AUG 1 4 1984

Docket No. 50-333

Mr. J. P. Bayne Executive Vice President, Nuclear Generation Power Authority of the State of New York 123 Main Street White Plains, New York 10601 DISTRIBUTION Docket File NRC PDR Local PDR ORB#2 Rdg DEisenhut OELD EJordan JNGrace HAbelson SNorris ACRS (10) Gray File

Dear Mr. Bayne:

SUBJECT: NUREG-0737, ITEM II.B.3, POST-ACCIDENT SAMPLING SYSTEM

Re: James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant

We have reviewed your submittals dated October 5, 1983, April 16 and July 16, 1984 regarding TMI Action Plan Item II.B.3, "Post-Accident Sampling System". Our review indicates that your post-accident sampling system meets all eleven criteria of ITEM II.B.3 and is therefore, acceptable. Accordingly, we consider this item resolved for the FitzPatrick facility. A copy of our Safety Evaluation is enclosed.

DL: ORB#2

8/14/84

DVassallo

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Domenic B. Vassallo, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #2 Division of Licensing

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/enclosure: See next page

DL:ORB#2 D SNOTTIS:Jk H 8/L3/84 8



8409040427 840814 PDR ADOCK 05000333 PDR Mr. J. P. Bayne Power Authority of the State of New York James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant

cc:

Mr. Charles M. Pratt Assistant General Counsel Power Authority of the State of New York 10 Columbus Circle New York, New York 10019

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Region II Office Regional Radiation Representative 26 Federal Plaza New York, New York 10007

Mr. Corbin A. McNeill, Jr. Resident Manager James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant Post Office Box 41 Lycoming, New York 13093

Mr. J. A. Gray, Jr. Director - Nuclear Licensing - BWR Power Authority of the State of New York 123 Main Street White Plains, New York 10601

Mr. Robert P. Jones, Supervisor Town of Scriba R. D. #4 Oswego, New York 13126

Mr. Leroy W. Sinclair Power Authority of the State of New York 10 Columbus Circle New York, New York 10019 Mr. Jay Dunkleberger Division of Policy Analysis and Planning New York State Energy Office Agency Building 2 Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223

Resident Inspector's Office U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Post Office Box 136 Lycoming, New York 13093

Mr. A. Klausman Vice President - Quality Assurance Power Authority of the State of New York 10 Columbus Circle New York, New York 10019

Mr. George Wilverding, Chairman Safety Review Committee Power Authority of the State of New York 123 Main Street White Plains, New York 10601

Mr. M. C. Cosgrove Quality Assurance Superintendent James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant Post Office Box 41 Lycoming, New York 13093

Thomas A. Murley Regional Administrator Region I Office U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 631 Park Avenue King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406 SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION RELATED TO OPERATION OF JAMES A. FITZPATRICK NUCLEAR POWER PLANT POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Docket No. 50-333

Post-Accident Sampling System (NUREG-0737, II.B.3)

Introduction

Subsequent to the TMI-2 incident, the need was recognized for an improved post-accident sampling system (PASS) to determine the extent of core degradation following a severe reactor accident. Criteria for an acceptable sampling and analysis system are specified in NUREG-0737, Item II.B.3. The system should have the capability to obtain and quantitatively analyze reactor coolant and containment atmosphere samples without radiation exposure to any individual exceeding 5 rem to the whole body or 75 rem to the extremities (GDC-19) during and following an accident in which there is core degradation. Materials to be analyzed and quantified include certain radionuclides that are indicators of severity of core damage (e.g. noble gases, isotopes of iodine and cesium, and nonvolatile isotopes), hydrogen in the containment atmosphere and total dissolved gases or hydrogen, boron, and chloride in reactor coolant samples.

To comply with NUREG-0737, Item II.B.3, the licensee should (1) review and modify his sampling, chemical analysis, and radionuclide determination capabilities as necessary and (2) provide the staff with information pertaining to system design, analytical capabilities and procedures in sufficient detail to demonstrate that the criteria are met.

Evaluation

By letters dated October 5, 1983, April 16, 1984 and July 16, 1984, the licensee provided information on the PASS.

Criterion (1):

The licensee shall have the capability to promptly obtain reactor coolant samples and containment atmosphere samples. The combined time allotted for sampling and analysis should be three hours or less from the time a decision is made to take a sample.

The licensee has provided in-line sampling and analysis capability to promptly obtain and analyze reactor coolant samples and containment atmosphere samples within three hours from the time a decision is made to take a sample. We find that these provisions meet Criterion (1) and are, therefore, acceptable.

