leg nozzle to pipe welds (27.5-inch ID) will utilize the additional block. RR-23 will remain on file for the purpose of tracking previously performed examinations earlier in the current interval. A demonstration was performed to validate all previous examination sensitivity levels using both the 2.45-inch block (331A) and the 3.00-inch block (329A) with acceptable results.

VEGP-2 RR-24

.

(continued)

Attachment 1

Identification No.	Code Category	Description	Minimum Percentage Examined	Restriction
21201-005-8	B-J	31" Elbow to Reactor Coolant Pump Nozzle	90%	100% exam from elbow side, 80% exam from nozzle side
21201-006-8	B-J	31" Elbow to Reactor Coolant Pump Nozzle	97%	100% exam from elbow side, 80% exam from nozzle side
21201-007-8	B-J	31" Elbow to Reactor Coolant Pump Nozzle	90%	100% exam from elbow side, 80% exam from nozzle side
21201-008-8	B-J	31" Elbow to Reactor Coolant Pump Nozzle	90%	100% exam from elbow side, 80% exam from nozzle side
21201-009-1	B-J	27.5" Reactor Coolant Pump Nozzle to Pipe	75%	100% exam from pipe side, 50% exam from nozzle side
21201-010-1	B-J	27.5" Reactor Coolant Pump Nozzle to Pipe	75%	100% exam from pipe side, 50% exam from nozzle side
21201-011-1	B-J	27.5" Reactor Coolant Pump Nozzle to Pipe	75%	100% exam from pipe side, 50% exam from nozzle side
21201-012-1	B-J	27.5" Reactor Coolant Pump Nozzle to Pipe	75%	100% exam from pipe side, 50% exam from nozzle side

.

Identification No.	Code Category	Material	Description	Percentage Examined During PSI	Restriction
21204-124-1	B-J	SA-312	10" Valve to Pipe	50%	Geometry of Valve
21204-124-2	B-J	SA-312	10" Pipe to Elbow	100%	N/A
21204-124-3	B-J	SA-312	10" Elbow to Pipe	100%	N/A
21204-124-4	B-J	SA-312	10" Pipe to Elbow	100%	N/A
21204-124-5	B-J	SA-312	10" Elbow to Pipe	100%	N/A
21204-124-6	B-J	SA-312	10" Pipe to Pipe	100%	N/A
21204-124-6A	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Pipe	100%	N/A
21204-124-6B	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Pipe	100%	N/A
21204-124-7	B-J	SA-312	10" Pipe to Valve	50%	Geometry of Valve
21204-124-8	B-J	SA-312	10" Valve to Pipe	50%	Geometry of Valve
21204-124-9	B-J	SA-312	10" Pipe to Elbow	100%	N/A
21204-124-10	B-J	SA-312	10" Elbow to Pipe	100%	N/A
21204-124-11	B-J	SA-312	10" Pipe to Tee	100%	N/A ~
21204-124-12	B-J	SA-376	10" Tee to Pipe	88%	Geometry of Tee
21204-124-13	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Elbow	100%	N/A

6-45

Percentage

*

Identification No.	Code Category	Material	Description	Examined During PSI	Restriction
21204-124-14	B-J	SA-376	10" Elbow to Pipe	100%	N/A
21204-124-15	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Valve	50%	Geometry of Valve
21204-124-16	B-J	SA-376	10" Valve to Pipe	50%	Geometry of Valve
21204-124-17	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Elbow	100%	N/A
21204-124-18	B-J	SA-376	10" Elbow to Branch Connection	100%	N/A
21204-125-1	B-J	SA-376	10" Valve to Pipe	50%	Geometry of Valve
21204-125-2	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Elbow	100%	N/A
21204-125-3	B-J	SA-376	10" Elbow to Pipe	100%	N/A
21204-125-4	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Elbow	100%	N/A
21204-125-5	B-J	SA-376	10" Elbow to Pipe	100%	N/A
21204-125-6	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Pipe	100%	N/A
21204-125-7	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Valve	50%	Geometry of Valve
21204-125-8	B-J	SA-376	10" Valve to Pipe	50%	Geometry of Valve
21204-125-9	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Elbow	100%	N/A
21204-125-10	B-J	SA-376	10" Elbow to Pipe	100%	N/A
			그는 그는 것은 이상에 있는		

6-46

*

Identification No.	Code <u>Category</u>	Material	Description	Percentage Examined During PSI	Restriction
21204-125-11	B-J	SA-376	10" Fipe to Tee	100%	N/A
21204-125-12	B-J	SA-376	10" Tee to Pipe	88%	Geometry of Tee
21204-125-13	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Elbow	100%	N/A
21204-125-14	B-J	SA-376	10" Elbow to Pipe	100%	N/A
21204-125-15	B-J	SA-376	20" Pipe to Valve	50%	Geometry of Valve
21204-125-16	B-J	SA-376	10" Valve to Pipe	50%	Geometry of Valve
21204-125-17	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Elbow	100%	N/A
21204-125-18	B-J	SA-376	10" Elbow to Branch Connection	100%	N/A
21204-126-1	B-J	SA-376	10" Valve to Pipe	50%	Geometry of Valve
21204-126-2	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Elbow	100%	N/A
21204-126-3	B-J	SA-376	10" Elbow to Pipe	100%	N/A
21204-126-4	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Elbow	100%	N/A
21204-126-5	B-J	SA-376	10" Elbow to Pipe	100%	N/A
21204-126-6	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Pipe	100%	N/A
					1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1

