COMPANY Houston Lighting & Power South Texas Project Electric Generating Station P. O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

> January 17, 1996 ST-HL-AE-5266 File No.: G26 10CFR50.73

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

The Light

South Texas Project Unit 1 Docket No. STN 50-498 Licensee Event Report 95-013 Turbine Trip and Reactor Trip Due to Main Transformer Lockout

Pursuant to 10CFR50.73, South Texas Project submits the attached Unit 1 Licensee Event Report 95-013 regarding a turbine trip and reactor trip due to main transformer lockout. This event did not have an adverse effect on the health and safety of the public.

If you should have any questions on this matter, please contact Mr. S. M. Head at (512) 972-7136 or me at (512) 972-7239.

S.W. Mus

L. W. Myers Plant Manager, Unit 1

KJT/esh

Attachment: LER 95-013

(South Texas, Unit 1)

601230018 960 05000498 ADOCK PDR

LER-95\5266

Project Manager on Behalf of the Participants in the South Texas Project 01/16/96 (12:55pm)

Houston Lighting & Power Company South Texas Project Electric Generating Station

C:

Leonard J. Callan Regional Administrator, Region IV U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011-8064

Thomas W. Alexion Project Manager U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001 13H15

David P. Loveless Sr. Resident Inspector c/o U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm. P. O. Box 910 Bay City, TX 77404-0910

J. R. Newman, Esquire Morgan, Lewis & Bockius 1800 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5869

K. J. Fiedler/M. T. Hardt City Public Service P. O. Box 1771 San Antonio, TX 78296

J. C. Lanier/M. B. Lee City of Austin Electric Utility Department 721 Barton Springs Road Austin, TX 78704

Central Power and Light Company ATTN: G. E. Vaughn/C. A. Johnson P. O. Box 289, Mail Code: N5012 Wadsworth, TX 77483 ST-HL-AE-5266 File No.: G26 Page 2

Rufus S. Scott Associate General Counsel Houston Lighting & Power Company P. O. Box 61067 Houston, TX 77208

Institute of Nuclear Power Operations - Records Center 700 Galleria Parkway Atlanta, GA 30339-5957

Dr. Joseph M. Hendrie 50 Bellport Lane Bellport, NY 11713

Richard A. Ratliff Bureau of Radiation Control Texas Department of Health. 1100 West 49th Street Austin, TX 78756-3189

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm. Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555-0001

