

Public Service Electric and Gas Company P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038 Hope Creek Generating Station

May 15, 1992

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Dear S'r:

MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT HOPE CREEK GENERATION STATION UNIT 1 DOCKET NO. 50-354

In compliance with Section 6.9, Reporting Requirements for the Hope Creek Technical Specifications, the operating statistics for April are being forwarded to you along with the summary of changes, tests, and experiments for April 1992 persuant to the requirements of 10CFR50.59(b).

Sincerely yours,

3. J. Haya. General Manager -Hope Creek Operations

Attachments

C Distribution

200003

The Energy People

95 2173 (26M) 12-69

INDEX

SECTION							OF PAGES
Average Faily Unit Power Leve	1		* *		*	x ' x	1
Operating Data Report				*			2
Refueling Information							1
Monthly Operating Summary		· ·		*			1
Summary of Changes, Tests, an	d Exp	eri	ment	s.	ď.		5

AVERAGE DAILY UNIT POWER LEVEL

* 44

DOCKET NO. 50-354
UNIT Hope Creek
DATE 5/15/92
COMPLETED BY V. Zabielski
TELEPHONE (609) 339-3506

MONTH April 1992

11011111	The State of the consequence of the State of		
DAY AVE	RAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)	DAY AVE	RAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)
1.	1060	17.	1052
2.	1061	1.	1064
3.	1061	19.	1053
4.	1061	20.	1053
5.	1009	21.	824
6.	1050	22.	486
7.	1048	23.	967
8.	1058	24.	987
9.	1049	25.	561
10.	1061*	26.	655
11.	1059*	27.	1058
12.	1037.	28.	1056
13.	1068*	29.	1059
14.	1072*	30.	1049
15.	1059*	31.	N/A
16.	1054		

^{*} A calculated value was used on April 10 through 15 due to the failure of the 'A' phase potential transformer, which was returned to service on the 15th.

OPERATING DATA REPORT

DOCKET NO. 50-354

UNIT Hope Creek

DATE 5/15/92

COMPLETED BY V. Zabielski V. Zabi

OPERATING STATUS

- 1. Reporting Period April 1992 Gross Hours in Report Period 719
- 2. Currently Authorized Power Level (MWt) 3293
 Max Depend. Capacity (MWe-Net) 1031
 Design Electrical Rating (MWe-Net) 1067
- 3. Power Level to which restricted (if any) (MWe-Net) None

4.	Reasons for restriction (if any)	This Month	Yr To	Cumulative
5.	No. of hours reactor was critical		<u>Date</u> 2681.8	39.843.1
6.	Reactor reserve shutdown hours	0.0	0.0	0.0
7.	Hours generator on line	719.0	2654.2	39,228.6
8.	Unit reserve shutdown hours	0.0	0.0	0.0
9.	Gross thermal energy generated (MWH)	2,238,772	8,493,893	124,491,035
£u.	coss electrical energy enerated (MWH)	747,440	2,843,020	41,195,514
33.	t electrical energy generated	715,160	2,718,091	39,369,610
12	Gactor service factor	100.0	92.4	84.7
13.	Reactor availability factor	100.0	92.4	84.7
14.	Unit service factor	100.0	91.4	83.4
15.	Unit availability factor	100.0	91.4	83.4
16.	Unit capacity factor (using MDC)	96.5	90.8	81.2
17.	Unit capacity factor (Using Design MWe)	93.2	87.8	78.5
18.	Unit forced outage rate	0.0	0.0	4.9

- 19. Shutdowns scheduled over next 6 months (type, date, & duration):
 Refueling outage, 9/12/92, 60 days
- 20. If shutdown at end of report period, estimated date of start-up: N/A

OPERATING DATA REPORT UNIT SHUTDOWNS AND POWER REDUCTIONS

DOCKET NO. 50-354

UNIT Hope Creek

DATE 5/15/92

COMPLETED BY V. Zabielski
TELEPHONE (609) 339-3306

MONTH April 1992

NO.	DATE	TYPE F=FORCED S=SCHEDULED	DURATION (HOURS)	REASON (1)	METHOD OF SHUTTING DOWN THE REACTOR OR REDUCING POWER (2)	CORRECTIVE ACTION/COMMENTS
2	4/21	F	0	A	5	'B' Recirculation Pump Trip
3	4/25	F	0	A	5	Repairs made to 'B' Recirculation Motor/Generator Set

Summary

REFUELING INFORMATION

DOCKET NO. 50-354

UNIT Hope Creek
DATE 5/15/92

COMPLETED BY S. Hollingsworth
TELEPHONE (609) 339-1051

MONTH April 1992

Refueling information has changed from last month:

Yes No

- 2. Scheduled date for next refueling: 9/12/92
- 3. Scheduled date for restart following refueling: 11/11/92

X

4. A. Will Technical Specification changes or other license amendments be required?

Yes No X

B. Has the reload fuel design been reviewed by the Station Operating Review Committee?

Yes No X

If no, when is it scheduled? not scheduled (on or prior to 7/24/92)

- 5. Scheduled date(s) for submitting proposed licensing action: N/A
- 6. Important licensing considerations associated with refueling:
 - Same fresh fuel as current cycle: no new considerations
- 7. Number of Fuel Assemblies:
 - A. Incore
 B. in Spent Fuel Storage (prior to refueling)
 C. In Spent Fuel Storage (after refueling)

 764
 760
 760
 760
- 8. Present licensed spent fuel storage capacity: 4006

 Future spent fuel storage capacity: 4006
- 9. Date of last refueling that can be discharged to spent fuel pool assuming the present (EOC16) licensed caracity: (does not allow for full-core offload)

HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION MONTHLY OPERATING SUMMARY April 1992

Hope Creek entered the month of April at approximately 100% power. On April 21, the 'B' Recirculation Pump tripped, causing a runback. The 'B' Recirculation Motor/Generator Set was repaired on April 25 and power was then returned to approximately 100% power. On April 30, the plant completed its 44th day of continuous power operation.

