# LATED CORRESPONDENCE

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the matter of:

LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY

\*84 SEP -7 All :21

(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1)

Docket No. 50-322-0L-3 DOO' ETING & SERVIT

Deposition of: John A. Scalice

Location: Hauppauge, New York Pages: 1 - 47

Date: Friday, August 24, 1984

#### TAYLOE ASSOCIATES

Court Reporters 1625 | Street, N.W. Suite 1004 Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 293-3950

8409100273 840906 PDR ADDCK 05000322 PDR

## CORRECTIONS TO DEPOSITION OF JOHN A. SCALICE, AUGUST 24, 1984

Page 10	Line 19	change "fuel rods" to "control rods"
Page 29	Line 19	change "and" to "in"
Page 32	Line 8	change "system" to "assistant"
Page 34	Line 9	change "are" to "we"
Page 39	Line 8	change "SDA" to "STA"

### 

### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DOCKETED

### BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD A11:21

In the Matter of:	-X :	ORCKETING & SERVICE ELANCY
LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY		Docket No. 50-322-OL-3 (Emergency Planning
(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1)		Procedures)

#### DEPOSITION OF JOHN A. SCALICF

COTTON CONTENT

H. Lee Dennison Building Ninth Floor Veterans Memorial Highway Hauppauge, New York 11787

Friday, August 24, 1984

Deposition of JOHN A. SCALICE, called for examination by counsel for the Intervenor, taken before Myrtle H. Traylor, Court Reporter, beginning at 11:40 a.m., pursuant to agreement of counsel.

	11
	11
	11:
	11:
	1
1	11
NEW YORK	
	-11
	-11
	11
3	11:
~	48
WHO TO	11
	11
	11
	-18
-	11
3	35
	41
	11
	11
	11
100	11
	11
-	11
	16.
	11
	-11
	11
5	11
	11
	11
	11
5	11
6 7 8 9	11
6	11
	11
	11
	11
	11
- 4	11
7	11
	11
	13
	H
	ш
	11
	11
Ö	-11
1.00	11
	41
	11
	11
	11
- 7	11
	11
	11
	11
	11-
10	-11
1111	13:
10	31
10	
10	
10	
11	
11	
11	
11	The second secon
11	
11	The second secon
11	
11	
11	
11	
11	
11 12 13	
11	
11 12 13 14	
11 12 13 14	
11 12 13 14	
11 12 13	
11 12 13 14	
11 12 13 14 15	
11 12 13 14 15	
11 12 13 14 15	
11 12 13 14 15	
11 12 13 14	
11 12 13 14 15	
11 12 13 14 15 16	
11 12 13 14 15	
11 12 13 14 15 16	
11 12 13 14 15 16	
11 12 13 14 15 16	
11 12 13 14 15 16	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	
11 12 13 14 15 16	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	

21

22

#### APPEARANCES:

#### On Behalf of the Applicant:

LEE B. ZEUGIN, ESQUIRE Hunton & Williams 707 E. Main Street Richmond, Virginia 23212

#### On Behalf of the Intervenor, Suffolk County:

CHRISTOPHER M. McMURRAY, ESQUIRE
MICHAEL S. MILLER, ESQUIRE
Kirkpatrick, Lockhart, Hill, Christopher & Phillips
1900 M Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

#### On Behalf of the Intervenor, State of New York:

RICHARD J. ZAHNLEUTER, ESQUIRE Special Counsel to the Governor Executive Chamber Room 299 State Capitol Albany, New York 12224

Page:

CONTENTS Examination by: Witness: John A. Scalice Mr. Miller 

#### PROCEEDINGS

Whereupon,

#### JOHN A. SCALICE

was called as a witness and, having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

MR. MILLER: Mr. Scalice, my name is Michael
Miller. I am with Mr. McMurray from my office, and we
represent Suffolk County in the licensing proceedings
before the Atomic and Safety Licensing Board regarding the
Shoreham plant.

And we are here pursuant to agreement of counsel to take your deposition for discovery purposes regarding the strike issues.

If you have any questions, or if you want clarification from me during the course of the deposition, please just ask for it.

WITNESS SCALICE: Fine.

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. MILLER:

- Q Would you please state your name and business address for the record?
  - A My name is John A. Scalice. I work at 628 --

1 2

1	Post Office Box 628, Wading River, Shoreham Nuclear Power
2	Station, New York.
3	Q And you are the Operations Manager at Shoreham?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q Are you the only Operations Manager?
6	A Correct.
7	Q Who do you report to?
8	A Plant Manager.
9	Q Who is?
10	A William Stieger.
11	Q And what was your position prior to April 15,
12	1984 when you became Operations Manager?
13	A I was officially a Reactor Engineer.
14	Q Mr. Scalice, when did you first become aware
15	of the Board's July 24th Order regarding the strike issues?
16	A I don't know exactly, maybe the 25th, 24th.
17	Q Very soon after the Order was issued?
18	A (The witness nodded in the affirmative.)
19	Q And who showed it to you or told you about it?
20	A I had a request to attend a meeting with Lee
21	and Mr. Stergakos of the Company for a meeting that they
22	had on it.

