NUREG/IA-0057



International Agreement Report

Assessment Study of RELAP5/MOD2 Cycle 36.04 Based on the Commissioning Test Reactor Trip at Full Load at the Philippsburg 2 Nuclear Power Plant

Prepared by G. Gerth

Siemens AG-Bereich Energieerzeugung (KWU) Hammerbachers rasse 12 + 14 Postfach 3220 D-8520 Erlangen Federal Republic of Germany

Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

April 1992

Prepared as part of The Agreement on Research Participation an I Technical Exchange under the International Thermal-Hydraulic Code Assessment and Application Program (ICAP)

Published by 1A-0057 R PDR U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

NOTICE

This report was prepared under an international cooperative agreement for the exchange of technical information. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, or any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for any third party's use, or the results of such use, of any information, apparatus product or process disclosed in this report, or represents that its use by such third party would not infringe privately owned rights.

Available from

Superintendent of Documents U.S. Government Printing Office P.O. Box 37082 Washington, D.C. 20013-7082

and

National Technical Information Service Springfield, VA 22161

NUREG/IA-0057



International Agreement Report

Assessment Study of RELAP5/MOD2 Cycle 36.04 Based on the Commissioning Test Reactor Trip at Full Load at the Philippsburg 2 Nuclear Power Plant

Frepared by G. Gerth

Siemens AG-Bereich Energieerzeugung (KWU) Hammerbacherstrasse 12 + 14 Postfach 3220 D-8520 Erlangen Federal Republic of Germany

Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

April 1992

Prepared as part of The Agreement on Research Participation and Technical Exchange under the Internation. Thermal-Hydraulic Code Assessment and Application Program (ICAP)

Published by U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Notice

This report documents work performed under the sponsorship of the BMFT by its designated agent SIEMENS/FRG. The information in this report has been provided to the USNRC under the terms of an information exchange agreement between the United States and BMFT (Agreement on Thermal-Hydraulic Research between the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission and BMFT/FRG, January 22, 1985. BMFT and its designated agent SIEMENS has consented to the publication of this report as a USNRC document in order that it may receive the widest possible circulation among the reactor safety community.

Neither the United States Government nor BMFT and its designated agent SIEMENS/FRG or any agency thereof, or any of their employes, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability, or responsibility for any third party's use, or the results of such use, or any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed in this report, or represents that its use by such third party, would not infringe private by owned rights.

111.

Abstract

The commissioning test "Reactor Trip at Full Load", which was performed at the nuclear power plant Philippsburg 2 (KKP 2), was recalculated with RELAP5/MOD2. The comparison of the results with the commissioning test results shows very good agreement between measurement and calculation. Difficulties arised attempting to adjust the RPV inlet temperature, which depends on the steam generator pressure, to the initial test condition. It is assumed that the heat transfer correlations in RELAP5/MOD2 are not optimized for this problem. The deviation of SG water level during the transient between calculation and measurement is assumed to be caused by the separator model in RELAP5/MOD2.

Listof	Contents	Page
Abstr	act	111
List of Figures		٧i
List of	fTables	viii.
Execu	itive Summary	1X
1	Introduction	1
2	Description of Philippsburg 2 Nuclear Power Plant	2
3	Description of the Commissioning Test	3
3.1	Transient Behaviour of the Plant	3
32	Available Test Data	5
3.3	Review and Discussion of the Measured Data	6
4	Code and Model Description	36
4.1	Code Version	36
4.2	Simulation of the Plant	37
5	Discussion of the Results	41
5.1	Results of the Steady State Calculation	41
5.2	Results of the Transient Calculation	43
6	Run Statistics	59
7	Summary and Conclusions	60
8	Comperison with Recalculations with another Transient Code (NLOOP)	61
8.1	Brief Description of NLOOP-Code	61
8.2	Compastison of Analysis Results between NLOOP and	65
	RELAP5 on the Basis of a Reactor Trip Transient	
Appe	endices	
А	NPP Philippsburg 2 Data Sheet	A1-A3

V

B RELAP5/MOD2 Input Deck B1 B50

List of Figures

0

Fig. 1	Nodalisation of the Primary Side
Fig. 2	Nodalisation of the Secondary Side
Fig. 3.1 - 3.10	Commissioning Test Measured Data
Fig. 3.1	Reactor Coolant Pressure
Fig. 3.2	Pressurizer Water Level
Fig. 3.3	RPV inlet Temperature
Fig. 3.4	Temperature Rise
Fig. 3.5a	Corr. Therm. Reactor Power
Fig. 3.5b	Reactor Power
Fig. 3.6	Sum of Bypass Valves Positions
Fig. 3.7a	MS Pressure in SG
Fig. 3.7b	MS Prassure behind SG
Fig. 3.8a	Feedwater Full Load Control Valve
Fig. 3.8b	Feedwater Low Load Control Valve
Fig. 3.8c	Feedwater Flow Rate Upstream SG
Fig. 3.8d	Feedwater Flow Rate (2. max)
Fig. 3.8e	Feedwater Flow Rate
Fig. 3.9a	SG Water Level Narrow Range
Fig. 3 9b	SG Water Level Wide Range
Fig. 3.10	Support Steam Valve Position
Fig. 4.1 - 4.12	Comparison of Calculated with Measured Data
Fig. 4.1	Reactor Power
Fig. 4.2	Temperature Rise
Fig. 4.3	RPV Inlet Temperature
Fig. 4.4	RPV Inlet and Outlet Temperature
Fig. 4.5	Reactor Coolant Pressure (Hot Leg)
Fig. 4.6	Pressurizer Water Level
Fig. 4.7	MS Pressure in SG10
Fig. 4.8	MS Pressure in SG20 (Damped)
Fig. 4.9	Bypass Valves (Sum) Positions

Siemens AG - Bereich Energieerzeugung (KWU)

.

		-6-	
		-	
	۰.		
	۰.		

ig. 4.10	SG Water Level (Narrow Range)
Fig. 4.11	SG Water Level (Wide Range)
Fig. 4.12	Feedwater Flow Rate
Fig. 5.1 - 5.4	Compasiron of Calculated Data by RELAP5/MOD2 and NLOOP with Commissioning Test Data
Fig. 5.1	Reactor Coolant Pressure
Fig. 5.2	RPV Inlet Temperature (LOOP1)
Fig. 5.3	MS Pressure (SG1)
Fig. 5.4	SG Water Level (Narrow Range SG1)

8

List of Tables

- Table 3.1 Examples for Measuring Accuracy
- Table 8.1 Application of NLOOP Code
- Table 8.2 Scope of Simulation in NLOOP

Ececutive Summary

The commissioning test "Reactor Trip at Full Load" which was performed at the nuclear power plant Philippsburg 2 (PWR constructed by SIEMENS/KWU) on February 15, 1985 was recalculated with RELAP5/MOD2 Cycle 36.04.

The comparison of the results of the RELAP5/MOD2 calculation with the commissioning test results shows very good agreement between measurement and calculation, but in general a critical review of the measured data is needed before comparing then, with calculation.

There is one significant deviation between calculated and measured RPV injet temperature coming from heat transfer correlations in RELAP5/MOD2 which are not optimized for this problem. Furthermore for a short period a deviation exists between the calculated and measured value of the SG water level about 15 s after beginning of the transient, which is assumed to be due to the separator model in the SG model ling

1 Introduction

As agreed between the government of the Federal Republic of Germany and SIEMENS-KWU among other post test calculations of PWR commissioning tests are to be carried out by SIEMENS-KWU with the computer code RELAP5/MOD2. These calculations are a contribution to the

. 4

"Agreement on Research Participation and Technical Exchange between the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (USNRC) and the Federal Minister of Research and Technology of the Federal Republic of Germany in USNRC Thermal Hydraulic Research Programs and BMFT Thermal Hydraulic Research Programs covering a fiveyear period" (called as "Code Assessment Agreement").

