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FOREWORD

Examination, analysis, and interpretation of a bundle test take place over a long period of time, and our practice has been to report progress and results as they become available. Dissemination of the information in this manner results in its being disjointed and scattered throughout several publications. This presents some problems to the users in that one is never sure if the information at hand is the most recent. Our intention has been to alleviate some of these problems by (1) publication of a data report on each bundle test and (2) publication of analytic and interpretative reports when sufficient information has been developed.

Consistent with this intention, the objective of this data report is to provide a reference source of information and results obtained during the $B-5$ test and from pretest and posttest examination of the test array. We believe the data presented herein, consisting of plots, tabulations, photographs, and some important observations, are necessary for analysis and interpretation of the test. A decision was made that the data should be presented with a minimum of interpretation and that analysis or "sec-ond-generation" data, such as comparative temperature vs time plots, should be excluded.

This report is derived from research performed by the (now completed) Multirod Burst Test (MRBT) Program at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL). This program was sponsored by the Division of Accident Evaluation of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and the results were published routinely in a series of progress reports, topical reports and papers, quick-look test reports, and test data reports.

Progress reports published by the MRBT Program include:

## NUREG Report No.

NUREG/CR-0103
NUREG/CR-0225
NUREG/CR-0398
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ORNL Report No.
ORNL/TM-4729
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ORNL/NUREG/TM-135
ORNL/NUREG/TM-200
ORNL/NUREG/TM-217
ORNL/NUREG/TM-243
ORNL/NUREG/TM-297
ORNL/NUREG/TM-323
ORNL/NUREG/TM-351
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ORNL/NUREG/TM-426

Period covered
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January-March 1975
April-June 1975
July-September 1975
October-December 1975
January-March 1976
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January-March 1977
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April-June 1978
July-December 1978
January-March 1979
April-June 1979
July-December 1979
January-June 1980

| NUREG/CR-1919 | ORNL/NUREG/TM-436 | July-December 1980 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NUREG/CR-2366, Vol. 1 | ORNL/TM-8058 | January-June 1981 |
| NUREG/CR-2366, Vol. 2 | ORNL/TM-8'0r | July-December 1981 |
| NUREG/CR-2911 | ORNL/TM-8485 | January-June 1982 |

Topical reports and papers pertaining to research and development carried out by this program are:

1. R. H. Chapman (comp.), Chanacterization of Zircaloy-4 Tubing Procured for Fuel Cladding Research Programs, ORNL/NUREG/TM-29 (July 1976).
2. W. E. Baucum and R. E. Dial, An Apparatus for Spot Welding Sheathed Thermocouples to the Ineide of Small-Diameter Tuber at Precise Locations, ORNL/NUREG/TM-33 (August 1976).
3. W. A. Simpson, Jr., e亡 al., Infrared Inspection and Chamactemization of Euel-Pin Simulators, ORNL/NUREG/TM-55 (November 1976).
4. R. H. Chapman et il., Effect of Creep Time and Heating Rate on Deformation of Zircaloy-4 Tubes Tested in Steam with Internal Heaters, NUREG/CR-0343 (ORNL/NUREG/TM-245) (October 1978).
5. J. F. Mincey, Steady-State Axial Pressure Losses Along the Extemior of Deformed Fuel Cladding: Multirod Burst Test (MRBT) Bundles B-1 and B-2, NUREG/CR-1011 (ORNL/NUREG/TM-350) (January 1980).
6. R. W. McCulloch, P. T. Jacobs, and D. L. Clark, Development of a Fabmication Procedure for the MRBT Fuel Simulator Baaed on the Use of Cold-Pressed Boron Nitmide Preforms, NUREG/CR-1111 (ORNL/NUREG/ TM-362) (March 1980).
7. R. H. Chapman, J. V. Cathcart, and D. O. Hobson, "Status of Zircaloy Deformation and Oxidat 1 Research at Oak Ridge National Laboratory," in Proceedinge of Sper Lists Meeting on the Behavior of Water Reactor Fuel Elements under Accident Conditions, Spatind, Nomay, September $13-16,1976$, CSNI Report No. 13 (1977).
8. R. H. Chapman et al., "Zírcaloy Claddin i) cmation in a Steam Environment with Transfent Heatiag," $\quad 8$ in Zirconiwn in the Nuclear Industry (Fourth Conferenc , $\quad$ 681, American Society for Testing and Materials, 1979.
9. R. L. Anderson, K. R. Carr, and T. G. Kollie, Themometry in the Multirod Burst Test Program, NUREG/CR-2470 (ORNL/TM-8024) (March 1982).
10. A. W. Longest, J. L. Growley, and R. H. Chapman, Vamiations in Zirealoy-4 Cladding Dejomation in Replicate LOCA Similation 2ists, NUREG/CR-2810 (ORNL/TM-8413) (September 1982).
11. A. W. Longest, R. H. Chapman, and J. L. Crowley, "Boundary Effects on Zircaloy-4 Cladding Deformation in LOCA Simulation Tests," Trans. Am. Nuct. Soc. 41, 383 (1982).
12. R. H. Chapman, J. L. Crowley, and A. W. Longest, "Effect of Bundle Size on Cladding Deformation in LOCA Simulation Tests," in Zirconium in the Nuclear Industry: Sixth International Symposium, ASTM STP 824, ed. D. G. Franklin, R. B. Adamson, and B. Cox, American Society for Testing and Materials (in publication).

The following bundle test quick-look and data reports have been issued by this program:

1. R. H. Chapman (comp.), Quick-look Report on MRBT No. $14 \times 4$ Bundle Burst Test, Internal Report ORNL/MRBT-2 (September 1977).
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8. R. H. Chapman et al., Bundle B-2 Test Data, ORNL/NUREG/TM-337 (August 1979).
9. R. H. Chapman et al., Bundle B-3 Test Data, ORNL/NUREG/TM-360 (January 1980).
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#### Abstract

A reference source of MRBT bundle B-5 test data is presented with interpretation limited to that necessary to understand pertinent features of the test. Primary objectives of this $8 \times 8$ multirod burst test were to investigate the effects of array size and rod-to-rod interactions on cladding deformation in the high-alpha-Zircaloy temperature range under simulated light-water reactor loss-of-coolant accident (LOCA) conditions. B-5 test conditions, nominally the same as used in an earlier $4 \times 4(B-3)$ test, simulated the adiabatic heatup (reheat) phase of an LOCA and were conducive to large deformation. The fuel pin simulators were electrically heated (average linear power generation of $3.0 \mathrm{~kW} / \mathrm{m}$ ) and were slightly cooled with a very low flow ( $\operatorname{Re} \sim 140$ ) of low-pressure superheated steam. The cladding temperature increased from the initial temperature $\left(335^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ to the burst temperature at a rate of $9.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{s}$. The simulators burst in a very narrow temperature range, with an average of $768^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cladding burst strain ranged from $32 \%$ to $95 \%$, with an average of $61 \%$. Volumetric expansion over the heated length of the cladding ranged from $35 \%$ to $79 \%$, with an average of $52 \%$. Although the average burst strain for the interior simulators was only slightly greater than that for the exterior simulators, the average volumetric expansion was significantly greater. The maximum coolant channel flow area reduction was $69 \%$ for the entire $8 \times 8$ array, $83 \%$ if based on the interior $6 \times 6$ array, and $91 \%$ if based on the central $4 \times 4$ array. The results clearly show deformation was greater in the bundle interior and sugge:t rod-to-rod mechanical interactions caused axial propagation of the deformation.


Keywords: Zircaloy, nuclear fuel cladding, tubes, bundle burst tests, loss-of-coolant accident, deformation, flow blockage, boundary conditions, rod-to-rod interactions, fuel pin simulators.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This report presents, in considerable detail, the experimental data for the B-5 test (the first of two $8 \times 8$ multirod burst tests) conducted
by the Multirod Burst Test (MRBT) Program at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL). This work (now completed) was sponsored by the Division of Accident Evaluation of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and was designed to investigate Zircalcy cladding deformation behavior under simulated loss-of-coolant accident (LOCA) conditions. Although preliminary versions of the data have been published in a quick-look report ${ }^{1}$ and in periodic progress reports, ${ }^{2-5}$ the data are collected in final form in this report to provide a reference source document for the B-5 test results. Interpretation of the data is limited to that necessary to understand pertinent features of the test. Because of this, this report should be used in conjunction with other published results and interpretations. ${ }^{-8}$ (The Foreword lists all publications issued by this program.) The primary objectives of the B-5 test, which was conducted May 30, 1980, were to investigate the effects of array size and rod-to-rod interactions on cladding deformation with a low heating rate in the high-alphaZircaloy temperature range. To realize the objectives, test conditions nominally the same as used in an earlier $4 \times 4(B-3)$ test ${ }^{9}$ were selected. These parameters (i.e., high-alpha-Zircaloy temperature range and a low heating rate) and other features of the MRBT mode of testing were known to be conducive to arge deformation and, thus, favorable to rod-to-rod interactions. In addition, these conditions are representative of predictions from LOCA licensing calculations for a range of postulated light-water reactor (LWR) accidents.

Because test conditions used in this program simulate the adiabatic heatup (reheat) phase of an LOCA and are generally considered to be conservative, the B-5 test results are believed to provide an upper-1imit estimate of the deformation that can be anticipated in most accidents with cladding fallures in the high-alpha-Zircaloy temperature range. Similarly, the results of the B-6 test ${ }^{5}, 10$ (the second $8 \times 8$ array tested by this program) are believed to be a reasonable upper limit of the deformation that can be expected for failures in the alpha-plus-beta temperature range. Based on the burst strains characteristic of these two temperature ranges (i.e., $50 \%$ to $100 \%$ for the high-alpha and $25 \%$ to $50 \%$ for the alpha-plus-beta temperature range), the $\mathrm{B}-5$ and $\mathrm{B}-6$ deformation results taken together bracket the variation in the upper limit of expected deformation over a wide temperature range of interest.

Following the format of the other reports ${ }^{9-13}$ in this series, a brief description of the test design and an overview of test operations are given first. These are followed, in turn, by a section giving a summary of the test results and a section giving detailed test results, including photographic documentation of the bundle before and after testing and of the 59 cross sections on which the deformation measurements were made. Because the transient test deta and the posttest deformation data are voluminous, much of the data is presented (in computer-generated graphical and tabular formats) on microfiche in the pocket attached to the inside back cover. Similar to the B-6 report, 10 a final section is devoted to interpretation and important observations; however, the interpretation is limited to that we believe necessary to understand and explais. the test results.

## 2. TEST DESCRIPTION

### 2.1 Assembly

Figure 1 shows a simplified drawing of the B-5 test assembly installed in the test vessel. An unheated (electrically) shroud surrounded the test array as indicated in Sect. A-A of the figure. The shroud was constructed of thin ( $0.13-\mathrm{mm}$-thick) stainless steel, with a highly reflective gold-plated surface to minimize thermal capacity and radiative thermal losses. The stainless steel sheet was backed by a layer of insulating material to reduce heat losses, and the insulating material was backed by a strong structure to withstand radial forces during the test transient. The thin shroud was spaced 1.75 mm (i.e., one-half of a coolant channel distance) from the outer roci surfaces. This permitted some deformation of these simulators before contact with the shroud but prevented gross outward movement of the simulators.

The test array and shroud assembly were separately suspended from the test vessel cover flange to allow free and independent axial movement. Four Inconel-718 grids, typical of the type used in commercial pressurized-water reactor $15 \times 15$ fuel bundles, provided proper spacing ( $14.43-\mathrm{mm}$ center-to-center) of the fuel pin simulators ( $10.92-\mathrm{mm}$ diam) in a square array. Because grid tie-rods were not used, the grids were held in position only by grid-spring forces acting on the individual simulators and were free to move axially to compensate for growth or shrinkage of the bundle during the test.

Design features of the fuel pin simulators are illustrated in Fig. 2 and as-built data are listed in Table 1 . The fuel simulators (internal heaters) were anchored to the cladding tubes by the lower seal glands. Differential movement (thermal expansion, growth, and/or shrinkage of the cladding) between the fuel simulator and cladding tube was accommodated by a flexible section in the upper electrical lead. Different simulator lengths were required to accommodate the dimensions of the upper seal gland (Figs. 1 and 2), but cerantc inserts were used in both types to adjust the free volume to the same nominal value. The average free volume of the B-5 simulators was $50.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$, with a standard deviation of $1.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$.

Although the simulator gas volume was reasonably typical, the distribution of the volume was not typical of a full-length fuel rod. of the total initial volume (at room temperature), about $13 \%$ was in the heated portion of the annulus between the fuel simulator and the inside diameter of the Zircaloy tube, $10 \%$ was in the unheated portion of the annulus, $33 \%$ was in the pressure transducer and connecting tube, and $44 \%$ was distributed in the end regions (mostly at the upper end) of the fuel pin simulator.

The fuel simulators (internal heaters) were produced in the ORNL Fuel Rod Simulator Technology Development Laboratory, using fabrication procedures ${ }^{14}$ developed specifically for the needs of this program. A thio plasma-sprayed $\mathrm{ZrO}_{2}$ protective coating was applied to the outside surface (over the heated length) of the simulators. The axial heat generation profile of each of the coated simulators was characterized (before assembly within the Zircaloy tube) under transient heating conditions, using a high-temperature infrared (IR) scanning technique. ${ }^{15}$ The
highest-quality simulators, as judged by heat generation uniformity, were selected for the array interior positions.

The Zircaloy- 4 tubes ( $10.92-\mathrm{mm}$ OD by $0.635-\mathrm{mm}$ wall thickness) came from the master lot of well-characterized ${ }^{16-18}$ tubing purchased for use in several NRC-sponsored cladding research programs. Tube serial numbers, given in Table 1, can be used to relate the individual tubes to their fabrication history. ${ }^{16}$ The tubes were lightly oxidized in superheated steam for 30 min at $480^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on both internal and external surfaces prior to fabrication of the fuel pin simulators to better simulate the conditions of fuel cladding after a period of reactor operation. Metallographic examination of typical specimens oxidized under these conditions showed the oxide film to be very uniform and thin ( 1 to $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); this should not have materially affected the metallurgical conditions of the tubes.

Each fuel pin simulator was instrumented with a fast-response, strain-gage-type pressure transducer and four Inconel-sheathed ( $0.71-\mathrm{mm}-$ oD) type K (Chromel-Alumel) thermocouples with ungrounded junctions. The thermocouples were spot-welded to the inside surface of the Zircaloy-4 tubes, using a device developed specifically for this purpose, ${ }^{19}$ at the positions shown in Fig. 3. The figure also gives thermocouple identifications for use in subsequent figures and discussions (the nomenclature TE 10-4 identifies thermocouple 4 in simalator 10). Axial locations of the thermocouples are shown more clearly in Fig. 4. As evident from the figure, 32 internal thermocouples (average of one for every two simulators) were installed at each of the 7 instrumented elevations of primary interest, with 16 thermocouples at the lowest instrumented elevation and 8 thermocouples at each of the 2 grid elevations. The orientations of the thermocouples (Fig. 3) at any given elevation were selected (within the limitations imposed by a number of design constraints) to provide supplementary tempera:ure information of potential benefit for plotting radial tempe ature profiles and for detecting rod-to-rod thermal interactions.

Four $0.25-\mathrm{mm}$-diam bare-wire type S (Pt vs $\mathrm{Pt}-10 \% \mathrm{Rh}$ ) thermocouples were spot-welded to the outside surface of each of four simulators (Nos. $5,28,39$, and 44) in an attempt to obtain azimuthal temperature gradient information (Fig. 3). These thermocouples were equally spaced around the tubes at the $48-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation. An internal thermocouple was also located at this elevation in each of these simulators.

Sixteen $0.076-\mathrm{mm}$-diam bare-wire type S thermocouples were spotwelded directly to the outside surface of the thin shroud surrounding the test array. Four thermocouples were attached to each side of the shroud at different positions (Fig. 3) to obtain information on both the axial and circumferential temperature distributions. The shroud thermocouple identifications are also given in the figure for use in subsequent temperature plots.

As noted in Fig. 3, four of the simulator internal thermocouples (TE 23-1, TE 24-2, TE 30-4, and TE 53-1) became detached and one (TE 52-2) developed a grounded junction during fabrication of the simulators. One of the internal thermocouples (TE 46-1) became inoperative after the array was installed in the test vessel. Three of the fragile bare-wire exterior thermocouples on simulator 39 (TE 39-5, TE 39-7, and TE 39-8) and three of the shroud thermocouples (TE 91-3, TE 91-4, and TE 93-1) were
broken during subsequent assembly of the test array and could not be replaced. Two of the type S thermocouples (TE 44-7 and TE 93-4) malfunctioned before the test, and one (TE 91-1) malfunctfoned during the transient. Although it is not apparent from the data, the detached interior thermocouples might have indicated temperatures slightly higher than those they would have indicated if they had remained attached to the Zircaloy tubes. For this reason they were not considered relfable indicators of the burst temperature.

Eight thermocouples (TE $14-3$, TE 18-4, TE 21-1, TE 27-4, TE 36-1, TE 38-2, TE 47-2, and TE $51-1$ ) at the $38-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation on interior simulators were averaged electronically (in real time) to represent the bundle average temperature. This average, identified as TAV-10, was recorded and displayed to provide on-line information as the test progressed.

Three thermocouples (TE-320 through TE-322) were located in the tube matrix at the $107-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation (centerline elevation of the steam inlet nozzle; see Fig. 1) to obtain inlet steam temperature measurements across the bundle. Five thermocouples (TE-323 through TE-327) were located in the tube matrix at the bottom of the heated zone ( $0-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation) to obtain outlet steam temperature measurements at the centers of the bundle and quadrants of the bundle at this elevation. Figure 5 shows the identifications and locations of these sensors. These were $0.71-\mathrm{mm}-\mathrm{diam}$, stainless-steel-sheathed, type $K$ thermocouples with insulated junctions.

A detailed description of the temperature measurement systems and a comprehensive analysis of the errors and uncertainties associated with the measurements have been reported previously. 20

Millivolt signals from the pressure transducers, thermocouples, and electrical power measuring instruments were recorded on magnetic tape by a computer-controlled data acquisition system (CCDAS) for subsequent analysis. Calibration corrections, preprogrammed into the computer system, were automatically applied to the millivolt signals before printout of the data.

Each fuel pin simulator was electrically connected in parallel at the upper end through separately fused electrical circuits to a common dc constant-voltage power supply and was attached to a current collector at the lower end of the array as indicated in Fig. 1. Because the electrical characteristics were nearly the same for all the simulators (average value for the resistance data given in Table 1 of $1.98 \Omega$ with a standard deviation of $0.02 \Omega$ ), it was not necessary to provide for redistribution of the current to improve uniformity of the power generation in the bundle.

### 2.2 Operations

Heatup of the vessel containing the test assembly was initiated early in the afternoon of the day before the test; the temperature was $\sim 200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at the end of the work shift. Power adjustments to the vessel heaters were made to maintain the temperature near this value during the next 12 h to avoid temperature cycling the test assembly. Early on the day of the test, power to the vessel heaters was increased, and superheated steam was admicted to the vessel in the approach to the initial test temperature. Throughout this phase of operation, electrical power
was not applied to the sinulators; periodic leak checks indicated the simulator seals were performing very well (i.e., $<10-\mathrm{kPa}$ helium pressure loss per min at 7600 kPa and $\sim 330^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

After thermal equilibration ( $\sim 336^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of the test assembly was attained, the simulators were pressurized to $\sim 7700 \mathrm{kPa}$, and a short powered run ( $\sim 9.0-s$ transient) was conducted to ascertain that the data acquisition system and all the instrumentation were functioning properly and that the performance of the test components was as expected. Examination and evaluation of the limited quick-look data from this short transient (during which the temperature of the simulators increased to $\sim 390^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) indicated that slight adjustments were needed to achieve the desired heating rate.

During the high-temperature hold time ( $\sim 6 \mathrm{~h}$ ) between the pretest power-bump and the burst test transient, the lower gasket seal (Fig. 2) on simulator 62 developed a gross leak. The magnitude of the leak was such that its effect could not be counteracted by inflow of helium. $\mathrm{Be}-$ cause of the location of this simulator in the test array (Fig. 3), we reasoned that the influence of its lack of deformation on the remainder of the simulators would be small, and it was decided to continue the test With the simulator unpressurized. However, the simulator was heated so that the proper temperature boundary conditions would be preserved. Following the power adjustments and restabilization of the bundle temperature at $\sim 335^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, all the fuel pin simulators except No. 62 (with the leaking seal) were pressurized simultaneously to $\sim 11,620 \mathrm{kPa}$ (differential above the external pressure) and individually isolated from the supply header to provide a constant helium inventory in each one during the transient. The header was vented, and the leak rate of each of the simulators was checked over a $2-$ min period, with the pressure loss being $\lesssim 10 \mathrm{kPa} / \mathrm{min}$. With these initial conditions established, the test transient was initiated by applying dc voltage to the simulators. The applied voltage was mafntalned constant throughout the powered portion of the transient and resulted in an average linear power generation of $3.0 \mathrm{~kW} / \mathrm{m}$ in the simulators.

During the powered portion of the transient, superheated steam entered the test array through a single inlet nozzle located at the $107-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation on the north side of the bundle (Fig. 1) and flowed downward through the test assembly at the same mass flux used in the B-3 $(4 \times 4)$ reference test $-\sim 288 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{s} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2}$. Inlet steam conditions of $\sim 355^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 290 kPa (absolute) resulted in a Reynolds number of 140 . These inlet conditions remained essentially constant until disrupted by helium escapIng from the ruptured tubes and by the opening of valves to admit posttest cooling steam. When power to the bundle was terminated, the steam flow was increased to an estimated minimum of $2000 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{s} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2}$ to effect rapid cooldown of the bundle.

Termination of the powered portion of the test could be initiated by any of four actions: (1) CCDAS action resulting from a signal that 60 of the 63 pressurized simulators had burst, (2) CCDAS action resulting from a signal that 150 simulator thermocouples had exceeded the high-temperature limit ( $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ above the anticfpated burst temperature) on each of three successive data scans, (3) a timer that 1 imited the transient to 60 s , and (4) operator override. The choice to program criterion (1) to terminate power to the bundle after 60 tube bursts (with the expectation
that all 63 pressurized tubes would burst) was made to minimize the temperature overshoot at the end of the test. Also, criterion (2), the high-temperature limit, was established close to the expected burst temperature for the same reason. The test was terminated by criterion (1), and all 63 pressurized tubes burst.


Fig. 1. Schematic of B-5 test assembly.


Fig. 2. Typical fuel pin simulator.
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## NOTES

A. BECAME DETACHED DURING ASSEMBLY

B JUNCTION BECAME GROUNDED AFTER ASSEMBLY
Fig. 3. Thermocouple identifications and as-built locations in B-5 test (plan view).


Fig. 4. As-built axial locations of simulator thermocouples in B-5 test (elevation view).
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Fig. 5. Locations and identifications of thermocouples for measuring steam (a) inlet and (b) outlet temperatures in B-5 test.

Table 1. As-built data for B-5 fuel pin simulators

| Bundle | Zifraloy | Internal f | $\text { simulator }{ }^{a}$ | Fuel pin ${ }^{h}$ |  |  | Internal fue | 1 simulator ${ }^{2}$ | Fuel pin ${ }^{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| position No. | tube serial No. | Serial No. | Element resistance ( $\Omega$ ) | simulat or gas volume ( $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) | position No. | tube serial No. | Serial No. | Element resistance ( $\Omega$ ) | simulat or <br> gas volume $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ |
| 1 | 0738 | MNL-012 | 2.00 | 48.4 | 33 | 0760 | MNL-070 | 1.96 | 48.2 |
| 2 | 0101 | MNL-053 | 1.97 | 50.0 | 34 | 0130 | MNL-065 | 1.98 | 52.1 |
| 3 | 0739 | MNL-078 | 2.01 | 48.3 | 35 | 0761 | MNL-058 | 1.98 | 50.2 |
| 4 | 0102 | MNL-052 | 1.97 | 49.8 | 36 | 0131 | MNL-039 | 1.98 | 51.6 |
| 5 | 0166 | MNL-026 | 1.96 | 49.2 | 37 | 0789 | MNL-017 | 1.98 | 49.5 |
| 6 | 0103 | MNL-015 | 1.99 | 49.4 | 38 | 0132 | MNL-071 | 1.97 | 51.0 |
| 7 | 0741 | MNL-024 | 1.96 | 50.3 | 39 | 0806 | TV1.-068 | 1.98 | 48.4 |
| 8 | 0104 | MNL-080 | 1.98 | 51.3 | 40 | 0155 | MNL-031 | 1.96 | 49.7 |
| 9 | 0115 | MNL-025 | 1.98 | 49.1 | 41 | 0134 | MNL-016 | 1.98 | 50.3 |
| 10 | 0742 | MNL-014 | 1.97 | 50.3 | 42 | 0764 | MNL-060 | 1.98 | 50.2 |
| 11 | 0116 | MNL-020 | 1.97 | 51.3 | 43 | 0135 | MNL-036 | 1.96 | $5 . .3$ |
| 12 | 0791 | MNL-029 | 1.95 | 49.7 | 44 | 0773 | MNL-077 | 1.97 | 51.1 |
| 13 | 0117 | MNL-073 | 2.00 | 51.8 | 45 | 0136 | MNL-022 | 1.97 | 50.6 |
| 14 | 0747 | MNLL-050 | 1.97 | 50.6 | 46 | 0774 | MNL-008 | 1.97 | 50.1 |
| 15 | 0118 | MNL-083 | 2.03 | 51.0 | 47 | 0137 | MNL-064 | 1.95 | 49.3 |
| : 6 | 0748 | MNL-004 | 1.96 | 49.9 | 48 | 0803 | MNL-081 | 2.00 | 48.4 |
| $t$ | 0749 | MNL-088 | 2.01 | 47.9 | 49 | 0776 | YNL-063 | 1.98 | 48.2 |
| 16 | 0157 | MNL-037 | 1.95 | 50.7 | 50 | 0141 | MNL-055 | 2.00 | 51.0 |
| 19 | 0750 | MNL-092 | 2.00 | 50.3 | 51 | 0777 | MNL-019 | 2.00 | 49.9 |
| 20 | 0153 | MNL-027 | 1.98 | 49.8 | 32 | 0142 | MNL-048 | 1.96 | 51.5 |
| 21 | 0751 | MNL-034 | 1.95 | 50.1 | 53 | 0778 | MNL-021 | 2.91 | 50.1 |
| 22 | 0124 | MNL-041 | 1.99 | 50.9 | 54 | 0158 | MNL-038 | 1.98 | 49.6 |
| 23 | 0752 | MNL-018 | 1.97 | 49.5 | 55 | 0779 | MNL-084 | 2.02 | 50.1 |
| 24 | 0125 | MNL-079 | 2.00 | 50.1 | 56 | 0156 | MNL-054 | 1.96 | 49.8 |
| 25 | 0126 | MNL,-062 | 1.97 | 50.7 | 57 | 0154 | MNL-013 | 1.97 | 49.7 |
| 26 | 0753 | MNL-086 | 2.01 | 50.2 | 58 | 0792 | MNL-049 | 1.96 | 50.6 |
| 27 | 0127 | MNL-082 | 1.96 | 52.0 | 59 | 0146 | MNL-093 | 2.02 | 51.6 |
| 28 | 0754 | MNL-061 | 1.97 | 50.6 | 60 | 0793 | MNL-007 | 1.97 | 48.7 |
| 29 | 0128 | MNL-047 | 1.96 | 49.4 | 61 | 0147 | MNL-030 | 1.96 | 49.9 |
| 30 | 0755 | ANL-028 | 1.98 | 49.0 | 62 | 0787 | MNL-076 | 1.99 | 48.9 |
| 31 | 0129 | MNL-057 | 1.95 | 51.3 | 63 | 0148 | MNL-051 | 2.00 | 50.4 |
| 32 | 0756 | MNL-040 | 1.99 | 49.7 | 64 | 0790 | MNL-044 | 1.95 | 50.1 |

${ }_{3}$ All 64 fuel similators were fabricated in the ORNL Fuel Pin Simulator Development Laboratory.
${ }^{b}$ Fuel pin simulator volume was measured at room temperature before installation into bundle. The volume measured
included a pressure transducer and connecting tube identical to the facility hookup for each simulator.