SUMMARY OF CHANGES, TESTS, AND EXPERIMENTS FOR THE HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION

APRIL 1992

The following items have been evaluated to determine:

- If the probability of occurrence or the consequences of an accident or malfunction of equipment important to safety previously evaluated in the safety analysis report may be increased; or
- If a possibility for an accident or malfunction of a different type than any evaluated previously in the safety analysis report may be created; or
- 3. If the margin of safety as defined in the basis for any technical specification is reduced.

The 10CFR50.59 Safety Evaluations showed that these items did not create a new safety hazard to the plant nor did they affect the safe shutdown of the reactor. These items did not change the plant effluent releases and did not alter the existing environmental impact. The 10CFR50.59 Safety Evaluations determined that no unreviewed safety or environmental questions are involved.

DCP

Description of Safety Evaluation

4EC-3224

This DCP added three 30 amp single pole circuit breakers in a 120 volt AC power distribution panel and re-arranged branch circuits feeding space heaters in a motor control center. This allows the power feed for the Ultrasonic Resin Cleaner Panel to be re-routed to improve the voltage at the panel and enhance the efficiency of the Ultrasonic Resin Cleaner.

No Unreviewed Safety Questions were involved because this DCP involves only systems, equipment, and components that are non-safety related. Also, all of the materials that were used are compatible with previously used materials.

4EC-3251

This DCP installed two diodes, in series, parallel to the coils of the Intermediate Range Monitor/ Source Range Monitor alarm relays. The diodes were installed for noise suppression.

The diodes do not have any controlling functions nor do they affect the alarms or the Intermediate or Source Range Monitors. Therefore, no Unreviewed Safety Questions are involved with this DCP.

4EC-3341/02

This DCP provided permanent rigging points to allow the removal and reinstallation of Filtration, Recirculation, and Ventilation System backdraft isolation dampers in the Reactor Building.

This DCP does not involve work on equipment important to safety or items interfacing with equipment important to safety. Therefore, no Unreviewed Safety Question are involved with this DCP.

DCP

Description of Safety Evaluation

4EC-3342

During the Electrical Distribution Systems
Functional Inspection, it was determined that the
slow bus transfer scheme for the Class 1E 4KV buses
could possibly transfer the loads from the normal
infeed to the alternate infeed without stripping
the loads. This could subject the motors on the
4KV buses to overvoltage transients. In addition,
loads that had been sequenced onto a 4KV bus by the
Loss Of Coolant Accident sequencer and were then
stripped during a bus transfer might not be
resequenced onto the bus after the alternate infeed
closed. This DCP adds a time delay into the
closing circuit of the alternate infeed breaker in
the slow and dead bus transfer schemes to prevent
the alternate infeed from closing too soon and to
enable the sequencer to reset after a bus transfer.

The additional dead bus time ensures that the motors are not subjected to overvoltage transients when the alternate infeed closes. The additional dead bus time also ensures that the sequencer resets, allowing the loads to be re-sequenced onto the bus. The time delay also increases the reliability of the system. Therefore, no Unreviewed Safety Question are involved with this DCP.

Procedure Description of Safety Evaluation Revision NC.NA-AP.ZZ-0002(Q) This new procedure reflects title changes and reporting relationships within the Rev 0 Nuclear Department. The scope of this new procedure is limited to describing roles, responsibilities, and reporting relationships of key Nuclear Department personnel. This procedure does not change the design, function, or operation of any structures, systems, or components; therefore, there are no Unreviewed Safety Questions are ociated with this new procedure. NC.NA-AP.ZZ-0013(Q) This procedure revision identifies that Rev 1 temporary modifications made to operable components, systems, or structures to support the implementation of design changes, tests, and experiments are controlled by this procedure. It also states that space heaters and ventilation equipment is to be controlled by this procedure. Additionally, this revision changes some forms and part of the flowpath process. This administrative procedure provides specific guidance for the implementation of the programs and processes described in the SAR. The proposed revision is in compliance with the SAR; therefore, there are no Unreviewed Safety Questions associated with this new procedure. NC.NA-AP.ZZ-0055(0) This revision makes several changes to Rev 1 clarify the procedure as well as changes that are grammatical or editorial in nature. This administrative procedure enhances the administrative control of outage management. The implementation of this procedure provides controls to ensure that outage activities are performed in compliance with licersing requirements and suggested industry guidelines. The proposed revision is in compliance with the SAR; therefore, there are no Unreviewed Safety Questions associated with thir procedure revision.