

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Commonwealth Edison Company  
Braidwood Station

Docket Nos. 50-456; 50-457  
License Nos. NPF-77; NPF-77

As a result of an inspection conducted from March 13 through April 20, 1992, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1992), the violation is listed below:

Technical Specification (TS) 6.8.1 requires that written procedures be established, implemented, and maintained covering activities referenced in Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.33, Revision 2, February 1978.

Contrary to the above:


- a. On February 13, 1992, licensee personnel failed to comply with station procedure BWAP 330-1 "Station Equipment Out of Service Procedure" when work was mistakenly initiated on the "B" hydrogen recombiner, after the "A" recombiner was taken out-of-service, resulting in entry into TS Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) 3.0.3.
- b. On March 7, 1992, licensee personnel failed to recognize that the boron concentration of the 1A Safety Injection accumulator was above TS limits, as specified in station procedure 1BWOS SI-1a, "Safety Injection Systems," resulting in delayed entry into TS LCO 5.5.1 for an inoperable accumulator.
- c. On March 15, 1992, licensee personnel failed to comply with station procedure BWAP 330-1 "Station Equipment Out of Service Procedure" when the wrong fuses were pulled to support the out-of-service for valve 2MS0046, resulting in a reactor trip.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement 1) (50-456/92007-01(DRP); 50-457/92007-01(DRP)).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Commonwealth Edison is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington D.C. 20555 with a copy to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region III, 799 Roosevelt Road, Glen Ellyn, Illinois, 60137, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the Braidwood Station within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or a demand for information may be issued as to why the license should

not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Glen Ellyn, Illinois  
this 8<sup>th</sup> day of May, 1992

  
for Brent Clayton, Chief  
Reactor Projects Branch 1