

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION/NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION, P.O. BOX 63, LYCOMING, N.Y.13093/TEL. (315) 349-1812 FAX (315) 349-4417

RICHARD B. ABBOTT Vice President Nuclear Generation

December 15, 1995 NMP2L 1599

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

RE: Docket No. 50-410 LER 95-11

Gentlemen:

In accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B), we hereby submit the following Licensee Event Report, "Operation in Excess of 100 Percent Rated Core Thermal Power due to Core Thermal Power Calculation Methodology Error."

Very truly yours,

Prillet

R. B. Abbott Vice President - Nuclear Generation

RBA/TWR/kap Attachment

xc: Mr. Thomas T. Martin, Regional Administrator, Region I Mr. Barry S. Norris, Senior Resident Inspector

1E22

220029

9512220011 951215 PDR ADDCK 05000410 S PDR

NRC FG (6-89)	RM 366							U.S. NI	ICLEAR RE	GULATOR	Y COMMISS	SION			APPRO			0.3150-010	04	
	۰	•		LIC	ENSEE EV	EN	T REP	ORT (LER)				INFORM COMMEN AND REP REGULA THE PAR	ATION TS REC PORTS TORY PERWO	COLLE GARDIN MANAC COMMIS RK REI	PER F CTION IG BUF SEMEN SSION, DUCTI	NT BRA	ISE TO C JEST 50.0 STIMATE NCH (P-53 INGTON, OJECT (3	OMPLY W) HRS FC TO THE R 10), U.S. N DC 20555 150-0104), DN, DC 205	ORWARD ECORDS UCLEAR AND TO OFFICE
	Y NAME (A mile a synder		The state of the state of the state of the							L	and a survey of the surger	-	TNUM	-				GE (3)
sector sector sector					Unit 2		(1) (i)							0 5	6 1 0	0	0 4	1110	1 06	0 4
TITLE (4	° Ope Pov	erat Ier	ion Cal	in cula	Excess on Met	f 1 hod	00 Pe ology	Erro	t Rate or	d Cor	e The	rma 1	Powe	er d	ue t	to (Core	Ther	ma l	
εv	ENT DAT				LER NUMBER	(6)		1	PORT DATI	E (7)			OTHER	FACIL	ITIES I	NVOL	VED (8)			
MONTH	DAY	YEA	R Y	EAR	SEQUENTIA	-	NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR		FAC	LITY NA	MES		1	DOCKE	T NUMBE	RISI	
										.]						-+	0 15	1010	101	1.1
1 1	1 5	9	5 9	5	0 1 1	1	0 0	1 2	1 5	9 5								1010	101	11
	ODE (9)		TH		PORT IS SUBMITT	ED PU	RSUANT	TO THE R	LOUIREME	NTS OF 10	CFR \$ 10	Check on	e or more	of the	following	g/ (11)				
				-	402(5)		-	20.405				50.73	a)(2)(iv)			-	7	3.71(b)		
POWE	L		-	-	406 (a) (1) (i)		-	50.38(-		a)(2)(v)			+		3.71(c)		
(10)		k	-	-	405(a)(1)(ii) 405(a)(1)(iii)		-	50.36			-		a)(2)(vii)			+	0	alow and i	weity in At n Text NR	
			+	-	406(a)(1)(iii)		A	50.734			-		a)(2)(viii))				3	66A)		
			-	-	406(s)(1)(v)		-	50.73(1)(2)(iii)				a)(2)(viii)	(8)						
				1.0				1	CONTACT	FOR THIS	LEP (12)	59.73	#)(2)(x)							
NAME																7	ELEPH	ONE NUN	BER	
														A	REA CO	DDE				
M	r. K.	D.	Wa	rd,	Manager I	Eng	ineer	ing M	MP2					3	311	15	314	191-	1110	1413
					a second se		And an other states and the second states and	and the second of the second o	OMPONENT	FAILURE	DESCRIBE	D IN	IS REPO				-	1-1-	1-1-	1110
CAUSE	SYSTEM	co	MPONE	ENT	MANUFAC TURER		ORTABLE			CAUSE	SYSTEM	COM	PONENT	N	TURER			NPROS		
		1	1	1									1.1		1.1	1				
				1		1		L			1		11			1	1			- -
					SUPPLEM	ENTA	L REPORT	EXPECT	ED (14)					-		ECTE		MONTH	DAY	YEAR
YE	S (It yes,	complet	e EXPL	ECTED	SUBMISSION DAT	E)		-	X NO							HISSIO				
ABSTRA					pproximetely fiftee															
	On	Nov	emb	per 1	15, 1995, v	vhil	e oper	rating	at app	roxim	ately 1	00 p	ercent	t por	wer,	Nin	ne N	file		
	Poir	nt U	nit 2	2 (N	MP2) disc	ove	red th	at it h	ad pre	viousl	y exce	eded	100 1	perci	ent c	of ra	ated	core		
	ther	mal	DOV	ver i	(CTP) (i.e.	. 3	323 ar	nd 346	57 Meg	awatts	thern	nal (1	Mwt)	duri	ng f	uel	cycl	es 4		
	and	5) 1	IV a	s mi	uch as 4.23	M	wt or	appro	oximate	1v 0.1	2 perc	ent c	of rate	d co	ore t	her	mal	power		
	The	201	diti	20.0	vas a result	of	failur	e to a	ccount	for at	proxi	matel	v 24	enm	floy	w fr	om	the		
	The	COL	D		vas a result	01	tom to	c to a	rapator	Norce	l in th	o MS	SS he	Spin	alan	CP 2	nd r	alant		
	Con	trol	KO		rive (RDS)	sys	stem to	5 the	reactor	vesse	i in the	C INS	SS ne	la of	the	are		nd		
	core	e the	erma	d po	wer calcul	atio	ons. E	sased	on the	low o	rder of	r mag	gnitud	le of	the	erri	oral	nu		
					herent in t							n did	not r	esul	tin	any	adv	erse		
	imp	act	on t	he h	ealth and s	safe	ty of 1	the ge	neral p	public.										

