

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

December 21, 1995

Mr. Guy R. Horn Vice President - Nuclear Nebraska Public Power District P. O. Box 499 Columbus, NE 68602-0499

30-278

SUBJECT: COOPER NUCLEAR STATION - EVALUATION OF CORE SPRAY PIPING INDICATIONS

(TAC NO. M94097)

Dear Mr. Horn:

By letter dated November 22, 1995, the Nebraska Public Power District (NPPD) submitted an evaluation of three indications in the core spray internal piping identified through in-vessel inspection activities performed during the current refueling outage at the Cooper Nuclear Station (CNS). Additional information was supplied in a letter dated December 18, 1995. Based on this evaluation, you concluded that the structural integrity of the core spray internal piping will be maintained for at least one more operating cycle for CNS without the need for repair.

The inspection of the subject piping was performed in accordance with the requested actions of NRC IE Bulletin 80-13, "Cracking in Core Spray Spargers," dated May 12, 1980. This bulletin requires all licensees of operating boiling water reactors to perform a visual inspection of the core spray spargers and the segment of piping between the inlet nozzle and the vessel shroud every refueling outage. Ultrasonic examinations to size the indications were performed in accordance with the requirements of American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code, Section XI, 1989 Edition.

A total of three indications were found during the inspection. Two of the indications were discovered on the thermal sleeves of loop A (one indication on each of the two sleeves) and one indication was near the tee box of Loop B. The flaws were assumed to be through wall and the allowable flaw lengths were determined using IWB-3640 and Appendix C of the ASME Code.

Based on our review of your flaw evaluation, we conclude that the final flaw sizes at the end of the next fuel cycle will not exceed the Code allowable flaw length. Therefore, CNS can be safely operated for the next fuel cycle without repairing the subject flawed welds. Continued plant operation beyond the next fuel cycle should be supported by the results of re-inspection and reevaluation of the indications in accordance with the Code.

The staff previously reviewed an evaluation of the potential impact of reduced core spray flow due to leakage and the potential for loose parts resulting from a break of the core spray line at the identified crack locations for a similar plant. In that case, the staff determined that any resulting leakage from a through-wall crack would not have a significant effect on the performance of the core spray system, and that the likelihood of loose parts resulting from crack propagation was very small. However, NPPD is requested

9512280119 951221 PDR ADOCK 05000298

NRC HILE SENTEN GOPY

to perform similar confirmatory evaluations for CNS and to submit the results for NRC review. In your December 18, 1995, letter, you committed to provide this additional information by February 8, 1996. The staff finds the proposed schedule acceptable.

This completes the NRC staff review of the subject evaluation and closes TAC No. M94097. If you have any questions regarding this issue, please contact me at (301) 415-236.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:

James R. Hall, Sr. Project Manager Project Directorate IV-1 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-298

Enclosure: Safety Evaluation

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File	PUBLIC	PD4-1 r/f
W. Beckner	J. Dyer, RIV	K. Wichman
P. Noonan	ACRS	OGC (15B18)
J. R. Hall	J. Roe	J. Strosnider
R. Hermann	W. Koo	Angela Lee
B. Elliot		

Document Name: CO094097.LTR

OFC	LA/PDIV-1	PM/PDIV-1	D/PD4-1
NAME	PNoonan	JRHa11/vw/mf	WBeckner
DATE	12 10 1/95	12/2/195	12/2/195
COPY	YES/NO	YES/NO	YES/NO

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

to perform similar confirmatory evaluations for CNS and to submit the results for NRC review. In your December 18, 1995, letter, you committed to provide this additional information by February 8, 1996. The staff finds the proposed schedule acceptable.

This completes the NRC staff review of the subject evaluation and closes TAC No. M94097. If you have any questions regarding this issue, please contact me at (301) 415-1336.

> Sincerely. James R. Hall

James R. Hall, Sr. Project Manager Project Directorate IV-1

Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-298

Enclosure: Safety Evaluation

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. Guy R. Horn Nebraska Public Power Company

cc:

Mr. John R McPhail, General Counsel Nebraska Public Power District P. O. Box 499 Columbus, NE 68602-0499

Nebraska Public Power District ATTN: Mr. John Mueller, Site Manager P. O. Box 98 Brownville, NE 68321

Randolph Wood, Director Nebraska Department of Environmental Control P. O. Box 98922 Lincoln, NE 68509-8922

Mr. Larry Bohlken, Chairman Nemaha County Board of Commissioners Nemaha County Courthouse 1824 N Street Auburn, NE 68305

Senior Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 218 Brownville, NE 68321

Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000 Arlington, TX 76011

Ms. Cheryl Rogers, LLRW Program Manager Division of Radiological Health Nebraska Department of Health 301 Centennial Mall, South P. O. Box 95007 Lincoln, NE 68509-5007

Mr. Ronald A. Kucera, Department Director of Intergovernmental Cooperation Department of Natural Resources P.O. Box 176 Jefferson City, MO 65102 Cooper Nuclear Station

Lincoln Electric System ATTN: Mr. Ron Stoddard 11th & O Streets Lincoln, NE 68508

Midwest Power
ATTN: Richard J. Singer, Manager-Nuclear
907 Walnut Street
P. O. Box 657
Des Moines, IA 50303

Nebraska Public Power District
ATTN: Mr. Robert C. Godley, Nuclear
Licensing & Safety Manager
P. O. Box 98
Brownville, NE 68321