The commissioning test "Reactor Trip at Full Load" -100-301) of the NPP Philippsburg 2 was selected for the first post test calcula with the computer code RELAP5/MOD2.

In this report the results of the calculation are discussed and compared with the commissioning test results.

A general problem of code assessment is to decide whether the measured values are suitable for comparison with calculated data because the measurement can be faulty or falsified by instrumentational effects (for instance damped with known or unknown time constants). Besides, each measurement has a permissible uncertainty range usually being in the order of magnill depending from design specifications. This has to be borne in mind when performing such comparisons.

Therefore a critical review of the measured values is needed before comparing them with the calculation.

2 Description of Philippsburg 2 Nuclear Power Plant

The 1300 MWe Philippsburg 2 nuclear power plant being constructed by SIEMENS-KWU has been equipped with a pressurized water reactor as a nuclear steam generator with a thermal power output of 3765 MW (design value). A more detailled descirption can be seen from the Data Sheets (Appendix A).

3 Description of the Commissioning Test

3.1 Transient Behaviour of the Plant

In the scope of the commissioning of the plant the reactor trip signal has to be initiated manually to verify the transient behaviour (as predicted by computer codes) and to show that the design of the relevant systems is proper to reach conditions which are within the designed values.

As a result of the reactor scram being initiated (manually), the control rods drop in so that the negative reactivity insertion reduces the reactor power very rapidly to decay heat level. The RESA (reactor scram) signal switches measurement from the ex-core to the in-core instrumentation. The steam generator power (power transferred from the primary to the secondary side) follows the decrease in reactor power with some delay because of the energy stored in the primary circuit.

The decrease in the reactor power also produces a decrease in the temperature rise which is a direct measure of thermal power.

The RESA signal also initiates TUSA (turbine trip); as a result the main steam letdown is very rapidly reduced to zero so that the main steam pressure rises uncil, when the maximum pressure set point is reached, the main steam bypass station (FDU) opens and limits the pressure rise.

The rise in main steam pressure causes a rise in the primary-side steam generator outlet temperature and, consequently, in the reactor pressure vessel inlet temperature.

The reactor outlet temperature is dependent on the reactor pressure vessel inlet temperature, which initially rises, and on the decreasing temperature rise in the core. There is an overall decrease in both reactor outlet temperature and average coolant temperature.

The decrease in the (average) coolant temperature produces a contraction of the coolant which results in a corresponding outsurge from the pressurizer and, consequently, in a drop in the pressurizer water level which leads to a corresponding decrease in coolant pressure. In the long term, the coolant pressure is again increased to its set point by the pressurizer heating elements.

The RESA signal activated by the TUSA signal abruptly push up the maximum pressure set point to 77 bar (design value) and is then raised at 20 bar/min to 80 bar.

As already mentioned above, as a result of the reduction in the main steam letdown, the pressure in the steam generators rises until the pressure is held at the set point value by the main steam bypass control.

The decrease in power results in the collapse of the steam bubbles in the steam generator riser chamber and consequently in a drop in the steam generator water level.

In order to avoid increasing the feed to the steam generator, the full-load feedwater supply valves are closed. Further feed takes place via the low-load control valves. The feed via the full-load control valves remains blocked for 180 s.

3.2 Available Test Data

There is a difference between tests performed on test facilities to verify computer models and commissioning tests which are performed to check the overall behaviour of the plant. On a plant the instrumentation, both the measuring technique and the measuring positions, are not optimized for a test, and furthermore instead only the standard operating instrumentation is available. Therefore only a few selected values are available for the evaluation of the tests.

From the commissioning tests for checking the dynamic behaviour of the plant Philippsburg 2 at postulated transients the following information is available for evaluation of the tests:

- "HP 1000 Band"

Magnetic cape, written by the data acquisition system HP 1000. There are 240 measured values selected for storage on magnetic tape with a time interval of 0.04 s. From this tape plots can be made.

- "Zyklisches Meßwertprotokoll"

About 140 measured values extracted from the process computer systems are printed in an interval of 5 s. A part, but not all of these values are also stored on the "HP 1000 Band".

"Anlagenzustandsprotokoll"

The actual values of all analog measuring points which are available from the process computer system are printed before and after the test (about 940 measured values).

Only a few of these data are usable for the postcalculation of the test D-100-301 "Reactor Trip at Full Load".

3.3 A view and Discussion of the Measured Data

-63

As mentioned in the introduction the measurement uncertainty is in the order of magnitude of 1 % - 2 % of the measuring range. The maximal allowed uncertainty of each measurement equipment is specified by the responsible design groups. Some examples are given by the responsible design groups (Table 3.1).

Measuring Point	Measuring	Measuring Accuracy		
Identification	Range	% of Range	ge Absolut	
JEC30 CT832	250 - 325 °C	±0.715 %	± 2.32 K	
JEC10 CT811	C - 40 K	± 1.376 %	±0.55 K	
JEC20 CP821	100 - 180 bar	± 1.376 %	± 2.48 bar	
JEA10 CP851	0 - 150 bar	± 1.01 %	± 1.515 bar	
JEA20 CL871	8.02 - 15.68 m	±0.92 %	±0.144 m	

Table 3.1: Examples for Measuring Accuracy

3.3.1 Reactor Coolant Pressure

For the reactor coolant pressure three measured values are available (Fig. 3.1):

- JEC20 P861 measuring range 0 180 bar (wide range)
 - JEC20 CP821 measuring range 100 180 bar (narrow range)
- JSD50 FP401 measuring range 0 180 bar (actual value)

For measurement of the first two values the same nozzle is used; it is located in Loop 20 upstream of the surge line. The small range value is about 1.0 bar higher than the wide range value (about 0.5 % of the measuring range of the wide range) in steadystate operation as well as during the transient.

The third value is a selected value (single channel) for the analog signal processing and control system. This value is always about 1.5 bar lower than the wide range value due to a calibration effect in the analog signal processing. This value is not suitable for comparison with calculation.



- 8 -

3.3.2 Pressurizer Water Level

Three measured values for the pressurizer (PRZ) water level are available (Fig. 3.2).

- JEF10 FL001 measuring range 1.75 11.45 m
- JEF10 CL871 measuring range 1.75 11.45 m
- JTK00 F' 501 "actual value" measuring range 1.75 11.45 m

The measured value JTK00 FL501 is the second highest signal value (2. Max) used in the limitation system.

The value JEF10 FL001 is always lower than the other values. The deviation of about 0.2 m (about 2 % of the measuring range) is considered as allowable within the tolerance range.

The measuring principle for the pressurizer water level is the measurement of the differential pressure between the instrumentation nozzles at the levels 1.75 m and 11.45 m, respectively.



10

ctor Coolant Temperature (RPV Inlet)

actor pressure vessel and reactor coolant temperature in the cold leg between reactor pressure vessel and reactor coolant pump is available on the "HP 1000 Band" for each loop (Fig. 3.3).

- JEC10 CT812 measuring range
- JEC20 CT822 250 325 °C
- JEC30 CT832 (narrow range)
- JEC40 CT842

3 A

At steady-state operation the values of Loop 10 and Loop 40 are nearly the same (~292 °C): the equivalent values of Loop 20 and Loop 30 are about 0.9 K and 0.5 K, respectively, lower. During the transient these values are always lower than the values of Loop 10 and Loop 40.

From the "Anlagenzustandsprotokoll" is to be seen, that the other measured value (narrow range JEC20 CT733) of Loop 20 is about 1.6 K higher, whereas in the other three loops the two narrow range measured values have only an uncertainty range of maximal 0.2 K.

Therefore the measured value JEC20 CT822 should not be applied to comparison with calculation.



