

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

GEORGIA POWER COMPANY

OGLETHORPE POWER CORPORATION

MUNICIPAL ELECTRIC AUTHORITY OF GEORGIA

CITY OF DALTON, GEORGIA

DOCKET NO. 50-321

EDWIN I. HATCH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 199 License No. DPR-57

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:

- A. The application for amendment to the Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Unit 1 (the facility) Facility Operating License No. DPR-57 filed by the Georgia Power Company, acting for itself, Oglethorpe Power Corporation, Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia, and City of Dalton, Georgia (the licensees), dated December 2, 1994, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
- B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
- C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
- D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
- E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

9512280198 951219 PDR ADOCK 05000321 PDR PDR

- Accordingly, the license is hereby amended by replacing the Appendix B Technical Specifications in its entirety with Appendix B - Environmental Protection Plan (Nonradiological), and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. DPR-57 is hereby amended to read as follows:
 - (2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, as revised through Amendment No. 199 , are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

 This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 60 days from the date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Herbert N. Berkow, Director Project Directorate II-2 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:

- Appendix B Environmental Protection Plan (Nonradiological)
- 2. License pages 3 and 4a

Date of Issuance: December 19, 1995

- 2 -

APPENDIX B TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. DPR-57 and NPF-5

HATCH NUCLEAR PLANT UNITS 1 and 2

GEORGIA POWER COMPANY DOCKET NOS. 50-321 and 50-366

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION PLAN (NONRADIOLOGICAL)

Amendment No. 199 (Unit 1) Amendment No. 140 (Unit 2)

Hatch Nuclear Plant Units 1 and 2

Environmental Protection Plan (Nonradiological)

Table of Contents

Se	ection		Page
	1.0	Objectives of the Environmental Protection Plan	.1-1
	2.0	Environmental Protection Issues	.2-1
	3.0	Consistency Requirements	. 3-1
	4.0	Environmental Conditions	4-1
	5.0	Administrative Procedures	. 5-1

Amendment No. 199 (Unit 1) Amendment No. 140 (Unit 2)

1.0 Objectives of the Environmental Protection Plan

The Environmental Protection Plan (EPP) is to provide for protection of nonradiological environmental values during operation of the nuclear facility. The principal objectives of the EPP are as follows:

- (1) Verify that the facility is operated in an environmentally acceptable manner, as established by the Final Environmental Statements (FES) and other NRC environmental impact assessments.
- (2) Coordinate NRC requirements and maintain consistency with other Federal, State and local requirements for environmental protection.
- (3) Keep NRC informed of the environmental effects of facility construction and operation and of actions taken to control those effects.

Environmental concerns identified in the FES which relate to water quality matters are regulated by way of the licensee's NPDES permit.

2.0 Environmental Protection Issues

In the Final Environmental Statements dated October, 1972 and March, 1978, the staff considered the environmental impacts associated with the operation of Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant (HNP) Units 1 and 2. Certain environmental issues were identified which required study, or license conditions to resolve concerns and assure adequate protection of the environment.

2.1 Aquatic Issues

Initial post-operational studies to evaluate impacts of station intake and discharge effects are complete. No additional aquatic monitoring requirements are necessary.

2.2 Terrestrial Issues

Initial post-operational studies to evaluate terrestrial impacts are complete. No additional terrestrial studies or monitoring requirements are necessary.

3.0 Consistency Requirements

3.1 Plant Design and Operation

Before engaging in additional construction or operational activities which may significantly affect the environment, the licensee shall prepare and record an environmental evaluation of such activity. Activities are excluded from this requirement if all measurable nonradiological environmental effects are confined to the on-site areas previously disturbed during site preparation and plant construction. When the evaluation indicates that such activity involves an unreviewed environmental question, the licensee shall provide written evaluation of such activity and obtain prior NRC approval. When such activity involves a change in the EPP, such activity and change to the EPP may be implemented only in accordance with an appropriate license amendment as set forth in Section 5.3 of this EPP.

A proposed change, test or experiment shall be deemed to involve an unreviewed environmental question if it concerns: (1) a matter which may result in a significant increase in any adverse environmental impact previously evaluated in the FES, environmental impact appraisals, or in any decisions of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board; or (2) a significant change in effluents or power level; or (3) a matter, not previously reviewed and evaluated in the documents specified in (1) of this Subsection, which may have a significant adverse environmental impact.