J. R. Egan, Esquire Egan & Associates, P.C. 2300 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

J. W. Beck Little Harbor Consultants, Inc. 44 Nichols Road Cohassett, MA 02025-1166

NRC FO (4-95)	RM 364	LICER (S	U ISEE EVE ee reverse fo digits/charact	I.S. NUCLE	ORT number	(LER)	СОММ	ISSION	ESTI MAN REP LICE COM INFC U.S. 2055	AI IMATED BL DATORY I ORTED LE: INSING PRO- MMENTS INMATION NUCLEAR 5-0001, AND	PROVED BY C EXPIRE URDEN PER RES NFORMATION C SSONS LEARNEL CCESS AND FED REGARDING E AND RECORDS I REGULATORY D TO THE PAPER	MB NO. 3 S 04/30/98 PONSE TO DILECTION ARE INCO BACK TO URDEN MANAGEME COMMISSIO WORK RED	150-01 REQU DRPOR/ INDUST ESTIMA INT BR DN, WA	04 PLY V EST: ATED RY. 1 TE ANCH SHIN(V PRO	VITH THIS 50.0 HRS INTO THE ORWARD TO THE (T-6 F33), GTON, DC JECT		
FACILITY	AME (1)								DOCI	KET NUMBER	1 (2)			PAGE	3)		
South	Texa	s, Unit	1							05	000 498		1	OF	6		
TITLE (4)												And the second s					
Turbine	e Trip	and Re	actor Trip d	ue to Mai	n Trans	former L	ockou	t									
EVE	T DAT	E (5)	LER	NUMBER (5)	REPO	RT DAT	TE (7)	1	C	THER FACILIT	ES INVOL	VED (5)			
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR SE	QUENTIAL	REVISION	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAME		DC	DOCKET NUMBER					
				NUMBER	NUMBER				FACILITY NAME				05000				
12	18	95	95	013	00	01	17	96					05000				
OPERI	TING	+	THIS REPOR	RT IS SUBA	NITTED P	URSUANT	TOTH	E REQ	UIREN	MENTS OF	10 CFR 5: (Ch	neck one o	r more	(11)			
MODI	E (9)	1	20.2201(b)	1	20.2203	(a)(2)(v)		T	50.73	a)(2)(i)	T	50.7	3(a)(2)(viii)		
POW	ER	1 400	20.2203(a)(1)			20.2203	a)(3)(i)			50.73(a)(2)(ii)		50.7	3(a)(2)(x)		
LEVEL	. (10)	100	20.2203(a)(2)(i)		20.2203(a)(3)(ii)			50.73(a)(2)(iii)		73.7	1			
			20.2203(a)(2)(ii)		20.2203(a)(4)			X 50.73(a)(2)(iv)		OTH	ĒR			
	20.2203(a)(2)(iii) 50.			50.36(c)	(1)			50.73(a)(2)(v)	Sp	or in NRC Form 366A						
	(Sugar)	Market Part of	20.2203(a)(2)(iv)		50.36(c)	(2)			50.73(a)(2)(vii)						
					LICENS	SEE CONT	ACT F	OR THI	S LER	(12)							
Scott ! CAUSE	M. He	ead - S	r. Consulti COMPLETE C	ng Engin DNE LINE F MANUFACTU	OR EACH	H COMPO PORTABL E NPRDS	NENT	CAU	E DES	SYSTEM	(512) N TH'S REPOR COMPONENT	972-71. t (13) manufac	36 TURER	REP	ORTABLE NPRDS		
Х		AA	ROD	W120		N											
						1											
	-	SI	IPPLEMENTA	L REPORT	EXPECT	ED (14)						MONTH	DA	YT	YEAR		
X YES	e				TE		NO)	SUB		MISSION	08	30	,	96		
(ii ye	s, comp	nete CAP	ECTED SUBIN	ISSION DA	16).	-	_	-		0,	(15)	the second second					
On De Pilot V transfo 13.8 k The m trip, th indicat involv inappr improp backup	cemb Vire M ormers V aux ain tu ree co ion o ed co opriat per m o pilo	er 18, Monito s. This ciliary ontrol f their mplian e manu aintena t wire	1995, Unit ring Relay event tripp buses and rip resulted rods indica rod bottom ce with En ual starting ince perform current tran	1 was in actuated bed open caused lo d in a rea ted a roc h lights il nergency of Train mance th hsformer	n Mode and re the ger oss of o actor tri l positic llumina Operat B and at resul when t	1 at 10 sulted in ffsite p p. The on of si ted. Hu ing Pro C Stan Ited in a he cove	00% p n a lo outpu ower plant x step uman cedur idby I a pinc er was	bower, ckout t brea to the was s fror perfo bes, no Diesel hed w s reins	At of the ker, A T stabil n roo rman otific Gen vire v stalle	approx he Unit the mai Train En ized in d bottom ace issue ation tir erators. which g d during	imately 033 1 main and n turbine, th gineered Sa Mode 3 Fo n. All other es identified neliness and The root rounded the g the Unit 1	6 hours, auxilia le break feguard ollowing control regardi accura cause ol A phas reruelin	, a Ba ry ers fo s Fea g the l rods ng th cy, ai f the e of ng ou	acku or al ture reac s had is e nd trip the itage	p l four s Bus. tor l vent was in		

the Spring of 1995. Root cause determination for the control rod insertion anomaly is subject of an ongoing investigation. Core physics analyses for this condition were performed and determined continued operation of Unit 1 is acceptable until the end of the current operating cycle. Corrective actions include repair of the grounded connection; reinforcement of management's expectations regarding control rod insertion indication, Emergency Operating Procedure usage and accuracy of notifications; training on lessons learned from this event; and procedure enhancements.