. 12 -

3.3.4 Temperature Rise

The temperature rise is an important measurement for the determination of the thermal reactor power. Therefore all 16 measuring positions are printed on the "Zyklisches Meßwertprotokoll". One of the four measured values of each loop is stored on the "HP 1000 Band" (Fig. 3.4).

- JEC10 CT811
- JEC20 CT811 measuring range
- JEC30 CT811 0 40 K
- JEC40 CT811

As to be seen in Fig. 3.4 the values of Loop 10 and Loop 40 are nearly the same at steady-state operation (about 33 K). The values of Loop 20 (30.7 K) and Loop 30 (31.5 K) are about 2.3 K and 1.5 K, resp. lower than these of Loop 10 and Loop 40.

During the transient the temperature rise in Loop 20 (JEC20 CT811) deviates from the values of the other loops and equals to zero about 150 s after the beginning of the transient, in spite of a decay power of about 3 %. This indicates that this measured value is systematically too low and is therefore not suitable for comparison with calculation.

The evaluation of the "Zyklisches Meßwertprotokoll" yields an uncertainty range of about 1.2 K, 2.5 K, 1.0 K and 1.2 K in the Loops 10, 20, 30 and 40, respectively.

The average of the four measured values of each loop is

- 32.4 K in Loop 10
- 31.8 K in Loop 20
- 32.0 K in Loop 30
- 32.1 K in Loop 40 0

From this results an average of about 32 K for the temperature rise at full power.

- 13 -



14

Siemens AG - Bereich Energieerzeugung (KWU)

3.3.5 Reactor Power

The "Corrected Thermal Reactor Power" signal is formed by the neutron-flux (measured with the excore instrumentation) and the thermal reactor power calculated by means of the temperature rise. Thus the signal of the neutron-flux is corrected toward the thermal power with 10 %/min if the neutron-flux is lower than the thermal power and the signal of the neutron flux is corrected toward the thermal power with 1 %/min if the neutron flux is greater than the thermal power.

The following measured values of the "Corrected Thermal Power" are available on the "HP 1000 Band".

- JRE10 FX805
- JRF10 FX805 measuring range
- JRG10 FX805 0 125 %
- JRH10 FX805

These values are applied to the reactor protection system.

The signal (Fig. 3.6b)

- JTK00 FX105 measuring range 0 - 125 %

is the measured signal of the n-flux, but it is switched over from excore to incore instrumentation after reactor trip. This signal is applied to the reactor limitation system. It appears to be the best suited for comparison with calculated values.



10

VU)

3

P



17

3.3.6 Main Steam Bypass Valve Position

From the position of the six MS-Bypass valves only one measured value is available, namely the normalized sum of all six positions (Fig. 3.6):

- SUM ULSTV

2

measuring range 0 - 100 %

This value can be used for comparison with the calculation.

6



- 19 -

3.3.7 Main Steam Pressure

From the measurement of the main steam (IMS) pressure the measured values at several positions are available:

a) One measured value in each steam generator stored on the "HP 1000 Band" (Fig. 3.7a)

JEA10 CP851	
JEA20 CP861	measuring range
JEA30 CP871	0 - 150 bar
JEA40 CP851	

 b) One measured value in each MS piping between steam generator and MS isolation valve printed on the "Zyklisches Meßivertprotokoli" (Fig. 3.7b)

LBA10 CP001	
LBA20 CP001	measuring range
LBA30 CP001	0 - 100 bar
LBA40 CPOC1	

From Fig. 3.7a and Fig. 3.7b it can be concluded, that the increase of the pressure in SG20 (JEA20 CP861) is somewhat slower as in the other loops and in the MS piping (LBA20 CP001), indicating that this signal is damped, the time constant is estimated to be about 3 s.





-

- 22

6

3.3.8 Feedwater Flow Rate

The transient behaviour of the plant is strongly affected by the feedwater flow rate. In particular, the SG water level and the pressure in the SG is influenced by the feedwater flow rate.

In the RELAP5/MGO2 calculation the feeding of the stering generator is simulated by time dependent input data (time dependent junction) in order to avoid the modelling of the feedwater control. Therefore it is necessary to get the "most exact" time dependent feedwater flow rate.

There exists a lot of direct and indirect informations about the feedwater flow rate:

- a) the valve positions of the feedwater full load control valves (on the "HP 1000 Band") (Fig. 3.8a)
 - LAB60 CG002
 - LAB70 CG002 measuring range
 - LAB80 CG002 0 100 %
 - LAB90 CG002
- b) The valve positions of the feedwater low load control valves stored on the "HP 1000 Band" (Fig. 3.8b).
 - LAB64 CG003
 - LAB74 CG003 measuring range
 - LAB84 CG003 0 100 %
 - LAB94 CG003

From this valve positions and the valve characteristics the feedwater flow rate can be estimated, but the real characteristic is not exactly known.

- c) One measured value of the feedwater flow rate, measured in each loop upstream of the Steam Generator (Fig. 3.8c)
 - LAB60 CF711
 - LAB70 CF721 measuring range
 - LA380 CF731 0 600 kg/s
 - LAB90 CF741

These values are strongly damped; a time constant of about 20 s is assumed. Therefore these values are not directly suitable for input data for the calculation.

- d) The 2. Max from the measurements of each loop (Fig. 3.8d), stored on the "HP 1000 Band"
 - LAB60 FF901
 - LAB70 FF901 measuring range
 - LAB80 FF901 0 600 kg/s
 - LAB90 FF901
- e) One measurement for each loop printed on the "Zyklisches Meßwertprotokoll" (Fig. 3.8e)
 - LAB60 CF001A

.

- LAB70 CF001A measuring range
- LAB80 CF001A 0 600 kg/s
- LAB90 CFC01A

By comparing Fig. 3.8e and Fig. 3.8d it can be assumed that the measured values LA860 - 90 FF901 (Fig. 3.8d) are slightly damped.

The most reliable input for calculation seem to be the measured values LAB60 - 90 CF001, which are in good agreement with the estimation from the valve positions.

From all these information the real feedwater flow rate can be deduced.





-

j.


4

Siemens AG - Bereich Energieerzeugung (KWU)

.

e en en en grootes



1.1

Siemens AG - Bereich Energieerzeugung (KWU)

•

28



der 20

a

-

Siemens AG - Bereich Energieerzeugung (KWU)

3.3.9 Steam Generator Water Level

The measurement of the SG water level is based on the measuring of the differential pressure between the measuring nozzles. There are two measuring ranges

narrow range:	upper nozzle	15.68 m
	lower nozzle	8.02 m
wide range:	upper nozzle	15.68 m
	lower nozzle	4.31 m

From the differential pressure the water level is calculated; however, no correction of the pressure and temperature dependent density is made. The calibration point is not at full load conditions but at a pressure of about 43 bar. Thus the real water level at full load operation is higher than the measured value.

Besides, this measuring principle assumes static pressure between the nozzles. The pressure drop in the downcomer due to the circulation flow rated about four times the feedwater rate (at full load) effects a measured level lower than the real level. Nocessarily, the wide range value is lower than the narrow range value, because the influence of the pressure drop in the downcomer is essentially greater than in the narrow range.

One measurement from each steam generator is available for the wigde range and the narrow range, respectively:

- JEA10 FL90	1 narrow	range
--------------	----------	-------

- JEA20 FL901 measuring range
- JEA30 FL901 8.02 15.68 m
- JEA40 FL901

respectively

- JEA10	CL851		wider	ange
---------	-------	--	-------	------

- JEA20 CL851 measuring range
- JEA30 CL851 4.31 15.68 m
- JEA40 CL851

- 30 -

Siemens AG - Bereich Energieerzeugung (KWU)

At steady-state operation the measured values of the narrow range are nearly the same, but the values of the wide range measurement have an uncertainty range of about 0.3 m.

