NRC Form 366 (9-83) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)							U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO. 31500104 EXPIRES: 8/31/86										
FACILITY	NAME (1)										DOCKET NUMBER	(2)		F	PAC	JE (3)
Be	aver	Val	ley	POW	ver Stati	ion, Uni	it 1					0 5 0 0	101	3 1 31	4 1	OF	012
TITLE (4)			l cole							HIII							
In	adeq	uate	Su	rvei	illance 1	Testing	Requi	reme	nts								
	NT DATE				LEA NUMBER (ORT DAT				R FACILITIES INVO					
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YE	YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REVISION NUMBER	REVISION MONTH DAY				FACILITY NAMES		DOCKET NUMBER(S)				
											N/A	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	0 1	5 0 1	0 10)	L
0 6	1 8	8 4	8	4	0 0 6	0 1	0 8	0 9	8 4		N/A		0 1	51010	0 10	0 1	
	RATING		THE	S REPOR	RT IS SUBMITTE	D PURSUANT	O THE RE	DUIREM	ENTS OF 10	CFR 5: /	Check one or more	of the following) (1	1)				
POWER	POWER LEVEL (10) 1 0 0			20.402(b) 20.405(a)(1)(i) 20.405(a)(1)(ii)			20.406(c) 80.36(c)(1) 80.36(c)(2)		50.73(a)(2)(iv) 50.73(a)(2)(v) 50.73(a)(2)(vii)		73.71(b) 73.71(c) OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form						
			-	20.405(a)(1)(iii) X 20.405(a)(1)(iv) 20.496(a)(1)(v)			50.73(a)(2)(i) 50.73(a)(2)(ii) 50.73(a)(2)(iii)				50,73(a)(2)(viii)(A) 50,73(a)(2)(viii)(B) 50,73(a)(2)(x)			365A)			
		***********				L	ICENSEE C	ONTACT	FOR THIS	LER (12)							
NAME													TELEP	HONE NU	MBER		
	Robe	rt d	. [)ruga	a, Chief	Engine	er					4 1 2	61	4131	-11	12	6 4
					COMPLETE	ONE LINE FOR	EACH CON	APONENT	FAILURE	DESCRIBE	D IN THIS REPO	RT (13)	-				
CAUSE	SYSTEM	сом	PONE	NT.	MANUFAC- TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPROS			CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC- TURER		PORTABLE D NPRDS			
D	EJJ	XIX	1X	1 X)	x	χ					111	111					
		1	1								111	111					,
					SUPPLEME	NTAL REPORT	EXPECTED	3 (14)				EXPECTE	ED.	MONT	н	DAY	YEAR
					IBMISSION DATE		X] NO				SUBMISSI DATE (1	ON			L	

On 6/21/84, during a review of the eighteen (18) month surveillance testing methods used on the 120V DC station batteries, it was determined that the test methods were inadequate. This is non-conservative with respect to Technical Specification 4.8.2.3.2.d and reportable per 10 CFR 50.73.a.2.i. The surveillance requirement stated that the batteries be tested for two (2) hours at a load equivalent to their design duty cycles; however, it was determined that the tests did not test at actual or simulated emergency loads for the design duty cycles. The Onsite Safety Committee (OSC) and the Offsite Review Committee (ORC) then conferred to determine the current operability status of the station batteries. The batteries were determined to be operable based on the results of previous capacity tests and the added safety factor included in the original design of the batteries. A proposed Technical Specification Change to permit delaying the 18 month testing requirements and the appropriate battery service test to be conducted during the fourth refueling outage was approved by the OSC/ORC. This delay was necessary to develop new battery duty curves, and new test procedures and to procure the required battery testing equipment. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has granted tentative approval of this proposed Technical Specification Change pending further review.