The licensee shall maintain records of changes in plant design or operation and of tests and experiments carried out pursuant to this Subsection. These records shall include written evaluations which provide bases for the determination that the change, test, or experiment does not involve an unreviewed environmental question or constitute a decrease in the effectiveness of the EPP to meet the objectives specified in Section 1.0. The licensee shall include as part of the Annual Environmental Operating Report (per Subsection 5.4.1) brief descriptions, analyzes, interpretations, and evaluations of such changes, tests and experiments.

* This provision does not relieve the licensee of the requirements of 10 CFR 50.59.

HATCH - UNITS 1 AND 2

3.2 Reporting Related to the NPDES Permit and State Certification

Changes to, or renewals of, the NPDES Permit or the State certification shall be reported to the NRC within 30 days following the date the change or renewal is approved. If a permit or certification, in part or in its entirety, is appealed and stayed, the NRC shall be notified within 30 days following the date the stay is granted.

The licensee shall notify the NRC of changes to the effective NPDES Permit proposed by the licensee by providing NRC with a copy of the proposed change at the same time it is 'ubmitted to the permitting agency. The licensee shall provide the NRC a copy of the application for renewal of the NPDES Permit at the same time the application is submitted to the permitting agency.

3.3 Changes Required for Compliance with Other Environmental Regulations

Changes in plant design or operation and performance of tests or experiments which are required to achieve compliance with other Federal, State, and local environmental regulations are not subject to the requirements of Section 3.1.

4.0 Environmental Conditions

4.1 Unusual or Important Environmental Events

Any occurrence of an unusual or important event that indicates or could result in significant environmental impact causally related to plant operation shall be recorded and reported to the NRC within 24 hours followed by a written report per Subsection 5.4.2. The following are examples: excessive bird impaction events; onsite plant or animal disease outbreaks; mortality or unusual occurrences of any species protected by the Endangered Species Act of 1973; fish kills or impingement events on the intake screens; increase in nuisance organisms or conditions; unanticipated or emergency discharge of waste water or chemical substances; and damage to vegetation resulting from cooling tower operations.

No routine monitoring programs are required to implement this condition.

4.2 Environmental Monitoring

4.2.1 Aquatic Monitoring

The certifications and permits required under the Clean Water Act provide mechanisms for protecting water quality and, indirectly, aquatic biota. The NRC will rely on the decision made by the State of Georgia under the authority of the Clean Water Act for any requirements for aquatic monitoring.

4.2.2 Terrestrial Monitoring

Terrestrial monitoring is not required.

4.2.3 Maintenance of Transmission Line Corridors

The use of herbicides within the Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant transmission line corridors shall conform to the approved use of selected herbicides as registered by the Environmental Protection Agency and approved by the State of Georgia authorities and applied as directed on the herbicide label.

Records shall by maintained in accordance with EPA or State of Georgia requirements by the licensee's Transmission Operating and Maintenance Department concerning herbicide use. Such records shall be made readily available to the NRC upon request. There shall be no routine reporting requirement associated with this condition.

5.0 Administrative Procedures

5.1 Review and Audit

The licensee shall provide for review and audit of compliance with the EPP. The audits shall be conducted independently of the individual or groups responsible for performing the specific activity. A description of the organization structure utilized to achieve the independent review and audit function and results of the audit activities shall be maintained and made available for inspection.

5.2 Records Retention

Records and logs relative to the environmental aspects of station operation shall be made and retained in a manner convenient for review and inspection. These records and logs shall by made available to NRC on request.

Records of modifications to station structures, systems and components determined to potentially affect the continued protection of the environment shall be retained for the life of the station. All other records, data and logs relating to this EPP shall be retained for five years or, where applicable, in accordance with the requirements of other agencies.

5.3 Changes in Environmental Protection Plan

Requests for changes in the EPP shall include an assessment of the environmental impact of the proposed change and a supporting justification. Implementation of such changes in the EPP shall not commence prior to NRC approval of the proposed changes in the form of a license amendment incorporating the appropriate revision to the EPP.

5.4 Plant Reporting Requirements

5.4.1 Routine Reports

An Annual Environmental Operating Report describing implementation of this EPP for the previous year shall be submitted to the NRC prior to May 1 of each year. The period of the first report shall begin with the date of issuance of this EPP.