1

Identification No.	Code Category	Material	Description	Percentage Examined During PSI	Restriction
21204-126-7	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Valve	50%	Geometry of Valve
21204-126-8	B-J	SA-376	10" Valve to Pipe	50%	Geometry of Valve
21204-126-9	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Elbow	100%	N/A
21204-126-10	B-J	SA-376	10" Elbow to Pipe	100%	N/A
21204-126-11	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Tee	100%	N/A
21204-126-12	B-J	SA-376	10" Tee to Pipe	88%	Geometry of Tee
21204-126-13	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Elbow	100%	N/A
21204-126-14	B-J	SA-376	10" Elbow to Pipe	100%	N/A
21204-126-15	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Valve	50%	Geometry of Valve
21204-126-16	B-J	SA-376	10" Valve to Pipe	50%	Geometry of Valve
21204-126-17	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Elbow	100%	N/A
21204-126-18	B-J	SA-376	10" Elbow to Branch Connection	100%	N/A
21204-127-1	B-J	SA-376	10" Valve to Pipe	50%	Geometry of Valve
21204-127-2	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Elbow	100%	N/A
21204-127-3	B-J	SA-376	10" Elbow to Pipe	100%	N/A
					1967 - 1967 - 1967 - 1967 - 1967 - 1967 - 1967 - 1967 - 1967 - 1967 - 1967 - 1967 - 1967 - 1967 - 1967 - 1967 -

6-48

VEGP-2 <u>RR-26</u> (continued) <u>Attachment 1</u>

Percentage

÷

Identification No.	Code Category	Material	Description	Examined During PSI	Restriction
21204-127-4	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Elbow	100%	N/A
21204-127-5	B-J	SA-376	10" Elbow to Pipe	100%	N/A
21204-127-6	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Pipe	100%	N/A
21204-127-7	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Valve	50%	Geometry of Valve
21204-127-8	B-J	SA-376	10" Valve to Pipe	50%	Geometry of Valve
21204-127-9	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Elbow	100%	N/A
21204-127-10	B-J	SA-376	10" Elbow to Pipe	100%	N/A
21204-127-11	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Elbow	100%	N/A
21204-127-12	B-J	SA-376	16" Elbow to Pipe	100%	N/A
21204-127-13	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Tee	90%	Geometry of Tee
21204-127-14	B-J	SA-376	10" Tee to Pipe	93%	Geometry of Tee
21204-127-15	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Elbow	100%	N/A
21204-127-16	B-J	SA-376	10" Elbow to Pipe	100%	N/A
21204-127-17	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Elbow	100%	N/A
21204-127-18	B-J	SA-376	10" Elbow to Pipe	100%	N/A

Identification No.	Code Category	Material	Description	Percentage Examined During PSI	Restriction
21204-127-19	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Valve	50%	Geometry of Valve
21204-127-20	B-J	SA-376	10" Valve to Pipe	50%	Geometry of Valve
21204-127-21	B-J	SA-376	10" Pipe to Elbow	100%	N/A
21204-127-22	B-J	SA-376	10" Elbow to Branch Connection	100%	N/A
21204-122-6	B-J	SA-312	10" Pipe to Valve	50%	Geometry of Valve

2

.

<u>RR-29</u>

(continued)

Attachment 1

Identification No.	Code Category	Description	Minimum Percentage Examined	Restriction
21201-B6-001-W0	1 C-A	Upper Head to Upper Shell Barrel "D" Weld	98%	4 welded plates restrict access to part of the weld
21201-B6-001-W1	8 C-B	32" Steam Outlet Nozzle to Head Weld	50%	Examination not possible from nozzle side
21201-B6-002-W1	9 C-B	16" Main Feedwater Nozzle to Shell Weld	50%	Examination not possible from nozzle side
21201-B6-003-W0	5 C-A	Lower Cone End Stub Barrel to Lower Shell Barrel "B" Weld	90%	Observation ports restrict access to part of weld
21201-B6-004-W0	7 C-A	Lower Shell Barrel "A" to Tube Plate Weld	98%	Observation ports and coupling restrict access to part or weld
21201-B6-004-W2	6 C-B	6" Auxiliary Feedwater Nozzle to Shell Weld	67%	Limited exam from nozzle side
		6.52		014 Rev 7

6-53

RR-30

Component or Relief Area

Volumetric and/or surface examination of pressure-retaining weids in Class 2 vessels (or components). Specific examination identifications are shown in Attachment 1 to this relief request.