## 3. SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS

Initial conditions of the test, obtained by averaging each data channel over the time interval ( $\sim 10 \mathrm{~s}$ ) from the start of scanning to the power-on time, are summarized in Table 2. The simulators were pressurized simultaneously from a common manifold and then individually isolated from the manifold for the test. After isolation the average simulator pressure was $11,622 \mathrm{kPa}$ differential above the external steam pressure. The initial cladding average temperature was $335^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; however, the temperature distribution in the bundle was skewed a few degrees both radially and axially as will be discussed later. The average temperature indicated by the ten operative shroud thermocouples was $339^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Superheated steam entered the bundle at an indicated average temperature of $355^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a pressure of 290 kPa (absolute) and flowed downward through the bundle at a constant mass flow rate of $288 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{s} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2}$; the indicated average steam outlet temperature prior to inftiation of the transient was $339^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Based on the inlet steam conditions and flow rate, the Reynolds number at the top of the heated zone ( $91.5-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation) was 140 throughout the powered portion of the transient.

Figure 6 shows the power parameters and the bundle average temperature represented by TAV-10 (1.e., the average of ten thermocouples attached to the inside surface of the cladding at the $38-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation on interior simulators) during the transient. Constant voltage was applied to the bundle for 48.45 s ; power generation ( $3.0 \mathrm{~kW} / \mathrm{m}$ ) and the temperature rate of increase were nearly constant during this time as indicated in the figure. From 5 to 43 s after power-on (i.e. $\sim 1 \mathrm{~s}$ before the first tube burst), the bundle average heating rate was $9.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{s}$. The average temperature, as indicated by TAV-10, reached a maximum of $\sim 804^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ about 8 s after power was terminated.

The time at which maximum pressure occurred in each simulator is a measure of the onset of significant deformation (i.e., the time when the rate of pressure increase caused by thermodynatic heating equals the rate of pressure decrease caused by increasing volume) and is given in Table 3 with the corresponding cladding temperature and pressure conditions. The bundle average maximum differential pressure was $12,157 \mathrm{kPa}$ and occurred at an average time of 31.1 s after power-on. The observation that the maximum pressures and the times at which they occurred were approximately the same in all the simulators is indicative of uniform heating and the absence of seal leaks.

Times (after power-on), temperatures, and differential pressures measured at the burst time of each tube are given in Table 4. The first tube (No. 21) burst 44.00 s after power-on, and the last one (No. 1) burst 5.60 s later (i.e., 1.15 s after termination of the applied voltage). Figure 7 shows the tube burst times plotted in three groups to indicate radial positions in the bundle. A histogram (in 0.5-s intervals) of the burst times is given in Fig. 8. Because heat losses from the outer ring of simulators were greater (resulting in slightly lower heating rates for these simulators and slightly longer times for them to reach the burst temperature), the exterior simulators generally burst later than the interior simulators. The corner simulators, with the greatest heat losses, were among the last tubes to burst. The average burst time for the entire bundle was 46.29 s .

Our practice has been to select the burst temperature as the maximum measured temperature at the time of failure, without regard to the location of the measurement with respect to the burst. This definition rests on the preinise that the temperature at the point of failure is at least as high as the maximum measured temperature but does not preclude the possibility of its being higher. As will be discussed in Sect. 5, this definftion presented difficulties and inconsistent results in relation to the observed deformation patterns caused by rod-to-rod interactions. The quick-look burst temperature data ${ }^{2}$ were based on the above definition but were subsequently revised ${ }^{3,5}$ to take into account new information as it became available from posttest examination. All the information was reevaluated, and a measured temperature was selected ${ }^{5}$ as the burst temperature. This temperature was no necessarily the maximum measured at the time of failure but was consistent with it, the measurement locations, and the deformation profiles. These data, given in the summary of test results (Table 5), differ only slightly from the maximum measured values (Table 4) at the time of failure and represent the best-estimate burst temperatures in the absence of more definitive analysis of the data. Based on these data, the bundle average burst temperature was $768^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The bundle average burst pressure (Table 5) was 8806 kPa ; however, a significant variation was observed in the burst pressures for a rather narrow burst temperature range. The data are plotted in Fig. 9, using greatly expanded scales and different symbols to differentiate the data for the three radial zones of the bundle. Burst pressures for the interior simulators were generally much lower than those for simulators nearer the outer boundary. Although the burst temperatures were less, as indicated in the figure, the data for the outer ring of simulators were in reasonable agreement with the prediction from a correlation ${ }^{5}$ based on our single-rod heated shroud tests. This correlation predicts higher burst temperatures than one we published ${ }^{21}$ earlier (and used by Powers and Meyer 22 fn their LOCA analysis models) for our single-rod wheated shroud tests. The lack of better agreement is understandable; we used fewer thermocouples in bundle simulators than in single-rod test simulators (i.e., 4 vs 12) to measure temperatures, and thus a greater statistical probability existed for underestimating burst temperatures in bundle tests. The interior simulators did not show the expected trend of increasing burst temperature with decreasing burst pressure for reasons that will be discussed later.

The initial-to-burst pressure ratio is a qualitative measure of the volumetric expansion and has been shown ${ }^{3}$ to be a useful parameter for modeling average deformation in the absence of measured geometrical data. This parameter is given in Table 5 and is plotted in Fig. 10 for the three radial zones of the test array. As evident from the figure, greater volumetric expansion is indicated for the interior zones.

The test array was cast in an epoxy matrix and sectioned at a number of axtal nodes 10 to 20 mm apart. Enlarged photographs of the sections were digitized and processed (by the procedures described in Sect. 4.3.4) to obtain geometric parameters describing the deformation of each tube at each axial node. The strain profiles were used to calculate the volumetric expansion of the cladding heated length (based on the outside perimeter of the tubes) by assuming circular cross sections at each node. This parameter is tabulated in Table 5 and is plotted in Fig. il in a
format similar to the previous ffgure. The average and the sample standard deviation limits of the data are also indicated in the figure; the bundle average volumetric increase was $52.4 \%$. The average strain in each tube was also calculated, by assuming that the volumetric expansion was unfformly distributed over the heated length, and is given in Table 5. The data show clearly that the interior simulators deformed more than the exterior ones.

The volumetric increase of the heated length obtained from the deformation profiles was assumed equal to the increase in total gas volume and used to calculate the fuel pin simulator volumetric increase (Table 5) appropriate for analysis with the measured temperature and pressure data. However, as discussed in Sect. 2.1, the major fraction of the gas volume was distributed in regions in which the temperature during the test ranged from room temperature to the initial temperature, with only a small fraction of the gas in the heated zone.

Tube burst strains are plotted in Fig. 12 for each of the three radial zones; the average and the sample standard deviation limits are also indicated for each zone. The bundle average burst strain was $61 \%$. As evident, the average burst strain was approximately the same in each of the three zones, indicating that burst strain was not a strong function of position. This was an unexpected observation because azimuthal tenperature gradients were presumably greater in the outer ring of simulators (as a result of heat losses to the relatively cold shroud surrounding the array) than in the interior simulators. An explanation for this observation will be given in Sect. 5 .

The measured tube areas were summed at each axial node and combined to obtain the flow area restriction data shown in Fig. 13 as a function of array size. The maximum loss in flow area occurred at the $26.4-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation and amounted to $69.2 \%$ for the entire $8 \times 8$ array, $82.5 \%$ for the inner $6 \times 6$ array, and $90.7 \%$ for the central $4 \times 4$ array. These data confirn the previous observation that the interior simulators deformed more than the exterior ones.

Prior to destructive examination, flow tests were conducted on the deformed B-5 array and on an $8 \times 8$ reference array (identified as B-5R) that was geometrically identical to the undeformed B-5 array. The two series of tests were performed in the same flow shroud with $49^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water at five flow rates to characterize the flow resistance over a Reynolds number range of $1.7 \times 10^{4} \leqslant \operatorname{Re} \leqslant 1.7 \times 10^{5}$. Because deformation of the outer ring of simulators in the B-5 array was constrained by a closefitting unheated shroud and there were no tube burst flare-outs to accommodate, it was possible to design a close-fitting flow shroud (square cross section with $12.23-\mathrm{cm}$ dimensions on each side) to minimize bypass flow. With the undeformed reference bundle in the shroud, the equivalent hydraulic diameter of the combined wall and corner subchannels was the same as that of an interfor subchannel. Twelve pressure taps, arranged as illustrated in Fig. 14, were located at each of 40 axial positions to define the axial and local pressure loss distributions.

The flow tests provided experimental pressure loss data that can be correlated with deformation resistance characteristics and used for validation of deformed bundle pressure loss calculational models. Because the flow tests have been described in detail in a test data report ${ }^{23}$ (the data also reside in the NRC Thermal Hydraulic Data Bank maintained at the

Idaho National Engineering Laboratory), only a summary of the results is included here. Figure 15 compares the bundle-averaged pressure loss profiles of the B-5 and the B-5R reference bundle at a Reynolds number of $\sim 1 \times 10^{5}$. (Bundle-averaged data is defined as the data obtained by averaging all 12 pressure tap measurements at 1 axial position, as opposed to side-averaged data or individual pressure tap data.) The bundle-averaged overall pressure losses (axial $\Delta p$ for the heated zone) for the B-5 and $B-5 R$ reference bundles are plotted as a function of Reynolds number (in the undeformed region) in Fig. 16. At a Reynolds number of $\sim 1 \times 10^{5}$, the overall axial pressure loss difference between the two bundles was $\sim 98 \mathrm{kPa}$. Thus, bundle deformation caused permanent pressure losses equal to $\sim 360 \%(98 / 27 \times 100)$ of the total loss of the undeformed reference bundle in the same flow shroud test configuration.

Figure 17 compares the $B-5$ pressure loss profile with the flow area restriction data (from Fig. 13) for the entire $8 \times 8$ array. Excellent correlation between the salient features of the two curves is evident. The figure also shows that a parameter (the number of burst openings per unit length of bundle) related qualitatively to the axial distribution of the deformation is also a reasonable qualitative indicator of the flow restriction. Note that the data presented in the figures (and in the data report ${ }^{23}$ ) are specific for the B-5 bundle in a particular flow shroud. As discussed above, the interior simulators deformed more than the exterior ones and, hence, the flow resistance would be greater in the bundle interior. This is not apparent from the figure, because it reflects the resistance of the entire $8 \times 8$ array. Although not performed, detailed subchannel analysis of the combined deformation and flow data sets, as was done by Mincey ${ }^{24}$ for the MRBT B-1 and B-2 tests, would be required to show this effect.


Fig. 6. $B-5$ power parameters and bundle average temperature.


Fig. 7. Burst times in B-5 test.


Fig. 8. Burst frequency in $B-5$ test.


Fig. 9. Comparison of B-5 burst data with prediction from single-rod heated shroud test data.


Fig. 10. Initial-to-burst pressure ratios in B-5 test.


Fig. 11. Volume increase of B-5 tubes.


SIMULATOR NUMBER
Fig. 12. Burst strain of $B-5$ tubes.


Fig. 13. Flow area restriction of $B-5$ central $4 \times 4$ array, inner $6 \times 6$ array, and entire $8 \times 8$ array.


Fig. 14. Pressure tap arrangement used for $B-5$ flow tests.


Fig. 15. Comparison of $B-5$ and $B-5 R$ reference bundle pressure loss profiles.


Fig. 16. Bundle-averaged overall axial pressure losses of $B-5$ and $B-5 R$ reference bundles.


Fig. 17. Comparison of B-5 pressure loss profile with flow area restriction.

Table 2. Summary of B-5 inftial conditions

| ROD | DIFFERENTIAL PRESSURE (KPA) | TEMPERATURES (DEG C) |  |  |  |  | ROD | DIFFERENTIAL PRESSURE (KPA) |  | TEMPERATURES (DEG C) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TE-1 | TE-2 | TE-3 | TE-4 | AVG |  |  | TE-1 | TE-2 | TE-3 | TE-4 | AVG |
| 1 | 11650 | 340 | 345 | 336 | 337 | 340 | 33 | 11649 | 333 | 332 | 333 | 333 | 333 |
| 2 | 11646 | 337 | 348 | 343 | 338 | 342 | 34 | 11614 | 330 | 334 | 333 | 333 | 332 |
| 3 | 11619 | 338 | 337 | 341 | 347 | 341 | 35 | 11624 | 334 | 333 | 334 | 333 | 334 |
| 4 | 11643 | 340 | 349 | 344 | 337 | 343 | 36 | 11739 | 333 | 332 | 334 | 338 | 334 |
| 5 | 11662 | 342 | 337 | 337 | 349 | 341 | 37 | 11633 | 335 | 340 | 334 | 334 | 336 |
| 6 | 11634 | 349 | 338 | 340 | 344 | 343 | 38 | 11638 | 333 | 334 | 336 | 336 | 335 |
| 7 | 11644 | 339 | 344 | 337 | 347 | 342 | 39 | 11633 | 331 | 334 | 334 | 333 | 333 |
| 8 | 11648 | 347 | 337 | 338 | 341 | 341 | 40 | 11617 | 336 | 334 | 333 | 334 | 334 |
| 9 | 11638 | 342 | 337 | 341 | 338 | 339 | 41 | 11687 | 333 | 333 | 331 | 334 | 333 |
| 10 | 11637 | 340 | 342 | 337 | 336 | 339 | 42 | 11650 | 332 | 327 | 332 | 333 | 331 |
| 11 | 11593 | 341 | 344 | 338 | 346 | 342 | 43 | 11632 | 332 | 332 | 332 | 333 | 332 |
| 12 | 11606 | 340 | 338 | 347 | 343 | 342 | 44 | 11644 | 333 | 336 | 333 | 332 | 334 |
| 13 | 11641 | 338 | 339 | 350 | 343 | 342 | 45 | 11632 | 333 | 332 | 335 | 333 | 333 |
| 14 | 11651 | 346 | 344 | 341 | 339 | 342 | 46 | 11623 | $235{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 334 | 333 | 332 | 333 |
| 15 | 11634 | 345 | 342 | 338 | 338 | 341 | 47 | 11627 | 333 | 333 | 332 | 330 | 332 |
| 16 | 11626 | 337 | 338 | 343 | 345 | 341 | 48 | 11631 | 329 | 333 | 331 | 333 | 332 |
| 17 | 11633 | 340 | 339 | 337 | 337 | 338 | 49 | 11620 | 332 | 331 | 328 | 331 | 331 |
| 18 | 11646 | 334 | 335 | 337 | 335 | 335 | 50 | 11586 | 325 | 329 | 330 | 329 | 328 |
| 19 | 14629 | 337 | 340 | 335 | 334 | 336 | 51 | 11557 | 329 | 329 | 328 | 325 | 328 |
| 20 | 11656 | 335 | 336 | 344 | 339 | 339 | 52 | 11584 | 330 | 330 | 331 | 329 | 330 |
| 21 | 11637 | 337 | 340 | 343 | 335 | 339 | 53 | 11573 | 330 | 327 | 330 | 330 | 330 |
| 22 | 11640 | 336 | 340 | 335 | 339 | 337 | 54 | 11597 | 330 | 331 | 332 | 331 | 331 |
| 23 | 11621 | 340 | 339 | 335 | 337 | 338 | 55 | 11584 | 327 | 331 | 331 | 332 | 330 |
| 24 | 11608 | 337 | 340 | 335 | 339 | 338 | 56 | 11573 | 333 | 331 | 331 | 331 | 331 |
| 25 | 17630 | 334 | 335 | 334 | 333 | 334 | 57 | 11579 | 329 | 331 | 332 | 331 | 331 |
| $2^{6}$ | 11644 | 334 | 334 | 334 | 335 | 334 | 58 | 11590 | 331 | 331 | 331 | 331 | 331 |
| 27 | 11635 | 336 | 338 A | 334 | 335 | 336 | 59 | 11560 | 332 | 332 | 330 | 332 | 331 |
| 28 | 11585 | 341 | 333 | 335 | 336 | 336 | 60 | 11565 | 331 | 332 | 334 | 331 | 332 |
| 29 | 11648 | 343 | 397 | 333 | 335 | 337 | 61 | 11560 | 331 | 333 | 331 | 332 | 332 |
| 30 | 11622 | 339 | 335 | 338 | 337 | 337 | 62 | $-20^{\circ}$ | 332 | 332 | 331 | 331 | 331 |
| 31 | 11606 | 333 | 336 | 335 | 335 | 335 | 63 | 4 1583 | 331 | 330 | 331 | 332 | 331 |
| 32 | 11628 | 335 | 334 | 337 | 334 | 335 | 64 | 11578 | 332 | 336 | 333 | 331 | 333 |

## 

$a_{\text {TE }} 46-1$ was Inoperative and is excluded from average.
${ }^{b}$ simulator was heated bit unpressurized.

Table 3. Sumary of B-5 condicions at times of mextsus pressures

|  | - --*-*-CONDITIOMS |  | AT TTME OF MAXtMUA PRESSURES-*-*-*-*- |  |  |  |  |  | ----*--CONDITIONS |  | AT TIME OF MAXTMUM |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROD } \\ & \mathrm{NO} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  | TEMPEAATURES (DEG C) |  |  |  |  | SOD | DIFFESENTIAL |  | TEMPERATURES (DEG C) |  |  |  | TIME ${ }^{-1}$ |
|  | (KPA) | TE-1 | TE-? | TE-3 | - 1 -4 | AVG | (SEC) | N0 | (KPA) | TE-1 | IE-2 | TE-3 | 18-4 | AV6 | (SEC) |
| 1 | 12197 | 630 | 614 | 639 | 616 | 632 | 32, 30 | 33 | 12168 | 636 | 637 | 636 | 640 | 637 | 32.10 |
| 2 | 12806 | 632 | 6.39 | 635 | 630 | 6.34 | 31, 10 | 34 | 1213 | 633 | 641 | 612 | $6 \times 4$ | 513 | 31.65 |
| 3 | 12191 | 625 | 618 | 822 | 624 | 622 | 30, 10 | 35 | 12163 | 647 | 687 | 641 | 646 | 675 | 32. 30 |
| 4 | 12166 | 617 | 623 | 623 | 608 | 618 | 29, 20 | 36 | 12267 | 630 | 627 | 625 | 627 | 627 | 30.35 |
| 5 | 12185 | 805 | 601 | 605 | 614 |  | 28. 40 | 57 | 12+17 | 679 | 679 | 649 | 680 | 640 | 31.80 |
| 6 | 12949 | 657 | 648 | 646 | 654 | Wis | 33. 32 | 36 | 12166 | 623 | 621 | 621 | 626 | 623 | 29.65 |
| $\dagger$ | 1716 | 641 | 644 | 541 | 65 | 645 | 31,75 | 37 | 12191 | 637 | 639 | 627 | 640 | 616 | 32.00 |
| 8 | 12242 | 58.8 | 583 | 579 | 58 | 5\#3 | 25.55 | 46 | 12155 | 649 | 649 | 647 | 647 | 648 | 32.55 |
| 9 | 12144 | 574 | 554 | 5** | 571 | 571 | 24.60 | 41 | 12207 | $8)$ is | 635 | 639 | 645 | 639 | 72. 45 |
| 10 | 12174 | 653 | 653 | 693 | 646 | $65:$ | 32.60 | 42 | 12183 | 641 | 632 | 642 | 641 | 599 | 31.80 |
| 11 | 121t | 63] | $6: 7$ | 632 | 636 | 630 | 10, 35 | 43 | 12169 | 643 | 643 | 857 | 6\%? | 639 | 31.75 |
| 12 | 12165 | 598 | 414 | 541 | 540 | 5.38 | 19.95 | 44 | 12166 | 634 | 643 | 647 | 636 | 640 | 31.65 |
| 1) | 12162 | 625 | 617 | 644 | 5\%\% | 67 | 31.50 | 45 | 12135 | 575 | 627 | 6)94 | 612 | 827 | 30.20 |
| 14 | 12159 | 646 | 640 | 642 | 639 | 542 | 30.70 | 46 | 12181 | 2335 | 634 | 629 | 636 | 6.33 | 31.45 |
| 15 | $1213 \%$ | 652 | 647 | 640 | 5+. | 647 | 32.54 | 47 | 1714t | 629 | 4.77 | 627 | $6: 28$ | 679 | 39.35 |
| 16 | 12181 | 637 | 541 | 644 | 64.4 | 641 | $35+05$ | 48 | 12179 | 620 | 636 | 632 | 632 | 632 | 31.80 |
| 17 | 12137 | 647 | 635 | 6. 4 | F. 15 | 631 | 31.80 | 49 | 12160 | 641 | 678 | 635 | 647 | 640 | 33.15 |
| ter | 12184 | 645 | 546 | 649 | 49 | 547 | 31.80 | 50 | 12119 | 613 | 627 | 618 | 620 | 618 | 30,50 |
| 17 | 12158 | 524 | 25 | 6.31 | $t 11$ | 625 | 3th, 35 | 51 | 12089 | 643 | हैग | 616 | 529 | 637 | 31.85 |
| 20 | 12127 | D 17 | 647 | 54. | 6.4 | 64t | 31,60 | 52 | 12125 | 6.0 | 674 | 619 | 616 | 637 | 31.60 |
| 21 | 12169 | 625 | 6.72 | 4.25 | ${ }^{4}$ | 627 | 29. 20 | 53 | 12993 | 83 |  |  | 679 | 835 | 32.30 |
| 22 | 12169 | 627 | 650 | 624 | 6.28 | 527 | 29.85 | 54 | 12148 | S: ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 638 | 617 | 840 | 619 | 32.05 |
| 23 | 1217 | 627 | 2.87 | 025 | 528 | T. | 27.70 | 55 | 12175 | 634 | 637 | 632 | 637 | 635 | 32.60 |
| 24 | 12131 | 671 | 675 | 641 | 641 | 637 | 32.25 | 56 | 12108 | 647 | 6317 | 610 | 630 | 635 | 31, 90 |
| 25 | 12160 | 644 | 64 | *tat | +15 | 54.1 | 32.35 | 57 | 12119 | 812 | 634 | 614 | 610 | ¢4\% | 31.75 |
| 26 | 12183 | f29 | 644 | 644 | $64 ?$ | 64.). | 32.46 | 58 | 12152 | 645 | 642 | 641 | 642 | 8.43 | 32.65 |
| स7 | 12172 | 65 | 545 | 640 | 647 | 6, 6 | 31.65 | 59 | 12002 | 612 | 622 | 512 | 637 | 611 | 31. 65 |
| 28 | 12127 | 647 | 8 H | 641 | 63 | 18 | 34.35 | 60 | $1210 \%$ | $\times 121$ | 638 | 634 | 629 | 640 | 31,80 |
| 29 | 1-168 | 845 | 8.44 | 6.45 | 642 | 441 | 31.50 | 61 | $121^{11}$ | 645 | 640 | 645 | 末4 | 643 | 32,70 |
| 36 | 12117 | 630 | 625 | 512 | 651 | 623 | 30.10 | 62 | J i8 $^{\text {a }}$ | 712 ${ }^{\circ}$ | $739^{\circ}$ | * 60 = | $74){ }^{\text {c }}$ | 755 * | 53.85 |
| 31 | va 51 | 608 | 6.22 | 617 | 619 | 677 | 29. 30 | 63 | 121.98 | 645 | 640 | 637 | 647 | 643 | 32.75 |
| 32 | 72, ${ }^{\circ}$ | 634 | 637 | 8.) 9 | 636 | 634 | 31.80 | 54 | 12121 | 614 | 6.34 | 598 | 692 | 627 | 32.60 |


T'se after power-on.

"Sisulator wap kiexed but unpresaurized; data correapont to conf'tions after all tuhes had berst.

Table 4. Sumary of B-5 conditions measured at times of bursts



## ${ }^{\text {Trime }}$ from power-on.

${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ re $46-1$ was inoperative and is excluded from average.
$\sigma_{\text {Simulator }}$ heated but unpressurized.