NRC FORM 366A (4-95)				U.S. NUCLEAR	REGULATO	ORYC	COMMIS	SION
	LICENSEE EVENT TEXT CONTIN	REPORT (LER)			promotional		
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET		LER NUMBER	(6)	1	PAGE (3)
Courth Transa Hait 1		05000 400	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	0	05	6
South Texas, Unit I		03000 498		95 013			OF	0

TEX'i (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

DESCRIPTION OF EVENT:

On December 18, 1995, Unit 1 was in Mode 1 at 100% power. At approximately 0336 hours, a ground fault occurred on one of the main transmission lines from the South Texas Project Electric Generating Station switchyard. The ground fault cleared when a protective breaker opened in the line. When the transmission line fault cleared, a Backup Pilot Wire Monitoring Relay actuated and resulted in a lockout of the Unit 1 main and auxiliary transformers. This event tripped open the generator output breaker, the main turbine, the breakers for all four 13.8 kV auxiliary buses and caused loss of offsite power to the A Train Engineered Safeguards Features Bus. The main turbine trip resulted in a reactor trip. The loss of electrical power to all Unit 1 auxiliary buses caused a loss of all reactor coolant pumps. The A Train Standby Diesel Generator started and loaded on its Engineered Safeguards Features Bus as expected. All Auxiliary Feedwater Pumps started to supply feedwater to the Steam Generators as expected. Main Feedwater Isolation Valves closed on an expected Feedwater Isolation signal as designed.

The loss of power resulted in loss of indication of voltage and current from offsite power to all Unit 1 auxiliary and standby buses when read from Main Control Board Panel CP010. With only the A Train Standby Diesel Generator running, the B and C Train Standby Diesel Generators were manually started to ensure power was available to all three Engineered Safeguards Features Buses. Subsequently, it was determined that only indication to panel CP010 had been lost and that Trains B and C Engineered Safeguards Features Buses had remained energized from offsite power. The B and C Train Standby Diesel Generators did not load their respective Engineered Safeguards Features Buses and were secured.

During verification that the control rods had fully inserted, it was noted that the digital rod position for control rods F10, C9 and N7 each indicated a rod position of six steps from rod bottom with their respective rod bottom lights not lit. All other control rods had indication of their rod bottom lights illuminated. This condition was considered as all rods fully inserted. Subsequently, during plant stabilization, control rod N7 indicated the fully inserted position.

The partial loss of offsite power caused normal letdown to isolate, which resulted in transfer of Charging Pump suction to the Refueling Water Storage Tank due to a low level in the Volume Control Tank. This provided a source of boration to the Reactor Coolant System. Normal letdown was restored at 0357 hours but the Charging Pump suction remained aligned to the Refueling Water Storage Tank to provide a boration flowpath for maintaining xenon free shutdown margin.

The Main Steam Isolation Valves were closed to limit cooldown. Main Steam Isolation Valve 1B Control Room indication showed the valve was not fully closed. Approximately nine minutes later, the valve indicated fully closed and it was subsequently determined that it had fully closed initially. An adjustment was made to the valve limit switch to correct the indication.

At approximately 0350 hours, the Pressurizer Power Operated Relief Valves automatically cycled three times. Auxiliary spray flow was subsequently used to control pressurizer pressure. Natural circulation of the Reactor Coolant System was verified.

NRC FORM 366A (4-95) ,	ICENSEE EVENT REPORT (1 TEXT CONTINUATION	LER)	U.S. NUCLEAR I	REGULATO	DRY C	OMMIS	SION
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET	1	LER NUMBER	(6)	F	AGE (3))
South Toxon Unit 1	05000 409	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2	OF	6
South Texas, Unit I	05000 498	05	013	00	3	UF	0

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

DESCRIPTION OF EVENT (CONTINUED):

The electrical buses supplying power to the Reactor Coolant Pumps were reenergized at approximately 0450 hours. At approximately 0511 hours, Reactor Coolant Pump 1A was restarted followed by Reactor Coolant Pump 1D approximately eleven minutes later. This reestablished forced Reactor Coolant System flow and restored normal pressurizer spray capability.

At approximately 0603 hours, normal offsite power was restored to the A Train Engineered Safety Features Bus and the A Train Standby Diesel Generator was placed in cooldown.

At approximately 0610 hours, a Shutdown Margin verification was performed and Reactor Coolant System boron concentration was determined to be greater than the xenon free required value.

