

285.

LAW OFFICES OF  
BISHOP, LIBERMAN, COOK, PURCELL & REYNOLDS

1200 SEVENTEENTH STREET, N. W.  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036  
(202) 857-9800  
TELEX 440574 INTLAW U1

IN NEW YORK  
BISHOP, LIBERMAN & COOK  
26 BROADWAY  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10004  
(212) 248-6900  
TELEX 222767

'84 AUG 24 A9:57

August 22, 1984

Mrs. Juanita Ellis  
President, CASE  
1426 South Polk Street  
Dallas, Texas 75224

Subj: Texas Utilities Electric Company (Comanche  
Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2);  
Docket Nos. 50-445<sup>a</sup> and 50-4460L

Dear Mrs. Ellis:

Enclosed is material requested by CASE in the August 6, 1984, conference call between Applicants and CASE regarding Applicants' motions for summary disposition. This material was identified as item 3 in my letter to you of August 20, 1984.

3. Drawing and calculations for the support with the largest difference in loads between analyses with and without consideration of the effects of rotational constraint. This support is FW-1-18-703-C52R. CASE should note that the loads used to check the baseplate are those which result from the analysis that assumed 18 supports on this stress problem were not present (see page 2 of 2 of calculation). (Axial restraints)

I am also enclosing another copy of the Gibbs & Hill Specification SS-30 referenced in item 5 of my August 20 letter. This document may have been inadvertently left out of the original package. With this material my records reflect no outstanding requests from the August 6, 1984, conference call. Please notify me promptly if you believe otherwise.


With respect to other discovery requests discussed in your August 13, 1984, letter to me, I have been informed that all references from the motion for summary disposition concerning safety factors had been picked up from Applicants' Dallas offices by August 6, 1984. Your letter reflected that there were still some items not yet provided as of August 13, 1984. Accordingly, consistent with the Board's directive in the conference call today, your response to this motion should be due August 29, 1984.

8408280083 840822  
PDR ADOCK 05000445  
PDR

*DSOS*

In addition, you may consider this letter written confirmation of the four items (three regarding A500 steel and one concerning section properties) you listed as having been orally communicated to CASE. Finally, the letter from Applicants to the Staff (Schmidt to Youngblood) referenced in item 10 of your letter relating to the AWS/ASME motion was dated July 16, 1984. You should have received a copy of the letter. If not, please contact me and I will send you a copy. I believe that with the production of material regarding the A500 steel motion, which was discussed during the conference call this morning, Applicants will have provided all information we had agreed to provide. Accordingly, the 20-day time period for providing responses to Applicants motions (except A500 steel) should commence with your receipt of this letter. Please let me know promptly if your records do not reflect this.

Sincerely,



William A. Horin

Counsel for Applicants

Enclosure

Overnight Delivery

cc: w/encl. Stuart Treby  
w/o encl. Remainder of Service List