1	Q Was this the meeting on July 25th?
2	A I don't know the date.
3	Q Shortly after the Order was issued?
4	A (The witness nodded in the affirmative.)
5	Q A meeting with lawyers for LILCO?
6	A Correct.
7	Q Was Mr. Irwin at that meeting?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Who attended the meeting? Tell me, if you
10	can, who the attendees were at this meeting?
11	A Mr. Irwin, Mr. Zeugin, Mr. Stergakos, Mr. Rigert,
12	and there were some other people that I can't recall at
13	this time.
14	Q Was Dr. Cordaro at the meeting?
15	A No.
16	Q Did you learn at this meeting that you would
17	be testifying on behalf of LILCO at the trial?
18	MR. ZEUGIN: I will object to that on the
19	grounds of attorney-client privilege. I don't see the
20	relevance of that, to what we are talking about.
21	BY MR. MILLER: (Continuing)
22	Q Let me just ask you, Mr. Scalice, when did you

first learn	that you would be testifying on LILCO's behalf
at the tria	al?
A	About a week later, in that area.
Q	The beginning of August, end of July?
A	I would say that's about accurate.
Q	How did you learn you were going to be testifying
on LILCO's	behalf? Who told you that?
A	Mr. Irwin and Mr. Zeugin.
Q	Mr. Scalice, your affidavit regarding the strike
issues, did	d you begin preparation of that affidavit shortly
after the r	meeting, around July 25th?
A	That's correct.
Q	Did you work with anyone in preparing this
affidavit?	
A	No.
Q	And what did you do to prepare the affidavit?
A	Basic knowledge of what I know about the plant
and referen	nce to specific reference to our procedures.
Q	You looked at some documents, and those would
be the Shor	reham procedures?
A	Yes.
Q	Are those the documents attached to your
	at the tria  A  Q  A  Q  on LILCO's  A  Q  issues, did  after the n  A  Q  affidavit?  A  Q  be the Short  A

2	A	Specifically those documents, yes.
3	Q	Anything other than the documents attached to
4	your affida	avit?
5	A	Not that I recall.
6	Q	So, for
7	A	But I have familiarity with several other
8	procedures	
9	Q	For example, did you look at the FSAR for
10	Shoreham?	
11	A	Yes. I think I believe yes, I did look
12	at the FSAI	R and technical specifications.
13	Q	Who asked you to prepare the affidavit?
14	A	It was either Mr. Irwin or Mr. Zeugin. I
15	don't recal	ll which.
16	Q	Do you know, Mr. Scalice, why you were asked
17	to prepare	the affidavit rather than some other LILCO
18	employee?	
19	A	Well, I'm Operations Manager so I'm familiar
20	with operat	ting the plant. I would suspect that's the
21	reason.	
22	Q	And would it be the same reason that you

affidavit?

1	were asked to testify?
2	A I suspect so.
3	Q Now, since the preparation of your affidavit,
4	what, if anything, have you done to prepare for the hearing
5	which begins next week?
6	A I answered some questions that you gentlemen
7	had regarding some additional details.
8	Q That was in reponse to a discovery request by
9	New York State and the County?
10	A Yes.
11	Q So, you were provided questions and you pre-
12	pared answers to those questions?
13	Anything else?
14	A Could you be specific? In my normal work
15	function?
16	Q Anything in preparation for the hearings which
17	begin next week?
18	A I reread my affidavit.
19	Q Okay. Have you performed any calculations?
20	A No.
21	Q Have you had discussions or meetings with
22	anyone
TOWN AND PROPERTY.	

1	
-	
2	
-	
3	
4	
5	
2	
6	
0	
7	
8	
0	
9	
10	
10	
11	
12	
14	
13	
10	
14	
14	
7	
15	
-	
16	
-	
17	
17	
-	
18	
**	
19	
20	
20	
21	
21	
21	
21	

A Excuse me. About performing calculations -- you asked Mr. Stergakos and I overheard it, so I just did my own quick calculation.

Q What calculation did you just perform?

A You seemed to be interested in the calculation for shutting down the reactor, so I just wanted to be specific to you if you asked that question later.

Q Let me ask that now, then, since we are there. In your affidavit, you state that -- I will paraphrase this, is that the time needed to go through the sequence of steps in your affidavit to arrive at cold shutdown is approximately 12 to 16 hours, correct?

A That's correct.

Q Now, what is the basis for that figure in your affidavit?

A The basis for that is my knowledge of how to shut the plant down, practice at simulators, witnessing at other facilities that are operating. And knowing no mal times it takes to insert the fuel rods and my experience as Reactor Engineer and as a Licensed Operator.

Q Is there a degree of judgment involved in that estimation, then?

1	A Only conservatively. It would probably take
2	less.
3	Q Could it take longer than 12 to 16 hours?
4	A I see no way.
5	Q And the next paragraph of your affidavit talks
6	about if you manually scrammed the reactor, you could
7	arrive at cold shutdown in approximately eight hours?
8	A That's correct.
9	Q Now, what's the basis for that?
10	A Well, I don't have to insert the rods manual.
11	It only takes as I indicated in there, and that's
12	conservative again, five seconds for the rods to be
13	inserted when the manual scram is placed into the reactor.
14	Actually, it's usually it's in the area of around three
15	but five seconds is
16	Q Mr. Scalice, there is a statement of material
17	facts which was submitted by LILCO to the Licensing Board
18	in which it was stated that I will paraphrase again,
19	you can generally arrive at cold shutdown in less than 24
20	hours.

That I made?

22

Do you recall that statement?

Q This was in a statement of material facts that was submitted. Have you seen that statement of material

Let me show it to you. It has got some markings

(Mr. Miller hands the witness a paper writing.)

- What specific page are you referring to?
- Paragraph 5 says that the Shoreham plant staff can take the Shoreham plant from full power operation to cold shutdown within 24 hours following normal procedures.
  - That's correct.
- That figure is somewhat above your 12 to 16 hour estimate, and I'm wondering why the 24 hour time period was used, or if you think there is no possibility that it could take more than 12 to 16 hours?
- A I think the rest of the sentence says: And in a much shorter time if necessary.
  - Do you agree it could take up to 24 hours?
- No. Twelve to 16 hours is all I would need. I stand by my calculation.
- Do you know why the figure of 24 hours was used in the statement of material facts?

