



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE [REDACTED] OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION  
SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 141 [REDACTED] FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-72  
FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION, ET AL.  
CRYSTAL RIVER UNIT NO. 3 NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT  
DOCKET NO. 50-302

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated January 23, 1992, Florida Power Corporation (the licensee) proposed changes to the Technical Specifications (TS) for the Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) Nuclear Generating Plant. Specifically, the proposed changes would relocate the Radiological Effluent Technical Specifications (RETS) requirements from the TS to the Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM) or the Process Control Program (PCP), in accordance with the guidance of NRC Generic Letter 89-01, "Implementation of Programmatic Controls for Radiological Effluent Technical Specifications and Relocation of Procedural Details of RETS to the Offsite Dose Calculation Manual or the Process Control Program," dated January 31, 1989. GL 89-01 stated that the staff would approve a TS amendment to delete RETS if the requirements would be relocated to the ODCM or PCP.

2.0 EVALUATION

The proposed request incorporates programmatic controls in the Administrative Controls Section of the TS that satisfy the requirements of 10 CFR 20.106, 40 CFR Part 190, 10 CFR 50.36a and Appendix I to 10 CFR Part 50; relocates the current specifications involving radioactive effluent monitoring instrumentation the control of liquid and gaseous effluents, equipment requirements for liquid and gaseous effluents, radiological environmental monitoring, and radiological reporting details from the TS to the ODCM; relocates the definition of solidification and the current specifications on solid radioactive wastes to the PCP; simplifies the associated reporting requirements; simplifies the administrative controls for changes to the ODCM and PCP; adds record retention requirements for changes to the ODCM and PCP; and updates the definitions of the ODCM and PCP consistent with these changes.

The licensee plans to utilize the 10 CFR 50.59 process as the control mechanism for the relocated specifications, and has included requirements for

review and acceptance by the Plant Review Committee (PRC) and approval by the Director, Nuclear Plant Operations (DNPO) prior to implementation. This will allow the licensee to make changes to the specifications which will maintain conformance with Federal, State, and other applicable regulations and will not adversely impact the accuracy and reliability of effluent, dose, or setpoint calculations. The implementing procedures for the relocated specifications will also be controlled in accordance with 10 CFR 50.59 and require PRC and DNPO review and approval prior to use.

The proposed changes, as discussed above, are based on NRC GL 89-01 dated January 31, 1989. These changes follow the guidance as specified in GL 89-01 for removing RETS to the ODCM or PCP, as appropriate. Requirements for the contents of the RETS program are specified in Section 6 of the IS. In addition, the changes do not alter the conditions or assumptions of any accident analysis, as stated in the CR-3 Updated Final Safety Analysis Report. Therefore, the NRC staff finds the proposed changes to be acceptable.

### 3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

Based upon the written notice of the proposed amendment, the Florida State official had no comments.

### 4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment involves changes in recordkeeping, reporting or administrative procedures or program requirements. Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

### 5.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: F. Rinaldi

Date: May 4, 1992