Yable 5. Sumary of 3-5 test reaulea

| Rod | Butst concitions |  |  | Tube heated lengtp ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Fuel ptn simulator ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  | Rod | Eurst condtetions |  |  | Tube heated length ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |  | Fuel pin stisulyzor ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Preswarte (kPa) | Temperatyre ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Straina (2) | Volume increase <br> (z) | Average straind ( $\mathbf{I}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Voluse } \\ & \text { Increase } \\ & \text { (cal 1o) } \end{aligned}$ | Pressure decrease (ratio) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prevsure } \\ & (k \mathrm{ksa}) \end{aligned}$ | Tempersture $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sctratna } \\ (\mathrm{z}) \end{gathered}$ | Vol ase fincrease <br> (z) | Average stralif ( 7 ) | Volume Increase: (ratio) | Pressure decrease (ratio) |
| 1 | 9685 | 776 | 38 | 34.6 | 16 | 1.618 | 1.203 | 33 | 8970 | 76. | 55 | 47.6 | 21 | 1.853 | 1.298 |
| 2 | 9160 | 765 | 79 | 48.4 | 22 | T,836 | 1.272 | 34 | 8695 | 173 | 87 | 56.2 | 25 | 1.932 | 1.336 |
| 3 | 9260 | 762 | 62 | 41.5 | 19 | 1.743 | 1.257 | ${ }^{5} 5$ | 8665 | 774 | 63 | 55.2 | 25 | 1.950 | 1.345 |
| 4 | 9560 | 169 | 89 | 4). 7 | 19 | 1.740 | 4.218 | 36 | 8835 | 756 | 66 | 55.8 | 25 | 1.935 | 1. 329 |
| 5 | 8750 | 178 | 70 | 50.3 | 23 | 1.883 | 1.333 | 37 | 7695 | 711 | 60 | 66.7 | 29 | 2.165 | 1.511 |
| 6 | 9290 | 179 | 38 | 45.8 | 21 | 1.817 | 1.252 | 38 | 1715 | 710 | 88 | 74.6 | 32 | 2.264 | 1.508 |
| $\bar{i}$ | 9485 | 773 | 45 | 39.6 | 18 | 1.681 | 1.262 | 39 | \$205 | 773 | 66 | 59.9 | 26 | 2.069 | 1,418 |
| $8$ | 9325 | 768 | 4 | 42.8 | 18 | 1.716 | 1.249 | 40 | 8975 | 773 | 52 | 48.5 | 22 | 1.843 | 1.294 |
| 9 | 8599 | 766 | 78 <br> 59 | 56.1 | 25 | 1.788 | 1.355 | 41 | 9360 | 769 | 47 | 45.4 | 21 | 1.780 | 1.26 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 10 | 3710 6850 | 172 768 | 59 | 61.4 | 27 | 2.055 | 1.400 | 42 | 8405 | 711 | 87 | 59.8 | 26 | 2.029 | 1.366 |
| $11$ | \%650 | 768 | 54 | 56.9 | 25 | 1.959 | 1. 340 | 43 | 9385 | 775 | 57 | 43.7 | 20 | 1.736 | 1. 239 |
| 12 | 8480 | 176 | 63 | 57.2 | 25 | 1.994 | 1. 369 | 44 | 8355 | 763 | 71 | S0.9 | 27 | 2.029 | 1.394 |
| 13 | Siso | 767 | 76 | 59.8 | 26 | 1.99\% | 1.344 | 45 | 7800 | 769 | 64 | 71.3 | 31 | 2.217 | 1.791 |
| 14 | 8655 | 763 | 65 | 57.7 | 26 | 1.986 | 1.345 | 46 | 8035 | 763 | 95 | 65.9 | 29 | 2.136 | 1.246 |
| 15 | 9130 | 166 | 43 | 48.7 | 22 | 1.825 | 1.274 | 47 | 8975 | 774 | 54 | 50.6 | 23 | 1.88) | i. 295 |
| 16 | 9655 | 175 | 42 | 36.4 | 17 | 1.629 | 1. 204 | 48 | 8775 | 763 | 64 | 49.3 | 22 | 1.880 | 1.325 |
| 17 | 8615 | 776 | 71 | 54.3 | 24 | 1.980 | 1.350 | $4{ }^{4}$ | 9720 | 761 | 45 | 34.8 | 16 | 1.623 | 1.195 |
| 18 | 8800 | 170 | 38 | \$5.9 | 25 | 1.753 | 1.323 | 50 | 8900 | 768 | 62 | 51.3 | ${ }^{3}$ | 1.869 | 1.302 |
| 19 | 7695 | 174 | 58 | 74.5 | 32 | 2.279 | 1.512 | $3{ }^{2}$ | 3135 | 7\% | 16 | 59.9 | 20 | 2.036 | 1.421 |
| 20 | 7985 | 764 | 11 | 68.5 | 30 | 2.188 | 1.460 | 52 | 8630 | 75 | 67 | 55.4 | 25 | 1.930 | 1.342 |
| 21 | 9110 | 763 | 59 | 45.7 | 21 | 1.788 | 1.277 | 53 | 8935 | 740 | 63 | 46.3 | 2. | 1.930 1.793 | 1.295 |
| 22 | 7670 8725 | 776 | 58 | 78.8 | 34 | 2.337 | 1.518 | 54 | 8770 | 756 | 56 | 52.7 | 26 | 1.917 | 1.322 |
| 23 | 8725 | 712 769 | 52 | 52.6 | 24 | 1.917 | 1.332 | 55 | 8785 | 783 | 50 | 48.7 | 22 | 1.840 | 1.319 |
| 24 | 9005 9730 | 769 763 | 49 43 | 48.5 | 22 18 | 1.837 | 1.289 | 56 | 8985 | 781 | 39 | 47.9 | 22 | 1.830 | 1. 288 |
| 25 26 | 9730 8980 | 763 770 | 43 54 | 38.1 49.0 | 18 | 1.650 1.842 | 1.195 | 57 58 | 9530 | 753 | 33 | 39.4 | 18 | 1.684 | 1.215 |
| 26 | 8980 8430 | 770 760 | 54 56 | 49.0 62.9 | 22 28 | 1.842 2.045 | 1.297 1.380 | 58 59 | 9125 | 757 | 39 | 44.7 | 29 | 1.762 | 1.276 |
| 28 | 8595 | 783 | 56 60 | 62.9 54.3 | 28 24 | 2.045 1.926 | 1.380 1.348 | 59 60 | 9470 8800 | 710 755 | 42 | 40.4 | 18 | 1.675 1.862 | 1.221 |
| 29 | 8605 | 710 | 58 | 58.6 | 26 | 2.025 | 1.353 | 61 | 9285 | 768 | 87 | 43.7 | 22 20 | 1.862 1.756 | 1.364 1.245 |
| 30 | 8135 | 784 | 65 | 63.9 | 28 | 2.126 | 1.429 | 62 | $g$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | 9175 | 173 | 47 | 48.4 | 22 | 1.815 | 1.263 | 63 | 8685 | 775 | 76 | 54.5 | 26 | 1.936 | 1.334 |
| 12 | 8970 | 768 | 6. | 46.6 | 2 : | 1.810 | 1.296 | 64 | 9350 | 756 | 32 | 37.3 | 17 | 1.643 | 1.239 |

Measurements based on tube outside perimeter.
${ }^{b}$ Includes fuel pin stmulator, pressure transducer, and connecting tube
From deformation proftles assuming circular cross sections.
${ }^{d}$ Assumes volume increased is uniformly distributed over heated length.
Ratio of final to initial volume (see noke b).
Ratio of initial pressure to burst pressure.
9simulutor heated but unpressurized.

## 4. DETAILED TEST RESULTS

The previous section summarized the important test results; this section presents, in a number of subsections, detailed results of the B-5 test. The purpose of this presentation is to provide a fairly complete reference source of uninterpreted data that can be used for analysis and evaluation by those interested.

### 4.1 Thermometry Difficulties and Corrections

The thermometry data obtained during the test were generally of high quality; however, a few problems were encountered, particularly with the small-diameter, bare-wire type $S$ thermocouples that were attached to the shroud and to the outside surface of four of the simulators. Some of these problems were such that posttest corrections could be made to improve the quality of data from those thermocouples that were affected.

Because of their small diameter, the type $S$ thermocouples were very fragile and difficult to install. The wires were broken on six of them (TE 39-5, TE 39-7, TE 39-8, TE 91-3, TE 91-4, and TE 93-1) during assembly of the test array and could not be replaced. In addition, the wires of three of the type $S$ thermocouples were incorrectly connected to form reversed junctions inside the test array at the point where the small wires were joined to larger diameter sheathed thermocouple extension wires. Because the characteristics of the type $S$ wires are very nearly the same, these wiring errors were not discovered during fabrication and were not detectable during isothermal pretest checkout operations. The errors became apparent after the test while checking and analyzing the isothermal and transient temperature data for qualification purposes. Because the temperature of the reversed junctions would have to be accurately known during the transient to compensate for the errors, it $v$ is not possible to make posttest corrections to the data. Three of the type S thermocouples (TE 5-5, TE 44-7, and TE 93-4) were erratic throughout the transient, and one (TE 91-1) became erratic after about 25 s of the transfent. As a result of these difficulties, data recorded for the above discussed thermocouples were disqualified and are considered invalid.

Another difficulty encountered with the type $S$ thermocouples could be, and was, corrected posttest to improve the quality of the data from these thermocouples. This was associated with an apparent error of $\sim 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ between the type $S$ and type $K$ thermocouple readings that was first noticed during the isothermal pretest checkout operations. The cause was attributed at the time to calibration differences and to different milli-volt-to-temperature conversion routines programmed into the CCDAS. Because the offset was also present during the therinal transient, several checks were performed after the test to locate and quantify the type $S$ thermocouple temperature errors. The thermocouple material was recalibrated, a check of the CCDAS zero-offset was made, and tests were performed on several of the thermocouples (though not in a bundle or a fuel pin simulator) connected to the CCDAS using different modes of grounding.

All errors measured by these checks were small compared with the $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ error. Although not proven by these checks, we concluded that complex grounding paths most likely caused the observed $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ zero-offset within the CCDAS measurement circuits.

Based on the above conclusion, a zero-offset correction of -0.202 mV was applied posttest to all the type $S$ thermocouple voltages. This value was derived from the average indicated temperature difference between the external (type S) and internal (type K) thermocouples located at the same elevation during the pretest isothermal phase of the test.

Aside from ore thermocouple (TE 46-1) that was inoperative throughout the transient, the type K thermocouples that were used to measure cladding inside surface temperature performed quite well. The only difficulty encounterel was the occurrence of electrical noise spikes on about $30 \%$ of the thermocouple response signals as typified by the example depicted in Fig. is.

The noise spikes were caused by interference from the electrically heated furnaces that were used for thermocouple cold junction compensation. The magnitude and polarity of the spikes depended on the particular signal multiplexer and the thermocouple reference furnace used for each signal; the sequence and time of occurrence of the spikes depended on the data sampling order of the sensors in the CCDAS scan list.

Because erroneous temperatures would be used in computer analysis of the data if the temperature were sampled at the time of $a$ noise spike, the spikes were removed from the data from the time of power-on to the time of burst for each simulator thermocouple exhibiting such behavior. However, if a spike were superimposed on another event that caused a rapid change in the indicated temperature, the spike was not removed. Figure 19 shows the data of the previous figure without the noise spikes.

The software used to convert the as-recorded millivolt data tapes to engineering units was modified to correct the type $S$ thermocouple zerooffset and to remove the type $K$ thermocouple noise spikes, and a new engineering units tape was generated and used to produce the data plots and tabulatlons preserited in this report.

### 4.2 Transient Results

### 4.2.1 Bundle behavior

Information contained in this section was obtained during the course of the tast transient. The data were recorded by the CCDAS in the continuous scan mode (i.e., each sensor was sampled every 0.025 s ) over a pertod of $\sim 10 \mathrm{~min}$, starting $\sim 10 \mathrm{~s}$ before power-on. Pressure and temperature data were obtained for each simulator from fast-response pressure transducers and sheathed thermocouples spot-welded to the inside cladding surface at various positions (Figs. 3 and 4). The temperature of the thin, (electrically) unheated shroud was measured by small-diameter, bare-wire thermocouples (located as indicated in Fig. 3) spot-welded to the outside surface of the shroud. Steam temperature measurements were made with sheathed thermocouples at the locations shown in Fig. 5. Also, the electrical power parameters were measured and recorded by the CCDAS.

Superheated steam entered the test array tirough a single inlet nozzle located at the $107-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation on the noth side of the bundle (Fig. 1) at an average temperature of $355^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a ressure of 290 kPa (absolute) and flowed downward through the array at a constant mass flux of $288 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{s} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2}$. With these conditions the nominal Reynolds number at the top of the heated zone ( $91.5-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation) was 140 . These inlet conditions remained essentially constant until disrupted by escaping helium from the bursting tubes. When power to the bundle was terminated, the steam mass flux was increased to an estimated minimum of $2000 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{s} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2}$ for rapid cooldown. Inlet and outlet steam temperatures measured 0.5 s before power-on and 1.0 s before the first tube burst are indicated in Figs. 20 and 21 , respectively.

With the single inlet nozzle and the very low steam flow, it was not possible to obtain a uniform steam temperature distribution across the top of the bundle, as shown by Figs. $20(a)$ and $21(a)$. The inlet steam was cooled (giving up heat to the simulators) as it traversed the array from the inlet (north) side to the opposite (south) side, creating a $20^{\circ}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gradient in the steam. The major portion of the heat gained by the simulators from the steam was conducted upward to the top of the vessel (Fig. 1), where it was dissipated to the closure flange and the external surrounding. A portion of the heat gained by the simulators on the north side of the bundle was conducted downward and contributed to a small gradient in the axial temperature distribution. The measured initial stean temperature distribution at the bottom of the heated zone was fairly uniform (within $\sim 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) as shown by Fig. $20(\mathrm{~b})$. Attempts were made during the hold time between the pretest power-bump (for checking performance and data acquisition instrumentation) and the burst test transient to correct the radial temperature distribution. These attempts were largely unsuccessful, primarily because of the low stean flow and the relatively cold top closure flange, and the test was conducted under these conditions. As will be discussed later, they had a significant influence on the deformation.

Figures 22-31 present cladding temperatures measured at the instrumented elevations 0.5 s before power-on and 1.0 s before the first tube burst. The data are presented in a format intended as a schematic layout of the thermocouple locations. If the thermocouple junction is at the elevation for which the particular map applies, the thermocouple number circle is filled in to denote the azimuthal position of the measurement, and the temperature maasurement is given. The row average temperatures are printed on the left; the column average temperatures, at the top of the layout. The cross section average temperature is also included in the format.

The overall radial temperature distribution may be visualized somewhat more easily using the temperature map depicted in Fig. 32. The temperature given for each simulator is the average of the thermocouple measurements for that simulator without regard to elevation. The effect of the aforementioned nonuniform inlet steam temperature distribution on the bundle average radial temperature distribution is evident in the figure.

The temperature maps provide considerable insight and greatly facilitate visualization, interpretation, and evaluation of local and overall temperature distributions. They were used to obtain the axial temperature profiles plotted in Fig. 33; the average at each instrumented
elevation, the range of the data, and the number of thermocouples on which the average is based are also noted in the plot. Based on the average temperature at each elevation, the initial axial temperature distribution was very uniform ( $335 \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), although the north-to-south gradient is evitsur ir the range shown for temperature measurements at each elevation. Except for grid and end effects, the average axial distribution measured 1.0 s before the first tube burst was also reasonably uniform; however, the temperature variation, as indicated by the data rangewidth at each elevation, was greater than inftially present. The small temperature depression $\left(\sim 7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ at the $48-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation was caused by the presence of simulator external thermocouples in this region and will be discussed in a later section.

The bundle radial temperature distributions shown in Fig. 32 suggest the north-to-south temperature gradient was on the order of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This is somewhat misleading because the gradient varied at each instrumented elevation. For example, Fig. 22(a) shows the initial gradient at the $84-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation was $\sim 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with an average temperature of $337^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the section, while Fig. $31(a)$ shows the gradient was only $\sim 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at the $5-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation, with a section average temperature of $334^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Although the overall average axial gradient was only $\sim 3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, simulators on the north side of the array had initial axial gradients of $\sim 11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while those on the south side had negative gradients of $\sim 3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Although the radial and axial gradients decreased during the transient, as indicated by the temperatures measured at each of the instrumented elevations 1.0 s before the first tube burst, they influenced the deformation distribution significantly.

Differential pressures measured 0.5 s before power-on and 1.0 s before the first tube burst are presented in a similar format in Fig. 34. Because the simulators were pressurized simultaneously from a common header and then individually isolated, the uniformity in initial pressure indicates the absence of seal leaks. The data in Fig. 34(b) are consistent with Fig. 32(b) and indicate that the interior simulators were slightly hotter and had deformed slightly more at this time than the exterior ones and that the simulators on the north half of the array had deformed more than those on the south half.

A number of data plots are presented below to illustrate significant features of the test as it progressed and to provide an indication of the general conditions prevailing at the times of important events. A parameter, TAV-10, is plotted in a number of these figures to represent the bundle average temperature. This parameter is in reality the average of eight thermocouples (TE 14-3, TE 18-4, TE 21-1, TE 27-4, TE 36-1, TE 38-2, TE 47-2, and TE 51-1) at the $38-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation (see Fig. 3 for relative positions) that were electronically averaged ad recorded during the test to facilftate characterization and visualization of the bundle temperature as a function of time.

Figure 6, presented earlier, showed this parameter and the electrical power parameters as a function of time after power-on. Constant voltage (dc) was applied to the simulators for 48.45 s ; this point is noted in the figure (and subsequent plots) for reference purposes by an arrow on the time axis. Because the temperature coefficient of resistivity of the heating element material (Kanthal $\mathrm{A}-1$ ) is very low $\left(<0.005 \% /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ above $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), the power generation varied ver, little from the average of $3.0 \mathrm{~kW} / \mathrm{m}$. As a result the cladding temperature increased
at a nearly constant rate as indicated in the figure. The average heating rate from $\sim 5 \mathrm{~s}$ after power-on to $\sim 43 \mathrm{~s}$ after power-on (i.e., to 1.0 s before the first tube burst) was $9.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{s}$. Near the end of the transient, the heating rate decreased slightly because of increased heat losses at the higher temperatures; deformation feedback effects may have contributed also to the reduction of the heating rate. The average temperature, as indicated by TAV-10, reached a maximum of $804^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ about 8 s after poweroff and then slowly decreased.

Figure 35 depicts the applied voltage, the bundle characteristic temperature (TAV-10), and several pertinent pressures. In particular, the vessel gage pressure is shown by PE-301, and differential pressures are shown by PE-21 for simulator 21 (the first simulator to burst) and by PE-1 for sinulator 1 (an outside corner simulator and the last simulator to burst). The interior simulators, in general, exhibited pressure traces similar to that for No. 21 , while those for the exterior simulators were similar to that for No. l; the rate of pressure decrease during deformation was much more rapid for the interior simulators. The vessel pressure remained constant until the first tube burst, at which time it increased because of the release of the hot, high-pressure gas from the ruptured simulators. The increased external pressure caused a small ( $<225-\mathrm{kPa}$ ) decrease in the differential pressure and retarded deformation of those simulators not yet burst and will be discussed in Sect. 5. About 3 s after power-off, the steam control valves opened to reduce the vessel pressure and to permit increased steam cooling; at this time the vessel pressure decreased rapidly to near atmospheric.

Figure 36 shows the vessel gage pressure (PE-301) and the inlet steam temperature measurements made at the top of the bundle (see Fig. 5 for thermocouple locations) during the transient. Steam temperature measurements made at the bottom of the bundle are depicted in Fig. 37. The thermocouples used for measuring both inlet and outlet steam temperature indicated unstable temperatures during the time the simulators were bursting. The perturbations in the inlet steam temperature measurements were caused by reversed flow patterns that were initiated by the sudden increase in vessel pressure. Because the steam control valves downstream of the test vessel could not pass the steam flow and the copious quantities of high-temperature gas released by the failing simulators without a pressure increase, some of the high-temperature gas was transported upward and caused the inlet steam thermocouples to indicate higher temperatures.

As indicated in Fig. 37, the steam temperature measurements at the bottor of the bundle diverged from an initial maximum difference of $2^{\circ}$ to $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after 43 s of heating [compare Figs. $20(b)$ and $21(b)$ ]. Although several possibilities for explaining the temperature divergence exist, the most likely one is related to the exact location of the therinocouple measuring junctions. Very large axial temperature gradients exist in the simulators at the end of the heated zone, and these gradients affect the local steam temperature. Therefore, small differences in the exact locations of the measuring junctions can affect the measured values significantly. While this effect would be readily apparent in the transient measurements, it would not be discernible in the initial (steady state) temperature measurements, because temperature gradients were almost nonexistent at this end of the bundle prior to power-on. The perturbations
in the temperature measurements during the time the tubes were failing were caused by the high-temperature gas-steam mixture flowing past the sensors.

The tube bursts occurred over a $5.60-\mathrm{s}$ time interval, starting at 44.00 s after power-on, as indicated in Fig. 7. Although the burst frequency distribution (Fig. 8) was similar to a Gaussian distribution, the exterior simulators, in general, burst later than the interior ones, with the corner simulators being among the last to burst. This would be expected because the boundary conditions caused the exterior simulators to have greater heat losses and slightly lower heating rates, and thus slightly longer times would be required to reach the burst temperature range.

### 4.2.2 Shroud behavior

The need for electrical isolation between the test array and the closely fitted shroud precluded Joule heating of the shroud. Although the shroud had a highly polished, gold-plated surface to reflect thermal radiation, the temperature of the shroud increased significantly during the test. Figure 38 compares measured shroud temperatures on each of the four sides at the $48-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation (see Fig. 3 for thermocouple identifications and locations) with the characteristic bundle temperature (TAV-10). Differences of $\sim 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were measured around the shroud during the time of deformation; these large differences were probably caused by variations in contact resistance between the outside of the thin shroud and the backup thermal insulating material (see Sect. A-A of Fig. 1) and by contact between the shroud and the simulators, which will be discussed later.

Temperature measurements made on the west side of the shroud are shown in Fig. 39. These data indicate the shroud axial temperature gradient was only $\sim 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Similar plots for the other shroud thermocouples are included in Appendix A. The average shroud temperature was $\sim 200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ less than the bundle average temperature during the time deformation was occuring (i.e., 1.0 s before the first tube burst). About 45 s after power-on, the shroud thermocouples indicated significant and rapid temperature increases that were probably caused by the release of significant quantities of high-temperature gas from the rupturing tubes (the first tube burst 44.00 s after power-on). Although the major cause of the sudden increase can be attributed to heating by hot gas escaping from falled interior simulators, some of the increase can be attributed to contact between the deforming simulators and the shroud.

### 4.2.3 Fuel pin simulator behavior

Although the temperature distributions presented in Sect. 4.2.1 characterize the bundle as a whole, the individual simulators behaved differently from these smoothed (averaged) profiles. This would be expected, because the simulator temperature distributions would be strongly Influenced by the heat generation characteristics of the individual simulators, by their locations within the array, and by contact with other simulators.

Each of the 64 simulators was instrumented with four thermocouples, spot-welded to the inside surface of the cladding at the locations shown in Fig. 3, and a pressure sensor to provide cladding temperature and pressure data during the test transient. Plo:s of these data provide considerable insight and qualitative information that supplement the tabulated data for analytical purposes. However, to include plots and data tabulations of convenient sizes for easy reading would add considerable bulk to this report. Instead, a typical example is displayed in Fig. 40 to illustrate the type of information that is included for each simulator in the computer-generated microfiche enclosures in the pocket on the inside back cover. The figure shows the pressure (differential above the external pressure in the test vessel) and temperature data for the first simulator (No. 21) to burst. A reference arrow is located on the abscissa to mark the time power was terminated. The time of burst is indicated by the sudden drop in pressure and frequently by a perturbation in the temperature traces. The figure shows that the temperature distribution was unusually uniform before the power was terminated; after this time the individual temperature traces (for different measurement elevations in the simulators) diverged as a result of the increased posttest cooling stean flow. Similar plots for all the simulators are presented in Appendix A.

Attempts were made to measure azimuthal temperature gradients at the $48-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation of selected simulators $(5,28,39$, and 44 ) by spot-welding four small-diameter, bare-wire, type $S$ thermocouples to the cladding outside surface of these four simulacors at the orientations noted in Fig. 3; each of these simulators also had an internal thermocouple located at the same elevation to provide comparative temperature measurements. Because of difficulties encountered with the fragile external thermocouples (see Sect. 4.1), several of them did not function. However, those that functioned provided reliable temperature data. Typical data, for simulator 28, are plotted with greatly expanded scales in Fig. 41 for a $20-\mathrm{s}$ interval of the transient, starting about the time of maximum pressure. The azimuthal temperature gradient in the simulator was $\left\langle 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for the first 38 s of the transfent and then increased uniformly to about $17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by the time the simulator burst. Figure 42 , plotted with the same scale factors as the previous figure, shows that the internal temperature measurement was within (and near the lower bound of) the bandwidth of the external measurements. Similar plots for the other simulators instrumented with external thermocouples are given in Appendix A.

Qualitative information on burst time, temperature, pressure, and other measured parameters can be obtained from the data plots in Appendix A, but quantitative information is best obtained from the computergenerated summary tables presented in Appendix B for the conditions measured at the time each tube burst. An example, for simulator 21, is given in Table 6 to illustrate the format and type of information available in the appendix. The tables include (1) the times from power-on and power-off and the magnetic data tape record from which the tabulation was printed, (2) the simulator differential pressure, (3) the cladding temperatures measured by each of the four thermocouples and their average, and (4) the times from other tube bursts (relative to that for which the table pertains). Pertinent miscellaneous measurements include (1) cladding temperatures obtained from the functional type $S$ thermocouples on
the outside surface of four of the simulators (TE 5-6 through TE 5-8, TE 28-5 through TE 28-8, TE 39-6, and TE 44-8; see Fig. 3 for locations) ; (2) shroud temperatures (TE 91-2, TE 92-1 through TE 92-4, TE 93-2, TE 93-3, and TE 94-1 through TE 94-3; see Fig. 3 for locations); (3) inlet steam temperatures (TE-320 through TE-322; see Fig. 5 for locations); (4) outlet steam temperatures (TE-323 through TE-327; see Fig. 5 for locations); (5) vessel gage pressure (PE-301); (6) total current through bundle (EIE-10); (7) voltage drop across bundle (EEE-10); and (8) characteristic bundle average temperature (TAV-10; see Sect. 2.1 for definition).

Similar tables are also given in Appendix B at selected time intervals for 70 s of the transient; these can be used to approximate the transfent of either the individual simulators or the bundle as a whole.

### 4.3 Pretest and Posttest Results

The information contained in this section was obtained from the pretest and posttest examinations of the est array. Some information, such as the simulator IR scans, resulted from quality assurance efforts made to characterize the test components. Other information, such as the bundle pretest and posttest photographs, was obtained for documentation of the test conditions. The results are presented in considerable detail, because we believe the data are extremely important to the interpretation of the test in terms of deformation behavior and distribution.

### 4.3.1 Bundle pretest photographic documentation

Selected photographs of the bundle assembly and of various details of the construction and monitoring instrumentation are included in this section. Some of these details are of general interest, while others are directly applicable to the interpretation of the test.

A view of the test array before installation of the shroud is shown in Fig. 43. The array was supported from the top flange and was free to move axially during the test. A close-fitting shroud was also supporte from thie top flange and was used to simulate the radial restraint in a nuclear fuel assembly without restricting axial movement of the simulators and grids. The shroud was constructed of thin stainless steel strips, having highly polished, gold-plated surfaces, backed by insulating material; construction detalls are illustrated in Fig. 44. The four shroud panels were assembled into a box around the test array as fllustrated in Fig. 45. The completely assembled array is shown in Fig. 46.

Figure 47 shows a typical shroud thermocouple attachment. Each thermocouple was formed by making a ball jurcecion ( $\sim 0.25-\mathrm{mm}$ dlam) on the end of 0.076 -man-diam type $S$ wires. The ball was then spot-welded to the back side of the thin shroud reflector strip. The mass of the thermocouple was kept small to minimize thermal shunting, that is, cooling of the reflector strip at the point of attachment by the thermocouple itself. The fragile 0.076 -man wires exited the shroud box through an insulator at the center of a plug, as shown in Fig. 48, and were then spliced to $0.25-\mathrm{mm}$-diam wires that exited the end of sheathed type $S$ thermocouple
extension material through a glass end seal to prevent ingress of moisture.

Typical installation details of the thermocouples that were used to measure azimuthal temperature gradients at the $48-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation are shown in Fig. 49. The wires were isolated with high-purity (99\%), oval-shaped (dimensions of 1.19 by 1.98 mm with two $0.5-\mathrm{mm}$ holes) alumina pellets and exited the bundle on the east and west sides along the paths indicated in Yig. 50 (see Figs. 43-45 also). The insulated wires penetrated the shroud box and were spliced to type S thermocouple extension material, (the same as used for the shroud thermocouples) as shown in Fig. 51.

A view of the lower end of the bundle showing one of the outlet steam thermocouples is shown in Fig. 52. All the steam thermocouples were $0.71-\mathrm{mm}$-diam, stainless-steel-sheathed, type K with insulated junctions. The junction end was centered within the flow channel with a ceramic spacer.

### 4.3.2 Bundle posttest photographic documentation

After performing posttest instrumentation checks, the assembly was removed from the test vessel and partially disassembled for visual inspection and dimensional checks of the test array. Figure 53 shows the west face of the array at this stage of posttest examination. The meter scale is suspended (in this and subsequent photographs) with the zero point at the bottom end of the heated zone and can be used as a reference for the discussion throughout this report. The west face panel of the shroud box is also show in its relative axial position; the polished reflector strip shows discoloration and distortion patterns that reflect the image of the test array.

Further dicassembly was then accomplished to prepare the array for flow characterization tests and detailed photographic documentation of the salient deformation features. Although procedures and techniques found useful in removing the fuel simulators (internal heaters) from previously tesfed bundles were employed, these operations were not entirely successful. Because large ballooning permitted the thermocouples and/or the spacer wires to become entangled, most of the fuel simulators were difficult to remove, and !1 were impossible to remove with these ordinary means. Because these 11 simulators would have no effect on the subsequent flow characterization tests, it was decided to sacrifice these simulators and leave chem in the array.

Figure 54 gives a perspective of the four faces of the array after removal of the lower end seals and the internal fuel simulators. As evident in the photographs, ballooning was extensive and was distributed in an undulating pattern along the length of the bundle. Frontal views of the north and east faces, depicting the region between the interior grids, are shown in Fig. 55, and frontal views of the south and west faces are shown in fig. 56. Similar views of the upper end of the bundle are presented in Fig. 57.

Several deformation features are evident in the photographs. One of the more striking is the amount of axial shrinkage that occurred during the test. The meter scale in the photographs is positioned with its zero point at the average posttest location of the bottom of the bundle heated
zone. A measure of the average shrinkage, which was caused by texture effects and is characteristic of Zircaloy deformation in the high-alpha temperature range, is given by the location ( 64 cm ) of the No. 2 grid in the photographs. Based on the pretest elevation ( 66 cm ) of the grid, the average shrinkage (Table 7) was $2.5 \%$. Individual tube shrinkages (Table 8) varied from $1.6 \%$ to $2.9 \%$, indicating relative movement (axially) between the tubes and the grids. [The grids were supported by friction forces (generated by the spring forces acting on the individual tubes), and the array was fixed in and suspended from the upper flange as indicated in Fig. 1.]