The report shall include summaries and analyses of the results of the environmental protection activities required by Subsection 4.2 (if any) of this EPP for the report period, including a comparison with related preoperational studies, operational controls (as appropriate), and previous nonradiological environmental monitoring reports, and an assessment of the observed impacts of the plant operation on the environment. If harmful effects or evidence of trends toward irreversible damage to the environment are observed, the licensee shall provide a detailed analysis of the data and a proposed course of mitigating action.

The Annual Environmental Operating Report shall also include:

- (1) A list of EPP noncompliances and the corrective actions taken to remedy them.
- (2) A list of all changes in station design or operation, tests, and experiments made in accordance with Subsection 3.1 which involved a potentially significant unreviewed environmental question.
- (3) A list of nonroutine reports submitted in accordance with Subsection 5.4.2.

In the event that some results are not available by the report due date, the report shall be submitted noting and explaining the missing results. The missing results shall be submitted as soon as possible in a supplementary report.

5.4.2 Nonroutine Reports

A written report shall be submitted to the NRC within 30 days of occurrence of a nonroutine event. The report shall: (a) describe, analyze, and evaluate the event including extent and magnitude of the impact, and plant operating characteristics; (b) describe the probable cause of the event; (c) indicate the action taken to correct the reported event; (d) indicate the corrective action taken to preclude repetition of the event and to prevent similar occurrences involving similar components or systems; and (e) indicate the agencies notified and their preliminary responses.

Events reportable under this Subsection which also require reports to other Federal, State or local agencies shall be reported in accordance with those reporting requirements in lieu of the requirements of this Subsection. The NRC shall be provided with a copy of such report at the same time it is submitted to the other agency.

2.C.(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, as revised through Amendment No. are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

The Surveillance Requirements (SRs) contained in Appendix A Technical Specifications and listed below are not required to be performed immediately upon implementation of Amendment No. 195. The SRs listed below shall be successfully demonstrated prior to the time and condition specified below for each:

- a) SRs 3.3.1.1.15, 3.3.1.1.16 (for Function 9), 3.3.2.2.2, 3.3.2.2.3, 3.3.3.2.2., 3.3.6.1.6 (for Function 1.f), 3.3.8.1.4, 3.7.7.2, and 3.7.7.3 shall be successfully demonstrated prior to entering MODE 2 on the first plant startup following the sixteenth refueling outage;
- b) SRs 3.8.1.8, 3.8.1.10, 3.8.1.12, 3.8.1.13, and 3.8.1.18 shall be successfully demonstrated at their next regularly scheduled performance;
- c) SRs 3.6.4.1.3 and 3.6.4.1.4 will be met at implementation for the secondary containment configuration in effect at that time. The SRs shall be successfully demonstrated for the other secondary containment configuration prior to the plant entering the LCO applicability for that configuration.

Amendment No. 199

2.C.(4) Physical Protection

Georgia Power Company shall fully implement and maintain in effect all provisions of the Commission-approved physical security, guard training and gualification, and safeguards contingency plans including amendments made pursuant to provisions of the Miscellaneous Amendments and Search Requirements revisions to 10 CFR 73.55 (51 FR 27817 and 27822) and to the authority of 10 CFR 50.90 and 10 CFR 50.54(p). The plans, which contain Safeguards Information protected under 10 CFR 73.21, are entitled: "Edwin I. Hatch Physical Security Plan," with revisions submitted through December 14, 1988; "Edwin I. Hatch Guard Training and Qualification Plan" with revisions submitted through October 24, 1988; and "Edwin I. Hatch Safeguards Contingency Plan," with revisions submitted through July 21, 1988. Changes made in accordance with 10 CFR 73.55 shall be implemented in accordance with the schedule set forth therein.

- 2.C.(5) The licensee shall submit, for the Commission's review and approval, plans for inspection and/or modification during the next refueling outage (following Cycle 7 operation and prior to startup for Cycle 8 operation) of the Recirculation and Reactor Heat Removal Systems piping. These plans shall be submitted to the Commission at least three months prior to the start of the next refueling outage.
- D. This license is effective as of the date of issuance and shall expire at midnight, August 6, 2014.