A I assume it was because of the notice that we were generally given prior to a strike or we have experienced.

Q Now, other than your calculations performed here a few minutes ago regarding the time necessary to get the plant to cold shutdown, have you performed any other calculations of any kind regarding the strike issues?

A Could you be a little bit more specific? I don't understand what you mean, regarding the strike issues.

Q Do you understand the issues that are going to be heard before the Licensing Board next week?

A To my understanding, how long it would take me to shut the plant, put the plant to a cold shutdown. If you are talking about Mr. Stergakos' area, I did not perform any calculations.

- Q I'm just talking about any calculations of any kind.
  - A I have not performed any additional calculations.
- Q And other than your affidavit, have you prepared anything in writing since you learned about the strike issues?

No.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. ZEUGIN: I want to make sure the record is clear on this. Were you including in that, Mike, for Mr. Scalice's clarification, in the discovery document also he said he provided an answer to?

MR. MILLER: I was asking for anything in writing. Let's back up and make sure it's clear.

BY MR. MILLER: (Continuing)

I guess we have, Mr. Scalice, the affidavit you prepared and we have the response, any response, you prepared to a discovery request that has been provided to the County.

That's the sum total of what I prepared.

Are you in the process of preparing anything at the present time?

A No.

Do you know if you will be preparing anything in writing to submit to the Board next week?

No, I do not.

Have you had any conversations with the NRC Staff, Mr. Scalice, with respect to the strike issues? The staff, no. A

1	Q	The NRC Staff?
2	A	I've had conversations with the NRC Resident
3	Inspector	at our facility.
4	Q	Regarding the strike issues?
5	A	Yes.
6	0	And what are the substance of the conversations
7	you have h	ad?
8	A	Well, I had daily conversations with him
9	not daily,	but conversations during our existing strike,
10	it's casua	1.
11		I knew that he was Resident Inspector at the
12	Salem Plan	t at the time of a work stoppage that they had
13	there.	
14	Q	Who is the Resident Inspector?
15	A	Chuck Petrone.
16	Q	And there was a work stoppage at Salem and
17	you talked	to him about that?
18	A	Yes, sir.
19	Q	What happened at Salem when they had a work
20	stoppage?	
21	A	They operated throughout the work stoppage at
22	a hundred	percent power, I believe, or some high power

- 2 4 5	[1] 이 경영화 경우 내 경영하게 되었다면 하면 회장 이 회장에 가는 실명하였다. 이 이 경영화에 되었다면 이 경영화를 하면 되었다. 그 그렇게 되었다면 하는 그 아니는 내 그리고 있다면 하는 사람이 되었다.
1	level anyway.
2	Q The work stoppage at Salem involved how many
3	employees of the utility that operates that plant?
4	A I don't have the slightest idea. I believe
5	it's a twin unit so there are a certain amount of
6	employees, but I don't know the number.
7	Q Other than Mr. Petrone, have you had discussions
8	with anyone else from the NRC regarding the strike issues?
9	A No, sir.
10	Q And did your conversations with Mr. Petrone
11	involve the issues raised by the Licensing Board that are
12	going to be litigated next week?
13	A I don't believe I understand that question.
14	Q Mr. Scalice, let me ask you about this statement
15	of material facts. There are a couple of things in here
16	I was curious about.
17	A Okay.
18	Q It says that approximately two-thirds of
19	LILCO employees in LERO belong to one or another of two
20	unions.
21	Is that correct, to your knowledge?

Could you repeat that again?

1	Q Approximately two-thirds of the I
2	in LERC belong to one or other of two unions
3	A I never did the calculation. We
4	unions that work at Long Island Lighting Cor
5	Q Do you know how many workers appr
6	there are in LERO?
7	A I don't have the exact number, no
8	Q Are there only two unions represe
9	workers that belong to let me start again
10	Are there only two unions to which
11	belong in LERO?
12	A I suspect so. We only have two
13	Long Island Lighting Company.
14	Q Now, do you have union employees
15	those two unions that are part of the operate
16	Shoreham?
17	A We have people that are in the en
18	response organization. If you consider that
19	wide umbrella, including LERO, then I guess
20	would be yes.
21	MR. ZEUGIN: Let me clarify the

LILCO employees

have two mpany.

roximately

ented for

ch workers

there in

represented by ting staff at

mergency t to be a the answer

intent of your question, Mike. Are you looking for members of the normal

plant staff and their normal operations as members of 1 2 3 plant staff, my last question. 5 7 confer.) 9 of the plant staff is union, yes. 10 BY MR. MILLER: (Continuing) 11 12 13 14 15 tion to go up higher than that. 16 17 staff personnel are unionized? 18 173. A 19 20

21

24

A

plant staff? Was your question whether those people are union members, or did it relate to emergency planning? MR. MILLER: I was going to the issue of WITNESS SCALICE: Not to my knowledge. (The witness, Mr. Scalice, and Mr. Zeugin WITNESS SCALICE: Are you asking me if part Okay. Let's try to back up a bit. What is the total operating staff, the numbers, of Shoreham? Well, the numbers change but presently there are about 320 people on the plant staff with an authoriza-Now, do you know how many of the 320 plant Are the remainder, then, all management personnel?

Classified supervisory management personnel, yes.

