Southern Nuclear Operating Company Post Office Box 1295 Birmingham, Alabama 35201 Talephone 205 868-5086

J. D. " Hodard Vice Shasident Farley Project

1.1.1



Southern Nuclear Operating Company

April 24, 1992

10 CFR 50.73

the southern electric system

Docket No. 50-348

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

# Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant - Unit 1 Licensee Event Report No. LER 92-002-00

Gentlemen:

Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant, Unit 1, Licensee Event Report No. LER 92-002-00 is being submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73. If you have any questions, please advise.

Respectfully submitted,

. D. Woodard

JDW/EFB:map 2182

Enclosure

cc: Mr. S. D. Ebneter Mr. G. F. Maxwell

9204290422 920424 PDR ADOCK 05000348 S PDR

1622.

NRC FORM 366 (6-89) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION							APPROVED EXPIR	APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 4/30/92									
		1	LIC	ENSEE EV	ENT RE	PORT	r (L	ER)									
· Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant 0										OCKET NUMBER (2) L PAGE (3) 5 0 0 0 3 4 8 L OF 3							
	ed Fi		tch I	Que To Perso		or		and a strength									
EVENT	DATE	(5)		LER NUMBER	(6)	REPO	RT DA	TE (7)		FACILITIES	INVO	DLVED (8	)				
MONTH DAY YEAR		YEAR	SEQ NUM	REV	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAMES J.M.Farley - Unit 2			DOCKET NUMBER(S) 0 5 0 0 0 3 6 4						
03	3 0	9 2	9 2	002	0.0	04		92				05000					
OPER	ATING		THIS	REPORT IS S	UBMITTED P	URSUAN	7 10	THE RED	UIREMENTS OF 10 C	FR (11)							
MODE (9) 1 POWER LEVEL 100			2	20.402(1) 20.405(c)   20.405(a)(1)(i) 50.36(c)(1)   20.405(a)(1)(ii) X 50.36(c)(2)				50.73(a)(2)(iv) 50.73(a)(2)(v) 50.73(a)(2)(vii)			73.71(b) 73.71(c) OTHER (Specify in						
			20.405(a)(1)(iv) 5 20.405(a)(1)(v) 5				(a)(2) (b)(2) (c)(c) (c)(c)	)(ii) )(iii)	50.73(a) 50.73(a) 50.73(a) 50.73(a)	Abstract below)							
NAME					LICENC	LE COM	aut t	500 T D I S	FER (15)	AREA CODE		HONE NUM	Ð É R				
D. N. Morey, General Manager - Nuclear Plant COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPI								205	899-5156								
CAUSE SYSTEM COMPI		ONENT	ENT MANUFAC- REPORT TURER TO NPRDS CAUS			E SYSTEM COMPONEN	MANUFAC - TUKER	- REPORT TO NPRDS									
				SUPPLEMEN	TAL REPORT	EXPEC	TED (	14)				IMONTH	DAY	YEAR			
YES(If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)								EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)									

At approximately 1000 cn 3-30-92, a Shift Foreman Operating (SFO) recognized that an hourly fire watch patrol required by Technical Specification 3-3-3.9 was not being performed. The fire detection system, 1SW-111, for the Service Water Intake Structure (SWIS) went into spurious alarm at 0846 and was therefore inoperable. The required hourly fire watch was not established until 1025.

Detection System ISW-111 had been declared inoperable prior to this event on 3-18-92 at 1715. This LCO and the required fire watch on ISW-111 were cleared at 1850 on 3-19-92. The Unit 1 Unit Operator (UO) properly removed this LCO from his relief checksheet. However, he did not relay this information to the Operator At The Controls (OATC), and subsequently, the OATC continued to record ISW-111 as being inoperable. When ISW-111 alarmed on 3-30-92 at 0846, the OATC did not initiate an investigation nor did he inform the SFO of the alarm because he believed the system was already inoperable and that the required fire watches were established.

This event was caused by personnel error due to inadequate communication between the control room operators regarding the operability of 1SW-111.

A fire watch was established within one hour of the SFO's discovery that the system was inoperable. The personnel involved in this incident have been reinstructed on the importance of proper communication. In addition, the incident will be discussed with all on-shift operations personnel.

(6-89)	U.S. NOCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION					APPROVED OMB NO 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 4/30/92								
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (5) PAG							E (3)					
		YEAR		SEQ NI	M	REV			and distant					
Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant	05000343	9 2		0 0	2	0.0	2	OF	3					

### Plant and System Identification:

Westinghouse - Pressurized Water Reactor Energy Industry Identification System codes are identified in the text as [XX].

### Summary of Event

At approximately 1000 on 03-30-92, it was recognized that an hourly fire watch patrol required by Technical Specification 3.3.3.9 was not being performed on SWIS detection system ISW-111 [IC].

#### Description of Event

At approximately 1000 on 3-30-92, the SFO discovered that fire detection system ISW-111, at the SWIS, was in spurious alarm without the required established fire watch. It was determined that it had been alarming since 0846. The OATC had not informed the SFO because his turnover sheet incorrectly reflected that the system was inoperable and was being covered by a fire watch.

1SW-111 was inoperable and the fire watch required by Technical Specification 3.3.3.9 was not established within the one hour required from the corrious alarm at 0846.

Further investigation revealed that a prior LCO and fire watch on 1SW-111 was cleared at 1850 on 3-19-92. The Unit 1 UO had properly removed this LCO from his relief checksheet. However, he did not relay this information to the OATC, and subsequently, the OATC continued to record 1SW-111 as being an LCO until the problem was identified on 3-30-92.

The required fire watch was established within one hour of discovering that the system was inoperable. System ISW-111 was returned to service at 0016 on 4-4-92.

#### Cause of Event

This event was caused by personnel error in that the control room operators failed to communicat revised equipment/system operability status.

(6-89) LICENS 7 MENT REPOR	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION LICENSEZ MENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION						APPROVED OME NO 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 4/30/92									
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMITER (5) PAGE														
		YEAR	SE	Q HUN	1	REV	-	1								
Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant	05000348	9.2	0	0 2		0.0	3	0F	3							

## Reportability Analysis and Safety Assessment

This event is reportable because the hourly fire watch required by Technical Specification 3.3.3.9 was not performed.

This area is normally inspected every four hours by the operations systems operator; and a systems operator had completed a tour of the structure at 0815 on the day of this event.

This event had no effect on plant operation. No fire occurred during the time that the fire watch was not performed. The health and safety of the public was not affected by this event.

#### Corrective Action

The fire watch was established within one hour of discovering that the system was inoperable.

In addition, the personnel involved in this incident have been reinstructed on the importance of proper communication and this event will be discussed with all on-shift operations personnel.

### Additional Information

This event would not have been more severe if it had occurred under different operating conditions.

Unit 1 was operating at approximately 100% power at the time of this event. Unit 2 was shutdown for the 8th Refueling Outage.

No components failed during this event.

The following LERs involved personnel errors in establishing and maintaining fire watches:

Unit 1 (Docket Number 05000348): LERs 84-013-00, 84-015-00, 84-022-00, 86-013-00, 87-006-00, 88-004-00, 90-001-00, 91-001-00

Unit 2 (Docket Number 05000364): LERs 84-007-00, 85-007-00, 85-013- J, 88-004-00, 88-005-00, 90-002-00