Another indication of the shrinkage is given by the posttest locations of the internal thermocouples, which can be identified in the photographs by small discolored areas where the thin oxide layer was removed from that Zircaloy tubes before the thermocouples were spot-welded to the inside surfaces. A typical example is shown on the burst of tube 16 (on the east face) in Fig. 57. Because of the axial shrinkage, the posttest locations of the thermocouples, as indicated by the meter scale in the photographs, appear at lower elevations than given by the pretest data of Fig. 3. These differences must be taken into account when analyzing the deformation at points where temperature measurements were made.

Extensive deformation and a number of tube bursts occurred between grids 2 and 3, Fig. 57. Pretest calculations had indicated that with the steam mass flux and other test parameters the same as in the B-3 reference test, deformation and tube bursts would be concentrated between grids 1 and 2 . The initial north-to-south temperature gradient, resulting from the nonuniform inlet steam temperature distribution, was the major cause for this unexpected behavior, because it produced a small axial temperature gradient in the simulators on the north side. Although the north-to-south temperature gradient was only $\sim 11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Fig. 22), its effect on deformation is clearly evident in Fig. 58.

Another significant deformation feature may be seen in the region where the simulator external thermocouple wires exited the bundle interior, about midway between grids 1 and 2 in Figs. 55 and 56. An enlarged photograph of the west face in this region of the bundle, taken before the remains of the wires were removed, is shown in Fig. 59. Although the section average temperature at the $48-\mathrm{cm}$ (pretest) instrumented elevation was only $7^{\circ}$ to $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ lower than the averages at the two adjacent instrumented elevations (Figs. 25-27), there was noticeably less deformation in the region of the wires.

Clearly, the presence of the thermocouple wires and their alumina insulators (see Figs. 49 and 50 for installation details) influenced the temperature and, therefore, deformation. This was unanticipated and, because of its widespread distribution within the array, appears unrelated to thermocouple fin-cooling effects. The cladding temperature of the simulators with external thermocouples, as measured by the internal thermocouples [Fig. 26(b)] and confirmed by the external thermocouple measurements, was only $\sim 3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ lower than the average for the section. However, because there were only four simulators with external thermocouples, these slightly cooler simulators would not account for the section average being $7^{\circ}$ to $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ cooler than the adjacent instrumented section averages. The degraded temperature condition also appears unrelated
to flow pattern perturbations because of the very low steam flow (Re $\sim 140$ at the top of the heated zone). Most likely, the wires and alumina insulators acted as heat sinks during the transient and, as a result of thermal radiation and/or contact heat losses, cooled the affected simulators.

An enlarged view of some interesting deformation details on the south face of the bundle is shown in Fig. 60. The undulating pattern of deformation is evident, and some thermocouple locations are noted. The inverted dimple in the ballooned (burst zone) region of simulator 61 was apparently caused by contact with the closely fitted shroud after the tube burst. This tube burst earlier than the neighboring interior tubes; presumably deformation of the interior tubes forced simulator 61 against the shroud and caused the dimple to form.

The thin, highly polished reflector strips used in the shroud panels were preloaded axially with high-temperature springs (Fig. 44) to compensate for differential thermal expansion and to keep the strips taut during the test. The design was not entirely successful, because the strips wrinkled (bowed inward) and concacted the simulators as illustrated by the irregular discoloration and distortion patterns in Fig. 61; the locations of the grids (noted by transverse marks on the polished surfaces) and remnants of the thermocouples can be used for convenient reference points. Evidently the two-di nensional temperature gradients in the thin strips and/or restricted movement caused them to buckle and touch the simulators. Undoubtedly this influenced the distribution of the cladding deformation as will be discussed in a later section. The corner simulators, although they deformed almost as much as their neighbors, touched the strips infrequently. Shroud box corner restraints probably prevented the transverse buckling patterns from bowing inward and contacting the corner simulators.

### 4.3.3 Bundle cross section photographs

Following photographic and dimensional documentation and flow characterization of the test array, it was cast into an epoxy matrix and sectioned transversely at 10 - to $20-\mathrm{mm}$ intervals for measurement of the deformation in each tube. The sections were polished sufficiently to sharply define the tube wall boundaries and photographed. Although they facilitated measurement of the tube strains at the axial nodes, we believe careful study of the photographs provides considerable insight into the deformation behavior and temperature distributions during the deformation process. For these reasons, the section photographs are given in Figs. 62-121 for documentary purposes.

The photographs were taken looking down on the surface at the given elevation with the No. i simulator in the northwest corner. This corresponds to the layout of Fig. 3, which can be used as a convenfent reference for tube identification in each section photograph. All the figures have white arrow points in the upper left corner. The distance along the edge of the epoxy matrix between the arrow points is proportional to the elevation of the section and can be used to calculate the height (above the bottom of the heated zone) of the actual plane of the photograph. A $1-\mathrm{in}$. scale (subdivided into 50 divisions of an inch) is included on the
north edge of the matrix to facilitate calculation of the actual magnification factor of the photograph.

The array was sectioned at carefully selected axial nodes to reveal as much qualitative and quantitative information as feasible within a number of constraints. Primary consideration was given to the desire that every burst appear in a section to provide an accurate measurement of the burst strain. It was also desired to section the array in the plane of as many of the thermocouples as could be accommodated within the other constraints. As a consequence, Figs. 66, 75, 80, 85, 91, 96, 108, and 115 show the posttest planes of the majority of the thermocouple attachments. It should be noted that these appear at lower elevations than the pretest locations (Figs. 3 and 4) because of axial shrinkage that occurred during the test.

The remains of the thermocouples and internal heaters that could not be removed from the inside of the cladding tubes of 11 of the simulators can be seen in the section photographs. Not all appear in each photograph because they were not firmly held in the epoxy matrix and were removed before the photographs were taken. An undeformed tube (No. 52) appears in the third position from the right corner in the bottom row of each section and can be used for visual comparisons. (It also served as a convenient check of the data reduction techniques discussed in the following section.) This simulator developed a seal leak during pretest operations and was not pressurized; however, it was heated to preserve the proper temperature boundary conditions.

Figure 62 shows an undeformed section of the array taken well below the bottom of the heated zone. Sections through the lower grid are shown in Figs. 68-70 and the upper grid in Figs. 101-103; cross sections of the bands that tightly retained the outer ring of simulators within the grids appear in Figs. 69 and 102. Some flattenting of the tubes at the springloaded contacts is evident in the figures. Strain in the tubes at the grid nodes ranged from $4 \%$ to $11 \%$.

The figures show the effect of confinement, exerted by the closely fitted shroud, and rod-to-rod interactions on the deformation patterns. The shroud, in general, prevented outward movenent of the simulators to accommodate deformation in the interior of the array. However, because it was unpressurized and did not deform, simulator 62 was displaced outward by deformation, and outward movement of the interior simulators as may be seen, for example, in Fig. 86. This behavior illustrates the effect of simulator movement caused by the lack of constraint boundary conditions in small bundle tests without deforming guard simulators. Extensive localized ballooning and distortion in the vicinity of the bursts are evident throughout the bundle. For example, compare the shapes and the strains of tubes 42 and 46 in Figs. 74 and 77. Although these sections are only 4.5 cm apart, they appear unrelated. The severe distortion occurred after the tubes burst and was caused by the action of neighboring tubes as they deformed and burst. In fact, the tube burst sequence can be deduced qualitatively from the distortion patterns.

As discussed earlier, thermocouple wires with alumina insulators passed through the bundle (see Figs. 49 and 50) to thermocouples attached to the outside surface of four of the simulators and affected the temperature and, as a result, the deformation distribution in the vicinity. The remainn of the wires and insulators, trapped within the array, are
evident in Figs. 90-93. The figures also show that the simulators in the vicinity of the wires (Fig. 50) deformed much less than those unaffected by the wires. The average temperature of this zone was only $7^{\circ}$ to $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ below that for the adjacent instrumented elevations (Fig. 33), but its effect on deformation can be seen by comparing Fig. 90 with Fig. 85. Deformation in the latter is significantly greater and more uniformly distributed in the bundle.

The effect of the north-to-south temperature gradient at the upper end of the heated zone, caused by the nonuniform temperature distribution of the inlet steam (Fig. 21), is evident in Fig. 112, for example. On the other hand, Fig. 72 for a section with approximately the same bundle average deformation but with a negligible radial temperature gradient shows the deformation to be uniformly distributed across the array.

Three of the tube bursts inftiated at thermocouple spot-welds and resulted in pinhole failures. Two of these are shown in Figs. 91 (tube 53 ) and 96 (tube 59). The third pinhole failure, like the first two, was observed in tube 7 during examination with a borescope prior to encapsulation in the epoxy matrix but was removed by the saw cut when the bundle was sectioned. The necked down region of the tube wall at the edge of the piahole is evident in Fig. 109 and more clearly in the enlarged photograph of the tube in Fig. 122. Sections made at other planes containing thermocouples or in the immediate vicinity of thermocouples (unequal axial contraction of the tubes caused the posttest locations to vary a few millimeters) are shown in Figs. 66, 75, 80, 85, 108, and 115. Although a number of bursts appear in the sections shown in these figures, burst midpoint orfentations could be correlated with posttest thermocouple positions for only tubes 34 and 42 . However, the burst strains and burst openings were unusually large for these failures. The thermocouple spot-welds may have acted as defects and initiated the failures, but they did not significantly affect the failure.

Localized ballooning with strains equal to or greacer than that at the fallure point was observed on several of the tubes. In some of these cases, the localized balloons had nonuniform wall thinning, indicating potential fallure sites. For example, compare tube 45 in Figs. 81 and 110; other examples are easily identified on the deformation profiles presented in a later section.

The sections were carefully examined to define the tube burst locations given in Table 9. The axial locations were determined first by internal examination of the tubes with a borescope before encapsulation with epoxy and confirmed later from measurements of the sections; the midpoint elevations and burst lengths are considered reasonably accurate (to within 3 to 5 mm ). The azimuthal orientations were determined from angle measurements made on the sections near the end of the burst opening and are probably accurate to within $5^{\circ}$ to $10^{\circ}$ of arc length. Burst midpoint elevations and orientations are shown schematically in Fig. 123.

The burst orientations of the tubes in the outer ring of 28 simulators provide evidence of significant azimuthal temperature gradients that were caused by nonuniform heat losses. With five exceptions, the bursts in the exterior tubes were directed inward, that is, toward the higher temperature portion of the tubes. The burst directions for the exceptions were directed toward adjacent tubes. (See tubes 7, 8, 16, 61, and 63 in Fig. 123.) Interestingly, the bursts in tubes 61 and 63 occurred
at approximately the same elevation and were directed toward the undeformed (but heated) tube (62) between them. Burst directions of the tubes in the inner $6 \times 6$ array had a preferred orlentation toward an open f low channel and, in general, toward the northeast corner of the array; only 8 of the 36 were directed toward adjacent tubes. The tendency for the burst directions to be toward the open flow areas was caused by rod-to-rod interactions, while the tendency for the bursts to be in the northeasterly direction was the result of the small temperature gradient across the bundle that was caused by the nonuniform temperature distribution of the inlet steam.

### 4.3.4 Deformation data reduction methodology and results

Enlarged ( $\sim 3 \mathrm{X}$ ) photographs of the sections were digitized to facilitate computer reduction of the photographic data to geometrical parameters describing strains, areas, volumes, centroids, and displacements. Fifty to ninety points, depending on tube shape and the degree of distortion, on both the outside and inside perimeters of each tube were digitized to provide $x-y$ coordinates with respect to an arbitrary origin established at the same relative position on each section photograph. The digitized points were smoothed by forward fitting a quadratic curve to four consecutive points and then using the curve to generate ten points between each successive pair of digitized points. Thusly the series of curves (equal to the number of digitized points) was used to expand the number of digitized points by a factor of 10 for use in generating areas, centroids, and chord lengths.

Calculation of these geometrical parameters for distorted tube shapes at nonburst nodes presented no difficulties other than taking care that a sufficient number of points were digitized to mathematically describe the shapes accurately in those regions where the tube radius of curvature changed rapidly. However, the nodes contairing tube bursts required special treatment because the software algorithms required closed perimeters. The endpoints of the burst lips were connected by straight line segments, drawn in such a way as to not enclose any of the adjacent tubes, to provide a continuous (but fictitious) perimeter for use in the fitting and integration algorithms. All the area enclosed by the fictitious perimeter was included as cube cross-sectional area at the burst node at this step in the data reduction process. At later steps, depending on the purpose, the area of a circular tube shape was derived from the perimeter and used to calculate other geometrical parameters of interest at both the burst and nonburst nodes. Because the same line segments were used for completing both the inside and outside perimeters, the segments also represented fictitious tube wall regions (of zero thickness) in calculating the area of the deformed tube. Of course, the length of the line segments was not included as part of the perimeter in the strain calculations.

The photographic data for each section were thus reduced to a table of geometric parameters that were used for verification of the digitized data and as a source file for further processing to obtain desired output parameters. Because these tables transform and summarize the section photographs into geometric parameters for analysis, they are included in Appendix C; an example is shown in Table 10 for illustrative purposes.

The table, for the section at the 17.0 -cm elevation, gives for each tube the cross-sectional areas enclosed by the tube outside and inside perimeters and (by difference) the tube wall area, the lengths of the outside and inside perimeters, the $x^{-}$and $y$-coordinates of the outside and inside tube area centroids referenced to the arbitrary origin established at the same relative position on each section photograph, and the strains (total circumferential elongation) based on the outside and inside perimeters.

One of the verification procedures made use of the digitized data and the computer graphics software to reconstruct the section image for comparison with the original photograph. An example of the application of this procedure to one of the more difficult sections to process (because of the odd shape of tube 42) is illustrated by Fig. 124, which shows the tube identification number, the strain based on outside tube perimeter, and the tube centroid and outline correctly located on the arbitrary coordinate grid. Comparison with Fig. 73 shows that the reconstructed image is an excellent reproduction of the section photograph.

Another and perhaps more important data verification procedure involved comparing the measured inside and outside perimeter strains to the theoretical expression relating the two (assuming constant tube wall area) as illustrated in Fig. 125. The corresponding inside and outside tube strain data of Table 10 are plotted on the graph as a single data point for each tube. Assuming constant tube wall area, the data points should lie on the theoretical curve. Because the inside and outside strain values were derived from separate measurements, if either is incorrect the data point will deviate significantly from the theoretical curve. As evident by the figure, the data are in excellent agreement with the curve and can be assumed to represent the true strains adequately.

After verification of the digitized data, the data tapes were reprocessed to generate a strain matrix (Table 11) of the strain in each tube at each axial node; the values in the table are given as percentage increase of original tube outside circumference. Burst strains, underlined in the table, were summarized earlier in Table 5 and displayed graphically in Fig. 12 as a function of tube radial position. As was evident in the section photographs (Figs. 62-121), the axial lengths of some of the bursts were such that they appeared in two or three of the section photcgraphs and thus multiple values of the burst strain could result. We selected the value (underlined in the table) from the section nearest the burss aidpoint as the most representative.

Examination of the tabulated strain values around the indicated burst strain will reveal an occasional value significantly greater than that selected as the burst strain, the most noticeable example being tube 19. The cause for this discrepancy is readily apparent from comparison of the tube burst in Figs. 86 and 87. The plane of the section in Fig. 87 is near the axial midpoint of the burst and is more or less normal to the tube wall. That is, the radius of curvature is parallel to the plane of the section, and the periphery is a correct measure of the cfrcumferential elongation at this node. On the other hand, the plane of the section shown in Fig. 86 is at the end of the burst (barely evident in the photograph) and, because of the sharply changing and complex surface shape, is not parallel to the radius of curvature, particularly at the 8 o'clock position. The tube wall is cut at an angle and appears
thicker in this region than it would be if the cut were normal to the wall. As a result of the plane of the cut not being parallel to the radius of curvature at all points on deformed surface, the measured periphery is greater than the true value that is required for calculating the strain. Interestingly, because it is normal to the flow channel, the projected area of the tube in the plane of the section is correct for calculating the reduction in coolant flow area. Similar examples, though not as prominent, are represented by tube 8 in Fig. 114, tube 14 in Fig. 108, tube 42 in Fig. 73, and tube 47 in Fig. 94.

The burst strain of some of the tubes in the outer ring of the array was unusually high (see Fig. 12), considering the boundary conditions. This was unanticipated because azimuthal temperature gradients in the exterior simulators were expected to localize the deformation and significantly reduce the burst strain in a given tube. The temperature gradients did localize deformation sufficiently to cause the burst orientations to be directed toward the bundle interior (Fig. 123) as expected. Contact between the shroud and the exterior simulators, as will be discussed in Sect. 5, reduced the gradients (locally) in some of the simulators and, as a result, they experienced very large deformation before failure.

The strain matrix (Table 11) was used to plot the axial profile of the deformation in each tube. These are presented in Appendix D; however, some examples are presented in Figs. $126-129$ to elucidate certain features and to illustrate the type and format of the information available in the appendix. The posttest centerline positions of the two interior grids and the axial locations and lengths of the bursts are noted on the deformation profiles. The pretest IR characterization scan of the fuel simulator (internal heater), the approximate posttest axial positions of the thermocouples, and the direction of steam flow are also shown for reference purposes.

In general, the deformation profiles correlate reasonably well with the pretest IR characterization scans, as illustrated by Figs. 126 and 127. However, because Zircaloy deformation is extremely sensitive to temperature in the high-alpha-phase temperature range, deformation in certain regions was more sensitive to other temperature perturbations than to the minor variations in simulator heat generation. For example, intermittent contact between the shroud and the exterior simulators (see Fig. 61) modified the local temperature distributions and caused a cyclic pattern in their deformation profiles that was more or less independent of the IR scans, as typified by Fig. 128.

All the deformation profiles have certain characteristics, more or less independent of the characceristics of the individual fuel sinulators. These include strains of $4 \%$ to $11 \%$ in the region of the grids and maximum strains of $7 \%$ to $17 \%$ in the region between the bottom of the heated zone and the lower grid. A much greater variation in maximum strain was observed in the region between the upper grid and the top of the heated zone (see Figs. 126 and 129). Strain in this region was strongly influenced by axial and radial temperature gradients and the cooling effect of the inlet steam. Because of localized contact with the shroud, deformation of the exterior simulators tended to be less uniform than that of the interior simulators in the region between the interior grids.

The bursts in three of the tubes initiated at thermocouple welds and resulted in pinholes, as noted in Fig. 123. The deformation plots in Appendix D suggest other bursts may have initiated at thermocouples; an example is shown in Fig. 127. In all but two cases (tubes 34 and 42), however, these can be eliminated from consideration by comparison of the burst orientations in Fig. 123 with the thermocouple locations in Fig. 3. Although the thermocouple spot-welds may have initiated the failures in these two cases, the deformation characteristics were not significantly affected because the burst strains and burst openings were unusually large.

Excessive ballooning over an extended length is a concern in LOCA analyses. For the tubes and spacing ( 1.32 pitch-to-diameter ratio) used in our tests, adjacent tubes will touch with $32 \%$ uniform expansion. As evident from the deformation profiles and Table 11 , most of the tubes exceeded this value over considerable portions of the heated zone. Ordinarily, maximum ballooning occurs in the vicinity of the burst as illustrated by Fig. 126. Because of rod-to-rod interactions in this test, there were numerous cases in which comparable ballooning (typical examples are shown in Figs. 128 and 129), and in a few cases significantly greater ballooning (an example is shown in Fig. 127), was observed in regions remote from the burst zone.

Another important characterization of tube deformation is the volumetric expansion over the heated length. This parameter is closely related to flow resistance, because the volume increase takes into account deformation along the length of the tube. The volume increase was calculated for each of the tubes from the geometric data given in Appendix $C$. The calculation assumed that the tube cross section at each axial node was circular in shape and had a perimeter equal to the deformed tube outside perimeter; this is considered a reasonable approximation of the shape of the tube just before failure. The area of the tube was then calculated at each node and integrated over the tube heated length, using the trapezoidal rule, to obtain the volume occupied by the outside diameter. This value was expressed as a percentage increase over the original volume and was tabulated in Table 5 and displayed graphically in Fig. 11 as a function of tube radial position.

Individual tube overall deformation was also characterized by computing an average strain value from the volumetric expansion data. This calculation assumed the expansion was uniformly distributed over the tube heated length, that is, no account was taken of local variations caused by grid and end effects. The average strains thus calculated were also tabulated in Table 5 .

The total expansion for all tubes at each axial node is also of interest because it determines the coolant channel flow area restriction. This parameter is normally expressed as an average value for an array cross section and was calculated on the basis of a rod-centered unit cell, using the equation

$$
B=100 \times \frac{\sum_{d, n}^{n=N}\left(A_{d}-A_{o}\right)}{N\left(p^{2}-A_{0}\right)}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
B & =\text { percentage restriction in coolant channel flow area, } \\
A_{d, n} & =\text { outside area of deformed tube }\left(\mathrm{mm}^{2}\right), \\
A_{0} & =\text { outside area of original tube }\left(\mathrm{mm}^{2}\right), \\
D & =\text { tube-to-tube pitch in square array }(\mathrm{mm}), \\
N & =\text { number of tubes in square array. }
\end{aligned}
$$

With this definition, B is 0 for no deformation and $100 \%$ if all the tubes deform into a square whose sides are of length $p$ (completely filling the open area). For the case of uniform ballooning such that the tubes just come into contact (i.e., $32 \%$ strain for the dimensions appropriate to this test), B is $61 \%$.

In summing the deformed tube areas in the above equation for those nodes that contain bursts, one must decide how to treat the burst lips. As in the past, we used two treatments that appear to be reasonable upper and lower limits for the flow restriction. At the burst nodes, the upper-limit computation used the area enclosed by the fictitious tube outside perimeter (discussed earlier in this section) for $A_{d, n}$; the actual (measured) area was used for $A_{d, n}$ at the nonburst nodes. Tube areas obtained this way are tabulated as "OD Area" in the tables of Appendix C. For the lower 11 mit , the software compared the area enclosed by the fictitious perimeter with that enclosed by a circular tube having the same (actual) perimeter of the burst tube and used the latter if smaller to describe the deformed tube area, $A_{d, n}$, at the burst nodes. Similarly, the software compared the actual (measured) area with that of a circular tube of the same perimeter and selected the smaller for $A_{d, n}$ at the nonburst nodes. This automatically selected the measured area, even for the severely distorted (nonburst) shapes, because the area enclosed by a circle is greater than that enclosed in any other closed region having the same perimeter as the circle. Results from processing the data in this manner are tabulated in Table 12. The right-hand column of the fourth page of the table is the sum of the 64 tube areas at any elevation. The percentage flow area restriction was calculated at each axial node, using the above equation and appropriately defined values for the parameters, for the entire $8 \times 8$ array, the inner $6 \times 6$ array, and the central $4 \times 4$ array; the results are tabulated in Table 13. The crosssectional area occupled by the grids $\left(\sim 200 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}\right)$ was not included in the calculation; including this area slightly increases the restriction at the grid nodes. The values listed under "maximum" correspond to the upper-limit computation discussed above and are based on outside tube areas given in Appendix C; those listed under "minimum" correspond to the lower-limit computation and are based on the data in Table 12. Because the measured tube areas were used for nonburst nodes in both calculations, the two values differ only at those nodes where tube bursts occurred. Even at these nodes, the differences are small because the burst openings were constrained by neighboring rods and the two definitions for $A_{d, n}$ gave results that were not greatly different.

The minimum flow area restriction data of Table 13 were depicted graphically in Fig. 13. It is noted that lower limit of coolant channel flow area restriction, as defined above, was also used by Powers and Meyer in NUREG-0630 (Ref. 22).

Restraint conditions of a full-size fuel bundle were simulated in the B-5 test by surrounding the $8 \times 8$ test array with a closely spaced shroud that limited outward movement of the simulators. The pretest spacing ( 1.75 mm ) was such that contact would be made when the exterior simulators deformed $32 \%$, provided the simulators were neither displaced nor bowed outward. Posttest examination showed that contact forces during deformation and/or thermal bowing caused permanent displacement of the simulators.

Simulator displacements were estimated at each axial node by a least-squares fitting routine that simultaneously minimized all the displacements. The manner in which this was accomplished can be visualized by imagining placement of a rigid grid (with pretest tube centroids at the center of each grid cell) on the section photograph and then translating and rotating the grid on the plane of the photograph until the average displacement between the pretest and posttest centroids of the 64 tubes is minimized. Using the centroids of the areas enclosed by tube outside perimeter from the geometric data source file (Appendix C) and the pretest tube pitch, the data were processed to provide the displacements in both tabular and graphical form. An example of the tabular output (for the section displayed in Fig. 124) is precented in Table 14; tables for all the sections are given in Appendix E. Although the computations were performed with reference to the arbitrary coordinate system that was established relative to the north side of the epoxy matrix when the section photographs were digitized, the results were translated to a new coordinate system that has its origin at the pretest centroid of simulator 1 (see Fig. 3). This facilitates interpretation and use of the displacement data. As evident from the pretest centroid coordinates in Table 14, the coordinate system used for digitizing the data was not perfectly aligned with the epoxy matrix; instead, it was rotated slightly clockwise.

As an example, the graphical output for the section displayed in Fig. 124 is shown, referenced to the digitizing coordinate system, in Fig, 130 to illustrate tube displacements relative to an imaginary grid that defines the pretest unit cells at this elevation. Similar plots are presented in Appendix F for all the axial nodes. In the figure, dots are used to denote the tube pretest centroids at the midpoints of the unit cells and crosses to denote the posttest centroids of the tube out-lines. The latter correspond to the shapes of the areas enclosed by the tube outside perimeters. Straight line segments, representing fictitious perimeters, are drawn in the burst regions of those tubes that have bursts at this elevation (see Fig. 73). The outside of the imaginary grid corresponds to the pretest dimensions and the position of the shroud.

The figure shows the permanent displacements of the tubes within the original unit cells and aids visualization of subchannel flow area restriction.


Fig. 18. Typical temperature (and pressure) data plot showing noise spikes caused by thermocouple reference box.


Fig. 19. Temperature (and pressure) data plot from previous figure after thermocouple noise spikes were removed.


Fig. 20. Steam ( $a$ ) inlet and (b) outlet temperatures measured 0.5 s before power-on.
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Fig. 21. Steam (a) inlet and (b) outlet temperatures measured 1.0 s before the first tube burst.



Fig. 22. Cladding temperatures measured at $84-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation (a) 0.5 s before power-on and (b) 1.0 s before first tube burst.


Fig. 23. Cladding temperatures measured at $76-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation (a) 0.5 s before power-on and (b) 1.0 s before first tube burst.


Fig. 24. Cladding temperatures measured at $66-\mathrm{cm}$ (upper grid) elevation (a) 0.5 s before power-on and (b) 1.0 s before first tube burst.


Fig. 25. Cladding temperatures measured at $56-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation (a) 0.5 s before power-on and (b) 1.0 s before first tube burst.


Fig. 26. Cladding temperatures measured at $48-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation ( $\alpha$ ) 0.5 s before power-on and (b) 1.0 s before first tube burst.


Fig. 27. Cladding temperatures measured at $38-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation (a) 0.5 s before power-on and (b) 1.0 s before first tube burst.


Fig. 28. Cladding temperatures measured at $28-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation (a) 0.5 s before power-on and (b) 1.0 s before first tube burst.


Fig. 29. Cladding temperatures measured at $20-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation (a) 0.5 s before power-on and (b) 1.0 s before first tube burst.