	-41
1	1
	B
	1
2	1
2	1
	1
	1
3	1
3	1
	1
4	The second secon
4	1
	1
	1
5	-
3	4
	4
	1
6	4
	1
	1
7	1
1	1
	1
	1
8	1
0	4
	1
9	1
9	1
	1
	1
10	1
10	4
	ì
	1
11	1
	1
	The same of the sa
12	the state of the s
12	1
	1
	1
13	1
13	1
	1
	1
14	1
	1
	B
15	1
15	1
	1
	1
16	ł
	1
	1
17	1
1/	1
	1
	1
18	1
15726	1
	1
10	1
19	1
	-
	1
20	1
20	1
	1
	1
21	1
	1
	1

- Q How many shifts do you run at this plant?
- A Six operating shifts.
- Q Is it three shifts a day, six total shifts?
- A We have six shift rotation; yes, there are three shifts a day.
- Q Is it fair to say, then, that the average shift size is approximately sixty workers?
  - A No.
  - Q What is the average size of a shift?
- A The average size of a shift depends on what area you are talking about. The operating complement is different from the -- what I mean by the operating complement, people that operate the equipment in my division is different, for instance, than the maintenance or clerical or support organization. Therefore, a considerable number of those people work on days and they are not carried over to the shift.
- Q Can you tell me, what is the size of the operating staff that would be on hand during a normal shift?
- A Yes. There is a watch engineer. I assume you mean an off-shift where normal management people are

not available. When I say management, upper-management.

Q I'm talking about the normal shift during a normal day, the operating staff that would be available?

A The normal day? Okay.

Q I realize there are days where a number of people come out to the plant that are not specifically assigned to the plant.

MR. ZEUGIN: Maybe I can help clarify a little bit of the Mr. Scalice's question.

I think Mr. Scalice is thinking about, there are some management personnel who he would include in what he would say would be a day operation shift who wouldn't be there at night. I think he would include himself probably.

WITNESS SCALICE: I don't know which of the two you want me to tell you.

BY MR. MILLER: (Continuing)

Q Let's do it for both. Let's do it for the day and then for the evening. But, you can give me the size of the operating staff that would normally be on hand at the Shoreham plant during a normal shift during the day.

A It would be the numbers I just wrote down except

for those that are not there and that are working on the 1 other shifts. So that, for instance, they are working 2 4 to 12 or 12 to 8. I think it's easier --3 The size is what I'm looking for. If you could give me the size. 5 Okay. That's not so easy to do. I would have 6 to take a little time to figure it out. 7 MR. ZEUGIN: Would you like a piece of paper so you can write it down? 9 WITNESS SCALICE: Sure. 10 (The witness is doing some figuring on 11 paper.) 12 I can round it off to say that approximately 13 a third would not be there, less than a third would not 14 be there. Out of this total complement, it's considerably 15 less. It would take me a long time to figure that exact 16 calculation out. 17 BY MR. MILLER: (Continuing) 18 I'm confused, Mr. Scalice. During the day now, 19 are you saying that approximately 200 workers would be --20 In excess of 200 people, yes. 21

Per shift?

A No. In the day.

Q Okay. 8 to 4?

A Or later than that, because we never seem to work 8 to 4.

Let me see if I can be a little more explicit for you. I understand your confusion.

In the plant staff, 320 people. There exists clerical help, managerial help, shift operators, maintenance people, radiochemistry people, health physics people, et cetera.

During the day, the clerical people are there.

We do work some people on the 4 to 12 but it's not a significant number. So, out of that portion of that 173, the majority of the clerical functions are not there.

The majority of the operations group would not be there except for those that were either covering the operating shift or in training.

The maintenance people would be on a normal day shift but only would work on evenings to do special repair. The health physics people are on shift in accordance with the technical specifications and will be on shift in accordance with the technical specifications, at least

one HP technician, radiochemistry technician, and then we have normal fire brigade requirements.

So, it's not an easy thing. It would take me a little while to put it together to give you the exact number. But the majority of the people are on the days, and under certain circumstances the minimum number of shift complement plus security people and some extra jobs that are going on are on the night shifts.

Q Let's try it a little different way. Could you tell me what would be approximately the smallest number of persons that could be on duty at Shoreham at any time during the day?

A Okay. In accordance with -- there would be the minimum number which would be in accordance with the technical specifications. It would be one watch engineer. I will write that down.

(The witness is writing on paper.)

I will show you in the tech specs and see if this is the same. A minimum of eleven people not counting security. And that number will go up.

Q Okay. So the minimum number would be eleven persons without counting security at any time during the

And not counting a rad waste operator that we 2 will be hiring in the next couple of weeks. 3 Now, those eleven persons -- and let's exclude security for now. Of those eleven persons, how many of 5 those would be unionized? Eight. 7 Now, which of these eleven are not members of some union? If you can give me the job category. The watch engineer, the watch supervisor, and 10 the shift technical advisor. 11 Can you give me the job categories of the 12 eight who are members of the union? 13 Sure. Two reactor operators and three equip-14 ment operators. I'm sorry, four equipment operators. An HP tech and a rad chem tech. 16 Q I missed the last two. I'm sorry. 17 18 An HP tech, health physics technician; and a 19 rad chem technician. Could I refer to the technical specifications? 21 Sure. 0 (Mr. Zeugin provides the witness with a paper 22

writing.)

day --

you have made

That's correct. The minimum possible that would

1	Q (Continuing) Let me make sure I
2	MR. ZEUGIN: Let him check that.
3	WITNESS SCALICE: The rad chem tech would not
4	be required.
5	BY MR. MILLER: (Continuing)
6	Q So, are you saying now the minimum size would
7	be ten?
8	A Uh-huh. Even though we plan to put them on
9	shift, it's not required by technical specifications.
10	Q Okay. So, the minimum size of any shift,
11	excluding security, would be ten persons, seven of which
12	would be members of the union?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Now, let me make sure we are understanding
15	one another, Mr. Scalice. My question assumes that the
16	plant is in operation.
17	A I'm not counting security. And there are
18	supervisors in security that are LILCO personnel.
19	Q Right. I'm excluding security for right now.
20	So, I'm assuming the plant is operating and you have made
21	that assumption, correct?
The second second second	