Requirement from which Relief is Requested

Item Nos. C1.10, C1.20, and C1.30, Category C-A, Table IWC-2500-1 of ASME Section XI require volumetric examination of pressure-retaining welds in Class 2 pressure vessels. Applicable examination volumes are shown in Fig. IWC-2500-1 and -2 and includes 100% of the weld length. Item No. C2.21, Category C-B, requires volumetric and surface examination of pressure-retaining nozzle welds in Class 2 vessels. Applicable examination volume and area are shown in Fig. IWC-2500-4 and includes 100% of the weld length. Item No. C3.30, Category C-C, requires surface examination of pressure-retaining integrally welded attachments in Class 2 pumps. Item No. C6.10, Category C-G, requires surface examination area is shown in Fig. IWC-2500-5 and IWC-2500-8 and includes 100% of the weld length. Actual examinations and their extent of coverage are listed in Attachment 1. (See RR-31)

Basis for Relief

Access limitations are due to geometric configuration of the welded areas. Flanges and supports restrict coverage of required examination volume and areas. The actual restriction for each weld is shown in Attachment 1.

The maximum percentage possible of the required ultrasonic examination will be performed. The Code-required surface examination will be performed to the extent possible on the Safety Injection Pump.

Alternate Examination

None

VEGP-2 <u>RR-30</u> (continued) <u>Attachment 1</u>

Identification No.	Code Category	Item <u>No</u>	Description	Minimum Percentage Examined	Restriction
(EXCESS LETDOWN H	IEAT EXCHANGE	R)			
21208-E6-002-W01	C-A	C1.20	Unit Flange to Channel Head Weld	50%	50% Code exam done from channel head side of weld -4 branch connections interfere
(LETDOWN HEAT EX	CHANGER)				
21208-E6-003-\s/03	C-A	C1.20	Vessel Head to Head Flange	30%	30% Code exam done from head side due to flange bolt interference
(DISCHARGE PULSAT	ION DAMPENER)				
21208-V4-002-W01	C-A	C1.20	Hemi-Head to Hemi-Head Weld	90%	Support Interference from nozzle side
(SAFETY INJECTION I	PUMP)				
21204-P6-003-W02	C-G	C6.10	Pump Casing to Suction Nozzle Weld	68%	Pump supports prevent access to required area
(CENTRIFUGAL CHAI	RGING PUMP)				
21208-P6-002-W03	C-C	C3.30	Integrally Welded Pump Support Bracket	70%	Pump supports prevent access to required area
21208-P6-002-W05	c-c	C3.30	Integrally Welded Pump Support Bracket	70%	Pump supports prevent access to required area

6-55

<u>RR-43</u>

Component or Relief Area

Article IWF-5000 of ASME Section XI outlines the inservice test requirements for hydraulic and mechanical type snubbers.

Requirement from which Relief is Requested

The schedule for the testing of snubbers is described in Article IWF-5000. In addition, personnel performing these tests are to be qualified to both VT-3 and VT-4 visual examination requirements. Relief is requested from using Article IWF-5000 and qualified VT-4 visual examination personnel.

Basis for Relief

Instead of using Article IWF-5000, the on-going testing program per the pre-ITS (Improved Technical Specifications) Plant Technical Specifications will be performed. The snubber testing requirements currently found in the VEGP Plant Technical Specifications are being relocated to a licensee-controlled document as allowed by the NRC Finai Policy Statement on Technical Specification Improvements for Nuclear Power Reactors as noticed in the Federal Register 58 FR 39132 dated July 22, 1993. The snubber testing program for VEGP and its requirements remain unchanged even though the existing VEGP Plant Technical Specifications are being converted to the Westinghouse Improved Standard Technical Specifications-style as issued by the NRC in NUREG-1431 dated September 28, 1992. Other than the location where the snubber testing program and its requirements are documented, e.g., the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR), Technical Requirements Manual, etc., the snubber testing program remains unchanged for VEGP, i.e., it is designed to demonstrate the functional integrity of the snubbers and is, at least, equivalent to the requirements of Article IWF-5000.

The functional testing of the snubbers will be performed by trained personnel using a detailed procedure. In addition, the required VT-3 examination will be performed using qualified personnel.

<u>RR-43</u>

(continued)

Alternate Examination

As noted above, the existing snubber testing program will continue to be performed regardless of where the program and its requirements are documented due to the conversion of the existing Plant Technical Specifications to the Improved Standard Technical Specifications-style. The Improved Technical Specifications for VEGP are expected to go into effect in 1996 contingent upon NRC approval. Once the Improved Standard Technical Specifications-style of Plant Technical Specifications are approved for VEGP, the snubber testing program and its requirements will be relocated to a licensee-controlled document such as the UFSAR, Technical Requirements Manual, etc. Otherwise, the snubber testing program as currently performed remains unchanged.

<u>RR-58</u>

Component or Relief Area

The use of ASME Section XI Code, 1989 Edition with Addenda through 1990, for the welded repair of the upper east secondary side manway cover on steam generator 2-1201-B6-001, including the nondestructive examination (NDE) requirements for the repaired component.