FOR THE ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

Original Signed by: Roger S. Boyd /f/

A. Giambusso, Deputy Director for Reactor Projects Directorate of Licensing

Attachment: Appendix A - Technical Specifications and Appendix B - Environmental Protection Plan

Amendment No. 199



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

GEORGIA POWER COMPANY

OGLETHORPE POWER CORPORATION

MUNICIPAL ELECTRIC AUTHORITY OF GEORGIA

CITY OF DALTON, GEORGIA

DOCKET NO. 50-366

EDWIN I. HATCH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 140 License No. NPF-5

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:

- A. The application for amendment to the Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Unit 2 (the facility) Facility Operating License No. NPF-5 filed by the Georgia Power Company, acting for itself, Oglethorpe Power Corporation, Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia, and City of Dalton, Georgia (the licensees), dated December 2, 1994, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
- B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
- C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
- D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
- E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

- Accordingly, the license is hereby amended by replacing the Appendix B Technical Specifications in its entirety with Appendix B - Environmental Protection Plan (Nonradiological), and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-5 is hereby amended to read as follows:
 - (2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, as revised through Amendment No. 140 , are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

 This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 60 days from the date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

With

Herbert N. Berkow, Director Project Directorate II-2 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:

- Appendix B Environmental Protection Plan (Nonradiological)
- 2. License pages 4 and 13

Date of Issuance: December 19, 1995

APPENDIX B TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NOS. DPR-57 and NPF-5

> HATCH NUCLEAR PLANT UNITS 1 and 2

GEORGIA POWER COMPANY DOCKET NOS. 50-321 and 50-366

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION PLAN (NONRADIOLOGICAL)

Amendment No. 199 (Unit 1) Amendment No. 140 (Unit 2)

Hatch Nuclear Plant Units 1 and 2

Environmental Protection Plan (Nonradiological)

Table of Contents

Section		Page
1.0	Objectives of the Environmental Protection Plan	1-1
2.0	Environmental Protection Issues	2-1
3.0	Consistency Requirements	. 3-1
4.0	Environmental Conditions	. 4-1
5.0	Administrative Procedures	

Amendment No. 199 (Unit 1) Amendment No. ¹⁴⁰ (Unit 2)

1.0 Objectives of the Environmental Protection Plan

The Environmental Protection Plan (EPP) is to provide for protection of nonradiological environmental values during operation of the nuclear facility. The principal objectives of the EPP are as follows:

- (1) Verify that the facility is operated in an environmentally acceptable manner, as established by the Final Environmental Statements (FES) and other NRC environmental impact assessments.
- (2) Coordinate NRC requirements and maintain consistency with other Federal, State and local requirements for environmental protection.
- (3) Keep NRC informed of the environmental effects of facility construction and operation and of actions taken to control those effects.

Environmental concerns identified in the FES which relate to water quality matters are regulated by way of the licensee's NPDES permit.

2.0 Environmental Protection Issues

In the Final Environmental Statements dated October, 1972 and March, 1978, the staff considered the environmental impacts associated with the operation of Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant (HNP) Units 1 and 2. Certain environmental issues were identified which required study, or license conditions to resolve concerns and assure adequate protection of the environment.

2.1 Aquatic Issues

Initial post-operational studies to evaluate impacts of station intake and discharge effects are complete. No additional aquatic monitoring requirements are necessary.

2.2 Terrestrial Issues

Initial post-operational studies to evaluate terrestrial impacts are complete. No additional terrestrial studies or monitoring requirements are necessary.

3.0 Consistency Requirements

3.1 Plant Design and Operation

The licensee may make changes in plant design or operation or perform tests or experiments affecting the environment provided that such activities do not involve an unreviewed environmental question and do not involve a change in the EPP*. Changes in plant design or operation or performance of tests or experiments which do not affect the environment are not subject to the requirements of this EPP. Activities governed by . Section 3.3 are not subject to the requirements of this Section.

Before engaging in additional construction or operational activities which may significantly affect the environment, the licensee shall prepare and record an environmental evaluation of such activity. Activities are excluded from this requirement if all measurable nonradiological environmental effects are confined to the on-site areas previously disturbed during site preparation and plant construction. When the evaluation indicates that such activity involves an unreviewed environmental question, the licensee shall provide written evaluation of such activity and obtain prior NRC approval. When such activity involves a change in the EPP, such activity and change to the EPP may be implemented only in accordance with an appropriate license amendment as set forth in Section 5.3 of this EPP.