1	be on shift.			
2	Q That's night or day?			
3	A That's highly unlikely, though.			
4	Q Night or day, twenty-four hours a day, any			
5	time?			
6	A No. It's on the 12 to 8 shift would be the			
7	minimum time, the way I look at it.			
8	Q So, you have given me, though, the minimum			
9	number of persons that could be on site at any time,			
10	night or day?			
11	Is that correct?			
12	A That's correct.			
13	Q And you were talking about the midnight to			
14	8 a.m. shift?			
15	A That's correct.			
16	Q You keep mentioning security. Is the security			
17	force unionized?			
18	A Not the same union. They are unionized.			
19	Q Mr. Scalice, would you look at a copy, if you			
20	have it, of the information you provided to New York			
21	State and the County in discovery?			
22	At the top of the page it says, "Non-Union			

1 2 3 and the numbers? 6 7 9 and you give the job titles? 10 A Yes. 11 12 A Yes. 13 14 15 That's right. 16 17 your discovery list? 18 19 20 on this list? 21 The reactor operators I was talking about are

22

Manpower Available to Bring Plant to Cold Shutdown and Maintain It In That Condition." Do you see on the first page, Mr. Scalice, there is a listing at the bottom of various job categories Yes. That's correct. You say there are presently twenty non-union LILCO employees who are licensed senior reactor operators, Did you prepare this list? Now, you gave me a category for your minimum shift. You said there would be a shift technical advisor. Q Which category does that correspond to in He is presently not a licensed individual. And the reactor operators, did they appear

the nuclear system station operator and nuclear station

operator. Those are union jobs. 1 Okay. So, looking at the list of non-union employees, Mr. Scalice, you provided in discovery, the 3 only ones that would be on-site during this minimum shift, 12 to 8 a.m. shift, would be one watch engineer 5 and one watch supervisor; is that correct? 7 A On the absolute minimum occasion, yes. Just for clarification, at the present time. Which are you referring to now? 10 At the present time. 11 Are you referring to your list --0 12 Yes. 13 -- that was provided during discovery? There are additional people that are going --14 A in management that are going for licenses right now. 16 But as of now, this is the list? 17 Right. But they will be finished at around 18 February. 19 Would you look at your affidavit, Mr. Scalice, 20 that you prepared? 21 A (The witness is complying.)

Looking at the second page of your affidavit,

you have a listing of the operational conditions. 1 are four different conditions there, correct? 2 Yes, four. 3 And do you have a copy of the tech spec we have been referring to? 5 Hold on a second so I can find it. 6 There is a Table 6.2.2-1. 7 That's correct. Could you tell me how the four operational conditions in your affidavit correspond to the five, it 10 would appear to be, operational conditions of the tentacle 11 specifications in this table? 12 Condition 1 is power operation. Condition 2 13 is start-up, which was not discussed. Condition 3 is 14 hot shutdown. Condition 4 is cold shutdown. Condition 5 15 is refueling. 16 Why is start-up not in your affidavit? 17 It wasn't pertinent to the affidavit. I could have defined it, defined it as the mode switch and 20 start-up. Okay. Now, I want to ask about the mode switch. 21 Could you tell me all the various positions of the mode 22

Market Land	[24] 마음살림에 있었다면 어린 어린 아들은 아들이 있다면 하게 되었다면 하는데
2	A Those are they are shutdown, refuel, start-up,
3	start-up/hot standby actually. It's got a dual same
4	thing. And run.
5	Q So, four different positions?
6	A That's correct.
7	Q Shutdown, refueling, start-up, hot shutdown
8	A Hot standby.
9	Q Hot standby and run?
10	A That's correct.
11	Q The excuse me.
12	A The difference between hot and cold shutdown or
13	shutdown, it's still shutdown.
14	Q Now, for each of the five conditions listed in
15	the tech specs, could you tell me what position the mode
16	switch would be in?
17	A Yes. Condition 5 would be refuel. Condition 4
18	would be shutdown. Condition 3 would be shutdown.
19	Condition 2 would be start-up. Condition 1 would be run.
20	Q And right after, in your affidavit, Mr. Scalice,
21	where you have listed these operational conditions you have
22	a listing of the steps involved in this sequence of
Y March	

switch?

7	100	
	Olera I	
	172	
2	100	(3)
2	81.8	
		16.0
-	25.0	
3		F
-		100
	20.5	В.
	3245	
		PK.
4	- 1	
4		
		G.
	20-1	
		60
5	550	les:
. 3		
	1	
	200	Ю.
	Sec. 13	
0		
46		87
	700	
	-	и.
-	-0	
6		
	1	
8	1	
	13.37	161
0		
0	CO.	
	200	
		9-
	1000	
9	-	
7	1200	
	7	
	1	
-	1	
10	Sec. 1	
	- 3	100
11	/ 4	65
		17.7
12		
12		
12		
12		
12		
12		
12		
12		
12		
12		
12 13 14		
12 13 14		
12		
12 13 14		
12 13 14		
12 13 14 15		
12 13 14 15 16		
12 13 14 15 16		
12 13 14 15		
12 13 14 15 16		
12 13 14 15 16		
12 13 14 15 16 17		
12 13 14 15 16 17		
12 13 14 15 16		
12 13 14 15 16 17		
12 13 14 15 16 17		
12 13 14 15 16 17		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18		
12 13 14 15 16 17		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		

- A A brief listing.
- Q -- getting to cold shutdown, correct?
- A It's a summary, yes.
- Q And you prepared this listing?
- A That's correct.
- Q Could you tell me who performs each step in this sequence? I'm looking for the operator involved and any operators that would be -- well, I'm looking for the people that would be involved in this step.
  - A Under what scenario?
- Q Under the scenario of bringing the plant to cold shutdown.
  - A With eleven people or ten people?
- Q Yes. Let's go with the small shift, as of now, ten people.
- A Sure. Reactor power is reduced by lowering the recirc flow. That would be the NSO, which would be one of the reactor operators. Main steam is the line with the rad waste steam generator. That would be the NSO, Nuclear Station Operator, which is one of the reactor operators, as indicated.