Criterion (2):

The licensee shall establish an onsite radiological and chemical analysis capability to provide, within the three-hour time frame established above, quantification of the following:

- a) Certain radionuclides in the reactor coolant and containment atmosphere that may be indicators of the degree of core damage (e.g., noble gases, iodines and cesiums, and nonvolatile isotopes);
- b) hydrogen levels in the containment atmosphere;
- c) dissolved gases (e.g., H₂), chloride (time allotted for analysis subject to discussion below), and boron concentration of liquids;
- Alternatively, have in-line monitoring capabilities to perform all or part of the above analyses.

The PASS provides the capability to collect diluted or undiluted liquid and gaseous reactor coolant and containment atmosphere grab samples that can be transported to the onsite radiological and chemical laboratory for hydrogen, oxygen, pH, conductivity, boron, chloride, and radionuclide analyses. Arrangements have been made with an off-site laboratory for backup and supplemental analyses. The licensee provided a procedure for estimating core damage during accident conditions based on the generic BWR Owner's Group procedure dated June 17, 1983.

Core damage estimates are based on utilizing post-accident sampling system measurements on Iodine-131 and Cesium-137 concentrations in primary coolant and Xenon-133 and Krypton-85 concentrations in containment. Additional procedures are provided for estimating the extent of metal-water reaction based on measured hydrogen concentration in containment and for estimating the extent of core damage based on containment high range radiation monitors. Other parameters (reactor vessel water level, main steam line radiation level, and reactor vessel pressure) are considered in core damage estimates. These provisions meet Criterion (2) and are, therefore, acceptable.

Criterion (3):

Reactor coolant and containment atmosphere sampling during postaccident conditions shall not require an isolated auxiliary system (e.g , the letdown system, reactor water cleanup system) to be placed in operation in order to use the sampling system.

Reactor coolant and containment atmosphere sampling during post-accident conditions does not require an isolated auxiliary system to be placed in operation in order to perform the sampling function. The PASS valves which are not accessible after an accident have been selected to withstand the specified service environment. These provisions meet Criterion (3), and are, therefore, acceptable:

-3-

Criterion (4):

Pressurized reactor coolant samples are not required if the licensee can quantify the amount of dissolved gases with unpressurized reactor coolant samples. The measurement of either total dissolved gases or H_2 gas in reactor coolant samples is considered adequate. Measuring the O_2 concentration is recommended, but is not mandatory.

Pressurized reactor coolant samples are cooled and degassed to obtain representative total dissolved gas samples at the PASS sampling station. The hydrogen concentration is measured by gas chromatography. A modification is being made to the dissolved gas equipment to correct operational problems. The accuracy of total dissolved gas measurement proposed in Attachment D of licensee's April 16, 1984 letter is adequate to provide pertinent data to the operator in order to describe the chemical status of the reactor coolant system. The dissolved oxygen content in the coolant is measured indirectly by verifying that dissolved oxygen is less than 0.1 ppm by measurement of a dissolved hydrogen residual of greater than 10 cc/kg. We have determined that these provisions meet Criterion (4) of Item II.B.3 in NUREG-0737 and are, therefore, acceptable.

Criterion (5):

The time for a chloride analysis to be performed is dependent upon two factors: (a) if the plant's coolant water is seawater or brackish water and (b) if there is only a single barrier between primary containment systems and the cooling water. Under both of the above conditions the licensee shall provide for a chloride analysis within 24 hours of the sample being taken. For all other cases, the licensee shall provide for the analysis to be completed within 4 days. The chloride analysis does not have to be done onsite.

Chloride analysis of the the reactor coolant is performed within 96 hours using a specific ion electrode with a liquid ion chromatography as an alternate technique by an offsite laboratory. This provision meets Criterion (5), and is, therefore, acceptable. Criterion (6):

The design basis for plant equipment for reactor coolant and containment atmosphere sampling and analysis must assume that it is possible to obtain and analyze a sample without radiation exposures to any individual exceeding the criteria of GDC-19 (Appendix A, 10 CFR Part 50) (i.e., 5 rem whole body, 75 rem extremities). (Note that the design and operational review criterion was changed from the operational limits of 10 CFR Part 20 (NUREG-0578) to the GDC-19 criterion (October 30, 1979 letter, from H. R. Denton to all licensees.)

The licensee has performed a time-person-motion study to ensure that operator exposure while obtaining, transporting, and analyzing a PASS sample is within the acceptable limits. This operator exposure includes entering and exiting the sample panel area, operating sample panel manual valves, positioning the grab sample into the shielded transfer casks, transporting casks and performing sample analyses. PASS personnel radiation exposures from reactor coolant andcontainment atmosphere sampling and analysis are within 5 rem whole body and 75 rem extremities, which meet the requirements of GDC-19 and Criterion (6) and are, therefore, acceptable.

Criterion (7):

The analysis of primary coolant samples for boron is required for PWRs. (Note that Rev. 2 of Regulatory Guide 1.97 specifies the need for primary coolant boron analysis capability at BWR plants.)