Requirements from which Relief is Requested

Article IWA-4000 of the ASME Section XI Code, 1983 Edition with Addenda through Summer 1983, provides the rules and requirements for repairs to pressure-retaining components, supports, etc. Paragraph IWA-4120 of that particular edition and addenda of the Code requires that repairs be performed in accordance with the Owner's Design Specification and Construction Code of the component or system. Further, that paragraph allows the use of later editions of the Construction Code or of ASME Section III, either in the entirety or portions thereof. Guidance on repair welding is also provided by Paragraph IWA-4120. IWB-4320 provides the nondestructive examination requirements for the welded repair in question while IWA-4400(c) provides the hydrostatic testing requirements.

The component to which this relief request applies, the upper east secondary side manway cover to VEGP-2 steam generator 2-1201-B6-001, was fabricated to the 1971 Edition of ASME Section III with Addenda through Summer 1972. As a result, the repair and NDE requirements of ASME Section III should apply. Due to a code misapplication, the welded repair of the manway cover to remove two steam cuts and the resulting NDE were performed in accordance with the requirements of the 1989 Edition of the ASME Section XI Code which was utilized for the repair, the 1989 edition with addenda through 1990, is not an approved version under the present requirements of 10 CFR 50.55a.

Relief is requested from the repair requirements (IWA-4120) of the ASME Section XI Code, 1983 Edition with Addenda through Summer 1983, for the repair of the upper east secondary side manway cover on steam generator 2-1201-B6-001, including the NDE and hydrostatic test requirements (IWB-4320 and IWA-4400(c), respectively) for the repaired component under that particular edition and addenda of the Code. In addition, NRC concurrence on the adequacy of repairs utilizing the 1989 Edition of the ASME Section

6-106

(continued)

Requirement from which Relief is Requested (continued)

XI Code with Addenda through 1990 is requested, albeit after the fact, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(i).

Basis for Relief

Two steam cuts in the upper east secondary side manway cover on steam generator 2-1201-B6-001 were repaired by welding during VEGP-2 Maintenance/Refueling Outage 2R3 in September 1993. The steam cuts were located approximately 180 degrees apart in the gasket seating surface. A temper bead welding technique was utilized for repair of the affected manway cover which is fabricated from SA-508, Class 2A. The manway cover is approximately 23" in diameter and 3" thick in the repair areas. One of the repaired areas measured approximately 3-3/4" long x 3/4" wide x 0.122" deep while the second area measured approximately 1-3/16" long x 9/16" wide x 0.049" deep. The welded repair was elective since the steam cuts could have been removed solely by machining. Instead of machining the manway cover to remove the two steam cuts, a welded repair was chosen since it was desired to conserve section thickness for any future machining.

Because an approved weld procedure specification for the base material involved was not available on-site, an outside vendor was selected to perform the repair. The vendor agreed to perform the repair under its NR stamp utilizing ASME Section XI, 1983 Edition with Addenda through Summer 1983, which is the code version to which VEGP-2 is currently committed for performing inservice inspection/testing, including repair and replacement activities. Upon review of that version of the code, the vendor identified a requirement for volumetric examination and hydrostatic test of the welded repair and requested permission to use the 1989 Edition of ASME Section XI with Addenda through 1989. The vendor was granted permission to use the later edition and addenda of the ASME Section XI Code. Repair activities were completed by the vendor on September 18, 1993. A magnetic particle (MT) inspection of each weld layer, including an MT of the as-machined condition, was performed by the vendor. The repair and resulting NDE were documented by the vendor on an ASME Form NR-1 Report. Repair activities were inspected by an Authorized Nuclear Inspector (ANI) who was utilized by the vendor. The vendor returned the repaired manway cover to VEGP on September 19, 1993. On September 21, 1993, VEGP Quality Control (QC) personnel performed a liquid penetrant (PT) examination of the repaired manway cover. The results of the PT examination performed by VEGP QC personnel were satisfactory and the manway cover was reinstalled by maintenance personnel.

6-107

(continued)

Basis for Relief (continued)

Subsequent review of the completed maintenance work order (MWO) by the Authorized Nuclear Inservice Inspector (ANII) utilized on-site at VEGP indicated there was a problem associated with the repair. Specifically, the ANII questioned why a hydrostatic test had not been performed and the MWO was returned to the appropriate plant site department for resolution. A detailed review was then performed regarding ASME Section XI Code requirements for pressure testing. Subsequently, site personnel contacted the vendor who indicated that repairs had been performed to the 1990 Addenda to the 1989 Edition of ASME Section XI rather than to the 1989 Addenda. The later addenda, i.e., the 1990 Addenda, was preferred for use since there are differences between the NDE requirements for the 1989 and 1990 addendum. The following problems were observed:

- The 1989 Edition with 1989 Addenda of the ASME Section XI Code for which the vendor had received authorization to use was not a version of the code approved by the NRC for use. Neither was the 1990 Addenda to that particular edition of ASME Section XI to which the repair was ultimately performed. At the time of the repair, only the 1989 base code of both ASME Section III and Section XI had been approved by the NRC for use. 10 CFR 50.55a specifically identifies the edition and/or addenda of both ASME Section III and Section XI which the NRC has approved for use, and
- 2. The vendor took credit for a change to ASME Section XI which did not appear until the 1990 Addenda. Use of the 1990 Addenda was not reflected on the original ASME Form NR-1 Report provided to VEGP. The ASME Form NR-1 Report was later revised to reflect the use of the 1990 Addenda to the 1989 Edition of ASME Section XI and performance of the PT examination of the repaired manway cover by VEGP QC personnel.