Just for clarification, these are technical

specifications. As you may or may not know, they are specific to our plant but they are not unlike other plants. So, reactor operator is the broad term used to cover the different terms or the specific titles that each utility may call a reactor operator. He may be called a control operator. He may be called a control board operator.

In our case, he is a nuclear station operator or a nuclear system station operator. It's a man that has a license to manipulate the control.

Q Okay. Let me go back for a second. In the first step in your affidavit, you say the NSO would perform that, and that means what?

- A A reactor operator.
- Q And the second step?
- A Reactor operator.
- Q Okay. Go ahead, please.
- A Reactor operator.
- Q Could you match the --
- A C.

- Q -- steps.
- A A reactor operator for D. Reactor operator for E. Combination of a reactor operator and equipment

operator for F. Reactor operator for G. Reactor operator for H. Reactor operator for I. Reactor operator for J. Reactor operator for K. Reactor operator for L. Reactor operator for M. Reactor operator for N. And O is not a step; it's continuing, the same.

Q And when you are telling me, Mc. Scalice, that a reactor operator, you are saying one person would perform this step?

A One or two.

Q If it was two, would it be two reactor operators?

A That's true. Manipulations of controls is done only by licensed reactor operators.

Q Does any step listed in your affidavit require more than two persons to perform the step?

A These steps could be performed by one or two individuals. Some of the auxiliaries may be aligned in the field but they can be done by the control room basically.

Q I gather, Mr. Scalice, that -- well, the individuals you have mentioned, these reactor operators, in one case, the equipment operator, they are union people, correct?

A That's correct.

1	l
1	ļ
	1
2	ł
2	į
	ĵ
3	ł
3	ļ
	ł
	į
4	ĺ
	Į
	l
5	1
	1
	į
6	1
	Ì
	1
7	1
	ł
	1
0	ŧ
0	ł
	1
0	l
7 8 9	1
	1
10	ļ
10	1
	1
**	1
11	ŧ
	1
12	Ì
	1
7 10	ì
13	ł
	į
	1
14	Į
	ì
	Į
15	1
	1
	ł
16	ł
	1
	1
17	1
	ì
	3
18	1
	-
	l
19	1
	1
	ı
20	ı
20	ı
	j
21	ı
21	the safety of the last of the
	1
22	1
22	ı

Q And your affidavit states you believe these steps could be performed by non-union management level people in the absence of the union people?

A That's correct. I can perform those steps.

Q Looking again at your discovery list, can you tell me, Mr. Scalice, what does the compliance engineer do? What is his job task?

A The compliance engineer maintains the records of technical specifications to ensure that they are complying with them.

Q Would that person be involved in the steps needed to bring the plant to cold shutdown?

A Would he need to be? No.

Would he be able to?

A Yes. All of these people are senior reactor operators. Anyone of those senior reactor operators has the ability to manipulate the controls. They are licensed, and as a matter of fact they are senior licensed above the reactor operator category.

Q Could you tell me, Mr. Scalice, what the duties of the rad chem tech person is? What those duties would be?

A As I said, the rad chem tech is not required 1 as of yet by technical specification but his duties might 2 be to perform water chemistry. 3 What about the health physics tech? What are his job duties? Health physics, radiation protection activities. During the transition to cold shutdown, Mr. 7 Scalice, what would his duties be? 8 The health physics technician? 9 0 Yes. 10 Wouldn't be necessary. A 11 And going back to the rac chem tech, if you 12 had one on board at Shoreham during the transition to 13 cold shutdown, what would the duties of a rad chem tech 14 be? Under normal conditions, whatever he was 16 assigned by his manager on the day shift. 17 He wouldn't be necessary to bring the plant 18 19 to cold shutdown? No. The reason these people are there is for 21 other evolutions. 22 I'm sorry.

	9/6	
1		
2		
2		
3		
3		
	20	
	123	
4		
5	300	
6		
7		
7		
8		
0		
	- 1	
9	5.3	
7		
	3	
10		
	9.73	
11		
11	7,0	
	0.0	
12		
13		
1,77	- 0	
14		
15		
16		
10		
17	-10	
.,	373	
18		
10		
10		
17		
	417	
20	300	
20	1	
21	TH	
	14.	

A Other normal evolutions.

Q Other evolutions? What does that mean?

A Is when you are operating the plant and you wanted to take a water chemistry check, you would have them doing water chemistry checks. If you were going to process a system, rad waste systems, you may take some chemical analysis.

And you certainly don't have to do that during, you know, the process of taking it down to cold shutdown.

Q The eleventh paragraph of your affidavit, Mr. Scalice, says: Once the reactor comes off the cold shutdown it can be maintained in that condition indefinitely by management level plant staff employees alone if necessary.

Could you tell me, or describe to me, what is necessary to maintain the reactor in cold shutdown?

A Sure. I will place the residual heat removal system in service in the shutdown cooling mode of operation.

O Is that it?

