

January 10, 1996

Mr. Neil S. Carns
President and Chief Executive Officer
Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation
Post Office Box 411
Burlington, Kansas 66839

SUBJECT: RELIEF REQUESTS, INSERVICE TESTING PROGRAM, SECOND 10-YEAR INTERVAL
WOLF CREEK GENERATING STATION (TAC NO. M93395)

Dear Mr. Carns:

By letter dated August 31, 1995, Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation submitted information concerning the Wolf Creek second 10-year interval inservice testing program. This revised program, which started September 4, 1995, meets the requirements of the 1989 Edition of Section XI. The staff has completed its review of the proposed relief requests.

As detailed in the enclosed safety evaluation, the staff has (1) authorized the alternatives proposed in relief requests 2VR-4, 2VR-6, 2PR-2, 2PR-3 and 2PR-4 pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(i) as they will provide an acceptable level of quality and safety; (2) authorized alternatives in 2VR-2 and 2VR-3, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(ii) in that compliance with the requirements would result in hardship or unusual difficulty without a compensating increase in the level of quality and safety; and (3) determined that the requirements of 2VR-1 and 2PR-1 are impractical pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(f)(6)(i), and granting of relief is authorized by law, will not endanger life, property or the common defense and security, and is otherwise in the public interest. This relief has been granted giving due consideration upon the licensee that could result if the requirements were imposed on the facility.

For relief request 2VR-5, the staff determined that approval was not required to use clarifications, provided the use was documented as described in the enclosed safety evaluation. Also, the general comment on the use of notes for test deferrals does not appear to be fully addressed. It is repeated in the safety evaluation for consideration by the licensee to improve the quality of the IST program document.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

William H. Bateman, Director
Project Directorate, IV-2
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

9601190003 960110
PDR ADOCK 05000482
P PDR

Docket No. 50-482

Enclosure: Safety Evaluation

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File	CGrimes	WBateman
PUBLIC	ACRS	EPeyton
PDIV-2 Reading	OGC	GHill (2)
JRoe	BBeach, RIV	JMitchell
WRussell/FMiraglia	RZimmerman	
JStone	EGA1	

DOCUMENT NAME: WC93395.REL

*See Previous Concurrence

OFC	PDIV-2/LA	PDIV-2/I <i>Pr</i>	PDIV-2/PM <i>JS</i>	OGC*	PDIV-2/D
NAME	EPeyton	EBrown <i>QZ</i>	JStone	MYoung	WBateman <i>WB</i>
DATE	1/5/96	12/14/95	1/5/96	12/22/95	1/10/96

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

180091

WFO/11

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

January 10, 1996

Mr. Neil S. Carns
President and Chief Executive Officer
Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation
Post Office Box 411
Burlington, Kansas 66839

SUBJECT: RELIEF REQUESTS, INSERVICE TESTING PROGRAM, SECOND 10-YEAR INTERVAL
WOLF CREEK GENERATING STATION (TAC NO. M93395)

Dear Mr. Carns:

By letter dated August 31, 1995, Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation submitted information concerning the Wolf Creek second 10-year interval inservice testing program. This revised program, which started September 4, 1995, meets the requirements of the 1989 Edition of Section XI. The staff has completed its review of the proposed relief requests.

As detailed in the enclosed safety evaluation, the staff has (1) authorized the alternatives proposed in relief requests 2VR-4, 2VR-6, 2PR-2, 2PR-3 and 2PR-4 pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(i) as they will provide an acceptable level of quality and safety; (2) authorized alternatives in 2VR-2 and 2VR-3, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(ii) in that compliance with the requirements would result in hardship or unusual difficulty without a compensating increase in the level of quality and safety; and (3) determined that the requirements of 2VR-1 and 2PR-1 are impractical pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(f)(6)(i), and granting of relief is authorized by law, will not endanger life, property or the common defense and security, and is otherwise in the public interest. This relief has been granted giving due consideration upon the licensee that could result if the requirements were imposed on the facility.