A proposed change, test or experiment shall be deemed to involve an unreviewed environmental question if it concerns: (1) a matter which may result in a significant increase in any adverse environmental impact previously evaluated in the FES, environmental impact appraisals, or in any decisions of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, or (2) a significant change in effluents or power level; or (3) a matter, not previously reviewed and evaluated in the documents specified in (1) of this Subsection, which may have a significant adverse environmental impact.

The licensee shall maintain records of changes in plant design or operation and of tests and experiments carried out pursuant to this Subsection. These records shall include written evaluations which provide bases for the determination that the change, test, or experiment does not involve an unreviewed environmental question or constitute a decrease in the effectiveness of the EPP to meet the objectives specified in Section 1.0. The licensee shall include as part of the Annual Environmental Operating Report (per Subsection 5.4.1) brief descriptions, analyses, interpretations, and evaluations of such changes, tests and experiments.

* This provision does not relieve the licensee of the requirements of 10 CFR 50.59.

HATCH - UNITS 1 AND 2

3.2 Reporting Related to the NPDES Permit and State Certification

Changes to, or renewals of, the NPDES Permit or the State certification shall be reported to the NRC within 30 days following the date the change or renewal is approved. If a permit or certification, in part or in its entirety, is appealed and stayed, the NRC shall be notified within 30 days following the date the stay is granted.

The licensee shall notify the NRC of changes to the effective NPDES Permit proposed by the licensee by providing NRC with a copy of the proposed change at the same time it is submitted to the permitting agency. The licensee shall provide the NRC a copy of the application for renewal of the NPDES Permit at the same time the application is submitted to the permitting agency.

3.3 Changes Required for Compliance with Other Environmental Regulations

Changes in plant design or operation and performance of tests or experiments which are required to achieve compliance with other Federal, State, and local environmental regulations are not subject to the requirements of Section 3.1.

4.0 Environmental Conditions

4.1 Unusual or Important Environmental Events

Any occurrence of an unusual or important event that indicates or could result in significant environmental impact causally related to plant operation shall be recorded and reported to the NRC within 24 hours followed by a written report per Subsection 5.4.2. The following are examples: excessive bird impaction events; onsite plant or animal disease outbreaks; mortality or unusual occurrences of any species protected by the Endangered Species Act of 1973; fish kills or impingement events on the intake screens; increase in nuisance organisms or conditions; unanticipated or emergency discharge of waste water or chemical substances; and damage to vegetation resulting from cooling tower operations.

No routine monitoring programs are required to implement this condition.

4.2 Environmental Monitoring

4.2.1 Aquatic Monitoring

The certifications and permits required under the Clean Water Act provide mechanisms for protecting water quality and, indirectly, aquatic biota. The NRC will rely on the decision made by the State of Georgia under the authority of the Clean Water Act for any requirements for aquatic monitoring.

4.2.2 Terrestrial Monitoring

Terrestrial monitoring is not required.

4.2.3 Maintenance of Transmission Line Corridors

The use of herbicides within the Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant transmission line corridors shall conform to the approved use of selected herbicides as registered by the Environmental Protection Agency and approved by the State of Georgia authorities and applied as directed on the herbicide label.

Records shall by maintained in accordance with EPA or State of Georgia requirements by the licensee's Transmission Operating and Maintenance Department concerning herbicide use. Such records shall be made readily available to the NRC upon request. There shall be no routine reporting requirement associated with this condition.

5.0 Administrative Procedures

5.1 Review and Audit

The licensee shall provide for review and audit of compliance with the EPP. The audits shall be conducted independently of the individual or groups responsible for performing the specific activity. A description of the organization structure utilized to achieve the independent review and audit function and results of the audit activities shall be maintained and made available for inspection.

5.2 Records Retention

Records and logs relative to the environmental aspects of station operation shall be made and retained in a manner convenient for review and inspection. These records and logs shall by made available to NRC on request.

Records of modifications to station structures, systems and components determined to potentially affect the continued protection of the environment shall be retained for the life of the station. All other records, data and logs relating to this EPP shall be retained for five years or, where applicable, in accordance with the requirements of other agencies.