A Well, that's the main thrust of maintaining the plant in cold shutdown condition. Other controls are usually run. There are other things. Maintaining

reactor water level, but if you are on a cold shutdown
maintaining reactor water level is of little significance,
as there should be no variable.

Q And what personnel would be required to maintain

Q And what personnel would be required to maintain the reactor in cold shutdown for an indefinite period?

A We have the ability to bring additional people on within this category, so it's still the licensed people. If you are running controls associated with the reactor, then you need licensed people in the control room that manipulate those controls.

Q So, you are saying that some of the twenty persons listed in the discovery document you provided --

A Will be in the control room.

Q -- and would be able to maintain the reactor in cold shutdown indefinitely?

A All of those persons could do that function.

By the way, let me add something for clarification.

The RHR system is not the only system operating.

If you want me to go through all the systems operating,

I will. To have the RHR system operating, you need the

service water system and other auxiliaries in the plant
that would be available and they are normally running.

	- 11
	- 11
1	
	H
	- 11
2	- 1
2	- 11
	- 1
	- 11
2	- H
3	
	1
	- 11
4	- 11
- 7	- 11
	- 11
5	- 11
	- 13
	- 11
	- 11
4	- 11
	- 41
	- 11
-	- 1
7	- 11
	- 11
	- 11
0	
0	
	- 11
-	- 11
9	- 11
	- 11
	- 19
10	- 11
10	- 11
	- 11
**	- 11
11	- 11
	- 11
	- 11
12	- 11
12	- 11
	- 11
	- 11
	- 11
13	- 11
	- 11
14	
**	- 11
	. 1
	- 11
15	- 11
15	. 11
	- 41
-	- 11
16	- 11
	- 11
	- 11
17	
11	
	11
30	
18	1
	- 1
41.5	
19	
	0.1
20	
20	
	- 11
	100
-	
21	
21	- 11
21	

Q Mr. Scalice, let me ask a question and see if I can clarify something. I'm looking now at this Table 6.2.2-1 of the tech specs. Conditions 4 and 5 are cold shutdown; is that correct?

A And refueling. Condition 4 is cold shutdown.

Condition 5 is refueling.

Q And according to the tech spec you would need a minimum of three persons for those stages, correct?

A That's correct.

Q Under those operational conditions. Now, are you saying that LILCO could have a minimum of three people on duty at all times indefinitely in the event of a strike?

A Absolutely.

Q And those three persons would come from the list of 20 persons that are listed in this document entitled "Non-Union Manpower Available to Bring Plant to Cold Shutdown?"

A That, and other additional people if necessary.
Only two of those are licensed people out of that three.

Q What other additional people were you referring to?

A Management staff. It's not necessary but it's available. Only two licenses are required during

Condition 4 and 5; the watch engineer is a license category and the reactor operator. We would perhaps put a watch supervisor in that position.

The other job is not in a licensed category.

So, if we took anyone of these we could put them in that position also in the field if necessary, or SDA or management.

Q How many personnel would be necessary to do a refueling operation?

exactly to the specific -- depending on what the extent of the refueling operation would be. If you are just saying moving fuel, I would need a man in the control room, I need somebody on the refueling deck who is an SRO and probably people doing mechanical functions which can still come out of the management ranks.

Q How many people would you need to do the mechanical functions you refer to?

A It would depend on the extent of what the functions were. Just to change fuel around, it would take

a man up on the deck which is the SRO controlling any of the activities, the person operating the refueling bridge, another person verifying the refuel moves, communication with the control room which then takes into the fact that there will be somebody at the control panel monitoring the activity moves.

1

2

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

Any time you move fuel it's core alteration and in accordance with the tech specs requires somebody to be monitoring both at the refueling bridge which is on our elevation 175 feet and the control room, verifying that the moves have all been correctly categorized on refueling tagboards.

Q When you just said, Mr. Scalice, that you would need another person in the control room, you are talking about a person in addition to the two persons required by the tech spec to be in the control room; is that correct?

A No. I mean another person up on the refueling bridge is an SRO, to stand in the control room could be that man. The tech spec stated that.

"All core alterations shall be observed and directly supervised by either a licensed senior reactor

operator or a licensed senior reactor operator limited 1 to fuel, handling no other concurrent responsibilities 2 during this operation." 3 Let's try it again, Mr. Scalice. During cold shutdown -- let's go back to refueling. The tech specs 5 says you are going to have a minimum of three people --6 Two of which are licensed. 7 -- two of which are licensed, and you say you will add a reactor operator on the refueling bridge? 9 Yes. 10 Now --0 11 As in accordance with technical specifications. A 12 Now, did you also say you would have to add a 13 person to the control room --14 A No. 15 -- or is that control room function, it could 16 be ultimately performed by one of the people listed in 17 the tech specs? 18 Yes. He can be assisted by any of those 19 other personnel if necessary. 20

The other personnel is the personnel from

the list you provided to the County during discovery?

Q

21

22

1		
1	A	That's correct.
2	Q	Mr. Scalice, let me ask you, during the recent
3	strike did	the security force go on strike?
4	A	No, they did not.
5	Q	Was that considered by the security force?
6	A	No, it was not.
7	0	It was not?
8	A	(The witness nodded in the negative.)
9	Q	There is a statement in the statement of
10	material fa	cts which says that it talks about the
11	recently ex	pired contracts with LILCO's unions and how
12	they contai	ned no strike clauses.
13		Do you know, do the new contracts with the
14	unions cont	ain no strike clauses?
15	A	I'm told I'm not sure. I haven't seen them.
16	Q	You are told they do?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Are those clauses do you have any knowledge
19	whether tho	se clauses are essentially the same as the
20	no strike c	lauses contained in the previous contracts?
21	A	That would only be an assumption on my part,

but I assume it is. I have not seen the contract wording

as of yet in booklet form.

