ENCLOSURE 1

## NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Carolina Tower and Light Company Brunswick Unit 1

Dockel No. 50-325 License No. DPR-71

During an NRC inspection conducted on February 17 - March 27, 1992, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Action," 10 CFR Part 2. Appendix C, (1992), the Violation is listed below:

10 LFR 50.59 requires that changes to the facility as described in the safety analysis report be reviewed for determination that an unreviewed safety question does not exist.

ASME Boiler and Pressures Vessel Code, Section X1, IWA 5000 requires that a system pressure test be conducted following replacement of pressure boundary parts.

Contrary to the above, on January 5, 1992, the temporary replacement of Residual Heat Removal Service Water Pump 1B with blank flanges was not reviewed to determine if an unreviewed safety question existed nor was a system pressure test performed.

s is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Carolina Power and Light Company is equired to submit a written statement or explanation to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555, with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region II, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the facility that is the subject of this Notice, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date an full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not receive! within the time specified in this Notice, an order or demand for information may be issued as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Atlanta, Georgia this 28 day of April 1992