During the transient the measured values are different due to the different feedwater flow (Fig. 3.9a a., 3.9b).

6

÷.





3.3.10 Valve Position for Steam Extraction for Feedwater Heating

The steam for feedwater heating is extracted from the main steam header. After reactor trip the amount of steam extraction influences the rate of pressure increase in the header. Therefore the flow rate of extracted steam is needed as input for the calculation.

Only the normalized valve positions of the four valve

LBA81 and LBF.P1 steam supply for the feedwater tank

and

LBA83 and LBA84 steam supply of the feedwater heater A5

are available.

From these signals the flowrate of steam extraction can be deduced using the valve characteristic (design values). This flowrate is used in the RELAP__MOD2 input



- 36 -

4 Code and Model Description

4.1 Code Version

The calculations were performed with RELAP5/MOD2 Cycle 36.04 without modifications.

The Code was implemented on a CDC Computer (Cyber 176) operating under NOS/BE.

4.2 Simulation of the Plant

The nodalisation of the plant is depicted in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2

The four loops of the plant are simulated by a "Single Loop" and a "Threefold Loop". The "Single Loop" represents one loop of the plant, whereas the other three loops are condensed to the "Threefold Loop" with threefold cross-section and therefore threefold volume and threefold flow rates. The pressurizer is connected to the "Single Loop".

The core is simulated by eight BRANCH components which represents the normal channel only, i. e. neither hot channel nor hot rod are simulated.

The steam generator riser is simulated by a PIPE-component with 5 volumes (zones) in the region of the tube bundle and one volume above the bundle below the water steam separator. This pipe is connected with a SEPARATOR component. The steam outlet of the separator is connected with a single volume component SNGLVOL (steam expansion tank). The liquid return, function is connected with a BRANCH component which simulates the zone of feedwater injection. This BRANCH is connected downward with the downcomer which is simulated by an ANNULUS component with 6 volumes. Above the feedwater injection branch an ANNULUS component is arranged which represents the volume beside the separator and steam dryer. This ANNULUS, divided in three volumes is connected with the steam expansion tank at the other end. Thus a Bypass to the SEPARATOR component is simulated.

The two main steam pipings, each simulated by a BRANCH component, are connected with another BRANCH component simulating the branching to the turbine valves and the main steam header. This branching to the turbine valves is connected with a servo valve component (SRVVLV), which represents both the turbine stop and control valves.

The turbine is simulated by a time dependent volume (TMDPVOL) component. Test calculations yield good results for the operating of the servo value as long as the pressure in the "turbine" (time dependent volume) is in such an order of magnitude (about 40 bar) that nu critical flow conditions are reached.

The BRANCH component, which simulates the main steam header, is connected with two time dependent volume (TMDPVOL) components representing the main heat sink (condenser) and the heat sink for the steam supplied feedwater heating, respectively. The junction between condenser and header is a servo valve (SRVVLV) component representing the six main steam Bypass valves, the other junction from the header is a time dependent junction (TMDPJUN) component with the time dependent flow rate of the steam extraction.

During steady-state calculation the main steam Bypass valve is closed. Test calculations revealed that in regard to time step, consideration of the steam extraction in the nodalisation is recommendable.

The 6 main steam Bypass values are condensed to one servo value (SRVVIV) component. This servo value is controlled by a proportional-integral controller. Th, the slightly different behaviour of the six values cannot be simulated. As specified one value opens earlier than the other five values due to different calibration. This fact has a great impact in the event of small control deviations as in this case.



1. 1. M. . . .

+-,*

.



- 3

1

5

.

- 40

5 Discussion of the Results

5.1 Results of Steady-State Calculation

For steady-state calculation the run option STDY-ST was chosen. Test calculations, performed with the run option TRANSNT yielded no significantly different results.

Great efforts were made to obtain the desired initial conditions although the nearly exact values were taken as input.

To adjust the primary coolant pressure to the setpoint, a time dependent volume component has to be connected with the "Threefold Loop" for a period of about 30 s. When connecting this time dependent volume component with the pressurizer, as seen in some input data listings, the pressurizer water level could not be adjusted to the setpoint.

The MS pressure was adjusted to setpoint by the turbine control valve.

Many difficulties arose when vici tried to reach a balance between the power generated on the primary side and the power transferred to the secondary side. An iterative process was necessary to establish this level.

However, an exact balance could not be obtained within the desired setpoints. The remaining discrepancy of about 0.2 % was balanced by a time dependent volume (TMDPVOL) component connected with the pressurizer. A flow rate of about 0.6 kg/s was needed to render the energy balance stable.

The following boundary and initial conditions result from the steady-state calculation or are input data. The reactor coolant temperature at SG outlet and consequently also at RPV inlet is influenced by the heat transfer correlations in the steam generator. The <u>RPV inlet temperature</u> could not be adjusted to the measured value. Due to the heat transfer package in RELAP5/MOD2 a value of about 294.5 °C results from steady-state calculations which is about 2.5 - 3.5 K higher than the measured values.

No efforts were made to change the heat transfer correlations. A test run showed that the demanded temperature could be reached with an unrealistic high value of the heat conductivity of Incoloy (input data).

The pressure in the steam generator was adjusted to about	65.8 bar
65.8 bar, somewhat lower than the measured value JEA20	
CP861.	

The <u>feedwater flow rate</u> amounted to 505 kg/s for the "Single 50! Loop" and 1515 kg/s for the "Threefold Loop", respectively.

The control of the main steam Bypass valve was simulated by a proportional-integral controller with LAG TERM (response time of the control valve), gain factor and follow-up time in accordance with the actual setting.

The actual MS maximum pressure setpoint was set to 78.8 bar78.8 barWith reactor trip the setpoint is lowered by 3 bar and subsequently increases with 20 bar/min until the setpoint is reached3 bar

- 42 -

294.6 °C

505 kg/s

15 %/bar

15 =

The flow rate in the SG downcomer relative to the full load feedwater flow rate (circulation rate) should be in the order of 4.2, but with the best-estimated pressure drop coefficients ascertained in the process of SG design a value of about 5.0 results from the steady-state calculation.

The steam generator water level (narrow range) was adjusted12.13 mto 12.13 m, somewaht lower than the measured value JEA2012.0 mFL901. This results in a wide range level of 12.0 m and a col-12.0 mlapsed level of nearly 12.6 m.12.6 m

It was necessary to start with a lower quality in the upper zone of the downcomer than expected from the steady-state results to adjust the desired water level. Test runs performed to adjust the water level with a time-dependent feedwater flow rate controlled by the water level produced no acceptable results.

5.2 Results of the Transient Calculation

Starting from the steady-state results, the transient run was performed. It was assumed that the reactor trip occurs 15 s after beginning of the run. The transient run was terminated when a real time of 330 s was reached. Furthermore it was assumed that the trip was not triggered by the protection system but manually.

In the following discussion generally the measured values of the plant i oop 20 are compared with the values of the "Single Loop" obtained by computation. Sometimes, however, it is necessary to compare with measured values of Loop 10 or Loop 40 as discussed in Chapter 3.

- 43 -

5.2.1 Description of the Dynamic Behaviour of the Plant after Reactor Trip (Comparison of Calculation with Experiment)

From the reactor trip signal (input data) all absorber elements of the trip system fail into the core. Therefore the reactor power is reduced very rapidely to the decay power. With the trip signal the measured value of the ractor power JTK00 FX105 represents the fission power alone (s. Chap. 3.3.5). This fact is taken into consideration by calculating the CNTRLVAR 855 for adequate comparison with measurement. As to be seen from Fig. 4.1 good agreement between measurement and calculation is obtained.

- 44 -

The steam generator power follows the decrease of the reactor power somewhat delayed due to the stored heat in the primary system.