Had the 1989 Edition of ASME Section III been used for the repair as it should have, NDE similar to that required by the 1989 Edition of ASME Section XI with Addenda through 1990 would have been performed except that a volumetric examination, i.e., either radiography (RT) or an ultrasonic (UT) examination, would have been required after the repair was completed. Had the repair been performed under the 1989 base version of ASME Section XI, the NDE requirements would have included UT, PT, and RT examinations. In addition, a hydrostatic test would have been required. Similar requirements would have been imposed had the 1983 base version of ASME Section XI.

6-108

(continued)

Basis for Relief (continued)

been utilized for the repair. It should be noted that the 1989 Addenda retained similar NDE requirements; however, that addenda dropped the hydrostatic test requirement from the ASME Section XI Code. None of the NDE required under the 1989 base version of ASME Section XI were performed. The 1990 Addenda to which the repair was performed changed the NDE requirements and allows exemption from the volumetric examination, i.e., either RT or UT, provided that each weld layer is examined using MT. In addition, the 1990 Addenda requires that a surface examination, i.e., either MT or PT. be performed 48 hours after the weld repair reaches ambient temperature. As noted above. MT was performed on each weld layer and in the as-machined condition by the vendor who performed the repairs. In addition, the surface examination of the weld repair 48 hours after the weld repair reached ambient temperature was performed by VEGP OC personnel and produced satisfactory results. Even though there was a misapplication of the ASME Code, the requirements of the ASME Section XI Code, 1989 Edition with Addenda through 1990 were met when performing the repair of the manway cover. The repair, as performed, does not pose any structural concern because it is a minor local repair which yields no significant reductions of design margins.

An independent review was conducted by an outside consultant subsequent to the repair of the affected manway cover and it was determined that all of the requirements of the 1989 Edition of ASME Section XI with Addenda through 1990 had been met.

In an effort to help preclude any future possible misapplication of the ASME Code requirements, the following corrective actions are planned:

- Revise appropriate plant procurement procedure(s) to include the following:
 - Include 10 CFR 50.55a in the required reading material for the procedure concerning the certification of Material Support Department Qualified Reviewers, and
 - Provide guidance when specifying code years for repair services in the procedure concerning requisition review for technical and quality requirements.
- Conduct training/discussion within the plant Maintenance Engineering group to provide details on this incident with the objective of increasing personnel awareness.

6-109

(continued)

Basis for Relief (continued)

The imposition of the requirements of the 1989 Edition of ASME Section III or Section XI would not provide any commensurate increase in the level of safety over that provided by the 1989 Edition of ASME Section XI with Addenda through 1990. As a result, NRC concurrence on the adequacy of repairs utilizing the 1989 Edition of the ASME Section XI Code with Addenda through 1990 is requested in accordance with 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(i).

Alternate Examination

No alternate examinations are proposed since the repairs performed and their resulting NDE meet the requirements of the ASME Section XI Code, 1989 Edition with Addenda through 1990.

r

RR-59

Component or Relief Area

All ASME Class 1, 2, and 3 piping and components.

Requirement From Which Relief is Requested

Paragraph IWA-4400(a) of the 1983 Edition of ASME Section XI with Addenda through Summer 1983 requires that a system hydrostatic test be performed in accordance with IWA-5000 after a welded repair on a pressuro-retaining boundary. Relief is requested from performing this Code-required post-repair/replacement hydrostatic pressure test on Class 1, 2, and 3 welds. Alternative examinations are proposed.

Basis for Relief

Georgia Power Company (GPC) has determined that hydrostatically testing postrepair/installation welds represents a hardship with little benefit. Hardships are generally encountered with the performance of hydrostatic testing performed in accordance with the Code. For example, since hydrostatic test pressure would be higher than nominal operating pressure, hydrostatic pressure testing frequently requires significant effort to set up and perform. The need to use special equipment and the need for individual valve lineups can cause the testing to impact maintenance/refueling outage schedules.

Piping components are designed for a number of loadings that would be postulated to occur under the various modes of plant operation. Section XI hydrostatic testing only subjects the piping components to a small increase in pressure over the design pressure and, therefore, does not present a significant change to pressure boundary conditions. Accordingly, hydrostatic pressure testing is primarily regarded as a means to enhance leakage detection during the examination of components under pressure, rather than solely as a measure to determine the structural integrity of the components.