Reactor coolant boron analysis is performed by the carminic acid which has a capability of measuring boron concentrations from 100 to 1000 ppm with an accuracy of ± 50 ppm. This provision meets the recommendations of Regulatory Guide 1.97, Rev. 2 and Criterion (7) and is, therefore, acceptable. Criterion (8):

If in-line monitoring is used for any sampling and analytical capability specified herein, the licensee shall provide backup sampling through grab samples, and shall demonstrate the capability of analyzing the samples. Established planning for analysis at offsite facilities is acceptable. Equipment provided for backup sampling shall be capable of providing at least one sample per week until the accident condition no longer exists.

A diluted and undiluted reactor coolant grab sample and undiluted containment atmosphere grab sample will be obtained for analyses of boron, dissolved hydrogen, pH, chloride and radioisotopes in the reactor coolant and hydrogen, oxygen and radioisotopes in the containment atmosphere. Arrangements have been made with an offsite laboratory for backup and supplemental analyses. Licensed post-accident shipping casks will be available in June 1984. We find that these provisions meet Criterion (8) and are, therefore, acceptable.

Criterion (9):

The licensee's radiological and chemical sample analysis capability shall include provisions to:

a) Identify and quantify the isotopes of the nuclide categories discussed above to levels corresponding to the source term given in Regulatory Guides 1.3 or 1.4 and 1.7. Where necessary and practicable, the ability to dilute samples to provide capability for measurement and reduction of personnel exposure should be provided. Sensitivity of onsite liquid sample analysis capability should be such as to permit measurement of nuclide concentration in the range from approximately lµ Ci/g to 10 Ci/q. b) Restrict background levels of radiation in the radiological and chemical analysis facility from sources such that the sample analysis will provide results with an acceptably small error (approximately a factor of 2). This can be accomplished through the use of sufficient shielding around samples and outside sources, and by the use of a ventilation system design which will control the presence of airborne radioactivity.

-7-

The radionuclides in both the primary coolant and the containment atmosphere will be identified and quantified. Reactor coolant samples are diluted to minimize personnel exposure. Also, transport casks are used for the same purpose. The PASS can perform radioisotope analyses at the levels corresponding to the source term given in Regulatory Guides 1.3, Rev. 2 and 1.7. Radiation background levels will be restricted by shielding. Radiological and chemical analysis facilities are provided to obtain results within an acceptably small error (approximately a factor of 2). We find these provisions meet Criterion (9) and are, therefore, acceptable.

Criterion (10):

iccuracy, range, and sensitivity shall be adequate to provide pertinent data to the operator in order to describe radiological and chemical status of the reactor coolant systems.

The accuracy, range, and sensitivity of the PASS instruments and analytical procedures are consistent with the recommendations of Regulatory Guide 1.97, Rev. 2, and the clarifications of NUREG-0737, Item II.B.3, Post-Accident Sampling Capability, transmitted to the licensee on September 2, 1982. Therefore, they are adequate for describing the radiological and chemical status of the reactor coolant. The analytical methods and instrumentation were selected for their ability to operate in the post-accident sampling environment. The standard test matrix and radiation effect evaluation indicated no interference in the PASS analyses. We determined that these provisions meet Criterion (10) of Item II.B.3 in NUREG-0737, and are, therefore, acceptable.

Criterion (11):

In the design of the post-accident sampling and analysis capability, consideration should be given to the following items:

a) Provisions for purging sample lines, for reducing plateout in sample line, for minimizing sample loss or distortion, for preventing blockage of sample lines by loose material in the RCS or containment, for appropriate disposal of the samples, and for flow restrictions to limit reactor coolant loss from a rupture of the sample line. The post-accident reactor coolant and containment atmosphere samples should be representative of the reactor coolant in the core area and the containment atmosphere following a transient or accident. The sample lines should be as short as possible to minimize the volume of fluid to be taken from containment. The residues of sample collection should be returned to containment or to a closed system.

b) The ventilation exhaust from the sampling station should be filtered with charcoal adsorbers and high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters.

The licensee has addressed provisions for purging to ensure samples are representative, size of sample line, isolation valves to limit reactor coolant loss from a failure of the sample line, and ventilation exhaust from PASS filtered through charcoal adsorbers and HEPA filters. To limit iodine plateout, the containment air sample line is heat traced. The post-accident reactor coolant , suppression pool and containment atmosphere samples will be representative of the reactor coolant in the core area and the containment atmosphere. We determined that these provisions meet Criterion (11) of Item II.B.3 of NUREG-0737, and are, therefore, acceptable.

Conclusion

Based on the above evaluation, we conclude that the licensee's postaccident sampling system meets all the requirements of Item: II.B.3 of NUREG-0737 and is, therefore, acceptable.