The decrease of the reactor power also causes a decrease of the temperature rise (see Chapter 3.3.4) because the reactor coolant pumps are still in operation. This decrease is in good agreement with the measured value of Loop 10 (Fig. 4.2). In the c²³ culation the gradient is somewhat steeper than in the measurement, which may be due to a slight damping of the measured value. The measured value of Loop 20 is not suitable for comparison.

The coolant temperature at SG outlet (primary side) and with this also the temperature at RPV inlet approach the saturation temperature associated to the pressure in the steam generator. The deviation of the steady-state values between measurement and calculation is due to the heat transfer correlations implemented in RELAPS which are obviously not optimal for this problem.

As mentioned in Chapter 3.3.3 the measured value of Loop 20 is always lower than the corresponding ones of Loop 10 and Loop 40. Therefore the calculated results are compared with the measured values of Loop 10.

Apart from the different initial values the calculation is in good agreement with the measured value JEC10 CT812 (Fig. 4.3).

Due to the decrease of the reactor power and the temperature rise, respectively, the RPV outlet temperature decreases, too. The sum of RPV inlet temperature and tem-

- 45 -

perature rise of Loop 10 is compared with the calculated RPV outlet temperature (Fig. 4.4).

With respect to the deviation of the RPV inlet temperatur - from measurement as mentioned above good agreement exists between measurement and calculation.

The decrease of the (mean) coolant temperature results in a contraction of the coolant; thus a flow rate out of the pressurizer (outsurge) occurs causing a decrease of the coolant pressure and the pressurizer water level (see Chapter 3.3.1 and 3.3.2).

Fig. 4.5 and 4.6 reveal that the calculated results are in good agreement with the measurement. At the beginning of the transient the measured values of the coolant pressure are somewhat lower and the measured values of the pressurizer water level are somewhat higher. This may be due to that fact that the pressurizer water level control system is not simulated by the RELAP5 input procedure but was in action during the experiment.

From the reactor trip signal the turbine trip signal is triggered; thus the turbine stop valves close. In the following no energy is removed from the secondary side so that the MS pressure increases. This increase is in good agreement with the measured value of Loop 10 'EA10 CP851 (Fig. 4.7). The measurement in Loop 20 seems to be damped as discussed in Chapter 3.3.7.

If the pressure reaches the maximum pressure setpoint the Bypass valves open. Thus the MS pressure is limited and kept near the setpoint. The calculated MS pressure is in good agreement with the measured pressure (Fig. 4.7 and Fig. 4.8).

The deviation between measurement and calculation of the main steam Bypass valve position (see Chapter 3.3.6), however, is evident (Fig. 4.9). It is suspected, that this discrepancy is due to the fact that the Bypass valves are not correctly modelled in the calculation as mentioned in Chapter 4.2 (one valve opens earlier than the others).

The reduction of the steam generator power causes a collapse of the steam bubbles in the steam generator, resulting in a decrease of the steam generator water level. Later on, the SG is filled up to the setpoint by the feedwater control. The calculated decrease of the water level (see Chapter 3.3.9) at the beginning of the transient is in good agreement with measurement; this applies to both narrow (Fig. 4.10) and wide range (4.11). After this decrease the calculation predicts a sharp increase of about 0.6 m to a maximum which does not appear in the measurement. In the calculation both the collapsed level as well as the narrow and wide range levels show this intermediate maximum.

No explanation has been found for this deviation between calculation and measurement. Due to the good resolution at the beginning of the transient a damping of the measurement can be excluded. It is assumed that this effect results from deficiencies in the modelling of the separator in RELAP5/MOD2.

Triggered by the reactor trip signal the full load feedwater control valves close and the low load control valves open. In the calculation the feedwater flow rate is given by input data. Fig. 4.12 demonstrates that the flow rate for the input is properly choosen, because the input data, which are derived indirectly from various measurements (see Chapter 3.3.8), are in good agreement with the measured value if the time dependent data are damped by a LAG term with a time constant of 20 s.



- 47 -



a. b.a.t.

i hover

Siemens AG - Bereich Energieerzeugung (KWU)

*

10

D

- 48 -

.

all & heard and the



1 the constant



1

、一時に

S.amens AG - Bereich Energieerzeugung (KWU)



Q.

the state





- 53 -



Same Pa

1 Sta

- 54 -

3

1.



- 55





- 57 -



THIS

de o

-

6 Runs Statistics

a) Steady-State Run

Time of Transient	50	5
CP Time	192	5
Maximal time step	5.0 - 10-2	5
Minimai time step	1.25-10-2	5

- 59 -

b) Transient Run

Time of Transient	330	5
CP Time	1448	s
Minimal time step	3.9.10-3	5
Maximal time step (controlled by courant limit)	6.25.10-2	5
Attempted ADV	7907	
Repeated ADV	87	

a-

Time of Transient	CPU
0.	3.0
50.	261.0
100	787.2
150.	934.2
200.	1078.6
250.	1219.8
300.	1361.4
330.	1443.0

c) General Statistical Data

Volumes	146
Junctions	152
Heat Structures	29
Mesh Points	204

7 Summary and Conclusions

The comparison of the results of RELAP5/MOD2 calculations with the commissioning test results shows very good agreement between measurement and calculation in general.

The only significant deviation between calcula^{*} and measured values is the RPV inlet temperature coming from heat transfer correlations in RELAP5/MOD2 not optimized for this problem. Furthermore, for a short period a deviation exists between the calculated and measured value of the SG water level about 15 s after beginning of the transient, which is assumed to be due to the separator model in the SG model.

In general, a critical review of the measured values is needed before comparing them with calculated results. A deviation of calculated values from measured data is not necessarily resulting from a bad calculation or not optimized modelling, but perhaps due to a faulty measurement or the measurement is affected by instrumentational short-comings and characteristics, resp. (for instance a damping of the measurement) or the measured value is only at the upper or lower limit of the allowed uncertainty range.

8 Comparison with Recalculations with another Transient Code (NLOOP)

8.1 Brief Description of NLOOP

The multiple-loop computer code NLOOP is employed to investigate the dynamic behaviour of PWR power plants in the event of in-service upsets and accidents. In the field of transient analysis the NLOOP code is the standard design and analysis tool of SIEMENS-KWU in the German licensing procedure. The range of application can be seen from Table 8.1

The code contains models for major components of the primary and secondary side, for important auxiliary systems and for the essential control, limitation, protection and interlock logic.

The range of components and systems normally considered for transient analysis of a KWU PWR plant is presented in Table 8.2.

The fluid in the primary coolant system is treated as homogeneous. Temperature non-equilibrium is allowed in the pressurizer, steam generators, feedwater tank and in the reactor pressure vessel head. The one-dimensional conservation equation of mass and energy are integrated by an explicit numerical method. Node/flow path networks are used to model the flow rates in the primary coolant loops and in the main steam and feedwater systems.

Adaptation to different plants is by modification of the input data and replacement of some modules, especially in the field of instrumentation and control system.