Investigation of the Unit 1 main transformer condition determined that one wire on the backup pilot wire current transformer for the A phase on Main Transformer 1B was pinched between the cover and the seating surface. This condition grounded the current transformer windings to the main transformer casing and, coupled with the line fault on the A phase of the transmission line from the South Texas Project Electric Generating Station, setup the conditions which led to the reactor trip.

Other equipment problems providing operator challenges occurred during this event:

- 1. The protection circuits did not trip the Startup Feed Pump on low net positive suction head and required manual trip of the pump from the Control Room.
- 2. Steam Generator Feed Pump #13 could not be placed on its turning gear.
- 3. The supply breaker to Load Center 12J1 failed during reenergization.
- 4. The Fire Protection Computer audible alarm continued to sound in the Control Room during this transient until the computer was rebooted.

Human performance issues involving this event were identified:

- 1. Management expectations regarding compliance with Emergency Operating Procedures were not met. Although control rod position indications received following the reactor trip required emergency boration, this action was not taken.
- 2. Management notification of the reactor trip was not timely.

NRC FORM 360A (4-95) , LI	CENSEE EVENT REPORT (L TEXT CONTINUATION	ER)	U.S. NUCLEAR I	REGULAT	ORY C	OMMIS	SION
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET	1	LER NUMBER	(6)	F	AGE (3)
South Taxas Unit 1	05000 109	YEAR	SECUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		OF	6
South Texas, Ont 1	03000 498	95	013	00	4	Ur	0

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

DESCRIPTION OF EVENT (CONTINUED):

- Accuracy of the notification of this event to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission did not meet expectations.
- 4. Manually starting Train B and C Standby Diesel Generators was inappropriate.

A Human Performance Post-Trip Review Team was formed to investigate and evaluate the human performance issues. This team focused on the sequence of events and procedures used to respond to the transient. The Team determined that reasonable technical decisions were made in handling a complex transient. Some examples were found where procedures and their usuage did not meet management's expectations. Actions identified to enhance performance and better define management expectations are listed as Corrected Actions in this report.

CAUSE OF EVENT:

The cause of this event was a grounded connection on the A phase of the Main Transformer 1B backup current transformer for the HCB differential relay. The condition resulted in the current transformer sensing a differential in line current when the fault in the transmission line from the South Texas Project Electric Generating Station cleared. This differential caused actuation of the Pilot Wire Monitoring Relay.

The root cause of the trip was improper maintenance performance that resulted in a pinched wire which grounded the A phase of the backup pilot wire current transformer when the cover was reinstalled during the Unit 1 refueling outage in the Spring of 1995.

Root cause determination for the control rod insertion anomaly is subject of an ongoing investigation and will be included in a supplemental report.

The cause of the failure to emergency borate the reactor was misdiagnosis of the post-trip rod position and the belief by the operating crew that all rods were fully inserted.

ANALYSIS OF EVENT:

Reactor Trips and Engineered Safeguards Features Actuations are reportable pursuant to 10CFR50.73 (a)(2)(iv). The reactor was brought to an orderly shutdown. All Engineered Safeguards Features functioned as designed. There were no adverse safety or radiological consequences of this event.

NRC FORM 366A (4-95)	CENSEE EVENT REPORT (I TEXT CONTINUATION	LER)	U.S. NUCLEAR	REGULATO	ORY C	OMMIS	SION
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET	1	LER NUMBER	(6)	P	AGE (3)
Court Town Hair 1	05000 409	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	=	OF	6
South Texas, Unit I	05000 498	95	013	00	5	Or	0

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

ANALYSIS OF EVENT (CONTINUED):

Following the reactor trip, the Reactor Coolant System average temperature reached a low of 554 degrees. This was the result of Auxiliary Feedwater being inserted to the Steam Generators to establish natural circulation. The Shutdown Margin remained satisfied during this event, greater than 271 ppm over the mininum required boron concentration.

The failure of control rods F10, C9 and N7 to fully insert was investigated. These control rods did insert to the six step position from rod bottom (approximately 4 inches) as indicated by digital rod position indication.

