RELATED CORRESPONDENCE

## HARMON, WEISS & JORDAN

2001 S STREET, N.W. SUITE 430 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009 AUG 3 0 1384 S. P. P. & T. FELEPHONE (202) 328-3500

GAIL MCGREEVY HARMON ELLYN R. WEISS WILLIAM S. JORDAN, III DIANE CURRAN DEAN R. TOUSLEY

'84 AGD 31 A11:03

DOCKETED

August 28, 1984

Ernest Blake, Jr. Esq. Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge 1800 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Re: GPU Interrogatories, TMI-1 Restart

Dear Mr. Blake, SMUL

On August 21, 1984, I received your first set of interrogatories and document requests to UCS. You state that you "assume" that UCS's response "will reflect the collective knowledge of any intervenor who has an interest in or desires to participate" in the covered areas of training. You ask us to inform you if licensee is "incorrect in its assumption."

to inform you if licensee is "incorrect in its assumption." This is to inform you that UCS's responses will reflect UCS's knowledge regarding the issues in question. While the agreement on lead intervenors anticipates that UCS will act as lead during the hearing on some training issues, and we are in fact cooperating with other parties during discovery, particularly to prevent insofar as possible serving duplicative requests on GPU, UCS does not have the resources nor is it obliged under any order to answer discovery requests with any but UCS's own knowledge. The intervenors maintain their independent party status in this case as I am sure you are aware, just as GPU and the NRC staff do.

Very truly yours,

Ellyn R. Weiss

cc: TMI-l licensing Board Service List

ERW:nf