In the following, the main characteristics of the NLOOP code are listed:

- Point kinetics model
- Average coolant channel
- All kinds of reactivity effects can be simulated including recriticality after shutdown
- reactor coolant loop, incl. piping, RCP, SG, RPV, reactor pressure vessel head
- pressurizer
- heat transfer in SG
- natural circulation mode
- backflow in loops

Siemens AG - Bereich Enernieerzeugung (KWU)

- piping system on the secondary side (feedwater/main steam) incl. valves
- feedwater supply system
- turbine/bypass
- all important ! & C
- relevant auxiliary systems including valves and controls
- reactor protection system
- safety systems

Two main restrictions to be mentioned are:

- 1 The coolant within the primary coolant circuit is treated as homogeneous. Therefore, LOCA accidents for which phase separation effects are determining factors should not be calculated by NLOOP.
- As a point kinetics model for the fission power is used, the core power distribution preset by input data remains unchanged during the calculation. Consequently, any reactor power distribution control and limitation system actions are not considered.
| | Normal
Operation | Load ramps/Load steps |
|-------------------------------|--|---|
| Operation | Upset
Operation | Turbine trip/Load rejection/Reactor scram/Failure
of reactor coolant pumps/Malfunction of auxili-
ary systems |
| | Reactiviy
Disturbance | Start-up fault/Rod insertion/Rod drop/Rod with-
drawal/Rod ejection/Boron dilution |
| Disturbances
and Accidents | Disturbance
of Heat
Removal | Inadvertent opening of bypass station/Turbine
trip without rod drop or with bypass station un-
available/Loos of auxiliary power supply/Inadver-
tent closing of main steam isolation valves/Mal-
function of feedwater supply |
| | Loss of Sec-
ondary-Side
Coolant | Inadvertent opening of MS safety or relief valves/
MS line break/Feedwater line break |
| | Loss of Prim-
ary Coolant | Steam generator tube rupture/inadvertent open-
ing of pressurizer valves |
| Specials | ATWS | Loss of heat sink/Loss of heat sink and auxiliary
power supply/Max. increase in MS flow/Max. re-
duction in feedwater supply/Max. reduction in re-
actor coolant flow/Max. reactivity insertion/Inad-
vertent opening of primary safety valve/Max. sub-
cooling caused by feedwater supply |

Table 8.1 Application of NLOOP

- 63 -

	Thermohydraulic System	s	Instrum	nentation and Co	ntrai
Primary	Secondary	Control	Limitation	Protection	Interlocking
Coolant circuit RPV with core coolant lines pressurizer relief tank Volume control system Extra borating system Chemical feeding system Emergency core cooling system	MS line system turbine/generator bypass stauon isolation valves relief/safety valves Feedwater system (cundenser) feedwater tank feedheaters pumps, valves Em./rgency feedwater pumps	Coolant temp Coolant pressure Pressurizer water level turbine/ generator Feedwater	Reactor power Rod movement Rod insertion Coolant inventary/ - pressure/temp grad	Reactor s ram Safeguraris	of all simulated active compo- nents
Steam	generator		1		

Table 8.2 Scope of Simulation in NLOOP

- 64 -

8.2 Comparison of Analysis Results between NLOOP and RELAPS on the Basis of a Reactor Trip Transient

Within the terms of reference of

"Code Assessment Agreement"

between the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (USNRC) and the Federal Minister of Research and Technology (BMFT) of the Federal Republic of Germany, a post-test estimation was performed at SIEMENS-KWU using the computer code

RELAP5/MOD2

for the test

"Reactor Trip at Full Load (D-100-301)"

performed during commissioning of the Philippsburg 2 nuclear power plant. A posttest calculation was also performed for the same test using the SIEMENS-KWU developed code

NLOOP

The results obtained from use of each of these codes were compared with the results of the commissioning tests.

The results of this comparison can be summarized as follows:

The two computer codes NLOOP and RELAP5 yield almost identical results, which match up very well with the results of actual testing.

In RELAP5, the main steam pressure rises somewhat more steeply than in NLOOP, and thus matches up very well with the pressure measurements during the test (pressure rise from about 66 bar to 80 bar in 6 s in RELAP5 and in 8 s in NLOOP).

The initial value for the RPV inlet temperature in RELAP5 shows a distinct discrepancy from the test reading; it was not possible to match the RELAP5 result with the test result under the terms of the steady-state computation. This discrepancy likewise influences the initial values of the RPV outlet temperature and the average coolant temperature. This in turn affects the transient history of the coolant pressure, which shows good agreement with the test results only by comparison, sincle the error due to the high value for the average coolant temperature is compensated by the fact that the coolant flow extraction by the pressurizer level control system is not modelled by RELAP5/MOD2 input.

The RELAP5/MOD2 computation shows for steam generator water level a minimum followed by a pronounced maximum which was not observed either during the commissioning test or in the NLOOP calculation. This maximum appears unrealistic. Both programs agree well on the minimum. In the long-term history, both computations diverge from the actual test results, although RELAP5 remain closer to the results measured.

To sum up, it can be concluded that both codes are equally suitable for post-test calculation of this transient, as can be seen from the figures 5.1 - 5.4 (transient history of coolant pressure, RPV inlet temperature, MS pressure, SG water level).



Fig. 5.1

67 -



Siemens AG - Bereich Energieerzeugung (KWU)

- 68 -





Siemens AG - Bereich Energieerzeugung (KWU)

- 69



-

Siemens AG - Bereich Energieerzeugung (KWU)

9



Appendix A

- A1 -

Data Sheet of Philippsburg 2 Nuclear Power Plant

-

-11

Philippsburg 2 Nuclear Power Station

- A2 -





The Rheinschanz Island, which is situated between the old and new beds of the Rhine near Philippaburg, about 30 km north of Karlaruhe, is the site of two nuclear power plants, Philippaburg 1 and Philippaburg 2, bilippaburg 1 and Philippaburg 1 and 2, bilippaburg 1 and 2, bilipp

The 1300 MW Philippsburg 2 nuclear power plant has been equipped with a pressurized water reactor as a nuclear steam generator, and is due to go into operation towards the end of 1982.

WWU Pressurized Water Reactor

The reactor, loaded with 103 ionnes of enriched utanium as the nuclear fuel is designed for a thermal output of 3765 MW. 18,800 kg of water per seco d flow through the core of the reactor, where the utanium is arranged in 193 fuel assemblies. This water is heated in the process from 291 °C to 126 °C, but does not evaporate because it is maintained at a pressure of 158 bar. The reactor coolant transfers heat to the secondary steam cycle via four identical loops, each with its own circulating pump and heat exchanger the so-called "steam generator". It is a characteristic of the pressurised water reactor that both jupps of the nuclear steam generating system are completely separated from each other, and are both pressure-tight, so that it is impospible for radioactive substances to escape to the steam cycle.

1362 HW from One Turbo-generator Ser

On the secondary wide of the four steam generators a total of 2061 kg of saturated steam at a temperature of 284 °C and a pressure of 68 bar are produced per second at full load. This 'lows into the turbine building and on to the single-shaft condensing turbine, which, along with the three-phase synchronous generator coupled to it, is currently one of the largest inits manufactured in the world.

The turbine, comprising a high pressure section and a three-cylinder low pressure section with interposed moisture separator and superheater, has been specially developed by KWU for operation on maturated steza. The 1560 MVAgenerator generates a terminal voltage of 27 kV; the windings of both stator and rotor are water-cooled.

Condenser Cooling Using Water from the Shine and a Cooling Tower

The steam condenses in the condensers after leaving the turbine, and the condensate is then pumped back to the steam generators being simultaneously heated. The condensers in the Philippsburg 2 nuclear power plant are cooled either with Rhine water or with water that releases heat to the atmosphere. via a cooling tower (height approximately 150 m, diameter at base 120 m) Temperature, oxygen content and level of the Rhine, and also prevailing weather conditions determine which of the possible methods should be used, or whether, in the event of fresh water cooling, the cooling water should be returned to the river via the cooling tower.

1. 1.



- Seaster building
- Turbine building Swiinhgmar building Speinistration and smenitima building
- meaning musiliary systems
- Lisergency Teed
- unit bransformer Emergency power generator Ges augely system Cooling mater mamply never 12 Looiing wher discharge tares 1] Vers stark 14 Poer plant, unit 1

Safety Has Priority

All measures to guarantee environmental protection and technical safety have been taken for the Philippsburg 2 nuclear power plant. Thus, for example, the nuclear steam generating system together with the reactor auxiliary systems that are necessary for the reliable operation of the plant are housed in the reactor huilding. In accordance with KWC philosophy, this building has two shells positioned one inside the other. The inner shell, a steel sphere 56 m in diameter, is pressure-resistant and gas-tight in order to limit the effects of maximum credible accidents to the reactor to its interior. The outer reinforced concrete shell is resistant to all impacts, including that of a crashing algoraft.