> 8409100091 840809 PDR ADDCK 05000334 PDR

IFZZ

		366	

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)		
		YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER			
Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit 1	0 5 0 0 0 3 3 4	8 4 - 0 0 6 - 0 1	0 2 0 1 0 1 2		

On 6/21/84, during a review of the eighteen (18) month surveillance methods used to verify operability of the 125V DC station batteries, it was determined that the test methods were inadequate in that the test method does not agree with Technical Specification 4.8.2.3.2.d which is based on IEEE 450-1980 per the Technical Specification Bases. IEEE Standard 450-1980 Section 6.6(2) requires the discharge rate during performance of the test to follow the Design Duty Cycle for the battery.

The 60 month capacity tests for Batteries 3 and 4 were performed 6/83 and 7/83 respectively; the tests were verified to be satisfactory and showed no indication of abnormal battery degradation. Batteries 1 and 2 were last capacity checked 6/81 and 6/82, respectively, and were verified to be satisfactory. Initial battery testing was performed at the factory per IEEE 450-1972.

The Onsite Safety Committee (OSC) and the Offsite Review Committee (ORC) then conferred to determine the current operability status of the station batteries. The batteries were judged operable based on the previous 60 month capacity tests. If conservatively projected out, the trend curves for the previous tests would fall well within the 80% of manufacturer's rated capacity as required by Technical Specification 4.8.2.3.2.e. Based on the satisfactory performance of the quarterly battery voltage checks, the batteries have not indicated any abnormal battery degradation. Additionally, the batteries are protected by a 50% margin of safety. The committee felt that this safety factor combined with the margin of rated battery capacity well above the required 80% (after the expected normal battery degradation) provides sufficient basis to justify the decision to consider the batteries as being operable.

The OSC recommended that an Engineering Memorandum be generated to develop Battery Duty Cycle Curves based on loading under worse case conditions and that a new surveillance test procedure be developed utilizing the new curves. Also, the OSC recommended that the 60 month capacity checks for batteries 1 and 2, or if available the revised 18 month service tests, be performed upon the next entry into Cold Shutdown to verify the above evaluation.

The USC along with the ORC then recommended approval of a proposed Technical Specification Change which would pennit the test performance to be deferred until the Fourth Refueling Outage and then performed at 18 month intervals thereafter. A Safety Evaluation was also performed as a result of this proposed change. It was determined that no unreviewed safety questions existed.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has tentatively approved this proposed Technical Specification Change pending further review. A formal reponse from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission regarding this proposed change is expected by 9/1/84.

There were no safety implications to the public as a result of these inadequate procedures. The station batteries were determined to be operable at all times and no abnormal battery degradation was found to occur.



Nuclear Division P.O. Box 4 Shippingport, PA 15077-0004 Telephone (412) 393-6000

August 14, 1984 ND1SS1:2148

Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit No. 1 Docket No. 50-334, License No. DPR-66 LER 84-006-01

Dr. Thomas E. Murley Regional Administrator United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region 1 Park Avenue King of Prussia, PA 19406

Gentlemen:

In accordance with Appendix A, Beaver Valley Technical Specifications, the following revised Licensee Event Report is submitted:

LER 84-006-01, 10 CFR 50.73.a.2.i; "Operation (Testing) prohibited by Technical Specification.

This revised Licensee Event Report is being issued to correct typographical errors which resulted in omitted information on Licensee Event Report 84-006.

Very truly yours,

Station Superintendent

Attachment

T. E. Murley August 14, 1984 NDISS1:2148 Page two

cc: Director of Management & Program Analisis United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

C. A. Roteck, Ohio Edison

Director, Office of Inspection and Enforcement Headquarters United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. Peter Tam, BVPS Licensing Project Manager United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

W. Troskoski, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, BVPS Site Inspector

Mr. Alex Timme, CAPCO Nuclear Projects Coordinator, Toledo Edison

INPO Records Center Suite 1500 1100 Circle 75 Parkway Atlanta, GA 30339

G. E. Muckle, Factory Mutual Engineering, Pittsburgh

Mr. J. A. Triggiani, Operating Plant Projects Manager Mid Atlantic Area Westinghouse Electric Corporation Nuclear Services Integration Division Box 2728 Pittsburgh, PA 15230

American Nuclear Insurers c/o Dottie Sherman, ANI Library The Exchange Suite 245 270 Farmington Avenue Farmington, CN 06032