



SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION II
101 MARIETTA STREET, N.W., SUITE 2900
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30323-0199

Report Nos. 50-424/95-29 and 50-425/95-29

Licensee: Georgia Power Company
P. O. Box 1295
Birmingham, AL 35201

Docket Nos. 50-424 and 50-425

License Nos. NPF-68 and NPF-81

Facility Name: Alvin W. Vogtle Nuclear Plant Units 1 and 2

Inspection Conducted: November 27-December 1, 1995

Inspector: Walter W. Stansberry
Walter W. Stansberry, Safeguards Inspector

12/28/95
Date Signed

Approved by: Paul E. Fredrickson
Paul E. Fredrickson, Chief
Special Inspection Branch
Division of Reactor Safety

12/29/95
Date Signed

SUMMARY

Scope:

This routine, announced inspection was conducted in various aspects of the Physical Security Program. Specific areas evaluated were effectiveness of management control, vital access control for personnel and vehicles, testing, maintenance and compensatory measures, protection of safeguards information, and access authorization.

Results:

In the areas inspected, three violations were identified.

1. Failure to maintain required records (Paragraph 2.c).
2. Failure to control Safeguards Information (Paragraph 3).
3. Failure to comply with the Access Authorization Requirements (Paragraph 4).

Effectiveness of management control was identified as a weakness in the Security Program. The licensee has made significant progress in eliminating and correcting mechanical/hardware errors. However, efforts to eliminate and correct personnel errors have not been fully successful as indicated by the three above violations and other items identified in Paragraph 2.a. Vital area access control of personnel and vehicles was found adequate and met the commitments of the Physical Security Plan and regulatory requirements. The

SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION

Document transmitted herewith contains sensitive unclassified information. When separated from enclosures, this document is decontrolled.

SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION

2

failure to maintain testing and maintenance records according to regulatory requirements and Security Plan commitments was not indicative of a programmatic problem in this area but rather an indicator of personnel error problems. The protection of safeguards information program was another area indicating personnel error. This was a recurring problem and also a weakness in the Security Program. The Access Authorization violation was a personnel error event, not repetitive, and did not indicate a weakness in the program.

These errors and problems taken collectively indicate a deterioration of the implementation of the security program and weakness in the effectiveness of management control in this area.

SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION

Document transmitted herewith
contains sensitive unclassified
information. When separated from
envelopes, this document is declassified.