Fig. 30. Cladding temperatures measured at $10-\mathrm{cm}$ (lower grid) elevation (a) 0.5 s before power-on and (b) 1.0 s before first tube burst.


Fig. 31. Cladding temperatures measured at $5-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation (a) 0.5 s before power-on and (b) 1.0 s before first tube burst.
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Fig. 32. Simulator-averaged cladding temperature measurements (a) 0.5 s before power-on and (b) 1.0 s before first tube burst.


Fig. 33. Axial temperature profiles measured 0.5 s before power-on and 1.0 s before first tube burst.


Fig. 34. Differential pressures measured (a) 0.5 s before power-on and (b) 1.0 s before first tube burst.


Fig. 35. Typical temperature and pressure behavior during test.


Fig. 36. Steam inlet temperature and vessel pressure during test.


Fig. 37. Steam outlet temperature measured during test.

ORNL-DWG 84.4062 ETD


Fig. 38. Shroud temperature measurements at the $48-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 39. Shroud temperature measurements on west side of bundle.


Fig. 40. Typical cladding pressure and temperat ire behavior for an interior simulator.
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Fig. 41. Pressure and typical cladding temperature behavior at four azimuthal positions.
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Fig. 42. Comparison of cladding internal temperature with external temperatures measured at four azimuthal positions.


Fig. 43. Partially assembled B-5 test array.
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Fig. 44. Shroud panels with reflector strip removed from west panel to show insulating material.


Fig. 45. Bundle before installation of north panel of shroud box.
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Fig. 46. Completely assembled B-5 test array.
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Fig. 47. Typical shroud thermocouple attachment.


Fig. 48. Typical shroud thermocouple installation.
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Fig. 49. Typical installation of thermocouples for measuring azimuthal temperature gradients.
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Fig. 50. Schematic diagram showing how external thermocouples (see Fig. 49) exited bundle.


EXTERNAL
THERMOCOUPL
PENETRATIONS

Fig. 51. Detall of thermocouple installation on outside of shroud.
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Fig. 52. Detall of outlet steam thermocouple installation.


Fig. 53. Posttest view of west face of test array and shroud panel.
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Fig. 55. North and east faces of bundle between interior grids.
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Fig. 56. South and west faces of bundle between interior grids.
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Fig. 57. Upper end of bundle heated zone.


Fig. 58. Detail of deformation between upper grids of array showing effect of north-to-south temperature gradient.
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Fig. 59. Detail of deformation in region where external thermocouple wires exited west face of bundle.


Fig. 60. Detail showing inverted dimple and undulating pattern of localized ballooning on south face of bundle.


Fig. 61. Postiest view of shroud panels showing distortion and discoloration from contact with simulators.


Fig. 62. Section in undeformed region at $-6.5-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.
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Fig. 63. Section at start of heated zone at $0.0-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 65. Sectiva at $3.5-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.
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Fig. 64. Section at $1.8-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 67. Section at $6.5-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.
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Fig. 66. Section at $5.0-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 68. Section through lower grid at $8.4-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 69. Section through lower grid at $10.0-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.
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Fig. 70. Section through lower grid at
11.6-cm elevation.

Fig. 71. Section at $13.5-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 72. Section at $15.5-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 74. Section at $18.5-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 76. Section at $21.5-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.
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Fig. 77. Section at $23.0-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


F:g. 79. Section at $26.4-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.

Fig. 78. Section at $24.7-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.
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Fig. 81. Section at $29.5-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.

Fig. 80. Section at $28.0-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 82. Section at $31.5-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 84. Section at $35.5-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 85. Seciin, at $37.1-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 87. Section at $40.8-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 86. Section at $39.0-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 88. Section at $42.6-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.

Fig. 91. Section at $46.9-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation (re-
versed image to show tubes in correct positions).


Fig. 90. Section at $46.4-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 93. Section at 49.6-cm elevation.


Fig. 92. Section at $48.0-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.

Fig. 95. Section at $53.0-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.

M\&C PHOTO $Y-179711$


Fig. 94. Section at $51.2-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 97. Section at $56.2-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 96. Section at $54.6-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 98. Section at $57.8-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 99. Section at $59.6-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 100. Section at $61.2-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 101. Section through upper grid at $62.8-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.
Fig. 103. Section through upper grid at
$66.0-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.
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Fig. 105. Section at $69.5-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 104. Section at $67.8-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.
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Fig. 106. Section at $71.0-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.

Fig. 109. Section at $74.8-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation (re-
versed image to show tubes in cor rect positions).


Fig. 108. Section ac $74.3-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.

Fig. 111. Section at $76.3-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation (re-
versed image to show tubes in correct positions)


Fig. 110. Section at $75.8-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 113. Section at $78.9-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 112. Section at $77.4-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.
Fig. 115. Section at $81.9-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.



Fig. 114. Section at $80.4-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 117. Seciion at $85.1-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.


Fig. 116. Section at 83.5-cm elevation.

Fig. 119. Section at $88.6-\mathrm{cm}$ elevaition.

M\&C PHOTO Y-179780


Fig. 118. Section at $86.8-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.
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Fig. 126. Section at $90.4-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.
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Fig. 122. Enlarged view of portion of Fig. 109 showing necked down region of tube wall at edge of pinhole failure in tube 7 .


Fig. 123. Approximate burst midpoint elevations and orientations.


Fig. 124. Example of software reconstruction of photograph in Fig. 73.


Fig. 125. Example of strain data verification procedure.


Fig. 126. Deformation profile of simulator 46 with the maximum burst strain.


Fig. 127. Deformation profile of simulator 45 showing large ballooning in nonburst region.


Fig. 128. Deformation profile of simulator 40 showing cyclic deformation pattern of exterior simulators.


Fig. 129. Deformation profile of simulator 22 with the maximum volumetric expansion.


Fig. 130. Example of tube relocation at $17.0-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.

Table 6. Sumary of B-5 test conditiona at rod 21 burst time


[^2]${ }^{b}$ siaulator heated but unpressurized.

Table 7. Average axial shrinkage determined from relocation of grids and bottom of bundle heated zone

| Position | Change in <br> elevation <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Heated length <br> above this <br> position <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Axial <br> shrinkage <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lower heated zone | $2.24 \pm 0.25^{a}$ | 91.4 | 2.4 |
| Grid No. 1 | 2.0 | 81.2 | 2.5 |
| Grid No. 2 | 0.6 | 25.3 | 2.4 |

$a_{\text {This represents }}$ the average of 63 simulators (No. 62 was unpressurized) from Table 8.

Table 8. Approximate axial shrinkage of tubes

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Simulator } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Heated length change |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Simulator } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Heated length change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | cm | \% |  | cm | \% |
| 1 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 33 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| 2 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 34 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| 3 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 35 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| 4 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 36 | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| 5 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 37 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
| 6 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 38 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| 7 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 39 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| 8 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 40 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| 9 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 41 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| 10 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 42 | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| 11 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 43 | 2.0 | 2.2 |
| 12 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 44 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| 13 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 45 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| 14 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 46 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| 15 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 47 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| 16 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 48 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| 17 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 49 | 2.0 | 2.2 |
| 18 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 50 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| 19 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 51 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
| 20 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 52 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| 21 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 53 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| 22 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 54 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| 23 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 55 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| 24 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 56 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| 25 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 57 | 2.3 | C. 5 |
| 26 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 58 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| 27 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 59 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| 28 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 60 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| 29 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 61 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| 30 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 62 | $0^{\text {a }}$ | $0^{a}$ |
| 31 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 63 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| 32 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 64 | 1.8 | 2.0 |

Table 9. Burst locations in $B-5$ test array

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Simulator } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Burst location |  | Burst <br> length <br> (cm) | Simulator No. | Burst location |  | Burst <br> length <br> (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Axial}^{a} \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ang } 1 e^{b} \\ & (\text { deg }) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\underset{(\mathrm{cm})}{\text { Axial }^{a}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Angie } \\ & (\mathrm{deg}) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1 | 73.2 | 120 | 1.5 | 33 | 15.9 | 135 | 1.4 |
| 2 | 76.2 | 135 | 2.2 | 34 | 27.5 | 90 | 2.9 |
| 3 | 24.9 | 180 | 2.6 | 35 | 22.3 | 45 | 2.7 |
| 4 | 78.1 | 225 | 2.4 | 36 | 35.7 | 225 | 2.2 |
| 5 | 78.5 | 225 | 2.1 | 37 | 75.9 | 45 | 2.1 |
| 6 | 73.2 | 135 | 1.6 | 38 | 17.8 | 45 | 2.3 |
| 7 | 74.8 | 90 | $0.1{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 39 | 21.1 | 45 | 2.5 |
| 8 | 78.9 | 180 | 3.0 | 40 | 70.7 | 315 | 2.4 |
| 9 | 76.2 | 45 | 2.1 | 41 | 16.8 | 90 | 3.3 |
| 10 | 21.4 | 45 | 3.1 | 42 | 19.6 | 0 | 3.2 |
| 11 | 33.0 | 270 | 2.9 | 43 | 25.3 | 45 | 2.0 |
| 12 | 73.7 | 45 | 2.2 | 44 | 25.5 | 45 | 2.6 |
| 13 | 74.8 | 135 | 4.6 | 45 | 75.1 | 45 | 2.3 |
| 14 | 75.9 | 45 | 1.9 | 46 | 23.4 | 135 | 1.7 |
| 15 | 75.7 | 0 | 3.2 | 47 | 50.1 | 135 | 2.1 |
| 16 | 73.9 | 0 | 2.9 | 48 | 47.0 | 225 | 2.0 |
| 17 | 54.5 | 135 | 2.9 | 49 | 17.1 | 45 | 2.1 |
| 18 | 26.4 | 0 | 2.0 | 50 | 20.7 | 45 | 2.6 |
| 19 | 40.3 | 225 | 2.5 | 51 | 18.2 | 45 | 2.4 |
| 20 | 29.1 | 45 | 2.4 | 52 | 33.1 | 225 | $1.8{ }_{c}$ |
| 21 | 73.6 | 45 | 2.4 | 53 | 46.7 | 90 | $0.1{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 22 | 50.8 | 135 | 2.5 | 54 | 20.7 | 45 | 2.7 |
| 23 | 50.8 | 180 | 2.5 | 55 | 24.0 | 330 | 3.5 |
| 24 | 21.0 | 315 | 2.0 | 56 | 71.6 | 315 | 1.7 |
| 25 | 16.0 | 45 | 1.5 | 57 | 19.5 | 45 | 2.2 |
| 26 | 20.9 | 45 | 2.3 | 58 | 19.6 | 45 | 2.4 |
| 27 | 27.4 | 0 | 3.4 | 59 | 54.6 | 45 | $0.1{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 28 | 73.6 | 45 | 2.4 | 60 | 33.1 | 45 | 1.9 |
| 29 | 23.5 | 45 | 2.2 | 61 | 34.0 | 90 | 2.3 |
| 30 | 49.0 | 180 | 3.3 | 62 | d | d | d |
| 31 | 45.3 | 225 | 2.0 | 63 | 34.5 | 270 | 3.4 |
| 32 | 37.2 | 225 | 1.7 | 64 | 17.0 | 315 | 2.2 |

[^3]
17.0
ch elevation

##  De wNN


竍

ER 1



|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

OD ${ }_{\text {Mn }}$








Table 11. Circuaferential strain in $8-5$ tubes
(Burst stratns are underlined)


Table 11 (cont inued)

| ELEVATIO (CM) | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | $-0.0$ | 0.2 | 0. 2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.7 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.9 | -0.0 |
| 1.8 | 3.4 | 4. 3 | 3. 4 | 4. 4 | 5.4 | 3.1 | 4,4 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 5.2 | 2.7 | 4.0 | 2.8 |
| 3.5 | ह. 1 | 10.2 | 9.8 | 9.0 | 9.6 | 7.4 | 7.7 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 8.4 | 10.6 | 9.9 | 9.8 | 9.1 | 10.6 | 8, 6 |
| 5.0 | 12.4 | 13.9 | 13.6 | 10.9 | 10.6 | 11.1 | 9.4 | 12.4 | 13.8 | 12.5 | 13.6 | 12.1 | 11.8 | 13.3 | 12.9 | 12.3 |
| 6.5 | 11.6 | 12.3 | 11.6 | 10.5 | 8.4 | 10.6 | 8.5 | 11.3 | 12.1 | 11.3 | 11.9 | 11.0 | 10.0 | 11.8 | 11.2 | 11.8 |
| 8. 4 | 7.0 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 6.0 | 4. 4 | 6.5 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 6.0 | 4.4 | 5.5 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 7.2 |
| 10.0 | 4.6 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 5. 5 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 4. 3 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 4. 2 |
| 11.6 | 20.4 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 8.6 | 10.4 | 7.8 | 6.7 | 10.1 | 9.8 | 8.8 | 9.3 | 8.2 | 7.9 | 8.6 | 8.0 | 8.6 |
| 13.5 | 19.8 | 22.1 | 23.4 | 18.4 | 15.5 | 15.0 | 15.4 | 20.1 | 23.8 | 18.8 | 19.6 | 17.5 | 18.5 | 20.9 | 17,3 | 16.6 |
| 15.5 | 32.8 | 31. 4 | 49.0 | 25.2 | 17.6 | 22. t | 22.5 | 27.8 | 42.6 | 25.9 | 28.9 | 22.9 | 24.4 | 32.0 | 21.3 | 24.8 |
| 17.0 | 30.9 | 37.6 | 57, 4 | 27.9 | 19.6 | 27.6 | 28.0 | 31.5 | 38.8 | 33.8 | 35.5 | 27.0 | 26.4 | 34.0 | 21.7 | 32.1 |
| 18.5 | 22.2 | 40,9 | 53.2 | 30.4 | 18.1 | 32.1 | 36.1 | 34.1 | 21.0 | 45.8 | 38.9 | 31.0 | 28.8 | 32.9 | 23,0 | 38,8 |
| 20.0 | 23.6 | 35.0 | 46.4 | 34.0 | 17.8 | 37.0 | 39.8 | 46.8 | 20.6 | 54.0 | 38.6 | 33.9 | 36.3 | 33, 7 | 24.4 | 39.1 |
| 21.5 | 28.1 | 29.5 | 42.2 | 35.6 | 17.7 | 39.2 | 34.8 | 49.2 | 26.4 | 48.4 | 40.5 | 37.4 | 50.4 | 35.2 | 23.8 | 34.6 |
| 23.0 | 30.1 | 31.5 | 44.7 | 37. 2 | 18.5 | 39.3 | 29.5 | 33.4 | 27.6 | 39.5 | 47.6 | 38.4 | 58.4 | 37.6 | 23.8 | 26.3 |
| 24.7 | 32.3 | 45.7 | 53.6 | 40.3 | 21.2 | 42.9 | 27.5 | 25.4 | 28.0 | 37.2 | 51.6 | 39.6 | 56.5 | 39.2 | 25.5 | 22.5 |
| 26.4 | 32.8 | 55.7 | 54.0 | 47.8 | 26.1 | 48, 8 | 27, 3 | 27.1 | 27.9 | 39.0 | 52.2 | 43.3 | 41.6 | 46.1 | 31.5 | 33.6 |
| 28.0 | 28.4 | 44.9 | 40. 8 | 58.5 | 27.6 | 54.2 | 26.1 | 26.3 | 23.2 | 42.7 | 55,6 | 4.1 .7 | 30.9 | 44.1 | 28.4 | 35.3 |
| 29.5 | 20.8 | 41.2 | 34.6 | 70.5 | 28.0 | 56.7 | 26.3 | 30.8 | 19.9 | 48.3 | 53.2 | 42.1 | 29.3 | 41.8 | 28.9 | 32.5 |
| 31.5 | 21.6 | 39.0 | 32.6 | 54.6 | 29.0 | 53.0 | 23.9 | 41.6 | 21.0 | 38.9 | 44.5 | 36.5 | 30.3 | 32.5 | 28,1 | 30, 8 |
| 33.5 | 39.0 | 43.5 | 38,8 | 42.3 | 31.8 | 49,8 | 27.5 | 52.6 | 25.6 | 30.6 | 44.7 | 31.8 | 36.9 | 29.1 | 26.8 | 36.7 |
| 35.5 | 45.4 | 55.0 | 48.5 | 39.1 | 28.5 | 39.5 | 30.5 | 48.1 | 25.3 | 30.9 | 41.4 | 29.9 | 38.8 | 31.6 | 26.1 | 52.9 |
| 37.1 | 37.6 | 42.7 | 56.3 | 37.2 | 24.8 | 34.0 | 28.9 | 37.3 | 22.6 | 29.3 | 39.7 | 26.6 | 37, 4 | 34.6 | 26.3 | 61.1 |
| 39.0 | 33.7 | 28.9 | 75.9 | 38.3 | 23.2 | 38.7 | 27.6 | 22.7 | 19.5 | 25.8 | 37.9 | 26.0 | 35,6 | 35.8 | 30.1 | 43.4 |
| 40. 8 | 25.2 | 23.5 | 58, 3 | 38.6 | 22.0 | 43.2 | 27.8 | 19.9 | 15.2 | 21.4 | 30.8 | 24.8 | 31.8 | 35.3 | 35.1 | 21.1 |
| 42.6 | 13.9 | 21.0 | 44.5 | 35.0 | 21.7 | 44.5 | 25.9 | 28.8 | 9.7 | 16.6 | 27.7 | 23.0 | 32.2 | 36.0 | 38.9 | 20.0 |
| 44. 4 | 15.2 | 21.9 | 35.5 | 32.2 | 23.9 | 50.4 | 26.6 | 25.6 | 11.5 | 16.1 | 31.0 | 22.0 | 31.6 | 37.1 | 47.1 | 17.7 |
| 46.4 | 23.6 | 24.0 | 32.4 | 31.8 | 24.0 | 59.2 | 31.6 | 15.8 | 18.1 | 15.4 | 28.3 | 18.9 | 28.4 | 49.1 | 50.4 | 19.1 |
| 46.9 | 25.2 | 25.0 | 29.7 | 29.9 | 22.8 | 50.4 | 32.5 | 13.9 | 18.4 | 14.7 | 25.6 | 17.2 | 26.2 | 54.1 | 43.3 | 17,9 |
| 48.0 | 29.0 | 29.2 | 27.5 | 27.8 | 22.8 | 66.8 | 40.3 | 13.8 | 20.9 | 16.4 | 24.3 | 18.4 | 23.6 | 64.3 | 38.6 | 16.5 |
| 49.6 | 24.4 | 35.7 | 33.5 | 25.9 | 22.8 | 70.5 | 53.1 | 12.5 | 18.0 | 23.3 | 28.4 | 21.6 | 23.6 | 65.1. | 32.9 | 15.9 |
| 51.2 | 28.0 | 40.0 | 32.7 | 3. 8.8 | 22,6 | 68.4 | 52.8 | 12.8 | 16.4 | 33.4 | 35.1 | 25.3 | 23.0 | 48.7 | 28.5 | 17.0 |
| 53.0 | 52.4 | 38.8 | 30.5 | 32.0 | 21.8 | 49.1 | $\frac{56.1}{46.1}$ | 18.4 4 | 28.9 | 36.0 | 36.2 | 27.2 | 22.5 | 38.1 | 28.8 | 15.0 |
| 54.6 | 71.0 | 32.7 | 28,8 | 31.5 | 20.4 | 34. 1 | 38.3 | 21.8 | 38.8 | 29.9 | 33.4 | 27.5 | 22.3 | 35.6 | 29.5 | 17.8 |
| 56.2 | 47.2 | 26.7 | 25,9 | 27.7 | 18.3 | 25.6 | 29.5 | 18.5 | 27.1 | 22.7 | 25.0 | 24.4 | 19.3 | 30.4 | 24.2 | 21.6 |
| 57.8 | 20.9 | 23.1 | 22.3 | 23.7 | 17.2 | 20.8 | 24.2 | 18.2 | 15.4 | 19.3 | 21.5 | 21.8 | 18.6 | 27.4 | 20.6 | 18.0 |
| 59.6 | 14.0 | 17.2 | 16.2 | 16.8 | 14.1 | 16.8 | 18.1 | 13.8 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 16.5 | 16.6 | 15.4 | 20.4 | 16.0 | 11.0 |
| 61.2 | 15.2 | 12.7 | 12.5 | 13.0 | 11.7 | 14.2 | 14. 1 | 13.6 | 13.8 | 11.6 | 12.7 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 14.4 | 12,1 | 11.0 |
| 62.8 | 8.6 | 6.9 | 6.5 | 7, 3 | 6.2 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 9.2 | 7.2 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 8.0 | 6,4 | 8.0 |
| 64.4 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 5.7 | 4.9 |
| 66.0 | 9.9 | 8.0 | 7.6 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 8. 1 | 8. 1 | 8.4 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 8. 5 | 9.2 | 8.8 | 10.4 |
| 67.8 | 26.2 | 22.7 | 25.5 | 22.9 | 24.4 | 24.4 | 23.0 | 13.9 | 17.1 | 18.2 | 24.1 | 22.6 | 22.3 | 24.2 | 22.1 | 24. 6 |
| 69.5 | 27. 2 | 32.0 | 53.2 | 37.4 | 33.5 | 47.1 | 35.0 | 13.0 | 19,3 | 23.5 | 39.0 | 32.0 | 33.2 | 35.5 | 29.7 | 26.6 |
| 71.0 | 23. 2 | 33.1 | 63.9 | 49.6 | 40.1 | 68.6 | 37.3 | 13.0 | 16.9 | 27.2 | 53.4 | 44.6 | 42.0 | 39.0 | 31.2 | 21.9 |
| 72.8 | 33.1 | 28.1 | 61.3 | 57.7 | 58, 5 | 71.7 | 35.0 | 16.0 | 17,6 | 29.1 | 49.2 | 60.3 | 49.2 | 39.7 | 29.0 | 18.6 |
| 74.3 | 42.0 | 24.7 | 57, 2 | 58,6 | 53.3 | 62.7 | 32.5 | 23.1 | 14.7 | 26.7 | 36.9 | 60.1 | 54.4 | 36.9 | 26.1 | 16.2 |
| 74.8 | 41.9 | 23,4 | 54, 4 | 55.3 | 51.5 | 58.8 | 30.7 | 26.5 | 13.7 | 25.4 | 34.7 | 56.7 | 55.2 | 35.8 | 26.0 | 17.4 |
| 75.8 | 39.4 | 21.3 | 47,8 | 49.4 | 39, 3 | 50.9 | 27,4 | 34.8 | 13.2 | 21.8 | 31.3 | 45.7 | 52.0 | 31.5 | 25.1 | 21.0 |
| 76.3 | 37.8 | 20.2 | 44.3 | 47.2 | 36.7 | 47.4 | 26.3 | 38.9 | 14.6 | 21. 4 | 31.5 | 41.4 | 48.6 | 30.0 | 24.8 | 22.5 |
| 77.4 | 34.0 | 19.2 | 35.8 | 43.9 | 31.1 | 37.5 | 23.1 | 42.4 | 15.9 | 17.8 | 28.5 | 31.5 | 38.4 | 25.5 | 22.4 | 22.0 |
| 78.9 | 29.3 | 18.5 | 28,5 | 45.9 | 27.3 | 29.2 | 21.5 | 38.3 | 18, 4 | 16.1 | 25.6 | 26.9 | 32.2 | 22.9 | 18,8 | 18.7 |
| 80.4 | 26.2 | 16.4 | 22. 2 | 40.0 | 23.6 | 25,2 | 19.9 | 27.1 | 18.7 | 13.4 | 21.0 | 22.3 | 26.5 | 21.3 | 15.7 | 14.6 |
| 81.9 | 23.9 | 16.0 | 20.2 | 32.7 | 23.3 | 25, 1 | 19.3 | 22.2 | 17.0 | 13.8 | 18.1 | 19.8 | 22.9 | 21.0 | 15.3 | 17.4 |
| 83.5 | 14.9 | 12.5 | 15.3 | 25,3 | 18.4 | 20, 3 | 15.0 | 18.5 | 10.9 | 12.0 | 14.2 | 16.5 | 18.3 | 18.1 | 13.4 | 20.6 |
| 85.1 | 15.5 | 11.8 | 13.7 | 21.1 | 16.4 | 16.9 | 14.6 | 18,8 | 11, 3 | 11.1 | 12.6 | 15.8 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 13.4 | 19.2 |
| 86.8 | 14.2 | 9.1 | 9.7 | 14.3 | 12.3 | 12.5 | 10.0 | 12.2 | 11.3 | 7.8 | 8.7 | 12.6 | 12.5 | 11.8 | 9.7 | 11.7 |
| 88.6 | 6.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 5.2 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 4,7 |
| 90.4 | 2.0 | 1. 6 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1. 4 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1. 3 | 1.1 | 1. 5 | 1.0 | 1.3 |
| 92.2 | 1. 3 | 1.2 | 0,9 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1. 5 | 1. 9 | 1. 3 | 0.9 | 1. 3 | 1. 1 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1. 5 |

