| | | | LIC | ENSEE EVI | ENT RE | PORT | (LER) | | APPROVI | Contract of the local data | ORY COM | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|----------------------------|---------|----------|--|
| | | | | | | | | The second contraction | DOCKET NUMBER (2) PAGE (3) | | | | |
| BDWIN | 1. HA1 | CM, UNIT | 2 | | | | | 0 15 10 10 | 1013 | 1010 | 1 OF | 012 | |
| Procedure In | adequa | te In Mee | eting Re | quirement | s of 1 | ech. | Specs. | | | | | | |
| EVENT DATE (5) | T | LER NUMBER (| 6) | REPORT DA | TE (7) | | OTHE | R FACILITIES INVO | LVED (| 8) | | | |
| MONTH DAY YEAR | YEAR | SEQUENTIAL | REVISION | MONTH DAY | YEAR | | FACILITY N | AMES | DOCK | ET NUMBE | R(S) | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 018 | 51010 | 101 | LL | |
| 0 3 2 7 8 4 | 8 4 | 0 0 8 | 01 | 0 8 2 3 | 8 4 | | | | 0.5 | 51010 | 101 | | |
| OPERATING | THIS REP | ORT IS SUBMITTE | D PURSUANT T | TO THE REQUIREN | MENTS OF 10 | CFR §: / | Check one or mor | e of the following) (| | 1-1- | 1-1 | | |
| MODE (9) 4 | 20.4 | W2(b) | | 20.406(c) | | | 50.73(s)(2)(iv) | | | 73.71(b) | | | |
| POWER LEVEL 0.0.0 | LEVEL | | 50.38(c)(1) | press | | | 50.73(a)(2)(v) | | 73.71(e) | | | | |
| (10) 0 0 0 | | 05(a)(1)(ii) 05(a)(1)(iii) | - | 50.36(c)(2) X 50.73(e)(2) ⁽¹⁾ 50.73(e)(2) ⁽¹⁾ | | | 50.73(a)(2)(viii) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B) | | OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form | | | | |
| | | 106(a)(1)(iv) | - | | | | | | 366A) | | | | |
| | | 105(a)(1)(v) | | 50.73(a)(2)(iii) | | | 50.73(a)(2)(x) | | | | | | |
| | | | L | ICENSEE CONTAC | T FOR THIS | LER (12) | | | | | | | |
| VAME | | | | | | | | AREA CODE | TELEPH | HONE NUN | BER | | |
| Steven B. T | inne | Superinte | andant o | f Rogulat | OFY CO | molie | | 91112 | 3.6 | .7 . | 17 18 | . 5 . 1 | |
| beeren b. t | 1995, | State of the local division of the local div | strength of the second strength of the | EACH COMPONEN | | | | | 1310 | <u>r</u> r | 1/ p | 1211 | |
| CAUSE SYSTEM COM | ONENT | MANUFAC. | REPORTABLE | | | SYSTEM | COMPONENT | MANUFAC | REPO | ORTABLE | | | |
| CHUSE STATEM COM | GREAT | TURER | TO NPRDS | | CAUSE | STSTEM | COMPONENT | TURER | TO | NPRDS | | | |
| | | | | | | | 1. | | | | | | |
| N/A | 1 1 | | | | | | | + + + + + + | + | | | <u> </u> | |
| | 1.1 | 1.1.1 | | | | | | 1.1.1 | | | | | |
| | | SUPPLEME | INTAL REPORT | EXPECTED (14) | | | | | | MONTH | DAY | YEAR | |
| | | | | - | | | | EXPECT SUBMISS DATE | ION | | | | |
| YES (If yes, complete) ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 s | | the second statements and | | A NO | | | | | | 11 | | 1 | |
| On 02/15/84, AREA TEMPERA the monthly 4.3.2-1, ite the plant non-reportabl again and d (a)(2)(i)(B) | TURE chann m 1.f revi le. etermi | FT&C" pro el funct . On O2 ew boar However, | ional t /23/84, d (PRI on 04/ | (HNP-2-3) est requ this evo B) and (03/84, f | 725) wa iremen ent wa was the PR | as in t of s in dete B r | adequate Tech. S itially ermined eviewed | in meeti pecs. Tak reviewed as bei this eve | ng le by ng nt | | | | |
| On 03/27/84, meeting the | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OM8 NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 8/31/85

| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3) | PAGE (3) | |
|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------|--|
| | | YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER | | |
| EDWIN I. HATCH, UNIT 2 | 0 5 0 0 0 3 6 | 6 8 4 - 0 10 8 - 0 1 U 2 OFO | 2 | |

On 02/15/84 (first event) and 03/27/84 (second event), Unit 2 was in cold shutdown for the recirculation pipe replacement outage.

On 02/15/84, plant personnel noted that the ""URBINE BUILDING AREA TEMPERATURE FT&C" procedure (HNP-2-3725) was inadequate in meeting the monthly channel functional test requirement of Tech. Specs. Table 4.3.2-1, item 1.f per the Tech. Specs. definition of channel functional test (i.e., the temperature recorder was functionally tested, but the group 1 isolation logic was not tested). On 02/23/84, this event was initially reviewed by the plant review board (PRB) and was determined as being non-reportable due to the assumption that the event did not meet any of the reporting criteria of 10 CFR 50.73. However, on 04/03/84, the PRB reviewed this event again and determined the event as being reportable per 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(i)(B).

On 03/27/84, plant perso nel noted that HNP-2-3725 was inadequate in meeting the 18 month channel calibration requirement of Tech. Specs. Table 4.3.2-1, item 1.f per the Tech. Specs. definition of channel calibration (i.e., the temperature recorder was checked for calibration, but the thermo-couple/primary sensor was not checked).

These events are the result of procedural inadequacy due to personnel misinterpreting the Tech. Specs.'s definition of channel functional test and channel calibration. These are non-repetitive events. These events had no effect on any other Unit 2 system; nor did they affect Unit 1.

HNP-2-3725 was revised such that it adequately meets the requirements of Tech. Specs. section 4.3.2.1 and Tech. Specs. Table 4.3.2-1, item 1.f. On 08/19/84, the new revision of HNP-2-3725 was performed for the monthly channel functional test and the 18 month channel calibration. Both tests revealed satisfactory results. Due to the acceptable test results, it is evident that no actual and potential safety consequences and implications existed due to this event.

Georgia Power Company Post Office Box 439 Baxley, Georgia 31513 Telephone 912 367-7781 912 537-94.14

Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant



August 23, 1984 GM-84-731

<u>PLANT E. I. HATCH</u> Licensee Event Report Docket No. 50-366

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Attached is Licensee Event Report No. 50-366/1984-08, Rev., 1. This report is required by 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(i)(B).

Mann 1 H. C. Nix

General Manager

sec

HCN/TLE/vlt

xc: R. J. Kelly R. E. Conway J. T. Beckham, Jr. P. D. Rice K. M. Gillespie S. B. Tipps R. D. Baker Control Room Document Control