Corrective actions taken included reducing power and establishing an administrative limit on power until long-term corrective actions are complete. In addition, heat balance and core thermal power calculations will be corrected and Engineering Design Procedures will be revised to assure proper assessment of plant changes for impact on the NSSS heat balance and CTP calculations.

NRC FORM 366A (6-89)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION					AB NO. 3150 8 4/30/92	0-0104				
LICENSEE EVEN	NT REPORT (LER)	INFOR COMM AND REGU THE	AMAT IENTS REPOR	BURDEN PE ION COLLECT REGARDING RTS MANAGE RY COMMISSI WORK REDU EMENT AND B	ION BURD MENT ON, V	REQUEST DEN ESTIM BRANCH VASHINGTO N PROJECT	50.0 ATE TO (P-530) ON, DO T (315	HRS. FO O THE RE 1. U.S. NU C 20555. 0-0104).	RWARD ECORDS JCLEAR AND TO OFFICE		
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3)									
	이 없는 것이 같은 것은 것을 했다.	YEAR	[SEQUENTIAL	-	NUMBER					
Nine Mile Point Unit 2	0 5 0 0 4 1 0	9 5		0 1 1	-	0 0	0	2 OF	0 4		

I. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

On November 15, 1995, while operating at approximately 100 percent power, Nine Mile Point Unit 2 (NMP2) discovered that it had previously exceeded 100 percent of rated core thermal power (i.e., 3323 and 3467 Megawatts thermal (Mwt) during fuel cycles 4 and 5). Specifically, flow from the Control Rod Drive (RDS) system was not properly accounted for in the NSSS heat balance and core thermal power calculation. The flow not properly accounted for is approximately 24 gpm which results in an actual reactor power that exceeds indicated power by as much as 4.23 Mwt, or approximately 0.12 percent of rated core thermal power.

An evaluation of this condition against NMP2 operating history was completed on December 4, 1995, and determined that actual power exceeded indicated power for occasional periods of time by as much as 4.23 Mwt from February 7, 1995 through November 15, 1995. This condition did not exist prior to the installation of the feedwater Leading Edge Flow Meters (LEFM) in February 1995. Prior to this installation, the actual CTP was less than the calculated CTP by approximately 30 Mwt. Hence, the addition of approximately 4 Mwt to the actual CTP would not have caused actual CTP to exceed the licensed limit.

The General Electric (GE) design of the RDS system required 8 gpm be provided to the Reactor Recirculation (RCS) pumps for seal staging flow. A review of General Electric Nuclear Energy Group (GE-NEG) documentation and discussion with GE personnel indicates that the flow from the RDS system to the RCS pumps, historically, has never been considered in the NSSS heat balance and Core Thermal Power (CTP) calculations for any BWR plants. GE was unable to determine why this value was not considered. In addition, GE determined that there was no margin in the CTP calculation methodology which would offset the 4.23 Mwt error.