Kraftwork Union Aktiongevellscheft

intent at generator terminals 1300 A	87
the second se	н.
thet electrical Cutput 1000 *	н.

institut (pressuries again, antisted utariad)

Contains and materials as an of realist contains longe as from talk - (persing amazone - (star)outlet temperature	10,000 kg/s 10,000 kg/s 196 bas 297,1 40/306,1 M
Tes, of fuel assemption Relight of station but of nominal room	103 1 11

forl Assemblies (193, Fuel 103 - Peliete)

Forl Folds per samething	1.30
an Osperater	10.75 MR
tes Astron Deright	29.30 aut
an least if is cultput	\$,5 K#/k5 V
Average enrothment (reseauers cores)	3.3 # by weig
Surroup of would be over once	34,000 Med/4

Rear for Ciplant Fuera (4)

Sec.	TUR.	pir parts	
Daeph	90.40	frast.	
Sec.	ip set.	water pulle-	

Stead Decenators (4)

was pereration per unit	-915.	hd/s	
westurn/temp. of steam of cutlet	16.6	Sar	/284,
a slow writes	14,25	漂白	y idea

<u>Incongenerator Det</u> (four-orginater single-sheft saturated steam condensing turbine)

Consect/assest	1962 146/25 21
Main steam mass fiom	274-1 40/8
Main alsue temperature	204,3.95
Reheading to	340.25
No. of extraction points	- R
Condemper pressure	31 blat
-avolumetric circu meter flow	50, 387/5
an Cleanisting with summer.	10.50

Apparent power Power factor	1560 MVA 0.87
Terminal voltage Maxor/rotor cooling method/lamineted	njangangang
tenite type	rotating diode rectifiers

(mit Transformer () three-press transformer)

Unit 1 rating	7%0-#KA
Transformation vorto	277420 kV 5 11 %
white 2 meting	AVM DOD/
Transformation fatte	22/415 AV 3 12 K

For further information contact negroiners union distinguishing of 190 , Partfact 30 $N_{\rm e}$ 0.4550 frienges i

Drain No. 403-101 Printed in the Federal Republic of General (R-00401) 15120 9771, Sept 1977

há 235

8

.

4735 +9/2 8,63 bar 7350 68

Siemens AG - Bereich Energieerzeugung (KWU)

Appendix B: Input Deck

. 81



"Appendix B contains Proprietary Material contents removed at author's request. Questions curcerning Appendix B model should be addressed to author". 13