The ASME Subcommitter Working Group on Pressure Testing concluded that no additional benefit is gained by conducting the existing system hydrostatic tests in place of the alternate rules which require a leak test at nominal operating pressure. The conclusion of the group was that hydrostatic testing does not necessarily verify structural integrity and, in fact, the slightly higher test pressures currently called for in the Code could result in operational difficulties as well as extended outages and increased costs.

Industry experience has demonstrated that leaks are not discovered as a result of hydrostatic test pressures propagating a pre-existing flaw through-wall. This experience

<u>RR-59</u>

(continued)

Basis for Relief (continued)

indicates that leaks in most cases are being found when the system is at normal operating pressure. This is mainly due to the fact that hydrostatic pressure testing is infrequently performed, while system leakage tests at normal operating pressures are conducted a minimum of once each maintenance/refueling outage for Class 1 systems, and each 40-month inspection period for Class 2 and 3 systems. In addition, leaks may be identified during system walkdowns by plant operators.

Georgia Power Company has determined that the nondestructive examinations and their associated acceptance criteria provide assurance of the structural integrity of the weld. The proposed alternative examinations will provide reasonable assurance that unallowable flaws are not present in the subject welds. Consequently, an acceptable level of quality and safety will be achieved and public health and safety will not be endangered by allowing the proposed alternative examination in lieu of the Code requirement.

Alternative Examinations

Georgia Power Company proposes to perform alternative examinations delineated in ASME Code Case N-416-1, with augmented exams for Class 3 piping and components, in lieu of Code-required hydrostatic tests. These alternative examinations are as follows:

- Perform nondestructive examinations in accordance with the methods and acceptance criteria of the applicable subsection of the 1992 Edition of ASME, Section III.
- 2. Perform a VT-2 visual examination of the welds in conjunction with the system leakage test using the 1992 Edition of ASME Section XI.
- 3. Perform surface examinations on the root pass layer of butt and socket wolds on the pressure-retaining boundary of Class 3 piping and components.
- 4. The nondestructive examinations and pressure tests shall be documented on an Owner's Report for Repairs or Replacements, Form NIS-2.

RR-59

(continued)

Implementation Schedule

Because of the benefits which can be derived from the use of ASME Code Case N-416-1, with augmented examinations as delineated above, GPC wishes to implement this relief request immediately. The actions, i.e., alternative examinations, proposed by GPC are consistent with those required of Beaver Valley as approved by the NRC. Because immediate use of Relief Request RR-59 is desired, GPC requests that the NRC grant interim approval of RR-59 pending its full review and approval of this relief request.

<u>RR-60</u>

Component or Relief Area

Class 1, 2, and 3 systems subject to hydrostatic testing.

Requirement From Which Relief is Requested

Tables IWB-2500-1, IWC-2500-1, and IWD-2500-1 of the 1983 Edition of ASME Section XI with Addenda through Summer 1983 require system hydrostatic and leakage testing as shown below. The Code requires system hydrostatic testing once per 10-year interval at or near the end of the interval.

ASME Examination Category B-E, Item B4.10, B4.11, B4.12, B4.13 and B4.20,

ASME Examination Category B-P, Item B15.11, B15.21, B15.31, B15.41, B15.51, B15.61 and B15.71,

ASME Examination Category C-H, Item C7.20, C7.40, C7.60 and C7.80,

ASME Examination Category D-A, Item D1.10,

ASME Examination Category D-B, Item D2.10, and

ASME Examination Category D-C, Item D3.10.

Relief is requested from performing the Code-required hydrostatic tests. Alternative examinations are proposed.

Basis for Relief

Georgia Power Company (GPC) has determined that hydrostatic tests represent a hardship with little benefit. Hardships are generally encountered with the performance of hydrostatic testing performed in accordance with the Code. For example, since hydrostatic test pressure would be higher than nominal operating pressure, hydrostatic pressure testing frequently requires significant effort to set up and perform. The need to use special equipment and the need for individual valve lineups can cause the testing to impact maintenance/refueling outage schedules.

Piping components are designed for a number of loadings postulated to occur under the various modes of plant operation. Section XI hydrostatic testing only subjects the piping components to a small increase in pressure over the design pressure and, therefore, does

<u>RR-60</u>

(continued)

Basis for Relief (continued)

not present a significant change to pressure boundary conditions. Accordingly, hydrostatic pressure testing is primarily regarded as a means to enhance leakage detection during the examination of components under pressure rather than as a measure to determine the structural integrity of the components.

The ASME Subcommittee Working Group on Pressure Testing concluded that no additional benefit is gained by conducting the existing system hydrostatic tests in place of the alternate rules which require a leak test at nominal operating pressure. The conclusion of the group was that Section XI hydrostatic testing does not verify structural integrity and, in fact, the slightly higher test pressures currently called for in the Code could result in operational difficulties as well as extended maintenance/refueling outages and increased costs.

Industry experience has demonstrated that leaks are not discovered as a result of hydrostatic test pressures propagating a preexisting flaw through wall. This experience indicates that leaks in most cases are being found when the system is at normal operating pressure. This is largely due to the fact that hydrostatic pressure testing is infrequently performed while system leakage tests at nominal operating pressures are conducted a minimum of once each refueling outage for Class 1 systems, and each 40-month inspection period for Class 2 and 3 systems. In addition, leaks may be identified during system walkdowns by plant operators.