Modification PN2Y88MX059, Control Rod Drive System (CRD) to RWCU Piping Installation was implemented on May 16, 1992 to provide a maximum of 4 gpm to each Reactor Water Cleanup WCS pump seal (16 gpm total for two pumps) for seal cooling. This flow is provided continuously, regardless of the number of pumps operating in the Reactor Water Cleanup (WCS) system. This modification did not properly evaluate the impacts to the NSSS heat balance calculation or CTP calculation methodology.

NRC FORM 366A (6-89)	U.S.	NUCL	EAR	REG	ULA	FORY	CO	MMIS	SION	Γ			٨	PPROV	Alle Parce	18 NO 5 4/3			1		
	VENT REPORT	LE	R)							IC A R T	ND FOR	AMATI IENTS REPOR	REGA REGA RTS M RY CO	ANAGE	NON BURC MENT ION, V	BRAI N PRC	EST STIM NCH NGTO DJEC	50.0 ATE T (P-530 ON, D T (31)	HRS 0 TH 01, U C 20 50-01	FOF E RE 5. NU 655. A 04), (CORDS CORDS CLEAR AND TO OFFICE
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET NUMBER (2)								LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3)										3)	
										YE	AR			ENTIAL		NUM					
Nine Mile Point Unit 2		0	15	10	0	0	4	1	0	9	5	_	0	1 1		0	0	0	3	OF	0 4

II. CAUSE OF EVENT

The root cause for omission of the additional RDS flow in the CTP calculation and heat balance for the RCS pump seal injection flow (original design) was inadequate review of system interactions by GE-NEG and NMPC Design Engineering.

In the early 1970's, GE-NEG incorporated the RDS purge water design for the RCS pumps. At that time, GE did not recognize the system interaction nor the impact to the NSSS heat balance and CTP calculation. In addition, review of the original system design by the Architect Engineer and NMPC Engineering also failed to recognize the system interaction.

Additionally, inadequate change management resulted in implementation of modification PN2Y88MX059, "Control Rod Drive System to RWCU Piping Installation," which also failed to properly account for the impact on the NSSS heat balance and CTP calculation methodology.

A contributing factor affecting modification PN2Y88MX059 was the failure of the safety review process to identify this discrepancy.

III. ANALYSIS OF EVENT

This event is reportable in accordance with 10CFR50.73 (a)(2)(i)(B), "any operation or condition prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications."

Both the design basis Loss of Coolant Accident and the design basis Containment Accident analyses assume 102 percent of rated core thermal power (i.e., 3536 Mwt) as the initial condition. Operation at 3471.23 Mwt (100.12 percent rated core thermal power) is bounded by these analyses in Chapter 15, "Accident Analysis," of the updated FSAR. These analyses demonstrate that the emergency core cooling acceptance criteria of 10CFR50.46 would be met in the event of a design basis accident occurring at 102 percent of rated core thermal power. Since NMP2 operated at a maximum of 100.12 percent of rated core thermal power, this event is within the bounds of the design basis LOCA and containment analyses. There were no adverse consequences to the health and safety of the general public or plant personnel as a result of this event.

1 366A	LICENSEE EVENT REI		APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-01/34 EXPIRES: 4/30/92 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 50.0 HRS. FOR COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE REC AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P.530), U.S. NUC REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20565, AI THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), O OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503								
NAME (1)		DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)							
	- Deint Heit 2		YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER								
	e Point Unit 2 tuired, use additionel NRC Form 366A 's/ (17)	0 5 0 0 0 4 1 0	9 5 _ 0 1 1 _ 0 0	0 4 OF							
IV.	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS	ŝ									
The f	following corrective actions	have been taken:									
1.		reduced and administratively il long-term corrective actions									
2.	reflect the correct flow an	-built original and power upra nd enthalpies. In addition, Er e required to correct the error	ngineering will determine th	ie y							
3.		lculation A10.1-C-019, Rev. 6 the CTP reported on Novem 15, 1995.									
4.	revised to require assessm CTP calculation methodo	TEP-DES-06, Rev. 1, "Design ment of plant changes for impology. This procedure and oth changes assure a sin ar prob per 15, 1995.	act to NSSS heat balance an her design guidelines for								
5.	be reviewed with the cur	to identify this discrepancy in rent membership of the Site C ad Audit Board. This action w	Operations Review Committee	vill æ							
<u>V.</u>	ADDITIONAL INFORMA	TION									
Α.	Failed components: none	ê.									
В.	Previous similar event:										
	There were no other simi	ilar events related to this LER	L.								
C.	Identification of compone	ents referred to in this LER:									
[[[
	Component	IEEE 803 Function	IEEE 805 System ID								