

Appendix

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Commonwealth Edison Company

Docket No. 50-456

Docket No. 50-457

As a result of the inspection conducted on June 5 through July 6, 1984, and in accordance with the General Policy and Procedures for NRC Enforcement Actions, (10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C), the following violations were identified:

1. 10 CFR 21.31 states, "Each individual, corporation, partnership or other entity subject to the regulations in this part shall assure that each procurement document for a facility, or a basic component issued by him, her or it on or after January 6, 1978 specifies, when applicable, that the provisions of 10 CFR Part 21 apply."

Contrary to the above, procurement documents, numbers 252162, 254434, 259782, 262155, 265347, and 270934 did not specify that the provisions of 10 CFR Part 21 apply for heat shrink tubing required to be in compliance with IEEE 383-1974 for aging, radiation, and LOCA environments.

This is a Severity Level V violation (Supplement II).

2. 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion IX, states in part, "Measures shall be established to assure that special processes, including welding...are controlled and accomplished...using qualified procedures in accordance with applicable codes, standards, specifications, criteria, and other special requirements."

Sargent and Lundy drawing 20E-0-3251, Revision AC and L. K. Comstock Procedure 4.3.3 dated January 29, 1982, require the use of E60 series weld rod for cable pan welds.

Contrary to the above, nine L. K. Comstock filler metal withdrawal authorization forms documented the release of E7018 weld rod for cable pan welds between May 25, 1982, and July 28, 1982.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement II).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, you are required to submit to this office within thirty days of the date of this Notice a written statement or explanation in reply, including for each item of noncompliance: (1) corrective action taken and the results achieved; (2) corrective action to be

taken to avoid further noncompliance; and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Consideration may be given to extending your response time for good cause shown.

AUG 7 1994

Dated _____

RFWarnick
R. F. Warnick, Chief
Projects Branch 1