The use of Code Case N-498, "Alternative Rules for 10-Year System Hydrostatic Testing for Class 1 and 2 Systems", was previously approved by the NRC in Regulatory Guide 1.147, Revision 11. The alternative rules for Code Class 1 and 2 in Code Case N-498-1 are unchanged from N-498. Code Case N-498-1 added an alternative to the 10-year system hydrostatic tests required for Class 3 Systems by Table IWD 2500-1, Categories D-A, D-B, or D-C to the Class 1 and 2 alternatives included in Code Case N-498. Code Case N-498 was found to be acceptable because the alternative provided adequate assurance and because compliance with the specified requirements would result in hardship or unusual difficulty without a compensating increase in the level of quality or safety.

Georgia Power Company has determined that the alternative rules of ASME Code Case N-498-1 provide reasonable assurance of the structural integrity of the Code system. Consequently, an acceptable level of quality and safety will be achieved and public health and safety will be maintained by allowing the proposed alternative examination as an option to the Code requirement.

<u>RR-60</u>

(continued)

Alternative Examinations

Georgia Power Company proposes to perform an alternative examination delineated in Code Case N-498-1 as an option to performing Code-required hydrostatic tests. Code Case N-498-1 requires that a VT-2 visual examination be performed in conjunction with a system pressure test at nominal operating pressure.

Implementation Schedule

Because of the benefits which can be derived from the use of ASME Code Case N-498-1, GPC wishes to implement this relief request immediately. The actions, i.e., alternative examinations, proposed by GPC are consistent with those required of the Beaver Valley and Farley plants as approved by the NRC. Because immediate use of Relief Request RR-60 is desired, GPC requests that the NRC grant interim approval of RR-60 pending full review and approval of this relief request.

<u>RR-61</u>

Components or Relief Area

All ASME Class 1, 2, and 3 Integrally Welded Attachments included within the scope of the ISI Program. Specifically, these include the following:

ASME Examination Category B-H, Items B8.10 and B8.20 for Vessels,

- ASME Examination Category C-C, Items C3.10, C3.20 and C3.30 for Vessels, Piping, Pumps, and Valves,
- ASME Examination Category D-A, Items D1.20, D1.30 and D1.40 for Systems In Support Of Reactor Shutdown,
- ASME Examination Category D-B, Items D2.20, D2.30 and D2.40 for Systems In Support Of ECC, CHR, Atmosphere Cleanup, and Reactor RHR, and
- ASME Examination Category D-C, Item D3.20 for Systems In Support Of RHR and SF Storage Pool.

Requirement From Which Relief is Requested

Table IWB-2500-1, Examination Category B-H, Items B8.10 and B8.20 in the 1983 Edition of ASME Section XI with Addenda through Summer 1983 require a volumetric or surface examination of the integrally welded attachments that meet certain conditions as noted in the subject table. Table IWC-2500-1, Examination Category C-C, Items C3.10, C3.20 and C3.30 of that same Code edition/addenda require a <u>surface examination</u> of the integrally welded attachments that meet certain conditions as noted in the subject table. Table IWD-2500-1, Examination Category D-A, Items D1.20, D1.30, D1.40; Examination Category D-B, Items D2.20, D2.30, D2.40; and Examination Category D-C, Item D3.20 of that same Code edition/addenda require a visual examination of the integrally welded attachments that meet certain conditions as noted in the subject table.

Relief is requested from performing the Code-required volumetric, surface, or visual examination on those Integral Attachments required by the above referenced tables.

Basis for Relief

On November 25, 1992, ASME issued Code Case N-509 which approved a set of alternative rules for the selection and examination of Class 1, 2 and 3 Integrally Welded Attachments, Section XI, Division 1. The Code Case provides an alternative sampling which will retain an

<u>RR-61</u>

(continued)

Basis for Relief (continued)

acceptable level of quality and safety for Class 1, 2, and 3 Integrally Welded Attachments. Since approval was granted by ASME, the alternative requirements should be technically acceptable for determining flaws. By implementing the alternative examinations, cost savings, personnel radiation dose, and outage time can be realized by Georgia Power Company (GPC) at Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Unit 2. A VEGP-1 study was performed by Southern Nuclear Operating Company (SNC) on behalf of GPC that compared the number of integrally welded attachment examinations required under the press nt ASME Section XI scope versus the number of integrally welded attachment examinations required under ASME Code Case N-509. That study is shown in Attachment 1 to this relief request and shows that at least 10% of the present ASME Section XI Integrally Welded Attachment scope for piping will be examined when ASME Code Case N-509 is implemented. Since Units 1 and 2 are similar at Plant Vogtle, the results of the VEGP-1 study will be typical for VEGP-2.

