

DOCKETED
USNRC

'84 AGO 27 P4:56

LILCO, August 23, 1984

OFFICE OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

RELATED CORRESPONDENCE

Before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

In the Matter of)
)
LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY) Docket No. 50-322(OL)
)
(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station,)
Unit 1))

MASTER TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR TESTIMONY
ON BEHALF OF LONG ISLAND LIGHTING
COMPANY ON CRANKSHAFTS, PISTONS,
CYLINDER HEADS AND CYLINDER BLOCKS

8408280397 840824
PDR ADOCK 05000322
T PDR

MASTER TABLE OF CONTENTS

Crankshafts

Testimony of Roger L. McCarthy, Paul R. Johnston, Eugene F. Montgomery and Simon K. Chen on Behalf of Long Island Lighting Company on Suffolk County's Contention Regarding Replacement Crankshafts on Diesel Generators at Shoreham

I.	Introduction of Witnesses.....	1
II.	Background.....	7
III.	Design Requirements.....	10
	A. The Crankshafts Must Comply With Dema.....	10
	B. The Crankshafts Do Not Have To Comply With ABS, Lloyd's, IACS or the Criteria Used By FEV.....	12
IV.	The Crankshafts Comply With Dema.....	20
V.	The Fatigue Analysis and Field Testing of the Crankshafts Show That The Crankshafts Will Not Fail During Operation.....	31
VI.	Conclusion.....	42

Testimony of Clifford H. Wells, Duane P. Johnson, Harry F. Wachob, Craig Seaman, Dominic Cimino, and N. Ken Burrell on Behalf of Long Island Lighting Company Concerning Shotpeening of the Replacement Crankshafts

I.	Introduction Of Witnesses.....	1
II.	Background.....	5
III.	The Re-Shotpeening And Its Effect Upon The Crankshafts.....	8
IV.	Conclusion.....	22

Testimony of Edward J. Youngling, and Franz F. Pischinger on Behalf of Long Island Lighting Company on Suffolk County's Contention Regarding Replacement Crankshafts on Diesel Generators at Shoreham

Pistons

Testimony of David O. Harris, Duane P. Johnson,
Roger L. McCarthy, Franz F. Pischinger, Craig K.
Seaman, Lee A. Swanger and Edward J. Youngling
on Behalf of Long Island Lighting Company on
Suffolk County Contention Regarding AE Piston
Skirts on Diesel Generators at Shoreham

I.	Introduction of Witnesses.....	1
II.	Background.....	7
III.	FaAA's Crack Initiation and Growth Analyses Show Cracks In The AE Piston Skirts Might Initiate, But Will Not Grow.....	13
	A. General Approach And Assumptions.....	13
	B. Experimental Procedures.....	22
	C. Numerical Procedures.....	25
	1. Isothermal Analysis.....	28
	2. Crown/Skirt Interaction Model.....	32
	D. Fatigue Crack Initiation Analysis.....	39
	E. Fatigue Crack Growth Analysis.....	44
IV.	Operating Experience.....	50
V.	Side Thrust Load Is Not A Design Or Operation Problem With The AE Pistons At Shoreham.....	58
	A. Shoreham AE Piston Skirt.....	58
	B. FaAA Analysis.....	64
VI.	Tin Plating On The AE Pistons At Shoreham Will Not Lead To Failure.....	66
VII.	Conclusion.....	72

Cylinder Heads

Testimony of Craig K. Seaman, Edward J. Youngling,
John C. Kammeyer, Clifford H. Wells, Lee A. Swanger,
John F. Wallace, Clinton S. Mathews and Franz C. Pischinger
For Long Island Lighting Company On Suffolk County Contention
Regarding Cylinder Heads On Diesel Generators At Shoreham

I.	Background.....	7
II.	Improved Delaval Production Techniques Minimize The Potential For Leakage.....	17
III.	The Replacement Cylinder Heads Are Adequately Designed.....	47
	A. The Ranges And Dimensions Of The Firedeck Provide For Adequate Cooling Of The Firedeck And Adequate Resistance To Mechanical Loads And Do Not Create Stress Risers.....	47
	B. Non-Uniform Bolt Spacing Has No Effect On Stresses In The Cylinder Head.....	51
IV.	The Operating Record Of The New Heads Demonstrates That Leakage In The New Heads Should Not Occur At Shoreham.....	62
V.	Barring-Over Will Detect Leaks.....	71
VI.	Leaks From Casting Defects Will Not Develop While The Diesels Are In Standby.....	76
VII.	Cracks Developing In The Cylinder Heads During Operation Will Not Prevent The Diesels From Performing Their Required Function.....	89
IX.	None Of Shoreham's Replacement Cylinder Heads Has A Relevant Indication.....	93
X.	The Replacement Cylinder Heads Were Adequately Inspected After Operation.....	94
XI.	Conclusion.....	99

Cylinder Blocks

Testimony of Roger L. McCarthy,
Charles A. Rau, Clifford H. Wells,
Harry F. Wachob, Duane Johnson,
Robert K. Taylor, Craig K. Seaman,
Edward J. Youngling and Milford H.
Schuster on Behalf of Long Island
Lighting Company on Suffolk County
Contention Regarding Cylinder Blocks

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Description Of The Cylinder Blocks.....	8
III.	Operating Experience Of TDI Engines.....	10
IV.	FaAA's Analysis Of Ligament And Stud-to-Stud Cracks In The EDG Cylinder Blocks.....	22
	A. Evaluation Of Load Factors.....	22
	B. Strain Gage Testing.....	26
	C. Metallurgical Analysis.....	29
	D. Finite Element Analysis.....	42
	E. Cumulative Damage Analysis.....	48
V.	FaAA's Analysis Of The Cam Gallery Cracks.....	61
VI.	The Replacement EDG 103 Block Has Been Adequately Tested.....	68
VII.	The TDI EDGs Are Qualified For Nuclear Service.....	71

Testimony of Clinton S. Mathews,
Maurice H. Lowrey and John F. Wallace
on Behalf of Long Island Lighting
Company Regarding Cylinder Blocks

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Description of the Cylinder Blocks.....	4
III.	Strain Gage Testing Indicates That The Cam Gallery Cracks Are Benign.....	6
IV.	The EDG 101 and EDG 102 Blocks Have Normal Metallurgical Properties.....	10
V.	TDI Engine Operating Experience Indicates That Ligament Cracks Are Benign.....	12
VI.	The Replacement EDG 103 Block Has Been Adequately Tested.....	18