For relief request 2VR-5, the staff determined that approval was not required to use clarifications, provided the use was documented as described in the enclosed safety evaluation. Also, the general comment on the use of notes for test deferrals does not appear to be fully addressed. It is repeated in the safety evaluation for consideration by the licensee to improve the quality of the IST program document.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

William H. Bateman, Director
Project Directorate, IV-2
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-482

Enclosure: Safety Evaluation

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File	CGrimes	WBateman
PUBLIC	ACRS	EPeyton
PDIV-2 Reading	OGC	GHill (2)
JRoe	BBeach, RIV	JMitchell
WRussell/FMiraglia	RZimmerman	
JStone	EGA1	

DOCUMENT NAME: WC93395.REL

*See Previous Concurrence

OFC	PDIV-2/LA	PDIV-2/I <i>PR</i>	PDIV-2/PM <i>JS</i>	OGC*	PDIV-2/D
NAME	<i>EP</i> EPeyton	<i>EB</i> EBrown	JStone	MYoung	<i>WB</i> WBateman
DATE	1/5/96	12/14/95	1/5/96	12/22/95	1/10/96

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

January 10, 1996

Mr. Neil S. Carns
President and Chief Executive Officer
Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation
Post Office Box 411
Burlington, Kansas 66839

SUBJECT: RELIEF REQUESTS, INSERVICE TESTING PROGRAM, SECOND 10-YEAR INTERVAL
WOLF CREEK GENERATING STATION (TAC NO. M93395)

Dear Mr. Carns:

By letter dated August 31, 1995, Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation submitted information concerning the Wolf Creek second 10-year interval inservice testing program. This revised program, which started September 4, 1995, meets the requirements of the 1989 Edition of Section XI. The staff has completed its review of the proposed relief requests.

As detailed in the enclosed safety evaluation, the staff has (1) authorized the alternatives proposed in relief requests 2VR-4, 2VR-6, 2PR-2, 2PR-3 and 2PR-4 pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(i) as they will provide an acceptable level of quality and safety; (2) authorized alternatives in 2VR-2 and 2VR-3, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(ii) in that compliance with the requirements would result in hardship or unusual difficulty without a compensating increase in the level of quality and safety; and (3) determined that the requirements of 2VR-1 and 2PR-1 are impractical pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(f)(6)(i), and granting of relief is authorized by law, will not endanger life, property or the common defense and security, and is otherwise in the public interest. This relief has been granted giving due consideration upon the licensee that could result if the requirements were imposed on the facility.

For relief request 2VR-5, the staff determined that approval was not required to use clarifications, provided the use was documented as described in the enclosed safety evaluation. Also, the general comment on the use of notes for test deferrals does not appear to be fully addressed. It is repeated in the safety evaluation for consideration by the licensee to improve the quality of the IST program document.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "William H. Bateman".

William H. Bateman, Director
Project Directorate, IV-2
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-482

Enclosure: Safety Evaluation

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. Neil S. Carns

- 2 -

cc w/encl:

Jay Silberg, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge
2300 N Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20037

Regional Administrator, Region III
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
799 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Senior Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P. O. Box 311
Burlington, Kansas 66839

Chief Engineer
Utilities Division
Kansas Corporation Commission
1500 SW Arrowhead Road
Topeka, Kansas 66604-4027

Office of the Governor
State of Kansas
Topeka, Kansas 66612

Attorney General
Judicial Center
301 S.W. 10th
2nd Floor
Topeka, Kansas 66612

County Clerk
Coffey County Courthouse
Burlington, Kansas 66839

Public Health Physicist
Bureau of Air & Radiation
Division of Environment
Kansas Department of Health
and Environment
Forbes Field Building 283
Topeka, Kansas 66620

Vice President Plant Operations
Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation
P. O. Box 411
Burlington, Kansas 66839

Regional Administrator, Region IV
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000
Arlington, Texas 76011

Supervisor Licensing
Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation
P. O. Box 411
Burlington, Kansas 66839

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Resident Inspectors Office
8201 NRC Road
Steedman, Missouri 65077-I302

Supervisor Regulatory Compliance
Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation
P. O. Box 411
Burlington, Kansas 66839