Alternative Examinations

Georgia Power Company proposes that the following examinations be performed in lieu of the Code-required volumetric, surface, or visual examination on those Integrally Welded Attachments required by Table IWB-2500-1, IWC-2500-1, or IWD-2500-1 in the 1983 Edition, Summer 1983 Addenda of ASME Section XI:

Surface Examinations:

Those integrally welded attachments as specifically noted in ASME Code Case N-509,

ASME Examination Category B-K, Integral Attachments for Class 1 Vessels, Piping, Pumps, and Valves, and

ASME Examination Category C-C, Integral Attachments for Class 2 Vessels, Piping, Pumps, and Valves.

Visual Examinations:

ASME Examination Category D-A, Integral Attachments for Class 3 Vessels, Piping, Pumps, and Valves.

6-118

RR-61

(continued)

Attachment 1

VOGTLE ELECTRIC GENERATING PLANT ASME CODE CASE N-509 STUDY UNIT 1 (TYPICAL FOR UNIT 2)

Integrally Welded Attachment Examinations Required For Piping

	Class 1	Class 2	Class 3	Total
Present Scope	0	103	329	432
N-509 Scope	<u>0</u>	2	<u>37</u>	<u>46</u>
Exams Saved	0	94	292	386

NOTES

- 1. ASME Code Case N-509 was approved on November 25, 1992 but has not yet been included in NRC Regulatory Guide 1.147.
- Class 1, 2, and 3 component supports shall be selected for examination in accordance with IWF of the 1989 Edition w/1990 Addenda of Section XI to the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code.
- The 1989 Edition w/1990 Addenda of Section XI to the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code is essentially ASME Code Case N-491 contained in NRC Regulatory Guide 1.147, Revision 10.
- Except for selection of component supports for examination, all references to ASME Section XI within the code case shall be from the edition and addenda specified in the owner's ISI program.
- 5. Table 2500-1 in ASME Code Case N-509 uses Examination Category B-K for Class 1 integrally welded attachments in place of Examination Categories B-H and B-K-1 of IWB and Examination Category C-C for Class 2 integrally welded attachments in the place of Examination Category C-C of IWC. It also uses Examination Category D-A for Class 3 integrally welded attachments in the place of Examination Categories D-A, D-B, and D-C of IWD.
- The base metal design thickness exemption is lost for Class 1 and 2 integrally welded attachments.

<u>RR-62</u>

Component or Relief Area

Relief is requested from the surface and volumetric examination requirements of vessels and their connections in piping 4" nominal pipe size (NPS) and smaller in systems other than Residual Heat Removal (RHR), Emergency Core Cooling (ECC), and Containment Heat Removal (CHR) systems or portions thereof. Specifically, the following components are involved:

Regenerative Heat Exchanger (Tag No. 2-1208-E6-001) Excess Letdown Heat Exchanger (Tag No. 2-1208-E6-002) Letdown Heat Exchanger (Tag No. 2-1208-E6-003) Letdown Reheat Heat Exchanger (Tag. No. 2-1208-E6-007) Discharge Dampener (Tag No. 2-1208-V4-002)

Requirement from which Relief is Requested

Relief is requested to exclude the components cited above from the surface and volumetric examinations as required by Table IWC-2500-1, Examination Category C-A (Items C1.10, C1.20, and C1.30) and Examination Category C-C (Item C3.10) as allowed in IWC-1220, "Components Exempt From Examination", in the 1989 Edition, 1989 Addenda through the 1992 Edition of ASME Section XI.

Basis for Relief

Subarticle IWC-1220 of the 1989 Addenda of ASME Section XI allowed the exemption of selected components from the surface and volumetric examination requirements of IWC-1220. The 1992 Edition of ASME Section XI also includes these exemptions in IWC-1220. These exemptions will be allowed when the newer Addenda and Editions of the Code are authorized in 10CFR50.55a. Georgia Power Company (GPC) sees no benefit in performing examinations on components which the Code has determined can be exempted. The other requirements in the Code are therefore acceptable to assure an acceptable level of safety or quality. It is impractical to perform examinations which do not provide a compensating increase in the level of safety or quality.

These added exemptions would apply to several components which are in high dose rate areas. The most significant of these components is the regenerative heat exchanger. A conservative whole body dose in the range of one to two Rem is a reasonable estimate for examining the regenerative heat exchanger. The dose rate surveys for the regenerative heat exchanger indicate a contact dose rate of two to three Rem/hour and a dose rate at

6-120

<u>RR-62</u>

(continued)

Basis for Relief (continued)

eighteen inches away from the heat exchanger of one to one-and-one-half (1 to 1-1/2) Rem/hour. The estimated stay time to perform the Code-required examinations on the regenerative heat exchanger is one hour. Such exposure is contrary to the principles of ALARA to perform examinations on components without a compensating increase in the level of safety or quality. For the reasons discussed above, GPC has determined that implementation of the Code requirements is impractical.

Alternate Examination

These exemptions exclude the applicable vessels from the surface and volumetric required by IWC-2500. The remainder of the Code-required examinations (i.e., pressure tests) would be performed to assure that an acceptable level of safety and quality is maintained for the applicable components.