## Duquesne Light Company Beaver Valley Power Station

Beaver Valley Power Station P.O. Box 4 Shippingport, PA 15077-0004 (412) 391-6265

JOHN D. SIEBER Vice President - Nuclear Group

May 4, 1992

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555


Subject: Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit No. 2
Docket No. 50-412, Liconse No. NPF-73
Cycle 4 Reload and Core Operating Limits Report

Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit No. 2 completed the third cycle of operation on March 13, 1992, with a burnup of 16,497 MWD/MTU. This letter describes the Cycle 4 reload design, documents our review in accordance with 10 CFR 50.59 and our determination that no technical specification changes or unreviewed safety questions are involved, and provides a copy of the Core Operating Limits Report (COLR) in accordance with Technical Specification 6.9.1.14.

The Cycle 4 core configuration is arranged in a low leakage loading pattern and involves replacing thirty-two (32) Region 3, twenty (20) Region 4A, twelve (12) Region 4B, and four (4) Region 5B fuel assemblies with fifty-two (52) Region 6A fuel assemblies enriched to 3.6 w/o and sixteen (16) Region 6B fuel assemblies enriched to 4.0 w/o. A Region 2 fuel assembly discharged at the end of Cycle 1 will be reinserted to replace the center fuel assembly. The mechanical design of the new Region 6 fuel assemblies is the same as the Region 5 fuel assemblies except for the following factors:

- · VANTAGE 5H zircaloy grids.
- A modified fuel assembly bottom nozzle which includes a reinforcing skirt to enhance reliability during postulated adverse handling conditions while refueling.
- The fuel rod bottom end plug has an increased radius in the transition between the chamfer and the end of the plug.

These modifications meet all fuel assemlly/rod design criteria and will not adversely affect the core safety considerations. Fuel rod design evaluations for the Cycle 4 fuel were performed using NRC approved methodology to demonstrate that all of the fuel rod design bases are satisfied.



Beaver Valley ower Station, Unit No. 2 Docket No. 50-412, License No. NPF-73 Cycle 4 Reload and Core Operating Limits Report Page 2

Duquesne Light Company has performed a detailed review of the Cycle 4 reload core design including a review of the core characteristics to determine those parameters affecting the postulated accidents described in the UFSAR. The consequences of those incidents described in the UFSAR which could potentially be affected by the reload core characteristics were evaluated in accordance with the NRC approved methodology described in WCAP-9272-P-A "Westinghouse Reload Safety Evaluation Methodology".

The effects of the Cycle 4 reload design can be accommodated within the conservatisms of the assumptions used in the current analysis design basis, or it was Jemonstrated through evaluation that the reload parameters would not change the conclusions in the UFSAR.

No technical specification changes are required as a result of the Cycle 4 reload design other than those identified in our request for Technical Specification Change No. 57 (T.J No. 81758) dated October 15, 1991.

The NRC approved dropped rod methodology [WCAP-10298-A (non-proprietary), June 1983] was used for the Cycle 4 design evaluation and confirmed that the peaking factors did not exceed the safety analyses limits.

The reload core design will be verified by performing the standard Westinghouse reload core physics startup tests. The results of the following startup tests will be submitted in accordance with Technical Specification 6.9.1.3:

- Control rod drive tests and rod drop time measurements.
- 2. Critical boron concentration measurements.
- 3. Control rod bank worth measurements.
- 4. Moderator temperature coefficient measurements.
- 5. Startup power distribution measurements using the incore flux mapping system.

The COLR (attached) has been updated for Cycle 4 to include new  $F_{\chi\gamma}$  (RTP) limits for unrodded core planes and Figure 4 has been replaced with a new figure to address these new limits.

Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit No. 2 Docket No. 50-412, License No. NPF-73 Cycle 4 Reload and Core Operating Limits Report Page 3

The beaver Valley Onsite Safety Committee (OSC) and the Duquesne Light Company Offsite Review Committee (OKC) have reviewed the Cycle 4 Reload Safety Evaluation and Core Operating Limits Report and determined that this reload design will not adversely affect the safety of the plant and does not involve an unreviewed safety question.

Sincerely,

. D. Sieber

cc: Mr. L. W. Rossbach, Sr. Resident Inspector

Mr. T. T. Martin, NRC Region I Administrator

Mr. A. W. DeAgazio, Project Manager

Mr. M. L. Bowling (VEPCO)