5.3 Changes in Environmental Protection Plan

Requests for changes in the EPP shall include an assessment of the environmental impact of the proposed change and a supporting justification. Implementation of such changes in the EPP shall not commence prior to NRC approval of the proposed changes in the form of a license amendment incorporating the appropriate revision to the EPP.

5.4 Plant Reporting Requirements

5.4.1 Routine Reports

An Annual Environmental Operating Report describing implementation of this EPP for the previous year shall be submitted to the NRC prior to May 1 of each year. The period of the first report shall begin with the date of issuance of this EPP.

The report shall include summaries and analyses of the results of the environmental protection activities required by Subsection 4.2 (if any) of this EPP for the report period, including a comparison with related preoperational studies, operational controls (as appropriate), and previous nonradiological environmental monitoring reports, and an assessment of the observed impacts of the plant operation on the environment. If harmful effects or evidence of trends toward irreversible damage to the environment are observed, the licensee shall provide a detailed analysis of the data and a proposed course of mitigating action.

The Annual Environmental Operating Report shall also include:

- (1) A list of EPP noncompliances and the corrective actions taken to remedy them.
- (2) A list of all changes in station design or operation, tests, and experiments made in accordance with Subsection 3.1 which involved a potentially significant unreviewed environmental question.
- (3) A list of nonroutine reports submitted in accordance with Subsection 5.4.2.

In the event that some results are not available by the report due date, the report shall be submitted noting and explaining the missing results. The missing results shall be submitted as soon as possible in a supplementary report.

5.4.2 Nonroutine Reports

A written report shall be submitted to the NRC within 30 days of occurrence of a nonroutine event. The report shall: (a) describe, analyze, and evaluate the event including extent and magnitude of the impact, and plant operating characteristics; (b) describe the probable cause of the event; (c) indicate the action taken to correct the reported event; (d) indicate the corrective action taken to preclude repetition of the event and to prevent similar occurrences involving similar components or systems; and (e) indicate the agencies notified and their preliminary responses.

Events reportable under this Subsection which also require reports to other Federal, State or local agencies shall be reported in accordance with those reporting requirements in lieu of the requirements of this Subsection. The NRC shall be provided with a copy of such report at the same time it is submitted to the other agency.

(1) Maximum Power Level

The Georgia Power Company is authorized to operate the facility at steady state reactor core power levels not in excess of 2558 megawatts thermal.

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, as revised through Amendment No. , are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

The Surveillance Requirements (SRs) contained in the Appendix A Technical Specifications and listed below are not required to be performed immediately upon implementation of Amendment No. 135. The SRs listed below shall be successfully demonstrated prior to the time and condition specified below for each:

- a) SRs 3.3.2.2.2, 3.32.2.3, 3.3.3.2.2, 3.3.8.1.4, 3.6.2.4.2, 3.7.7.2, and 3.7.7.3 shall be successfully demonstrated prior to entering MODE 2 on the first plant startup following the twelfth refueling outage;
- b) SRs 3.8.1.8, 3.8.1.9 (for DG 2C), 3.8.1.10, 3.8.1.12, 3.8.1.13, 3.8.1.17 (for DG 2C), and 3.8.1.18 shall be successfully demonstrated at their next regularly scheduled performance;
- c) SRs 3.6.4.1.3 and 3.6.4.1.4 will be met at implementation for the secondary containment configuration in effect at that time. The SRs shall be successfully demonstrated for the other secondary containment configurations prior to the plant entering the LCO applicability for that configuration.

Amendment No. 140

- (e) Power Company shall use its best efforts to amend any outstanding contract to which it is a party that contains provisions which are inconsistent with the conditions of this license;
- (f) Power Company affirms that no consents are or will become necessary from Power Company's parent, affiliates or subsidiaries to enable Power Company to carry out its obligations hereunder or to enable the entities to enjoy their rights hereunder;
- (g) All provisions of these conditions shall be subject to and implemented in accordance with the laws of the United States and of the State of Georgia, as applicable, and with rules, regulations and orders of agencies of both, as applicable.
- G. This license is effective as of the date of issuance and shall expire at midnight, June 13, 2018.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY ROGER S. BOYD

Roger S. Boyd, Director Division of Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachments:

- Appendix A Technical Specifications and Appendix B - Environmental Protection Plan
- Items to be Completed Prior to Opening Main Steam Isolation Valves

Date of Issuance: June 13, 1978

Amendment No. 140