Table 11 (cont Inued)

| ELEVATION <br> (CA) | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | -0, 8 | $-0.4$ | $-0.6$ | -0.6 | -0.4 | -0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | -0,9 | +0.8 | +0. 4 | -0.5 | -0,6 | -0. 2 | -0.3 | -0.2 |
| 1.8 | 2.6 | 5.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 5.1 | 4. 4 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 4. 1 | 3.9 |
| 3.5 | 9.5 | 13.0 | 9.9 | 9.4 | 8. 2 | 9.3 | 9.2 | 7.0 | 6.7 | 11.4 | 10.1 | 9.3 | 8.7 | 11.3 | 10.1 | 8.5 |
| 5,0 | 14.6 | 16.2 | 13.3 | 12.6 | 11.8 | 14.1 | 12.2 | 9.7 | 12.0 | 15.5 | 11.2 | 12.1 | 11.3 | 15.8 | 12.1 | 11.6 |
| 6.5 | 14. 1 | 13.3 | 11.5 | 11.2 | 11.1 | 13.3 | 12.2 | 11.0 | 11.8 | 12.7 | 9.9 | 11.2 | 12.2 | 13.0 | 12.2 | 11.7 |
| 8. 4 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 4. 6 | 5.4 | 6.9 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 6.0 | 5,8 | 4.8 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 6.2 | 7.4 |
| 10.0 | 3.5 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 6.8 | 6. 2 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 6.1 | 5.7 |
| 11.6 | 10.4 | 10.1 | 9.3 | 8.6 | 8,8 | 8, 8 | 8.6 | 8, 8 | 10, 1 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 8, 8 | 8.9 | 9.9 | 8. 6 | 9.0 |
| 13.5 | 30.1 | 23.1 | 23.8 | 21. 1 | 20.0 | 19.3 | 21.2 | 21.6 | 24.2 | 29.2 | 26.7 | 21.7 | 20.1 | 23,2 | 20.3 | 12.0 |
| 15.5 | 54.7 | 35.6 | 39,7 | 33.8 | 30. है | 53.0 | 38.1 | 15,3 | 45.0 | 72.7 | 41.3 | 37.3 | 33.3 | 33.9 | 27.4 | 18.7 |
| 17.0 | 44.6 | 40.2 | 44.8 | 38.3 | 41.0 | 87.8 | 47.1 | 22.4 | 46, 8 | 91.4 | 39.8 | 40.4 | 43.7 | 33.5 | 29.3 | 27. 8 |
| 18.5 | 25.6 | 4.0 | 46.2 | 38,6 | 52.9 | 80.2 | 54.7 | 29,6 | 36.6 | 87.1 | 35.4 | 46.4 | 49.9 | 34.0 | 28.0 | 32.5 |
| 20.0 | 27. 6 | 40.0 | 51.5 | 39.3 | 58.3 | 47.1 | 66,8 | 32.9 | 43,4 | 66.2 | 33.1 | 56.3 | 49.0 | 41.5 | 27.7 | 33.3 |
| 21.5 | 39.5 | 40.6 | 59.0 | 38.8 | 50.6 | 40.5 | 65.9 | 27.9 | 58.4 | 64.0 | 31.8 | 61.8 | 45.0 | 71.9 | 26.9 | 27.5 |
| 23.0 | 44.3 | 46. 2 | 63, 1 | 39.6 | 46.2 | 48.3 | 59.4 | 19.8 | 41.7 | 56.9 | 36.2 | 62.5 | 44.2 | 24. 5. | 27.6 | 19.3 |
| 24.7 | 47.2 | 64.7 | 57.9 | 41.5 | 46.9 | 51.1 | 43.6 | 14.4 | 26.5 | 49.6 | 51.4 | 71.0 | 44.8 | 73.3 | 31.6 | 15.4 |
| 26.4 | 52.3 | 82.9 | 43.7 | 39.5 | 45.6 | 49.9 | 35.4 | 21.8 | 35.4 | 44.4 | 57.1. | 67.1 | 53.0 | 43.8 | 37.4 | 22, 8 |
| 28.0 | 40.0 | 75.8 | 34.0 | 30.4 | 38.7 | 42.7 | 32.3 | 22.6 | 40.4 | 36.8 | 32.9 | 46.4 | 68,5 | 38,0 | 35.8 | 27,6 |
| 29.5 | 35.7 | 44.6 | 32.5 | 28.7 | 36.6 | 38.9 | 34.5 | 20.8 | 37. 2 | 34.2 | 30.2 | 36.4 | 79.3 | 42.3 | 38,8 | 28.2 |
| 31.5 | 29.7 | 31.1 | 29.1 | 31.6 | 36.0 | 34.4 | 32.5 | 16.2 | 23.1 | 31.3 | 29.6 | 31.1 | 61.0 | 48.9 | 34.1 | 17.2 |
| 33.5 | 28.2 | 31.7 | 31.4 | 50.0 | 36.1 | 38.7 | 29.0 | 24.6 | 21.2 | 30.8 | 31.0 | 34.3 | 44.8 | 55.2 | 35.4 | 21.2 |
| 35,5 | 28.7) | 30.8 | 33.7 | 66.4 | 35.2 | 44.1 | 27. 5 | 44.1 | 23.7 | 27.6 | 27.1 | 39.6 | 37.8 | 54.5 | 33.6 | 41.9 |
| 37.1 | 22.6 | 28.1 | 30.0 | 50.6 | 40.0 | 42.3 | 30.1 | 51.5 | 18.7 | 24.5 | 24.1 | 36.5 | 37.4 | 51.3 | 29.8 | 44.9 |
| 39.0 | 14.5 | 25.6 | 24.7 | 34.9 | 46.5 | 45.9 | 39.9 | 46.2 | 13.5 | 22.1 | 20.7 | 30.7 | 40.0 | 46.5 | 29.2 | 35.8 |
| 40.8 | 13.4 | 19.9 | 19,4 | 27.2 | 36.6 | 47.2 | 40.7 | 30.4 | 15.6 | 20.8 | 17.8 | 30.5 | 40.0 | 45.9 | 30.3 | 27.8 |
| 42.6 | 11. 2 | 17.2 | 18.9 | 25.6 | 37,2 | 44.6 | 32.9 | 22.7 | 13.4 | 20.2 | 15.5 | 27.5 | 37.3 | 47.9 | 31.2 | 26.4 |
| 44.4 | 16.2 | 20.5 | 20.8 | 25.3 | 44.5 | 48.8 | 28.9 | 22.0 | 16.1 | 23,4 | 14.4 | 24.8 | 34.4 | 42.3 | 32.3 | 37.2 |
| 46.4 | 26.0 | 24, 7 | 19.6 | 23.9 | 40.2 | 51.3 | 30. 8 | 26.3 | 22.2 | 25.7 | 14.1 | 21.3 | 31.4 | 32.5 | 35.7 | 61.5 |
| 46.9 | 26.6 | 24.8 | 19.2 | 22.6 | 37.6 | 49.8 | 31.3 | 27.9 | 22.7 | 26.9 | 14.2 | 20.5 | 32.2 | 31.7 | 38.0 | 53.8 |
| 48.0 | 29.7 | 27,0 | 22.1 | 22.1 | 34.4 | 47.6 | 33.7 | 33.0 | 24.6 | 29.6 | 17.5 | 24.8 | 35.4 | 30.4 | 44.5 | 60.6 |
| 49.6 | 26.7 | 29.7 | 25.1 | 22.9 | 32.9 | 47.9 | 33.9 | 39.4 | 22,4 | 29.9 | 19.7 | 32.5 | 43.9 | 27.6 | 53.7 | 40.8 |
| 51.2 | 19,8 | 31.3 | 30.8 | 25.? | 32.3 | 46.2 | 35.8 | 44.7 | 19.1 | 31.8 | 21.3 | 39.0 | 48.6 | 27.4 | 58.2 | 33.6 |
| 53.0 | 28,9 | 30,6 | 30.7 | 25,1 | 31.5 | 36.6 | 35.0 | 46.9 | 28.3 | 36.1 | 23.0 | 39.2 | 35.6 | 26.9 | 37.1 | 30.8 |
| 54.6 | 33.7 | 28.3 | 25.9 | 23, 4 | 28.0 | 28.8 | 31.2 | 36.9 | 31.2 | 33.5 | 22.2 | 34.1 | 26.6 | 25.1 | 27.5 | 30.0 |
| 56.2 | 27.2 | 25.5 | 21.7 | 20.8 | 23.6 | 24.4 | 26.2 | 26.0 | 27.6 | 23.4 | 18. 5 | 26.5 | 20.7 | 21.4 | 22.1 | 27.5 |
| 57.8 | 18.8 | 23. 3 | 19,1 | 18,9 | 20, 3 | 21. 4 | 21.7 | 15.8 | 22.7 | 19.8 | 17.8 | 23.0 | 20.0 | 19.8 | 19.6 | 21.3 |
| 59.6 | 16.1 | 18.0 | 14.7 | 14.3 | 16.2 | 16.7 | 15.9 | 10.9 | 17.2 | 14.2 | 13.5 | 15.9 | 16.1 | 14.2 | 14.4 | 14.7 |
| 61.2 | 13.0 | 13.4 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 12.8 | 12.6 | 12.8 | 10.5 | 11.8 | 10.9 | 10.4 | 11.8 | 12.8 | 10.9 | 11.0 | 11.5 |
| 62,8 | 6.9 | 6, 8 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 6, $\frac{1}{}$ | 6,7 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.7 |
| 64.4 | 5.8 | 6.8 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 4. 8 | 2.8 |
| 66.0 | 6.5 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 8.1 | 8.0 | 9.4 | 6.6 | 8.3 | 7.5 | 8.7 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 8.6 | 7.8 |
| 67.8 | 16.4 | 19.5 | 21.8 | 20.7 | 20.6 | 23.6 | 21.9 | 23.9 | 14.1 | 20.1 | 18.0 | 21.4 | 13.5 | 19.2 | 19.1 | 17.9 |
| 69.5 | 23.7 | 25.7 | 33.7 | 30.2 | 30. 2 | 37.5 | 31.2 | 4 i. 8 | 20.1 | 22.6 | 20.0 | 27.5 | 24.6 | 23.4 | 22.2 | 26.9 |
| 71.0 | 24.0 | 26. 2 | 39,2 | 40.0 | 35.9 | 50.8 | 34,0 | 52.1 | 23.2 | 21.6 | 20.4 | 28.4 | 33.7 | 24.1 | 23.8 | 31.6 |
| 72.8 | 18.3 | 24.3 | 37.8 | 40.2 | 42.3 | 51.4 | 34.2 | 37.3 | 23, 8 | 20.0 | 21.0 | 27,6 | 51.6 | 25,9 | 24.2 | 3 L .4 |
| 74.3 | 14.6 | 23, 9 | 34.0 | 35.2 | 53.4 | 43.7 | 33. | 25.6 | 24.6 | 19.9 | 22.0 | 26,5 | 60.8 | 25,2 | 21.4 | 25,4 |
| 74.8 | 15.3 | 23,4 | 33.3 | 33. 5 | 56.7 | 39.6 | 31.1 | 22.6 | 24.7 | 19.5 | 21.5 | 25,8 | 63.7 | 24.6 | 20.0 | 23,1 |
| 75.8 | 16.9 | 21.3 | 30.3 | 28.8 | 59.7 | 31.7 | 26.9 | 20.4 | 23.8 | 18.8 | 20.9 | 25.4 | 58.2 | 2. 2.4 | 16.9 | 16.0 |
| 76.3 | 18.1 | 20.5 | 29.4 | 28,1 | 58, 4 | 30.2 | 25.3 | 19.8 | 22.3 | 18.2 | 19.4 | 24.2 | 55.4 | 22.2 | 16.7 | 17.3 |
| 77.4 | 20.0 | 18.3 | 26.5 | 26.9 | 51.2 | 27.3 | 22.6 | 16.6 | 20.0 | 16.2 | 17.3 | 22.3 | 38.7 | 20.2 | 14.8 |  |
| 78.9 | 20.7 | 16.8 | 23.1 | 25.9 | 39.7 | 25.0 | 20.4 | 12.6 | 17.7 | 15,1 | 16.3 | 20.8 | 26, 3 | 18.2 | 14.2 | 17.0 |
| 80.4 | 17, 1 | 14.3 | 17.6 | 22. 2 | 27.8 | 21. 7 | 16.9 | 13.1 | 15.0 | 13,1 | 14. 4 | 19.0 | 18,9 | 15.8 | 12.7 | 16.7 |
| 81.9 | 15.8 | 13.8 | 15.6 | 19.8 | 22.1 | 20.8 | 16.2 | 16.0 | 14.4 | 13.0 | 14.1 | 17.8 | 17,3 | 14.9 | 12.6 | 16.0 |
| 83, 5 | 11. 2 | 11.5 | 12. 1 | 15.4 | 16.9 | 17.1 | 13.0 | 15.1 | 10.6 | 10.2 | 10.5 | 13,8 | 13.9 | 11.0 | 10.4 | 12.7 |
| 85, 1 | 9.2 | 10.1 | 10.8 | 13.5 | 15.0 | 14.0 | 11.6 | 12.9 | 10.0 | 9.3 | 9.6 | 11.6 | 12.4 | 0, 7 | 10.0 | 11.6 |
| 86.8 88.6 | 9.7 | 7,9 | 8, 8 | 11.2 | 11.7 | 9.6 | 9,1 | 9.2 | 10.5 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 10.2 | 8.3 | 7.8 | 8.5 |
| 88.6 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 4. 3 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 5.7 | 4. 5 | 3.9 | 3.7 |
| 90.4 | 1. 2 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0, 9 | 0.8 | 1. 3 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| 92.2 | 1. 1 | 1. 0 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1. 5 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1. 4 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1. 4 | 1.0 | 1. 5 | 1. 4 | 0.9 |

Table 11 (cont inued)


Table 12. Area of deformed tubea in B-5 Lest array


Table 12 (continued)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELEVATION } \\ & \text { (CM) } \end{aligned}$ | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 93 | 94 | 93 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 95 | 94 | 93 | 93 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 95 | 93 |
| 1.8 | 99 | 101 | 100 | 102 | 103 | 99 | 102 | 200 | 100 | 100 | 102 | 99 | 103 | 98 | 101 | 98 |
| 3.5 | 109 | 113 | 112 | 111 | 112 | 107 | 108 | 112 | 112 | 110 | 114 | 113 | 112 | 111 | 114 | 110 |
| 5.0 | 118 | 121 | 120 | 115 | 114 | 115 | 112 | 118 | 121 | 118 | 120 | 117 | 117 | 120 | 119 | 117 |
| 6.5 | 116 | 118 | 116 | 114 | 109 | 1.4 | 110 | 115 | 117 | 115 | 117 | 115 | 113 | 116 | 115 | 116 |
| 8.4 | 106 | 103 | 105 | 102 | 102 | 105 | 101 | 106 | 102 | 103 | 104 | 101 | 104 | 106 | 105 | 107 |
| 10.0 | 132 | 103 | 104 | 104 | 105 | 104 | 101 | 102 | 101 | 102 | 102 | 101 | 101 | 103 | 103 | 101 |
| 12.6 | 113 | 111 | 111 | 110 | 113 | 108 | 106 | 113 | 112 | 110 | 111 | 109 | 103 | 110 | 108 | 110 |
| 13.5 | 134 | 139 | 142 | 131 | 124 | 123 | 124 | 114 | 143 | 132 | 133 | 129 | 131 | 136 | 128 | 127 |
| 15.5 | 164 | 160 | 207 | 146 | 129 | 141 | 140 | 152 | 190 | 147 | 154 | 141 | 145 | 163 | 137 | 145 |
| 17.0 | 160 | 176 | 230 | 153 | 133 | 152 | 153 | 161 | 178 | 165 | 166 | 150 | 149 | 167 | 138 | 163 |
| 18.5 | 139 | 183 | 217 | 158 | 130 | 162 | 172 | 167 | 136 | 187 | 171 | 159 | 154 | 164 | 140 | 179 |
| 20.0 | 142 | 156 | 200 | 167 | 129 | 175 | 180 | 196 | 136 | 183 | 167 | 166 | 172 | 167 | 143 | 180 |
| 21.5 | 153 | 154 | 188 | 171 | 129 | 180 | 165 | 208 | 149 | 185 | 163 | 172 | 204 | 169 | 141 | 169 |
| 23.3 | 158 | 161 | 195 | 176 | 131 | 180 | 156 | 156 | 152 | 178 | 181 | 173 | 211 | 176 | 142 | 149 |
| 24.7 | 163 | 193 | 219 | 183 | 136 | 190 | 151 | 146 | 152 | 171 | 189 | 172 | 205 | 180 | 146 | 140 |
| 26.4 | 164 | 217 | 2.9 | 203 | 147 | 205 | 151 | 151 | 152 | 172 | 217 | 178 | 180 | 199 | 160 | 166 |
| 28.0 | 154 | 194 | 164 | 235 | 146 | 220 | 148 | 149 | 141 | 183 | 226 | 180 | 158 | 193 | 152 | 170 |
| 29.5 | 136 | 186 | 168 | 272 | 145 | 227 | 148 | 159 | 134 | 202 | 211 | 182 | 155 | 187 | 154 | 163 |
| 31.5 | 138 | 180 | 154 | 221 | 153 | 217 | 142 | 187 | 136 | 179 | 194 | 173 | 158 | 163 | 153 | 159 |
| 33.5 | 180 | 189 | 179 | 189 | 161 | 208 | 149 | 215 | 147 | 159 | 195 | 162 | 174 | 155 | 149 | 174 |
| 35.5 | 197 | 207 | 204 | 180 | 154 | 181 | 157 | 203 | 149 | 159 | 185 | 157 | 179 | 161 | 147 | 216 |
| 37.1 | 176 | 186 | 225 | 175 | 145 | 167 | 155 | 175 | 140 | 156 | 181 | 149 | 176 | 169 | 145 | 243 |
| 39.0 | 167 | 153 | 264 | 178 | 141 | 179 | 152 | 140 | 133 | 148 | 176 | 148 | 171 | 172 | 157 | 191 |
| 40. 8 | 146 | 141 | 234 | 179 | 139 | 191 | 152 | 134 | 124 | 137 | 159 | 145 | 162 | 171 | 170 | 137 |
| 42.6 | 121 | 137 | 195 | 170 | 138 | 195 | 148 | 155 | 112 | 127 | 152 | 141 | 163 | 173 | 180 | 134 |
| 44, 4 | 124 | 139 | 171 | 163 | 143 | 210 | 149 | 147 | 116 | 126 | 160 | 139 | 162 | 175 | 202 | 129 |
| 46.4 | 142 | 143 | 164 | 162 | 143 | 232 | 162 | 125 | 130 | 124 | 153 | 132 | 154 | 207 | 209 | 132 |
| 46.9 | 146 | 146 | 157 | 157 | 140 | 234 | 164 | 221 | 131 | 123 | 147 | 128 | 148 | 221 | 191 | 130 |
| 48.0 | 155 | 156 | 152 | 152 | 141 | 248 | 183 | 121 | 136 | 126 | 144 | 131 | 142 | 252 | 177 | 126 |
| 49,6 | 144 | 172 | 166 | 148 | 140 | 268 | 219 | 118 | 130 | 142 | 154 | 138 | 142 | 255 | 162 | 125 |
| 51.2 | 153 | 183 | 1.54 | 159 | 140 | 255 | 216 | 118 | 126 | 166 | 170 | 146 | 141 | 205 | 150 | 127 |
| 53.4 | 216 | 179 | 158 | 152 | 138 | 207 | 199 | 131 | 155 | 172 | 173 | 151 | +40 | 178 | 154 | 123 |
| 54.6 | 273 | 161 | -54 | 161 | 135 | 168 | 178 | 138 | 176 | 156 | 166 | 152 | 139 | 172 | 156 | 129 |
| 56. 2 | 202 | 147 | 148 | 152 | 130 | 147 | 157 | 131 | 150 | 140 | 146 | 144 | 133 | 158 | 144 | 138 |
| 57.8 | 136 | 141 | 139 | 143 | 128 | 136 | 144 | 130 | 124 | 133 | 138 | 138 | 131 | 151 | 136 | 130 |
| 59.6 | 121 | 128 | 126 | 127 | 121 | 127 | 130 | 121 | 122 | 122 | 127 | 127 | 124 | 135 | 125 | 115 |
| 61.2 | 124 | 118 | 118 | 119 | 116 | 122 | 121 | 120 | 121 | 116 | 118 | 118 | 118 | 122 | 117 | 115 |
| 62.8 | 110 | 106 | 105 | 1.97 | 105 | 107 | 107 | 111 | 107 | 105 | 106 | 106 | 106 | 109 | 105 | 109 |
| 64.4 | 108 | 105 | 205 | 106 | 106 | 104 | 105 | 103 | 103 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 104 | 102 |
| 66.9 | 113 | 109 | 108 | 109 | 1.49 | 109 | 108 | 109 | 106 | 107 | 110 | 108 | 109 | 111 | 110 | 113 |
| 67.8 | 149 | 14.0 | 147 | 141 | 144 | 144 | 141 | 121 | 128 | 130 | 144 | 140 | 140 | 144 | 139 | 145 |
| 69.5 | 151 | 162 | 218 | 176 | 166 | 202 | 170 | 119 | 133 | 142 | 180 | 162 | 165 | 171 | 157 | 149 |
| 71. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 141 | 164 | 247 | 201 | 177 | 256 | 174 | 119 | 127 | 151 | 209 | 189 | 185 | 180 | 157 | 139 |
| 72.8 | 165 | 151 | 239 | 223 | 174 | 262 | 168 | 125 | 129 | 155 | 197 | 234 | 186 | 181 | 155 | 131 |
| 74.3 | 188 | 143 | 228 | 228 | 191 | 240 | 163 | 141 | 123 | 150 | 173 | 223 | 180 | 173 | 148 | 126 |
| 74.8 | 187 | 140 | 1220 | 220 | 196 | 230 | 159 | 149 | 120 | 147 | 168 | 221 | 180 | 169 | 148 | 128 |
| 75.8 | 181 | 136 | 202 | 20.5 | 177 | 209 | 150 | 169 | 119 | 138 | 160 | 189 | 179 | 157 | 146 | 136 |
| 76.3 | 177 | 134 | 193 | 201 | 172 | 201 | 148 | 18 a | 122 | 137 | 161 | 182 | 181 | 155 | 145 | 140 |
| 77.4 | 167 | 133 | 172 | 193 | 160 | 176 | 141 | 188 | 125 | 129 | 154 | 161 | 176 | 146 | 140 | 139 |
| 78.9 | 156 | 131 | 154 | 198 | 151 | 156 | 138 | 178 | 131 | 126 | 147 | 150 | 163 | 141 | 132 | 131 |
| 80.4 | 148 | 126 | 139 | 183 | 142 | 146 | 134 | 151 | 131 | 120 | 137 | 140 | 149 | 137 | 125 | 122 |
| $8 . .9$ | 143 | 125 | 135 | 164 | 142 | 146 | 133 | 139 | 128 | 121 | 130 | 134 | 141 | 137 | 124 | 128 |
| 83.5 | 123 | 118 | 124 | 146 | 130 | 135 | 125 | 131 | 115 | 117 | 121 | 127 | 130 | 130 | 119 | 135 |
| 85. 1 | 124 | 116 | 120 | 137 | 126 | 128 | 122 | 132 | 115 | 115 | 118 | 125 | 126 | 126 | 120 | 132 |
| 86.8 | 121 | 111 | 112 | 122 | 119 | 118 | 111 | 117 | 115 | 1 7 है | 110 | 116 | 118 | 116 | 112 | 116 |
| 28.6 | 105 | 99 | 99 | 103 | 104 | 104 | 102 | 100 | 102 | 96 | 97 | 103 | 103 | 104 | 103 | 102 |
| 93. 4 | 97 | 96 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 97 | 95 | 94 | 37 | 94 | 94 | 95 | 95 | 96 | 95 | 96 |
| 32.2 | 96 | 95 | 95 | 96. | 95 | 95 | 95 | 96 | 97 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 96 |

Table 12 (continued)

| ELEVATIO <br> (CM) | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 91 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 93 | 93 | 94 | 91 | 91 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 93 | 92 | 93 |
| 1.8 | 98 | 103 | 99 | 99 | 98 | 98 | 103 | 101 | 98 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 98 | 101 | 101 | 101 |
| 3. 5 | 112 | 119 | 112 | 112 | 109 | 111 | 111 | 107 | 106 | 116 | 113 | 111 | 110 | 116 | 113 | 110 |
| 5.0 | 122 | 126 | 120 | 118 | 117 | 121 | 117 | 112 | 117 | 224 | 115 | 117 | 115 | 125 | 117 | 116 |
| 6. 5 | 121 | 120 | 115 | 115 | 115 | $+20$ | 117 | 115 | 116 | 118 | 112 | 115 | 117 | 119 | 117 | 116 |
| 8. 4 | 104 | 103 | 102 | 102 | 103 | 106 | 106 | 136 | 105 | 104 | 102 | 104 | 105 | 107 | 105 | 108 |
| 10.0 | 109 | 133 | 103 | 103 | 103 | 106 | 105 | 103 | 100 | 104 | 102 | 103 | 106 | 106 | 105 | 104 |
| 11.6 | 113 | 113 | 111 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 113 | 113 | 113 | 110 | 110 | 112 | 110 | 111 |
| 13.5 | 158 | 141 | 143 | 137 | 134 | 133 | 137 | 116 | 144 | 155 | 150 | 138 | 134 | 141 | 135 | 117 |
| 15.5 | 224 | 164 | 182 | 156 | 159 | 217 | 178 | 124 | 197 | 179 | 181 | 174 | 165 | 167 | 151 | 131 |
| 17.0 | 193 | 171 | 192 | 176 | 185 | 295 | 201 | 140 | 201 | 170 | 173 | 177 | 192 | 165 | 156 | 152 |
| 18.5 | 146 | 163 | 175 | 173 | 217 | 266 | 221 | 156 | 173 | 260 | 157 | 177 | 208 | $16^{\prime \prime}$ | 152 | 163 |
| 20.0 | 151 | 156 | 172 | 173 | 232 | 189 | 260 | 163 | 192 | 258 | 155 | 215 | 296 | 186 | 150 | 166 |
| 21.5 | 181 | 182 | 206 | 167 | 211 | 177 | 257 | 151 | 232 | 225 | 159 | 225 | 196 | 228 | 147 | 152 |
| 23.0 | 193 | 195 | 225 | 155 | 199 | 189 | 235 | 133 | 187 | 222 | 169 | 226 | 194 | 195 | 148 | 133 |
| 24.7 | 202 | 234 | 210 | 158 | 201 | 195 | 192 | 122 | 149 | 205 | 178 | 266 | 195 | 183 | 158 | 124 |
| 26.4 | 216 | 327 | 172 | 162 | 198 | 203 | 171 | 138 | 171 | 192 | 185 | 261 | 217 | 191 | 174 | 141 |
| 28.0 | 18.3 | 289 | 154 | 157 | 179 | 188 | 163 | 140 | 183 | 174 | 162 | 194 | 260 | 176 | 171 | 152 |
| 29.5 | 171 | 193 | 161 | 154 | 174 | 180 | 169 | 136 | 175 | 168 | 158 | 171 | 291 | 185 | 179 | 153 |
| 31.5 | 157 | 160 | 155 | 15. | 172 | 169 | 164 | 126 | 141 | 161 | 156 | 160 | 239 | 204 | 168 | 128 |
| 33.5 | 153 | 162 | 161 | 207 | 172 | 179 | 155 | 145 | 137 | 159 | 160 | 168 | 195 | 222 | 173 | 137 |
| 35.5 | 153 | 159 | 156 | 221 | 170 | 192 | 151 | 193 | 143 | 152 | 150 | 182 | 177 | 221 | 166 | 188 |
| 37.1 | 140 | 153 | 157 | 209 | 182 | 187 | 157 | 202 | 131 | 144 | 143 | 174 | 176 | 213 | 157 | 196 |
| 39.3 | 122 | 147 | 145 | 169 | 199 | 195 | 182 | 199 | 120 | 139 | 136 | 159 | 182 | 200 | 156 | 172 |
| 40.8 | 120 | 134 | 133 | 151 | 174 | 201 | 185 | 159 | 125 | 136 | 129 | 159 | 183 | 198 | 158 | 152 |
| 42.6 | 115 | 128 | 132 | 147 | 176 | 195 | 165 | 140 | 120 | 135 | 124 | 152 | 176 | 204 | 160 | 149 |
| 44. 4 | 126 | 135 | 136 | 247 | 194 | 206 | 155 | 139 | 126 | 142 | 122 | 145 | 168 | 189 | 163 | 176 |
| 46.4 | 148 | 145 | 133 | 143 | 183 | 211 | 160 | 149 | 139 | 147 | 121 | 137 | 161 | 164 | 160 | 244 |
| 46.9 | 150 | 145 | 132 | 140 | 177 | 204 | 161 | 152 | 240 | 150 | 122 | 135 | 163 | 162 | 163 | 251 |
| 48.0 | 157 | 150 | 139 | 139 | 169 | 183 | 167 | 165 | 145 | 157 | 129 | 145 | 171 | 158 | 178 | 241 |
| 49.6 | 150 | 157 | 146 | 141 | 164 | 175 | 167 | 181 | 140 | 157 | 133 | 164 | 193 | 151 | 221 | 185 |
| 51.2 | 134 | 161 | 160 | 147 | 163 | 193 | 172 | 195 | 132 | 162 | 137 | 180 | 205 | 251 | 225 | 166 |
| 53.0 | 155 | 159 | 159 | 146 | 161 | 174 | 170 | 201 | 153 | 173 | 141 | 180 | 171 | 150 | 175 | 160 |
| 54.6 | 167 | 154 | 148 | 142 | 153 | 155 | 161 | 175 | 160 | 166 | 139 | 168 | 150 | 146 | 151 | 158 |
| 56.2 | 151 | 147 | 138 | 136 | 143 | 144 | 149 | 148 | 152 | 142 | 131 | 149 | 136 | 137 | 139 | 151 |
| 57.8 | 132 | 142 | 132 | 132 | 135 | 138 | 138 | 125 | 140 | 134 | 129 | 141 | 134 | 134 | 133 | 137 |
| 59.6 | 126 | 130 | 123 | 122 | 126 | 127 | 125 | 115 | 128 | 122 | 120 | 125 | 126 | 121 | 122 | 123 |
| 61.2 | 1:9 | 120 | 115 | 115 | 119 | 118 | 118 | 114 | 117 | 115 | 114 | 116 | 116 | 124 | 115 | 116 |
| 62.8 | 106 | 106 | 105 | 104 | 106 | 105 | 197 | 107 | 106 | 106 | 104 | 105 | 105 | 104 | 105 | 106 |
| 64.4 | 104 | 106 | 104 | 104 | 103 | 101 | 102 | 140 | 102 | 104 | 103 | 103 | 103 | 102 | 102 | 98 |
| 66.0 | 106 | 108 | 108 | 108 | 108 | 109 | 109 | 111 | 106 | 109 | 108 | 110 | 108 | 108 | 110 | 108 |
| 67.8 | 126 | 133 | 138 | 136 | 136 | 142 | 139 | 143 | 121 | 135 | 130 | 137 | 131 | 133 | 132 | 130 |
| 69.5 | 143 | 147 | 167 | 158 | 15月 | 175 | 160 | 187 | 134 | 140 | 134 | 151 | 145 | 140 | 139 | 150 |
| 71.0 | 143 | 149 | 180 | 182 | 172 | 205 | 167 | 216 | 141 | 138 | 135 | 154 | 166 | 143 | 143 | 161 |
| 72.8 | 130 | 145 | 176 | 180 | 189 | 203 | 168 | 175 | 143 | 134 | 136 | 152 | 210 | 148 | 144 | 161 |
| 74.3 | 122 | 142 | 167 | 169 | 219 | 181 | 166 | 147 | 145 | 134 | 139 | 149 | 242 | 146 | 137 | 147 |
| 74.8 | 124 | 142 | 166 | 156 | 229 | 172 | 160 | 143 | 145 | 133 | 138 | 148 | 234 | 145 | 134 | 141 |
| 75.8 | 127 | 137 | 158 | 155 | 238 | 159 | 150 | 135 | 143 | 132 | 136 | 147 | 232 | 140 | 127 | 130 |
| 76.3 | 130 | 135 | 156 | 153 | 235 | 157 | 147 | 134 | 140 | 130 | 133 | 144 | 217 | 139 | 127 | 128 |
| 77.4 | 134 | 130 | 149 | 150 | 213 | 151 | 140 | 127 | 134 | 126 | 128 | 140 | 179 | 135 | 123 | 123 |
| 78.9 | 136 | 127 | 141 | 148 | 182 | 145 | 135 | 118 | 129 | 123 | 125 | 136 | 149 | 130 | 122 | 128 |
| 80.4 | 128 | 122 | 129 | 139 | 152 | 138 | 127 | 119 | 123 | 119 | 122 | 132 | 132 | 125 | 118 | 127 |
| 81.9 | 125 | 121 | 124 | 134 | 119 | 1.36 | 126 | 125 | 122 | 119 | 121 | 130 | 128 | 123 | 118 | 125 |
| 83.5 | 115 | 116 | 117 | 124 | 127 | 128 | 119 | 123 | 114 | 113 | 114 | 121 | 121 | 115 | 113 | 118 |
| 85.1 | 111 | 113 | 114 | 120 | 123 | 121 | 116 | 119 | 113 | 111 | 112 | 116 | 118 | 112 | 113 | 116 |
| 86.8 | 112 | 108 | 110 | 115 | 116 | 112 | 111 | 111 | 114 | 108 | 109 | 121 | 113 | 109 | 108 | 110 |
| 88.6 | 102 | 98 | 100 | 103 | 102 | 101 | 102 | 101 | 102 | 99 | 100 | 101 | 104 | 102 | 100 | 100 |
| 90.4 | 95 | 94 | 95 | 97 | 94 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 96 | 95 | 95 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 94 | 95 |
| 92.2 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 96 | 95 | 96 | 96 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 96 | 95 | 96 | 96 | 95 |