Q Mr. Scalice, are you familiar with the proposed license conditions?

A Yes.

Q And I think you were here during the Prior deposition. There were questions regarding this language of conducting other operations?

A Yes.

Q Can you tell me, I'm looking at the Item 2 in the proposed license condition, what other operations are assumed in this provision?

A I don't think there is any basic assumption of what those might be. I mean, there was no particular statement of fact categorized in any fashion.

In other words, we did not come up with a list of them, but they would cover a wide range of things that possibly can be done.

Q Give me an example of the range of things that could be performed?

A Aside from refueling, I changed nuclear instrumentation which is in the refueling mode and it would be work inside the reactor vessel of any sort possibly.

	138	
	The second secon	
-	-11	
1	-11:	
	-11.	
	-11	
	-11-	
-	-11	
2	-15	
-	- 11	
	-11	
	-11	
	-11	
-	-38-	
3	-11	
-	-11:	
	-18	
	-11	
4	-18	
*	-11	
	-11	
	-11	
	11	
3	- 11	
	- 14	
5 6 7		
	11	
1	11	
6	11	
4	11	
	11	
	-11	
7	-11:	
-	-11	
	- 11	
	- 11	
	-11	
100	-13	
8	11	
•	-11	
	- 11	
	- 11	
	- 11	
9	40	
	-11	
	-15	
	- 13	
	-11	
**	-11	
10	-11	
-	- 13	
	-15	
	-11	
	-11	
11	- 15	
	-11	
	-11	
	-11	
	- 11:	
12	- 15	
12	- 11	
	-18	
	- 11	
	- 11	
	- 11	
13	11	
	-33	
	-11	
	-11	
	- 11	
14	-11:	
1-4	- 11	
	-10	
	ार	
	- 11	
15	100	
13	1	
	1	
-	STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	
	-	
16	The second second	
16	The second secon	
16		
16	The second secon	
16	The second secon	
16		
16		

19

20

21

22

Q So, what you are saying is that when this language was put into the proposed license condition, there was no exhaustive list that had been put together?

A That's correct.

Q Was there any list put together to your knowledge setting forth what these other conditions would be?

A Only verbalized, as I'm saying to you right now. We might do some internal vessel work which are parts of normal refueling outages.

Q Is it your understanding, or is it fair to say that this language to conduct such other operations is anything that LILCO would want to conduct so long as the staff approved it?

A That's correct.

Q Mr. Scalice, looking at the tech specs again,
I'm having the same trouble keeping track of the papers
here, could you tell me what is the status -- I'm looking
at the tech spec that was attached to your August 17,
1984 letter from Mr. Zeugin.

A Yes.

Q I'm looking at -- there is a Tech Spec 6.0,

Administrative Control, and attached to that is this table that we have been referring to, 6.2.2-1.

Now, could you tell me, what is the status of this tech spec? Is it approved?

A Is it approved? No. We haven't completed proof and review yet. This is a proof and review copy.

It's the method of operation that the Commission uses to not to finalize tech specs in total --

Q I'm sorry.

A Until some short time prior to closing out all unclosed items. This particular one has been around for a long time.

Q Could you tell me, has this particular tech spec been revised?

A Chapter 6 is the administrative control section, and when you change organizations of any kind, if you change personnel or you move division people around, it would be changed.

Q My question is, has this tech spec ever been revised to your knowledge?

A Yes.

Q It has been revised?

2	
-	
-	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
14	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
18	
10	
10	
19	
20	
21	
21	
1976	
22	
-	

A It has been revised, to my knowledge, yes.

Q Has it been revised since the County and State were provided copies?

A No.

Q So, this is still the tech spec that LILCO is working with?

A That's correct.

MR. MILLER: Let's go off the record.

(An off-the-record discussion ensues.)

MR. MILLER: I have no further questions. But I would just like to make sure on the record that, Mr. Zeugin, you understand our request regarding the documentation that Mr. Stergakos referred to in his deposition, and although Mr. McMurray said that he would like it provided before Tuesday when trial begins, we would like that document provided no later than Monday morning, in light of the fact the trial begins on Tuesday.

And if there are any problems in that regard,

I would like to know because it is a matter which we would

have to consider taking to the Board.

MR. ZEUGIN: The only hesitation I have, I have not seen the documents that Mr. Stergakos has referred to.

I have no idea what their shape is. I will give them to you. They may be totally meaningless in the current condition they are in. I'm not sure if you want someone scratching on the back of an envelope as compared to him putting it down in an orderly fashion so I can read it to understand what he has done.

That's the only problem I have. I do not know what shape these documents are in. But I will check and do the best I can and get back with you and tell you what I find.

MR. MILLER: If you would inform us as soon as possible, and if we don't hear from you we will expect to have them Monday morning.

I have no further questions.

(Whereupon, at 12:45 p.m., the taking of the deposition was concluded.)

State of hew york County of Suffold Sworn before me this 31 of day & August, 1984

NOTARY PUBLIC, State of New York
No. 52-46158-10
Qualified in Suffolk County
Commission Expires 2000 CA 30,1985

JOHN A. SCALICE

## CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

I, MYRTLE H. TRAYLOR, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported in Stenomask the deposition of John A. Scalice.

I further certify that said transcript contains a true and correct transcription of the answers given to the questions herein asked.

I further certify that said transcription was done either by me or under my supervision.

I further certify that I have no interest, financial or otherwise, in the outcome of this litigation.

Given under my hand this 24th day of August,

mothe H. Traylor

Notary Public in and for the State of Virginia at

Myrtle H. Traylor

Large

1984.

My Commission Expires:

June 1, 1985