Followup testing determined that this condition was repeatable. An additional rod failed to fully insert at core location N-9 stopping at six steps from rod bottom. There were no indications that the rods were having any difficulty during insertion except in the bottom of the guide tube dash pot region. Rod drop traces did not indicate any significant decrease in rod speed until dashpot entry and did not indicate any evidence of loose parts. Rod drop times of the affected rods were consistent with startup testing performed in the Spring of 1995 and well within Technical Specification requirements. The affected rods were manually inserted to rod bottom further supporting there was no indication of loose parts.

Control rods exhibiting this problem were all in high burnup fuel assemblies, approximately 43,000 MWD/MTU. The service life of the affected control rods is six cycles which is approximately hal? of their design life (15 years).

A safety evaluation for this condition determined that the affected rods were capable of performing their safety function for reactivity control and for shutdown and that there was no impact on Shutdown Margin. This safety evaluation conservatively assumed that all rod control cluster assemblies in the high burnup fuel assemblies, which represents 32 of the total 57 rod control cluster assembles, would not insert below 12 steps from rod bottom following a reactor trip. Core physics analyses for this condition were performed and determined continued operation of Unit 1 is acceptable until the end of the current operating cycle.

A review of Industry Operating Experience of control rod insertion anomalies indicated several potential causes including foreign material, degraded control rod, corrosion products and guide tube bowing. Root cause determination for the control rod insertion anomaly observed following the Unit 1 reactor trip is subject of an ongoing investigation.

The last occasion of rod drop testing in Unit 1 was successfully completed during the Spring 1995 refueling outage. In addition, all rods demonstrated proper insertion indications during the Unit 1 reactor scram that occurred on August 29, 1995.

NRC FORM	366A		U	S. NUCLEAF	REGULAT	ORYC	OMMIS	SION
(4.00)	LICENSEL EVE TEXT CC	NT REPORT (I	LER)					
	FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET	1	LER NUMBER	R (6)	F	AGE	3)
South Te	South Texas, Unit 1		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION			
South Te.	kas, Onit I	03000 498	95 -	- 013 -	- 00	0	OF	6
TEXT (lf	more space is required, use additional copies o	f NRC Form 366A) (17)			Annertrans		
CORRE	CTIVE ACTIONS:							
1.	The grounded connection on the A transformer for the HCB differential	phase of the l relay was repaire	Main 7 d.	ransforme	er IB ba	ickup	curr	rent
2.	A faulty pressure switch in the loss of Pump was found and repaired.	f suction protecti	on inst	rumentatio	on on the	Star	tup Fo	eed
3.	Lessons learned from this event were c cause of this event.	liscussed with ma	intenan	ce personr	nel involv	ed in	the r	oot
4.	Guidance was issued to reinforce ma indication, Emergency Operating Pro- agencies. On-Shift Licensed Operator guidance prior to assuming shift dutie	nagement's expect cedure usage and rs were briefed by es.	ctations accura manag	regarding cy of noti gement reg	control fications garding th	rod to re his re	insert gulate	ion ory ced
5.	Training information regarding the less and maintenance personnel by Februa	sons learned from ry 1996.	n this e	vent will t	e issued	to op	peratio	ons
6.	Licensed Operator training will be enh usage, reactivity management issues, a response to the rod position indication	nanced by May 19 accuracy of notifiend during this even	996 with cations nt.	h special e to offsite	mphasis agencies	on pi and e	roced	ure ted
7.	A plan of action to determine the root and to monitor this condition will be	cause of the contr developed by Fel	ol rod i bruary 1	nsertion a 1996.	nomaly			
8.	The Reactor Trip Response procedure for supporting decision making and d	will be revised b iagnosis of condi	y May tions.	1996 to pr	ovide sp	ecific	crite	ria
9.	The Emergency Operating Procedures specific criteria when alternate step Operating Procedures.	s Users Guide wi performance car	ill be re n be au	evised by ithorized	May 199 regarding	96 to g Em	inclu iergen	ide icy
10.	An effectiveness evaluation of the Eve	ent Review proce	ss will	be comple	eted by A	pril	1996.	

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

One Licensee Event Report had been previously submitted by the South Texas Project to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission within the last three years regarding a reactor scram and partial loss of offsite power due to a main transformer failure. Licensee Event Report 94-007 attributed the cause to the failure of a capacitor within the pilot wire relay.