

**TEXAS UTILITIES GENERATING COMPANY**  
SKYWAY TOWER \* 400 NORTH OLIVE STREET, L.E. #1 \* DALLAS, TEXAS 75201

Log # TXX-4279  
File # 10010  
903.11

August 22, 1984

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
Attention: Mr. B. J. Youngblood, Chief  
Licensing Branch No. 1  
Division of Licensing  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

SUBJECT: COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION  
DOCKET NOS. 50-445 AND 50-446  
SEISMIC QUALIFICATION OF CONTROL PANEL

Dear Sir:

Attached is a conference memorandum (TCO-535) for a conference call held on February 16, 1983 between Texas Utilities, NRR and BNL. This memorandum is being submitted to resolve your concerns about what action was required to close out Specific Item No. 12, MS34, the Diesel Generator Control Panel.

Respectfully,

*H. C. Schmidt*

H. C. Schmidt

DRW:tls  
Attachment

Original + 40 copies

B408280218 B40822  
PDR ADOCK 05000445  
A PDR

*Bill*  
*1/1*

## CONFERENCE MEMORANDUM

DATE February 16, 1983TIME 9:45 a.m.RECORDED BY: *D. R. Woodlan*D. R. Woodlan

## ORIGINATED BY:

Goutam Bagchi - NRC StaffSpottswood Burwell - NRC StaffMano Subudhi - BNL

## RECEIVED BY:

D. R. WoodlanSUBJECT COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATIONSEISMIC AND DYNAMIC QUALIFICATIONPROPOSED RESOLUTION TO SQRT ITEMSSUMMARY Goutam called to discuss the items below.Q1. How will CPSES respond to specific items in trip report?A1. By letter. The five items requiring additional information (items 4, 12, 13, 16 and 19) shall be addressed specifically and the other items shall be addressed in a table similar to the one used during our 2-2-83 meeting.Q2. Can CPSES commit to complete the incomplete items by fuel load?A2. The letter response will commit to completing by fuel load those items that will be resolved through normal engineering and construction (e.g. complete installation, verify g-loads, etc.).Q3. Can W information on WECAN and WCAP-8230 be made available to BNL for review?A3. I will contact W to see if this information can be sent out as soon as possible so that these concerns can be resolved at the March 2 and 3 meeting.We also discussed Specific Item No. 12 on MS34, the Diesel Generator Local Control Panel. The action required to close out these items is:

(cont.)

FOLLOW-UP ACTION \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRIBUTION Goutam Bagchi, Mano Subudhi, SBB, HCS, JSM, REB, KKM, ATP, John McInerney

1. Demonstrate that the components are being qualified to a seismic level that envelopes the seismic level that the components will see in this panel, and
2. Demonstrate that the qualification of the panel will not be altered when the seismic response of the components is considered (instead of the dummy weights).

We agreed that the meeting with Westinghouse is scheduled for March 2 and 3, 1983. The meeting will start on the first day at 9:00 a.m. in the Westinghouse offices in Bethesda.

To help clarify our discussions, I agreed to send my version of the meeting minutes from 2-2-83 to Goutam, Mano and Spotts.

DRW:tis

In a later conversation that same day, Goutam and I agreed that I did not need to mail the WECAN information to BNL before the meeting. I should bring the information to the meeting and be prepared to discuss it. BNL will not review the WECAN information. The only purpose of the discussion will be to familiarize BNL with the code. We will send WCAP-8230 to Goutam and Mano. ~~we~~ The copies shall be sent for review and should be returned to I at the meeting. Goutam said that, for the present, this arrangement was acceptable.

DeWoodlan