100-219



## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

January 22, 1996

Mr. Michael B. Roche Vice President and Director GPU Nuclear Corporation Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station P.O. Box 388 Forked River, NJ 08731

SUBJECT:

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING PERFORMANCE OF GATE VALVES UNDER BLOWDOWN CONDITIONS - OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING

STATION (TAC NO. M93315)

Dear Mr. Roche:

The staff is requesting that GPU Muclear Corporation (GPUN) submit additional information concerning your proposal of August 11, 1995, to reduce the sampling of the weld population in the reactor water clean up (RWCU) system outside the second isolation valve at the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station as part of their augmented inservice inspection (ISI) program. It should be noted that part of the NRC's basis for allowing reduced weld inspections downstream of the second isolation valve is confidence that the isolation valves will be capable of isolating under blowdown conditions. The staff's review of Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) Topical Report TR-103237, "EPRI MOV Performance Prediction Program," and associated test data indicates that certain gate valves may be damaged if they must operate under blowdown conditions. The staff discussed its concerns with GPUN during a conference call on December 4, 1995.

One of the criteria in GPUN's proposal involved the successful completion of the Generic Letter (GL) 89-10 program. Overall, GPUN's GL 89-10 program appeared to be on schedule for closure and the valve factors and torque switch settings appeared to be adequately justified. One remaining issue involved GPUN's actions in response to EPRI's information to prevent potential damage to isolation valves that may be required to operate under blowdown conditions and EPRI's recommendations concerning sharp edges and clearances for gate valves. During the conference call, the staff requested that GPUN provide the NRC with their plans to address prevention of damage to their gate valves that must operate under blowdown conditions by measures such as rounding sharp edges and verifying proper clearances.

During the call, GPUN stated that they had prepared an internal memo that addressed plans for responding to the EPRI information. However, GPUN did not provide the staff with a specific commitment date to complete its actions. In addition, during the conference call, GPUN stated that they only intended to make valve modifications if the valves failed their local leak rate test (LLRT). The staff does not believe that reliance on the LLRT results, alone, is sufficient to determine the need for addressing the blowdown issue.

NRG FILE GENTER COPY

During the call, GPUN provided examples of where conservatism was used in determining valve factors, stem friction coefficients, and MOV performance characteristics. GPUN believes that, in light of the applied conservatism, it is not necessary for the modifications to be made, unless an applicable valve fails an LLRT. Therefore, we are requesting that GPUN submit additional information concerning conservatism applied and their justification of why it is not necessary to make the modifications.

Within 30 days of the date of this letter, we request that you provide the above information. This requirement affects nine of fewer respondents, and, therefore, is not subject to the Office of Management and Budget review under P.L. No. 96-511.

Sincerely.

lufaly W Demerck Alexander W. Dromerick, Senior Project Manager

Project Directorate I-3

Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-219

cc: See next page

During the call, GPUN provided examples of where conservatism was used in determining valve factors, stem friction coefficients, and MOV performance characteristics. GPUN believes that, in light of the applied conservatism, it is not necessary for the modifications to be made, unless an applicable valve fails an LLRT. Therefore, we are requesting that GPUN submit additional information concerning conservatism applied and their justification of why it is not necessary to make the modifications.

Within 30 days of the date of this letter, we request that you provide the above information. This requirement affects nine of fewer respondents, and, therefore, is not subject to the Office of Management and Budget review under P.L. No. 96-511.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Alexander W. Dromerick, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate I-3 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-219

cc: See next page
DISTRIBUTION:
Docket File
PUBLIC
PDI-3 Plant
RHernan
ADromerick
SNorris
OGC
ACRS
PEselgroth, RGI
MKKhanna

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\DROMERICK\M93315.RAI

OFFICE	LA:PDI-3	PM; PDI-3	D:PDI-3				
NAME	SNorris Am	Approperick: bf	RHernan ///8				
DATE	01/19/96	01/22/96	01/22/96	01/	/96	01/	/96

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

M. Roche GPU Nuclear Corporation Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

cc:

Ernest L. Blake, Jr., Esquire Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 2300 N Street, NW. Washington, DC 20037

Regional Administrator, Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

BWR Licensing Manager GPU Nuclear Corporation 1 Upper Pond Road Parsippany, NJ 07054

Mayor Lacey Township 818 West Lacey Road Forked River, NJ 08731

Licensing Manager Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Mail Stop: Site Emergency Bldg. P.O. Box 388 Forked River, NJ 08731

Resident Inspector c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P.O. Box 445 Forked River, NJ 08731

Kent Tosch, Chief New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Nuclear Engineering CN 415 Trenton, NJ 08625