Table 12 (costinued)

| ELEVATION <br> (CM) | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 92 | 91 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 93 | 93 | 91 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 93 | 5985 |
| 1.8 | 98 | 99 | 99 | 100 | 99 | 98 | 102 | 100 | 99 | 99 | 97 | 98 | 96 | 93 | 101 | 103 | 6401 |
| 3.5 | 106 | 113 | 119 | 114 | 108 | 112 | 116 | 110 | 109 | 115 | 108 | 109 | 105 | 93 | 113 | 115 | 7086 |
| 5.9 | 115 | 125 | 131 | 121 | 112 | 124 | 123 | 118 | 115 | 121 | 115 | 115 | 113 | 94 | 118 | 119 | 7483 |
| 6.5 | 114 | 120 | 125 | 116 | 112 | 118 | 115 | 117 | 114 | 118 | 112 | 116 | 111 | 92 | 115 | 114 | 7348 |
| 8.4 | 102 | 102 | 107 | 102 | 101 | 106 | 105 | 107 | 102 | 102 | 103 | 104 | 103 | 93 | 107 | 104 | 6662 |
| 10.0 | 98 | 103 | 103 | 103 | 103 | 105 | 103 | 102 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 101 | 101 | 93 | 104 | 102 | 6573 |
| 11.6 | 113 | 123 | 112 | 110 | 107 | 110 | 108 | 110 | 114 | 113 | 108 | 111 | 108 | 95 | 111 | 115 | 7101 |
| 13.5 | 130 | 140 | 142 | 136 | 124 | 136 | 131 | 118 | 131 | 145 | 127 | 140 | 131 | 93 | 140 | 129 | 8452 |
| 15.5 | 180 | 154 | 207 | 156 | 137 | 153 | 156 | 137 | 144 | 157 | 127 | 134 | 134 | 92 | 154 | 151 | 9835 |
| 17.0 | 196 | 165 | 256 | 161 | 145 | 178 | 163 | 160 | 151 | 166 | 123 | 124 | 125 | 92 | 145 | 162 | 10333 |
| 19.4 | 163 | 159 | 288 | 153 | 150 | 207 | 161 | 167 | 157 | 172 | 129 | 137 | 131 | 95 | 158 | 157 | 10495 |
| 20.0 | 151 | 143 | 258 | 155 | 150 | 227 | 168 | 161 | 154 | 179 | 138 | 161 | 139 | 95 | 165 | 149 | 10676 |
| 21.5 | 147 | 193 | 223 | 155 | 149 | 209 | 180 | 144 | 164 | 174 | 141 | 177 | 136 | 93 | 155 | 141 | 10824 |
| 23.0 | 137 | 181 | 200 | 159 | 154 | 171 | 203 | 130 | 159 | 172 | 148 | 180 | 135 | 94 | 148 | 131 | 10727 |
| 24.7 | 129 | 167 | 192 | 163 | 168 | 187 | 211 | 123 | 144 | 173 | 156 | 168 | 133 | 93 | 139 | 133 | 10710 |
| 26.4 | 143 | 163 | 204 | 164 | 183 | 197 | 196 | 144 | 139 | 158 | 145 | 149 | 129 | 92 | 133 | 149 | 11052 |
| 28,0 | 144 | 151 | 208 | 160 | 169 | 177 | 166 | 168 | 132 | 129 | 127 | 133 | . 26 | 92 | 141 | 156 | 10544 |
| 29.5 | 137 | 150 | 208 | 178 | 159 | 172 | 152 | 188 | 130 | 125 | 130 | 143 | 144 | 95 | 157 | 156 | 10419 |
| 31.5 | 117 | 147 | 189 | 231 | 150 | 160 | 149 | 171 | 128 | 135 | 147 | 187 | 193 | 92 | 200 | 152 | 10255 |
| 33.5 | 130 | 159 | 179 | 193 | 152 | 152 | 165 | 141 | 144 | 169 | 183 | 296 | 197 | 93 | 291 | 139 | 10789 |
| 35.5 | 138 | 166 | 174 | 211 | 153 | 160 | 171 | 138 | 153 | 163 | 176 | 200 | 237 | 94 | 281 | 121 | 10907 |
| 37,1 | 129 | 150 | 177 | 179 | 149 | 171 | 173 | 126 | 155 | 147 | 140 | 145 | 157 | 92 | 201 | 119 | 10352 |
| 39.9 | 122 | 148 | 177 | 165 | 146 | 176 | 157 | 119 | 148 | 150 | 128 | 122 | 125 | 90 | 151 | 118 | -9819 |
| 40.8 | 120 | 147 | 171 | 159 | 141 | 175 | 144 | 123 | 141 | 154 | 138 | 137 | 129 | 93 | 154 | 117 | 9515 |
| 42.6 | 118 | 171 | 163 | 150 | 151 | 174 | 142 | 138 | 128 | 178 | 147 | 166 | 147 | 96 | 168 | 119 | 9477 |
| 44, 4 | 122 | 186 | 153 | 141 | 193 | 161 | 150 | 160 | 131 | 165 | 148 | 162 | 139 | 34 | 152 | 132 | 9560 |
| 46.4 | 128 | 173 | 141 | 151 | 253 | 158 | 168 | 178 | 134 | 154 | 136 | 130 | 124 | 93 | 140 | 150 | 9645 |
| 46.9 | 125 | 169 | 139 | 153 | 244 | 458 | 169 | 177 | 133 | 149 | 132 | 127 | 125 | 94 | 141 | 153 | 9573 |
| 48.0 | 128 | 165 | 143 | 163 | 194 | 163 | 174 | 179 | 134 | 143 | +30 | 123 | 130 | 95 | 143 | 147 | 9689 |
| 49.6 | 130 | 155 | 152 | 173 | 155 | 161 | 171 | 160 | 138 | 137 | 136 | 144 | 139 | 93 | 148 | 127 | 9852 |
| 51.2 | 135 | 155 | 154 | 184 | 147 | 154 | 160 | 166 | 138 | 141 | 152 | 185 | 159 | 94 | 162 | 133 | 10088 |
| 53.0 | 136 | 154 | 148 | 180 | 142 | 145 | 145 | 175 | 142 | 150 | 190 | 225 | 176 | 96 | 198 | 146 | 10285 |
| 54,6 | 132 | 149 | 142 | 162 | 137 | 137 | 137 | 179 | 133 | 145 | 188 | 203 | 162 | 94 | 210 | 148 | 10010 |
| 56. ? | 139 | 139 | 136 | 149 | 136 | 131 | 134 | 163 | 138 | 134 | 141 | 154 | 138 | 93 | 191 | 148 | 9183 |
| 57.8 59.6 | 141 | 133 | 133 | 138 | 133 | 128 | 132 | 156 | 144 | 133 | 129 | 125 | 122 | 94 | 162 | 148 | 8626 |
| 59.6 | 124 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 12! | 118 | 122 | 132 | 128 | 124 | 124 | 116 | 112 | 93 | 131 | 135 | 8009 |
| 61.2 | 118 | 117 | 117 | 116 | 117 | 116 | 118 | 125 | 119 | 117 | 118 | 115 | 111 | 94 | 121 | 126 | 7603 |
| 62,8 | 106 | 105 | 106 | 105 | 104 | 104 | 104 | 107 | 107 | 105 | 104 | 104 | 102 | 93 | 106 | 108 | 6844 |
| 64.4 | 104 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 104 | 103 | 103 | 101 | 105 | 104 | 104 | 102 | 103 | 95 | 103 | 101 | 6656 |
| 66.0 | 105 | 108 | 109 | 111 | 108 | 108 | 108 | 110 | 108 | 108 | 110 | 107 | 108 | 94 | 109 | 109 | 6966 |
| 67.8 | 118 | 128 | 131 | 140 | 135 | 127 | 130 | 135 | 125 | 128 | 139 | 131 | 131 | 95 | 131 | 130 | 8604 |
| 69.5 | 127 | 135 | 138 | 150 | 146 | 136 | 140 | 158 | 133 | 132 | 135 | 126 | 134 | 94 | 134 | 136 | 9652 |
| 71.0 | 134 | 138 | 138 | 149 | 144 | 138 | 139 | 181 | 139 | 139 | 128 | 119 | 124 | 95 | 137 | 132 | 10331 |
| 72.8 | 136 | 135 | 139 | 148 | 138 | 140 | 134 | 185 | 140 | 142 | 133 | 123 | 119 | 94 | 125 | 125 | 10588 |
| 74.3 | 137 | 133 | 140 | 147 | 136 | 140 | 132 | 167 | 141 | 136 | 140 | 134 | 129 | 94 | 133 | 123 | 10599 |
| 74.8 | 137 | 131 | 139 | 146 | 135 | 140 | 131 | 163 | 141 | 133 | 143 | 139 | 133 | 95 | 135 | 127 | 10510 |
| 75.8 | 137 | 130 | 137 | 146 | 133 | 136 | 127 | 151 | 141 | 129 | 150 | 144 | 140 | 96 | 131 | 124 | 10295 |
| 76.3 | 136 | 127 | 134 | 144 | 131 | 134 | 125 | 1.47 | 138 | 123 | 149 | 144 | 140 | 95 | 129 | 124 | 10313 |
| 77.4 | 133 | 127 | 130 | 137 | 130 | 128 | 122 | 138 | 131 | 116 | 141 | 138 | 140 | 94 | 131 | 125 | 9959 |
| 78.9 | 128 | 126 | 126 | 131 | 129 | 124 | 121 | 133 | 130 | 116 | 125 | 124 | 132 | 94 | 133 | 126 | 9487 |
| 89.4 | 115 | 117 | 118 | 123 | 122 | 118 | 118 | 127 | 122 | 123 | 124 | 114 | 117 | 94 | 131 | 125 | 8748 |
| 81.9 | 112 | 118 | 118 | 123 | 121 | 117 | 120 | 127 | 124 | 126 | 127 | 116 | 113 | 96 | 129 | 126 | 8395 |
| 83.5 | 111 | 115 | 115 | 121 | 116 | 115 | 115 | 122 | 125 | 129 | 122 | 121 | 115 | 95 | 121 | 120 | 7973 |
| 85.1 | 114 | 113 | 112 | 116 | 113 | 113 | 112 | 118 | 127 | 117 | 117 | 121 | 118 | 94 | 117 | 116 | 7809 |
| 86.8 | 110 | 107 | 108 | 109 | 109 | 109 | 106 | 111 | 121 | 111 | 110 | 114 | 115 | 95 | 111 | 113 | 7333 |
| 88.6 | 101 | 99 | 99 | 98 | 101 | 101 | 98 | 100 | 105 | 99 | 98 | 102 | 105 | 94 | 100 | 104 | 6546 |
| 90.4 | 97 | 95 | 95 | 94 | 95 | 95 | 94 | 95 | 96 | 94 | 93 | 95 | 96 | 93 | 93 | 96 | 6132 |
| 92.2 | 96 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 94 | 95 | 94 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 6124 |

Table 13. Flow area restriction in B-5 test array

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Elevat ion } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | Entire $8 \times 8$ array |  | Inner $6 \times 6$ array |  | Central $4 \times 4$ array |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Maximum (\%) | Minfmum (\%) | Maxinum (z) | Minfmum (z) | Maximum (z) | Minimum (\%) |
| 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 1.8 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 6.0 | .6.0 | 5.8 | 5.8 |
| 3.5 | 14.9 | 14.9 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.1 | 16.1 |
| 5.0 | 20.3 | 20.3 | 22.1 | 22.1 | 21.6 | 21.6 |
| 6.5 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 19.6 | 19.6 | 19.2 | 19.2 |
| 8.4 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 9.3 | 9.3 |
| 10.0 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| 11.6 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 14.9 | 14.9 | '5.1 | 15.1 |
| 13.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 36.1 | 36.1 | 36.5 | 36.5 |
| 15.5 | 52.9 | 52.4 | 57.7 | 57.7 | 62.5 | 62.5 |
| 17.0 | 60.0 | 59.2 | 68.8 | 68.8 | 74.5 | 74.5 |
| 18.5 | 62.0 | 61.4 | 75.4 | 75.2 | 74.5 | 74.5 |
| 20.0 | 65.5 | 63.9 | 78.0 | 76.5 | 75.3 | 75.3 |
| 21.5 | 66.8 | 65.9 | 78.3 | 77.2 | 79.3 | 79.3 |
| 23.0 | 65.1 | 64.6 | 76.9 | 76.1 | 81.5 | 81.5 |
| 24.7 | 65.1 | 64.4 | 76.8 | 76.1 | 85.6 | 85.6 |
| 26.4 | 69.2 | 69.0 | 82.5 | 82.1 | 90.7 | 89.9 |
| 28.0 | 63.2 | 62.1 | 77.3 | 75.3 | 84.8 | 83.0 |
| 29.5 | 60.5 | 60.4 | 74.9 | 14.7 | 84.1 | 83.6 |
| 31.5 | 58.1 | 58.1 | 69.9 | 69.9 | 74.7 | 74.7 |
| 33.5 | 66.6 | 65.4 | 70.2 | 69.6 | 76.2 | 76.2 |
| 35.5 | 68.0 | 67.0 | 70.1 | 70.1 | 75.9 | 75.9 |
| 37.1 | 59.7 | 59.5 | 66.6 | 66.6 | 73.0 | 73.0 |
| 39.0 | 52.2 | 52.2 | 63.7 | 63.7 | 72.4 | 72.4 |
| 40.8 | 48.5 | 48.0 | 60.5 | 59.8 | 68.3 | 66.5 |
| 42.6 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 56.9 | 56.9 | 62.3 | 62.3 |
| 44.4 | 48.9 | 48.7 | 58.3 | 57.8 | 62.3 | 62.3 |
| 46.4 | 50.2 | 49.8 | 58.1 | 58.1 | 60.6 | 60.6 |
| 46.9 | 49.0 | 48.8 | 56.4 | 56.4 | 58.8 | 58.8 |
| 48.0 | 51.5 | 50.4 | 59.2 | 57.4 | 64.2 | 60.3 |
| 49.6 | 53.8 | 52.7 | 64.3 | 62.2 | 69.4 | 64.9 |
| 51.2 | 56.0 | 55.9 | 65.2 | 64.9 | 68.1 | 67.5 |
| 53.0 | 58.6 | 58.6 | 60.2 | 60.2 | 60.1 | 60.1 |
| 54.6 | 55.0 | 54.8 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 52.2 | 52.2 |
| 56.2 | 43.5 | 43.5 | 43.5 | 43.5 | 42.7 | 42.7 |
| 57.8 | 35.9 | 35.9 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 37.7 | 37.7 |
| 59.6 | 27.5 | 27.5 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 28.0 | 28.0 |
| 61.2 | 21.9 | 21.9 | 21.8 | 21.8 | 21.2 | 21.2 |
| 62.8 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 10.9 | 10.9 | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| 64.4 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 9.5 | 9.5 |
| 66.0 | 13.2 | 13.2 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 13.5 |
| 67.8 | 35.6 | 35.6 | 38.8 | 38.8 | 40.1 | 40.1 |
| 69.5 | 49.9 | 49.9 | 58.3 | 58.3 | 64.4 | 64.4 |
| 71.0 | 59.5 | 59.2 | 69.6 | 69.6 | 81.3 | 81.3 |
| 72.8 | 63.9 | 62.7 | 72.2 | 72.2 | 87.3 | 87.3 |
| 14.3 | 63.6 | 62.8 | 71.4 | 70.5 | 85.2 | 85.1 |
| 74.8 | 63.0 | 61.6 | 69.9 | 68.2 | 83.0 | 82.5 |
| 75.8 | 59.9 | 58.7 | 64.2 | 62.0 | 75.3 | 73.9 |
| 76.3 | 59.3 | 58.9 | 61.5 | 60.9 | 7 F .8 | 70.4 |
| 77.4 | 54.1 | 54.1 | 52.6 | 52.6 | 59.6 | 59.6 |
| 78.9 | 48.9 | 47.7 | 45.2 | 45.2 | 50.6 | 50.6 |
| 80.4 | 37.7 | 37.6 | 36.7 | 36.7 | 41.0 | 41.0 |
| 81.9 | 32.8 | 32.8 | 33.2 | 33.2 | 36.7 | 36.7 |
| 83.5 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 26.5 | 26.5 | 28.4 | 28.4 |
| 85.1 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 23.1 | 23.1 | 24.7 | 24.7 |
| 86.8 | 18.3 | 18.3 | 16.9 | 16.9 | 18.2 | 18.2 |
| 88.6 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 7.1 |
| 90.4 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| 92.2 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |

Table 14. Tube displacements at 11.0-cm elevation
B-5 BUNDLE SRCTION AT 17.C~CN ELBVATION
TUBE CENTROID LCCATIONS BASED ON ABEA EECLOSED BY O.D. PERIAETBE UITH ORIGIN ABBITRARILY LOCATED AI PRETEST CENTROID OP TOBE MO. * (NOTE: POSITIVE I IS LEFT TO RIGHT. PCSIIIVE I IS TOP TO BCTTOA.)

|  | PRETEST | CENTROID- | POSTTEST | CENTECIE- | CTE DIS | LACEAENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T0BE | [-D IM. | $\mathbf{Y}$ - DIM. | X -DIM . | Y-DIM. | I-DIRECT | Y-DIRECT |
| NO. | ( ${ }^{\text {(4) }}$ | (M (\%) | (HA) |  | (囘) | (\%8) |
| 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.427 | -1.054 | 0.427 | -1.054 |
| 2 | 14.427 | -0.045 | 13.999 | -1.267 | -0.428 | -1.222 |
| 3 | 28. 854 | -0.089 | 29.481 | -0.676 | 0.626 | -0.586 |
| 4 | 43. 282 | -0.134 | 43.938 | -0. 551 | 0.656 | -0.417 |
| 5 | 57.709 | -0.179 | 58.284 | 0.258 | 0.576 | 0.437 |
| 6 | 72. 136 | -0.224 | 72.588 | 0.264 | 0.452 | 0.488 |
| 7 | 86.563 | -0.268 | 86.958 | 0.345 | 0.434 | 0.613 |
| 8 | 100.990 | -0.313 | 101.354 | 0. 553 | 0.363 | 0.866 |
| 9 | 0.045 | 14.427 | -0.116 | 12.553 | -0.161 | -1.474 |
| 10 | 14. 472 | 14. 382 | 14.418 | 13.011 | -0.054 | -1. 372 |
| 11 | 28. 899 | 14.338 | 29.430 | 13.215 | 0.530 | -1.123 |
| 12 | 43. 326 | 14.293 | 43.978 | 13.601 | 0.652 | -0.692 |
| 13 | 57.754 | 14. 248 | 58.279 | 14.707 | 0.525 | 0.459 |
| 14 | 72. 181 | 14.204 | 72.330 | 14. 144 | 0.150 | -0.060 |
| 15 | 86.608 | 14. 159 | 86.746 | 14. 923 | 0. 138 | 0.764 |
| 16 | 101.035 | 14.114 | 101.400 | 14. 768 | 0.365 | 0.653 |
| 17 | 0.089 | 28.854 | -0.882 | 26.ES7 | -0.972 | -1.957 |
| 18 | 14. 517 | 28.810 | 13.918 | 27.462 | -0.599 | -1.347 |
| 19 | 28. 944 | 28.765 | 29.393 | 28.186 | 0.450 | -0.579 |
| 20 | 43. 371 | 28.720 | 44.417 | 28.032 | 1.046 | -0.688 |
| 21 | 57.798 | 28.676 | 57.972 | 28.387 | 0.174 | -0.288 |
| 22 | 72. 225 | 28.631 | 71.848 | 28. 139 | -0.378 | -0.491 |
| 23 | 86.653 | 28. 586 | 86.486 | 29.348 | -0. 167 | 0.762 |
| 24 | 101.080 | 28.541 | 101.081 | 29. 188 | 0.001 | 0.647 |
| 25 | 0. 134 | 43. 282 | -0.480 | 41.678 | -0.614 | -2.204 |
| 26 | 14. 561 | 43.237 | 14.205 | 41.394 | -0.357 | -1.843 |
| 27 | 28. 989 | 43.192 | 29.354 | 42.586 | 0.366 | -0.607 |
| 28 | 43.416 | 43.147 | 44.138 | 41.842 | 0.722 | -1.305 |
| 29 | 57.843 | 43. 103 | 58.557 | 42.708 | 0.714 | -0.395 |
| 30 | 72.270 | 43.058 | 72.903 | 41.898 | 0.633 | -1.160 |
| 31 | 86.697 | 43.013 | 86.971 | 43.401 | 0.274 | 0.387 |
| 32 | 101. 125 | 42.969 | 100.983 | 43. 559 | -0.142 | 0.991 |
| 33 | 0. 179 | 57.709 | -0.883 | 56.349 | -1.061 | -1.359 |
| 34 | 14. 606 | 57.664 | 14.198 | 54.701 | -0.408 | -2.963 |
| 35 | 29.033 | 57.619 | 29.055 | 56.730 | 0.022 | -0.890 |
| 36 | 43.460 | 57.575 | 43.130 | 56.339 | -0.331 | -1.236 |
| 37 | 57.888 | 57. 530 | 57.001 | 57.712 | -0.887 | 0. 182 |
| 38 | 72. 315 | 57.485 | 72.345 | 57.099 | 0.030 | -0.387 |
| 39 | 86.742 | 57.441 | 87.482 | 56.648 | 0.740 | 1. 208 |
| 40 | 101. 169 | 57.396 | 102.277 | 59. 557 | 1. 108 | 2. 161 |
| 41 | 0.224 | 72. 136 | 0.043 | 70.511 | -0. 180 | -1.625 |
| 42 | 14. 651 | 72.091 | 17.415 | 71.357 | 2. 764 | -0.734 |
| 43 | 29. 078 | 72.047 | 29.418 | 71.846 | 0.340 | -0. 201 |
| 44 | 43. 505 | 72.002 | 43.995 | 71.833 | 0.490 | -0.169 |
| 45 | 57.932 | 71.957 | 58.261 | 72.896 | 0.329 | 0.939 |
| 46 | 72.360 | 71.912 | 72.874 | 74.421 | 0.514 | 2. 509 |
| 47 | 86.787 | 71.868 | 87.367 | 73.781 | 0.580 | 1.914 |
| 48 | 101.214 | 71.823 | 100.795 | 73.451 | -0.419 | 1.628 |
| 49 | 0.268 | 86.563 | 0.042 | 85.536 | -0.226 | -1.027 |
| 50 | 14.695 | 86.518 | 13.882 | 86.875 | -0.814 | 0.357 |
| 51 | 29. 123 | 86.474 | $29.06 E$ | 87.229 | -0.054 | 0.755 |
| 52 | 43.550 | 86.429 | 43.866 | 87.425 | 0.316 | 0.996 |
| 53 | 57.977 | 86.384 | 57.283 | 87.359 | -0.694 | 0.974 |
| 54 | 72.404 | 86.340 | 71.549 | 89.284 | -0.856 | 2.944 |
| 55 | 86.831 | 86.295 | 86.156 | 87.486 | -0.676 | 1. 192 |
| 56 | 101.259 | 86.250 | 100.408 | 87.846 | -0.851 | 1.596 |
| 57 | 0.313 | 100.990 | -0.61 ¢ | 100.547 | -0.929 | -0.044 |
| 58 | 14. 740 | 100.946 | 14.327 | 100.914 | -0.4.13 | -0.032 |
| 59 | 29. 167 | 100.901 | 29.003 | 101.754 | -0.164 | 0.853 |
| 60 | 43. 595 | 100.856 | 43.256 | 101. 186 | -0.339 | C. 330 |
| 61 | 58. 022 | 100.812 | 57.513 | 101.695 | -0.509 | 0.284 |
| 62 | 72. 449 | 100.767 | 71.513 | 102.400 | -0.936 | 1.633 |
| 63 | 86.876 | 100.722 | 85.958 | 101.548 | -0.918 | 1. 226 |
| 64 | 101.303 | 100.677 | 99.335 | 101.46 1 | -1.969 | 0.783 |

## 5. SOME OBSERVATIONS AND LIMITED INTERPRETATICNS OF RESULTS

The conditions used in this test were conducive to large deformation, and thus, rod-to-rod mechanical interactions were a significant factor in the deformation. In addition, vestiges of small variations in the initial temperature distribution remained during the transient and influenced the deformation behavior in an important manner. On casual examination, the test results appear anomalous and, perhaps, confusing. However, detailed examination leads to clarification and proper interpretation. A number of observations are noted and discussed in this section to provide additional insight and understanding and to facilitate interpretation of the data.