-10

	U.S. NUC	LEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	1. REPORT NUMBER
2.89) 9HCM 1102, 1201 3202	BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEE	т	Masigned by NRC. Add Vol., Supp., Pav., and Addendum Humbers, If any.)
	(Site instructions on the revenue)		NUMBER (TA DOF 3
TITLE AND SUBTITLE	ana wa shina nanjini mantani na anali na kumananya tana nana ana tana kata tana	and in the Contract of Contractation and special contract and the Contract of Contract of Contract of Contract	NUREG/1A-0057
Assessment St	udy of RELAP/MOD2 Cycle 36.04 B	ased on the	FLERE MARKED
Commissioning	2 Nuclose Dower Plant	at the	3 DATE REPORT PUBLISHED
ratifipsong	z nuclear rower rialit		MONTH YEAR
			4 FIN CR GRANT NUMBER
			A4682
AUTHOR(S)			6. TYPE OF REPORT
G. Gerth			
			7 PERICE COVERED Inclusive Dami
E PERFORMING OP Cau perse and mailing addres	*ATION - NAME AND ACORESS (If NRC previde Division	Office of Region, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Co	mmission, and mailing address. If contractor, provide
Siemens AG-on	ereich Energieerzeugung (KWU)		
Postfach 322	strasse 12+14		
D-3520 Erlan	jen		
Federal Reput	olic of Germany		
B. SPONSORING ORGAN	IZATION - NAME AND ADDRESS IN NRC. type "Serve at all	ow": Il contractor, rinovide NRC Division, Of	lice or Replon, U.S. Nuclear Reputatory Commission,
DEFine of No.			
UTTICE OF NUC	Pagulatory Kesearch		
WAshington, (C 20555		
intorring con j			
10. SUPPLEMENTARY N	OTES		
11 ABSTRACT / NE more	And the second	and any similar second s	
11. ABSTRACT (200 word	n der Rese?		
11. ABSTRACT (200 wowe The commissioning f recalculated with I between measuremen' steam generator pro- steam gene	test "Reactor Trip at Full LOGG", which was p RELAPS/MOD2. The comparison of file results w t and calculation. Difficulties crised attemp essure, to the initial test condition. It is for this problem. The deviation of SG water 1 ed by the separator model in RELAPS/MOD.	erformed at the nuclear power ith the commissioning test re ting to adjust the RPV inlet assumed that the heat transfe evel during the transient bet	plant Philippsburg 2 (KKP-2), was sults shows very good agreement temperature, which depends on reed correlations in RELAPS/ MOD2 ween calculation and measurement is
11. ABSTRACT (200 word The commissioning f recalculated with I between measurement steam generator pro ore not optimized assumed to be cause	test "Reactor Trip at Full Load", which was p RELAP5/MOD2. The comparison of fixe results w t and calculation. Difficulties crised attemp essure, to the initial test condition. It is for this problem. The deviation of SG water 1 ad by the separator model in RELAP5/MOD.	erformed at the nuclear power ith the commissioning test re ting to adjust the RPV inlet assumed that the heat transfe evel during the transient bet	plant Philippsburg 2 (KKP-2), was sults shows very good agreement temperature, which depends on rred correlations in RELAPS/ MOD2 ween calculation and measurement is
11. ABSTRACT (200 wowd The commissioning f recalculated with I between measuremen steam generator pro are not optimized f assumed to be cause	test "Reactor Trip at full Load", which was p RELAP5/MOD2. The comparison of file results w t and calculation. Difficulties crised attemp essure, to the initial test condition. It is for this problem. The deviation of SG water 1 ad by the separator model in RELAP5/MOD.	erformed at the nuclear power ith the commissioning test re ting to adjust the RPV inlet assumed that the heat transfe evel during the transient bet	plant Philippsburg 2 (KKP-2), was sults shows very good agreement temperature, which depends on rred correlations in RELAPS/ MOD2 ween calculation and measurement is
11. ABSTRACT (200 wowe The commissioning f recalculated with I between measurement steam generator pro- ore not optimized f assumed to be cause	test "Reactor Trip at full Load", which was p RELAPS/MOD2. The comparison of five results w t and calculation. Difficulties urised attemp essure, to the initial test condition. It is for this problem. The deviation of SG water 1 ad by the separator model in RELAPS/MOD.	erformed at the nuclear power ith the commissioning test re ting to adjust the RPV inlet assumed that the heat transfe evel during the transient bet	plant Philippsburg 2 (KKP-2), was sults shows very good agreement temperature, which depends on rred correlations in RELAPS/ MOD2 ween calculation and measurement is
11. ABSTRACT (200 wowl The commissioning to recalculated with I between measurement steam generator pro- pre not optimized to assumed to be cause 12. KEY WORDS/DESCI DE1 ADE (MODD	test "Reactor Trip at Full Load", which was p RELAP5/MOD2. The comparison of five results w t and calculation. Difficulties crised attemp essure, to the initial test condition. It is for this problem. The deviation of SG water 1 ad by the separator model in RELAP5/MOD.	erformed at the nuclear power ith the commissioning test re ting to adjust the RPV inlet assumed that the heat transfe evel during the transient bet	plant Philippsburg 2 (KKP-2), was sults shows very good agreement temperature, which depends on rred correlations in RELAPS/ MOD2 ween calculation and measurement is
11. ABSTRACT (200 more recalculated with I between measurement steam generator pro- pre not optimized assumed to be cause 12. KEY WORDS/DESCI RELAP5/MOD2 Cyclo, 25, 04	ter West test "Reactor Trip at full Load", which was p RELAP5/MOD2. The comparison of five results w t and calculation. Difficulties crised attemp besure, to the initial test condition. It is for this problem. The deviation of SG water 1 ad by the separator model in RELAP5/MOD.	erformed at the nuclear power ith the commissioning test re ting to adjust the RPV inlet assumed that the heat transfe evel during the transient bet	plant Philippsburg 2 (KKP-2), wes sults shows very good agreement temperature, which depends on rred correlations in RELAPS/ MOD2 ween calculation and measurement is ween calculation and measurement is unlimited is security classification
11. ABSTRACT (200 wowe The commissioning to recalculated with I between measurement steam generator pro- pre not optimized to assumed to be cause 12. KEY WORDS/DESCI RELAP5/MOD2 Cycle 36.04 Commissionin	ter Anni test "Reactor Trip at full Loud", which was p RELAPS/MOD2. The comparison of file results w t and calculation. Difficulties unised attemp essure, to the initial test condition. It is for this problem. The deviation of SG water 1 ad by the separator model in RELAPS/MOD.'. REPTORS (Law work or phrases that will essist resources & newsion REPTORS (Law work or phrases that will essist resources & newsion Test Reactor Trip	erformed at the nuclear power ith the commissioning test re ting to adjust the RPV inlet assumed that the heat transfe evel during the transient bet	plant Philippsburg 2 (KKP-2), was sults shows very good agreement temperature, which depends on rred correlations in RELAPS/ MOD2 ween calculation and measurement is unlimited 14. AVAILABLUITY STATEMENT Unlimited 14. SECURITY CLASS(FICATION This Page)
11. ABSTRACT (200 move The commissioning f recalculated with I between measurement steam generator pro- pre not optimized f assumed to be cause 12. KEY WORDS/DESCI RELAP5/MOD2 Cycle 36.04 Commissionin Full Load	test "Reactor Trip at full Load", which was p RELAP5/MOD2. The comparison of file results w t and calculation. Difficulties crised attemp essure, to the initial test condition. It is for this problem. The deviation of SG water 1 ed by the separator model in RELAP5/MOD.	erformed at the nuclear power ith the commissioning test re ting to adjust the RPV inlet assumed that the heat transfe evel during the transient bet	plant Philippsburg 2 (KKP-2), was sults shows very good agreement temperature, which depends on rred correlations in RELAPS/ MOD2 ween calculation and measurement is unicided to a second the second temperature unimited ta second temperature unicides of the second temperature unicides of temperatu
11. ABSTRACT (200 move The commissioning for recalculated with 1 between measurement steam generator pro- pre not optimized a assumed to be cause 12. KEY WORDS/DESCI RELAP5/MOD2 Cycle 36.04 Commissionin Full Lnad Phillippsbur	ter Anni test "Reactor Trip at full Load", which was p RELAP5/MOD2. The comparison of five results w t and calculation. Difficulties crised attemp besure, to the initial test condition. It is for this problem. The deviation of SG water 1 ad by the separator model in RELAP5/MOD.	erformed at the nuclear power ith the commissioning test re ting to adjust the RPV inlet assumed that the heat transfe evel during the transient bet	plant Philippsburg 2 (KKP-2), was sults shows very good agreement temperature, which depends on rred correlations in RELAPS/ MOD2 ween calculation and measurement is unaction and measurement is unaction and measurement is red correlation and measurement is ween calculation and measurement is unaction and meas
11. ABSTRACT (200 move The commissioning to recalculated with 1 between measurement steam generator pro- pre not optimized to assumed to be cause 12 KEY WORDS/DESCE RELAP5/MOD2 Cycle 36.04 Commissionin Full Lnad Phillippsbut	ter Anni test "Reactor Trip at full Loud", which was p RELAPS/MOD2. The comparison of five results w t and calculation. Difficulties urised attemp essure, to the initial test condition. It is for this problem. The deviation of SG water 1 ed by the separator model in RELAPS/MOD.'. REPTORS ILlaw work or phrases that will essist resources & needles IG Test Reactor Trip IG 2 Nuclear Power Plant	erformed at the nuclear power ith the commissioning test re ting to adjust the RPV inlet assumed that the heat transfe evel during the transient bet	plant Philippsburg 2 (KKP-2), was sults shows very good agreement temperature, which depends on rred correlations in RELAPS/ MOD2 ween calculation and measurement is unclassified (This Page) unclassified
11. ABSTRACT (200 move The commissioning for recalculated with 1 between measurement steam generator pro- pre not optimized for assumed to be cause 12. KEY WORDS/DESCI RELAP5/MOD2 Cycle 36.04 Commissionin Full Lnad Phillippsbur	ter Anni Test "Reactor Trip at full Load", which was p RELAPS/MOD2. The comparison of file results w t and calculation. Difficulties crised attemp besure, to the initial test condition. It is for this problem. The deviation of SG water 1 ad by the separator model in RELAPS/MOD. The separator model in RELAPS/MOD. NUMPERS (Law words or phrases the well select researchers in results) NUMPERS (Law words or phrases the well select researchers in results) NUMPERS (Law words or phrases the well select researchers in results) NUMPERS (Law words or phrases the well select researchers in results) NUMPERS (Law words or phrases the well select researchers in results) NUMPERS (Law words or phrases the well select researchers in results) NUMPERS (Law words or phrases the well select researchers in results)	erformed at the nuclear power ith the commissioning test re ting to adjust the RPV inlet assumed that the heat transfe evel during the transient bet	plant Philippsburg 2 (KKP-2), was sults shows very good agreement temperature, which depends on rred correlations in RELAPS/ MOD2 ween calculation and measurement is ween calculation and measurement is unclassified This Report Unclassified IS NUMBER OF PAGES
11. ABSTRACT (200 move The commissioning for recalculated with 1 between measurement steam generator pro- pre not optimized a assumed to be cause 12 KEY WORDS/DESCE RELAP5/MOD2 Cycle 36.04 Commissionin Full Lnad Phillippsbur	ter Anni test "Reactor Trip at full Load", which was p RELAPS/MOD2. The comparison of file results w t and calculation. Difficulties crised attemp besure, to the initial test condition. It is for this problem. The deviation of SG water 1 ad by the separator model in RELAPS/MOD. And the separator model in RELAPS/MOD. AND THE SECOND POWER Plant og Test Reactor Trip og 2 Nuclear Power Plant	erformed at the nuclear power ith the commissioning test re ting to adjust the RPV inlet assumed that the heat transfe evel during the transient bet	plant Philippsburg 2 (KKP-2), was sults shows very good agreement temperature, which depends on rred correlations in RELAPS/ MOD2 ween calculation and measurement is unclassified is security classified (This Report unclassified is NUMBER OF PAGES 16 PRICE

.....

.

THIS DOCUMENT WAS PRINTED USING RECYCLED PAPER

a

à

NUREG/IA-9057

8

ASSESSMENT STUDY OF RELAPS/MOD2 CYCLE 36.04 BASED ON THE COMMISSIONING TEST REACTOR TRIP AT FULL LOAD AT THE PHILIPPSBURG 2 NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

APRIL 1992

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR FEGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

> OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

SPECIAL FOURTH-CLASS PATE POSTAGE AND PEES PAID USNRC PERMIT NO. 6-67