Direct measurement of the true burst temporature was not possible because of the limited number (4) of thermocouples available in each simulator. In previous tests, we defined the burst temperature to be the maximum temperature measured on that simulator at the time of burst without consideration of deformation patterns and failure locations. Experience with single-rod tests, in which more thermocouples (12) were used for this purpose, had shown that this expediency gave acceptable estimates. However, it presented some difficulties in our analysis and evaluation of the B-5 data, and we used different criteria to define the burst temperature. Figure 131 shows the data from simulator 22 plotted on expanded scales and will be used to illustrate the discussion.

The first reported ${ }^{2}$ burst temperature data were obtained from tabular outputs, essentially the same as given in Table 4 , and were based on the above definition; these corresponded to data recorded at the time of burst by, for example, $\mathrm{TE}-2$ in the figure. Later, when the transient temperature plots, like Fig. 131, became available, it was noted that the traces of many thermocouples that had indicated the highest temperature during most of the transient exhibited what was assumed at the time to be erratic behavior (i.e., an unexplained reduction in temperature) during the final 2 or 3 s before burst. This type behavior is illustrated by $\mathrm{TE}-1$ and TE-4 in Fig. 131. The traces of those thermocouples exhibiting this behavior were extrapolated to provide estinates of the temperature that would have been measured if the earlier trend had continued until burst, and these extrapolated values, if higher than the recorded values, were reported ${ }^{3}$ as "corrected" burst temperature data. Justification of this approach assumed that (1) the true burst temperature was at least as high as the maximum value recorded on that simulator regardless of location and (2) the erratic behavior observed near the end of the transient was caused by malfunctioning of the thermocouple and should be corrected.

Further analysis ${ }^{5}$ of the data indicated that both of these assumptions may be incorrect in a bundle test with a very unfform temperature distribution and extensive rod-to-rod interactions. Firstly, it was observed that interaction of the exterior simulators with the thin shroud (Fig. 61) could be correlated with simulator thermocouple response. This is illustrated best by the temperature data plotted in Fig. 132 for simulator 62 , which was heated but unpressurized. The slight temperature reduction ( $10^{\circ}$ to $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) recorded by TE-3 (facing the shroud at the $28-\mathrm{cm}$ pretest elevation; see Fig. 3) at about 46 s after power-on is attributed to contact between the hot simulator and the relatively cool shroud.

Figures 79 and 130 show this tube was displaced against the shroud; this most likely occurred when simulator 38 burst at 45.70 s .

Secondly, it was observed that the maximum temperatures, whether recorded or extrapolated, at the time of burst did not correspond in a consistent manner with posttest strain measurements at the thermocouple. For example, larger-than-average deformation was noted in $\sim 75 \%$ of the simulators at axial locations of those thermocouples that had indicated maximum temperatures at the onset of significant deformation (i.e., at the time of maximum pressure about 32 co 38 s after power-on). However, at the time of burst, the temperature at these locations was not necessarily the highest recorded in a given simulator. Compare the traces of TE-1, TE-2, and TE-4 in Fig. 131 to the deformation profile in Fig. 129. This suggests that the temperature distribution prior to the onset (and during the early phase) of deformation may be more important in determining the burst location than the distribution at the time of burst. Because stress is a function of instantan ous tube wall thickness (i.e., local strain), the failure stress can be reached at a site where the strain is higher (due to its prior temperature history) but the temperature is lower (due to greater cooling and/or interaction with adjacent tubes) before it is reached at another site where the temperature is higher but the strafn is lower. Also, local stress patterns can be altered by rod-to-rod contact forces.

For this reason, we reevaluated the temperature measurements and correlated the data with the deformation profiles and the burst locacions. Based on this evaluation, the extrapolated temperatures were not, in general, representative of the temperature at the burst site at the time of failure. Instead, we believe the thermocouples measured the correct temperature (at the sites where they were attached), and we revised ${ }^{5}$ $\sim 60 \%$ of the previously "corrected" data. ${ }^{3}$ The revised data and the thermocouples on which they are based are given in Table 15 (the data are also given in Table 5); the maximum observed temperatures at the time of burst (from Table 4) are included for comparison. In the absence of more definitive data and analysis, we believe the "selected" temperature is the best estimate of the burst temperature.

In addition to this general behavior, there were local temperature perturbations, caused by contact between the shroud and the exterior simulators, that influenced the axial distribution of the deformation. The thin reflector strips used in the shroud panels were preloaded axially with high-temperature springs (Fig. 44) to compensate for differential expansion and to keep the strips taut during the test. As evidenced by the discoloration and distortion patterns in Fig. 61, the strips wrinkled (localized inward bowing) and contacted the exterior simulators at more or less regular intervals along the length. The simulators were cooled, enhancing the azimuthal temperature gradients and limiting the deformation, in the contact areas. In contrast, the temperature was slightly higher, with less pronounced gradients, and the deformation was greater in the noncontact areas. This behavior resulted in a periodic varlation in the amplitude of the deformation, as typified by Fig. 128. Further, the cyclic pattern of all the simulators on a given face were aligned, as illustrated for the north face in Fig. 133 (see Fig. 3 for relative positions). The effect was less pronounced at the corner positions than at the intermediate positions (compare the profiles of rods 1
and 8 with those of rods 3-6) because shroud box corner restraints prevented the transverse buckling patterns from bowing inward and contacting the corner simulators as frequently.

Figure 134 is a similar piot that compares the profiles of the first layer of simulators with those of the second layer. Although some cyclic behavior is evident in the latter, it is less pronounced, except for simulators 9 and 16, which were located on the west and east faces of the array and thus were in contact with the shroud. The cyclic effect was practically dissipated with two layers of simulators as shown by the comparison in Fig. 135 of the deformation profiles of the second and third layers of simulators.

As shown in Fig. 123, there was, in general, a preferred direction for the orientation of the bursts in the outer ring of simulators and the next inner ring to a lesser extent, indicating an influence of azimuthal temperature gradients in each ring. Burst strain data for these simulators, depicted in Fig. 12, also showed the influence of the temperature gradients, although some burst strains were unexpectedly large. This is explained by the mechanism discussed above. That is, areas in contact with the shroud had higher azimuthal temperature gradients and reduced burst strains, while areas not in contact had smaller gradients and larger burst strains. The gradients and the burst strains in the next inner ring were also affected (but to a lesser extent) by contact with the exterior simulators, as inferred from Fig. 134. Because of the cyclic behavior in the deformation profile, the volumetric expansion (and the average strain) over the heated length of the exterior simulators was also less than that of the interior simulators, as illustrated in Fig. 11. These data comparisons clearly show that the azimuthal temperature gradient effect was sufficient to influence both the burst locations and the volumetric expansion and, as a result, the magnitude of the local flow restriction in the two outer rings of simulators. Altogether, the data show that the equivalent of two rows of deforming guard simulators is necessary in small bundles to duplicate temperature and mechanical boundary conditions of large bundles.

The birst frequency histogram depicted in Fig. 8 was based on the number of bursts per $0.5-\mathrm{s}$ time interval and showed that the distribution was fairly normal. However, a more detailed analysis, based on the number of bursts per 0.1-s interval, showed that the bursts were clustered in three or four groups (ignoring the outlying ones) and that the clustering could be correlated with test vessel pressure, as illustrated in Fig. 136. The hot, high-pressure gas escaping from the simulators caused the vessel pressure to increase about 225 kPa for a few seconds. With each burst, the vessel pressure increased incrementally, and the differential pressure and the deformation rate in the simulators decreased. After several bursts, the pressure increase was sufficient to delay the bursts momentarlly; following a very short recovery period, the bursts resumed to repeat the cycle.

Analysis of the differential pressure vs time plots for the simulators indicated slightly different deformation behavior that we belfeve marked the onset of rod-to-rod interactions in neighboring simulators and may have been assocfated with the clustering. For example, the pressure data of three such simulators (Nos. 37, 38, and 46) that had large volumetric expansions ( $65 \%$ to $75 \%$ ) are plotted in Fig. 137 from about the
time of maximum pressure to failure; the steam pressure is also included for reference. Although displaced in time, the rate of pressure decrease was approximately the same for each simulator until the last few seconds of deformation. Comparing simulators 38 and 46 , the rates were nearly identical until the tine of the first tube burst at 44.00 s ; after this time, the pressure in simulator 46 decreased at a faster rate until the simulator failed at 46.25 s . Comparing simulators 38 and 37 , the rates were nearly identical unt:1 simulator 38 burst at 45.70 s ; after this time, the pressure in simulator 37 decreased at a much slower rate until the sfmulator failed at 47.20 o .

As depicted in Fig. 9, the simulators burst in a very narrow temperature range for a wide range of pressure; the interior simulators, in general, burst at lower pressures, indicating higher volumetric expansions for these simulators (Fig. 11). Evidently, the larger volumetric expansion was caused by smaller azimuthal temperature gradients and more intense rod-to-rod interactions. In comparing the results of the B-5 test with the B-3 test, which was tested under the same nominal conditions but without rod-to-rod interactions, it was concluded ${ }^{7}$ that mechanical interactions were the primary factor contributing to the greater volumetric expansion in the B-5 test. This is explained as follows.

During the B-5 test, tube expansion throughout the array caused the tubes to touch and generate contact forces. Because the simulators were constrained, these forces could not be relieved by tube bowing and creation of additional void space. With further expansion, the tubes tended toward square cross sections to fill the available space. (See for example tube 19 in Fig. 108.) The external contact forces caused redistribution of the straining pattern in both the azimuthal and longitudinal directions. As a result, the rate of expansion decreased at those axial locations in contact and continued unimpeded at other ballooning locations. Redistribution and growth of the ballooned regions occurred with great rapidity as evidenced by the pressure histories (Fig. 137). These dynamic processes, enhanced by the very uniform temperature distribution in the interior of the array, continued until local conditions at some point in each tube caused failure; this was usually in the direction of the open coolant channel at :as (Fig. 123). The sequence of bursting alsc influenced the interactions because a burst tube offered less resistance to encroachment of neighboring tubes still undergoing deformation. For example, tube 37 burst after all its neighbors, and it was able to maintain a nearly circular shape (Fig. 108) until it burst because its highly ballooned (and burst) nefghbors offered 1 tttle resistance to encroachment. In contrast, however, note in Fig. 109 that tube 4, although already burst, had sufficient strength at this elevation to resist encroachment by its neighbor (tube 12), which burst at a later time. The temperature increased very little (it may have decreased in the highly ballooned regions) during the time these rapid and complex interactions were taking place; and as a result, a large variation in volumetric expansion (and burst pressure) was observed for a small variation in burst temperature.

The above discussion suggests that temperature uniformity and rod-to-rod interactions are at least as important as heating rate in determining first the amount of deformation and secondly the burst pressure.

The wide scatter observed when deformation data are plotted as a function of burst temperature and heating rate is probably the result of not taking these factors into consideration.
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Fig. 131. Pressure and temperatures measured in simulator 22.


Fig. 132. Temperatures neasured in simulator 62.


Fig. 133. Comparison of deformation profiles of first layer of tubes on north side of bundle.


Fig. 134. Comparison of deformation profiles of first and second layers of tubes on north side of bundle.


Fig. 135. Comparison of deformation profiles of second and third layers of tubes on north side of bundle.


Fig. 136. Correlation of burst frequency with vessel pressure.


Fig. 137. Comparison of pressure behavior in simulators 37, 38, and 46.

Table 15. Esifmated burst temperatures in B-5 test

| Rod No. | Observed ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Selected ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Number of TE nearest to failure ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Rod <br> No. | Observed ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Selected ${ }^{b}$ |  | Number of TE nearest to fallure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | TE <br> No. | Temeratere ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TE } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | TE <br> No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Temperature } \\ & \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{TE} \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1 | 776 | 3 | 776 | 3 | 2 | 33 | 172 | 1 | 762 | $4$ | $2$ |
| 2 | 770 | 3 | 765 | 1 | 2 | 34 | 173 | 4 | 773 | 4 | $4$ |
| 3 | 755 | 3 | 762 | 4 | 1 | 35 | 779 | 4 | 774 | 2 | 2 |
| 4 | 769 | 1 | 769 | 1 | 2 | 36 | 768 | 2 | 756 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 778 | 4 | 178 | 4 | 4 | 37 | 783 | 1 | 771 | 4 | 2 |
| 6 | 778 | 3 | 778 | 3 | 1 | 38 | 777 | 4 | 770 | 2 | 1 |
| 7 | 766 | 4 | 173 | 4 | 4 | 39 | 773 | 4 | 773 | 4 | 4 |
| 8 | 768 | 2 | 768 | 2 | 1 | 40 | 782 | 1 | 773 769 | 4 | 2 |
| 9 | 787 | 3 | 766 | 4 | 3 | 41 | 769 | 4 | 769 | 4 | 1 |
| 10 | 772 | 3 | 772 | 3 | 3 | 42 | 773 | 1 | 773 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 768 | 4 | 768 | 4 | 3 | 43 | 775 763 | 2 | 775 763 | 2 | 3 |
| 12 | 780 | 2 | 774 | 3 | 3 | 44 45 | 763 769 | $1{ }^{3}$ | 763 769 | $1^{3}, 3$ | 3 |
| 13 | 767 | 3 | 767 763 | 3 | 3 | 45 46 | 769 762 | 1,3 2,4 | 769 763 | 1,3 | 4 |
| 14 | 777 | 2 | 763 | 1 | 1 | 46 | 762 777 | ${ }_{1}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 714 | 2 | 1 |
| 15 | 766 | 1 | 766 775 | 1 | 1 | 47 48 | 777 763 | 1 | 774 763 | ${ }_{3}^{2}$ | 4 |
| 16 17 | 775 | 3 | 775 776 | 3 | 4 | 48 49 | 761 | 4 | 761 | 4 | 2 |
| 18 | 774 | 2 | 770 | 4 | 1 | 50 | 768 | 2 | 768 | 2 | 4 |
| 19 | 714 | 3 | 774 | 3 | 1 | 51 | 771 | 1 | 759 | 3 | 3 |
| 20 | 764 | 2 | 764 | 2 | 2 | 52 | 765 | 4 | 757 | 3 | 3 |
| 21 | 766 | 4 | 763 | 3 | 3 | 53 | 769 | 2 | 760 | 4 | 4 |
| 22 | 780 | 2 | 776 | 1 | ? | 54 | 771 | 1 | 766 | 4 | 2 |
| 23 | 772 | 1 | 172 | 2 | 2 | 55 | 763 | 2 | 763 | 2 | 2 |
| 24 | 771 | 1 | 769 | 3 | 3 | 56 | 781 | 1 | 781 | 3 | 2 |
| 25 | 763 | 1 | 763 | 1 | 3 | 57 | 752 | 3 | 753 | 2 | 2 |
| 26 | 770 | 2 | 770 | 2 | 2 | 58 | 757 | 1 | 757 | 1 | 3 |
| 27 | 768 | 1 | 760 | 4 | 3 | 59 | 770 | 4 | 770 | 4 | 4 |
| 28 | 783 | 1 | 783 | 1 | 1 | 60 | 755 | 2 | 755 | 2 | 2 |
| 29 | 770 | 1 | 770 | 4 | 4 | 61 | 768 | 1 | 768 | 1,3 | 1 |
| 30 | 784 | 1 | 784 | 1 | 4 | 62 | $\stackrel{d}{775}$ | d | ${ }_{175}^{\text {d }}$ | d | d |
| 31 | 773 | 2 | 773 | 2 | 2 | 63 | 775 | 1 | 175 756 | 1 | 1 |
| 32 | 768 | 2 | 768 | 2 | 4 | 64 | 743 | 1 | 756 | 4 | 4 |

$a_{\text {Maximum }}$ temperature indicated by listed TE at time of rupture.
${ }^{\text {b Best-estimate }}$ value based on evaluation of preburst temperature data of ifsted TE and of posttest deformation profiles.
${ }^{\circ}$ Excluding TEs located at grid elevations.
$d_{\text {Simulator }}$ unpressurized (but heated) and did not burst.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Data presented in this report reflect the combined efforts of a nuinber of people over an extended period of time, spanning fabrication, testing, pretest and posttest examination of the test array, and reducing and processing the test data.

We wish to acknowledge the contribution of W. A. Bird for his careful attention to all the instrumentation and control aspects of the test; E. L. Biddle, J. N. Money, and C. Cross for assembly of the test array and for the many other necessary support tasks; F. R. Gibson for program$m i n g$ and operating the CCDAS and for processing much of the data; C. M. Boles for excellent pretest and posttest photography; F. G. Childress for developing procedures and casting the array in a high-quality epoxy matrix; B. C. Leslie for the sectioning, polishing, and excellent photography of the bundle cross sections; L. Jung and coworkers for developing software and digitizing the photographic data; N. J. Price for developing software and processing mich of the digitized data; the Fuel Pin Simulator Development Group, under the leadership of R. W. McCulloch, for development and fabrication of the fuel simulators; and the many other groups and individuals who had a part in the test and in the preparation and publication of this report.

We would like also to express our appreciation for the support and guidance provided by the NRC Program Managers: Dr. M. L. Picklesimer for several years prior to his retirement and, afterward, Dr. R. Van Houten.

## RFFERENCES

1. R. H. Chapman et al., Quick-Look Report on MRBT $B-5(8 \times 8)$ Bundle Test, Internal Report ORNL/MRBT-5, Union Carbide Corp. Nuclear Div., Oak Ridge Nat1. Lab., July 1980.
2. R. H. Chapman, Multirod Burst Test Proanam Prog. Rep. January June 1980, NUREG/CR-1883 (ORNL/NUREG/TM-426), Union Carbide Corp. Nuclear Div., Oak Ridge Natl. Lab.
3. A. W. Longest, Multirod Burst Test Program Prog. Rep. January-June 1981 , NUREG/CR-2366, "cl. 1 (ORNL/TM-8058), Union Carbide Corp. Nuclear Div., Oak Ridge Natl. Lab.
4. J. L. Crowley, Multirod Burst Test Program Prog. Rep. July-December 1981, NUREG/CR-2366, Vol. 2 (ORNL/TM-8190), Union Carbide Corp. Nuclear Div., Oak Ridge Natl. Lab.
5. R. H. Chapman, Multirod Burst Test Program Prog. Rep. Jaruary-June 1982, NUREG/CR-2911 (ORNL/TM-8485), Union Carbide Corp. Nuclear Div., Oak Ridge Natl. Lab.
6. A. W. Longest, R. H. Chapman, and J. L. Crowley, "Boundary Effects on Zircaloy-4 Cladding Deformation in LOCA Simulation Tests," Trane. Am. Nuct. Soc. 41, 383 (1982).
7. R. H. Chapman, J. L. Crowley, and A. W. Longest, "Effect of Bundle Size on Cladding Deformation in LOCA Simulation Tests," in Zirconium in the Nuclear Industry: Sixth International Symposium, ASTM STP 824, eds. D. G. Franklin, R. B. Adamson, and B. Cox, American Society for Testing and Materials (in publication).
8. A. W. Longest, J. L. Crowley, and R. H. Chapman, Vamiations in Zir-caloy-4 Cladding Deformation in Replicate LOCA Simulation Tests, NUREG/CR-2810 (ORNL/TM-8413), Union Carbide Corp. Nuclear Div., Oak Ridge Natl. Lab., September 1982.
9. R. H. Chapman et al., Bundle B-3 Test Data, ORNL/NUREG/TM-360, Union Carbide Corp. Nuclear Div., Oak Ridge Natl. Lab., January 1980.
10. R. H. Chapmin, A. W. Longe.st, and J. L. Crowley, Expemiment Data Report for Multirod Burst Tist (MRBT) Bundle B-6, NUREG/CR-3460 (ORNL/TM-8890) (in publicu-ion).
11. R. H. Chapman et al., Bundle B-1 Test Data, ORNL/NUREG/TM-322, Union Carbide Corp. Nuclear Div., Oak Ridge Natl. Lab., June 1979.
12. R. H. Chapman et al., Bundle B-2 Test Data, ORNL/NUREG/TM-337, Union Carbide Corp. Nuclear Div., Oak Ridge Natl. Lab., August 1979.
13. A. W. Longest, R. H. Chapman, and J. L. Crowley, Expemiment Data Report for Multirod Burgt Test (MRBT) Bundle B-4, NUREG/CR-2968 (ORNL/TM-8509), Union Carbide Corp. Nuclear Div., Oak Ridge Natl. Lab., December 1982.
14. R. W. McCulloch, P. T. Jacobs, and D. L. Clark, Development of a Pabmication Procedure for the MRBT Fuel Simulator Based on the Use of cold-Pressed Boron Nitmide Preforms, NUREG/CR-1111 (ORNL/NUREG/TM362), Union Carbide Corp. Nuclear Div., Oak Ridge Natl. Lab., March 1980.
15. W. A. Simpson, Jr., et al., Infrared Inspection and Chanactemization of Fuel-Pin Simulators, ORNL/NUREG/TM-55, Union Carbide Corp. Nuclear Div., Oak Ridge Nat1. Lab., November 1976.
16. R. H. Chapman (comp.), Charactemization of Zircaloy-4 Tubing Procured for Fuel Cladding Research Prognams, ORNL/NUREG/TM-29, Union Carbide Corp. Nuclear Div. Oak Ridge Nat1. Lab., Juiy 1976.
17. R. H. Chapman, Multirod Burst Test Prognim Prog. Rep. July-September 1976, ORNL/NUREG/TM-77, Union Carbide Corp. Nuclear Div., Oak Ridge Natl. Lab.
18. J. E. Lewis et al., "Texture Measurement Techniques for Zircaloy Cladding: A Round-Robin Study," pp. 39-62 in Zirconium in the Nuclear Industry: Fifth Conference, ASTM STP 754, ed. D. G. Frankiin, American Society for Testing and Materials, 1982.
19. W. E. Baucum and R. E. Dial, An Apparatus for Spot Welding Sheathed Thermocouples to the Inside of Small-Diameter Tubes at Precise Locations, ORNL/NUREG/TM-33, Union Carbide Corp. Nuclear Div., Oak Ridge Nat 1. Lab., August 1976.
20. R. L. Anderson, K. R. Carr, and T. G. Kollie, Thermometry in the Multirod Burst Test Program, NUREG/CR-2470 (ORNL/TM-8024), Union Carbide Corp. Nuclear Div., Oak Ridge Natl. Lab., March 1982.
21. R. H. Chapman, Multinod Burst Test Program Prog. Rep. April-June 1979, NUREG/CR-1023 (ORNL/NUREG/TM-351), Union Carbide Corp. Nuclear Div., Oak Ridge Nat1. Lab.
22. D. A. Powers and R. O. Meyer, Cladding Swelling and Fupture Models for LOCA Analysis, NUREG-0630, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, April 1980.
23. R. T. Bailey, Steady-State Pressure Losses for Multirod Burst Test (MRBT) Bundle B-5, NUREG/CR-2597 (ORNL/Sub/80-40441/1), Union Carbide Corp. Nuclear Div., Oak Ridge Natl. Lab., Apri1 1982.
24. J. F. Mincey, Steady-State Axial Pressure Losses Along the Exterior of Deformed Fuel Cladding: Multirod Buret Test (MRBT) Bundles B-1 and $\mathrm{B}-2$, NUREG/CR-1011 (ORNL/NUREG/TM-350), Union Carbide Corp. Nuclear Div., Oak Ridge Nat1. Lab., January 1980.

## Appendix A

## TRANSIENT PRESSURE AND TEMPERATURE PLOTS

Individual pressure (differential) and temperature plots are presented for the simulators as a function of time after power-on in Figs. A. 1-A. 64 on sheet 1 of the microfiche enclosures in the rocket attached to the inside back cover of this report. A typical exa...ple of the plots was given in Fig. 40 to illustrate the type of data available from the microfiche records.

Temperatures measured on the four faces of the shroud are plotted in Figs. A. $65-$ A. 72 . These plots are grouped to provide information on the axiai and circumferential temperature distributions in the shroud. The characteristic bundle average temperature (TAV-10) is also included in the plots for comparison. Typical examples of these plots were shown in Figs. 38 and 39 to illustrate the type and format of the data presented in the microfiche records.

Temperatures obtained at up to four azimuthal locations on the outside surface of the simulators (Fig. 3) are presented in Figs. A.73-A.76. The plots include the temperature measured by an internal thermocouple at the same elevation for comparison. Typical examples of the plots were shown in Figs. 41 and 42 to illustrate the type of data available in the microfiche records.

Appendix B

## MEASURED CONDITIONS AT SELECTED TIMES OF INTEREST

Summary tables of the bundle conditions measured at the times of the individual tube bursts are presented in Tables B. $1-\mathrm{B}$.64 on sheet 2 of the microfiche enclosures in the pocket attached to the inside back cover of this report. An example was given in Table 6 to illustrate the type, format : and identification of the data available from the microifche records.

Similar tables, giving summary test conditions over a 70-s time span, are presented in Tables B.65-B.107. These tables, with $2-s$ time intervals for the first $34 \mathrm{~s}, 1-\mathrm{s}$ intervals for the next 16 s , and $2-\mathrm{s}$ intervals for the remaining 20 s , can be used to approximate efther the transient of an individual simulator or that of the bundle as a whole from power-on until $\sim 20 \mathrm{~s}$ after the last tube burst.

## Appendix C

GEOMETRIC PARAMETERS OF SECTION PHOTOGRAPHIC DATA

The section photographs were digitized and reduced to tables of geometric parameters that were used for verification of the digitized data and as source files for further processing. For documentary purposes, Tables C.1-C. 64 are reproduced on sheet 3 of the microfiche enclosures in the pocket attached to the inside back cover of this report. An example was given as Table 10 to illustrate the type, format, and identification of the data available from the microfiche records.

## Appendix D

## DEFORMATION PROFILES

The strain matrix (Table 11) was used to generate axial profiles of the circumferential strain in each of the tubes. These are presented in Figs. D. 1-D. 64 on sheet 4 of the microfiche enclosures in the pocket attached to the inside back cover of this report. Example plots were presented in Figs. 126-129 to elucidate certain features and to illustrate the type and format of the information available from the microfiche records.

## Appendix E

TUBE CENTROID DISPLACEMENTS AT EACH AXIAL NODE

A least-squares fitting routine was used to minimize the average displacement between the pretest and posttest centroids of the 64 tubes as described in Sect. 4.3.4. The results are presented in Tables E.1-E. 64 on sheet 5 of the microfiche enclosures in the pocket attached to the inside back cover of this report. An example was given in Table 14 to i1lustrate the type and format of the data available from the microfiche records.

## Appendix F

## PLOTS OF TUBE DISPLACEMENTS AT EACH AXIAL NODE

The least-squares fitting routine that was used to minimize the average displacement between the pretest and posttest centroids of the 64 tubes was combined with the routine that reconstructed the images of the section photographs to produce a plot of the posttest tube locations relative to an imaginary grid at the respective axial node. The plots show, in effect, the permanent displacement of the tubes within their individual unit cells and aid visualization of subchannel flow area restriction. The plots are presented in Figs. F. $1-\mathrm{F} .64$ on sheet 6 of the microfiche enclosures in the pocket attached to the inside hack cover of this report. An example was depicted in Fig. 130 to illustrate the type and format of the data available from the microfiche records.
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[^4]
[^0]:    Fig. 102. Section through upper grid at $64.4-\mathrm{cm}$ elevation.

[^1]:    Fig. 121. Section at 92.2-cm elevation.

[^2]:    thersocouple inoperative

[^3]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Posttest elevation above bottom of heated zone. The bottom of heated zone of the bundle (zero elevation) represents an average of all rods (except rod 62, which was unpressurized).
    ${ }^{b}$ Clockwise rotation looking down on top of bundle. Estimated angle of rupture initiation.
    ${ }^{c}$ Pin hole opening probably at thermocouple attachment.
    $d_{\text {Tube heated but uressurized. }}$.

[^4]:    NAC FORM 335 (11 81

