

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION III 801 WARRENVILLE ROAD LISLE, ILLINOIS 60532-4351 1 Public!

December 12, 1995

Mr. D. R. Gipson Senior Vice President Nuclear Generation The Detroit Edison Company 6400 North Dixie Highway Newport, MI 48166

SUBJECT:

INTEGRATED INSPECTION REPORT NO. 50-341/95012 AND

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Dear Mr. Gipson:

This refers to the inspection conducted by Messers. A. Vegel and C. O'Keefe, and others of this office, from September 22 through November 21, 1995. The inspection included a review of activities authorized for your Fermi 2 facility. At the conclusion of the inspection, the findings were discussed with those members of your staff identified in the enclosed report at an integrated inspection exit.

Areas examined during the inspection are identified in the "eport. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observation of activities in progress. The purpose of the inspection was to determine whether activities authorized by the license were conducted safely and in accordance with NRC requirements.

You should note that this inspection report represents an integrated product of a number of diverse inspections that were conducted during the inspection period. The summary contained in the report provides an overview of our assessment of your performance in the four functional areas (Operations, Maintenance, Engineering, and Plant Support) in which inspections were performed.

Based on the results of this inspection, certain of your activities appeared to be in violation of NRC requirements, as specified in the enclosed Notice of Violation (Notice). Two of the violations identified were associated with inadequate work control and procedure compliance which rendered a 130/260V battery inoperable, similar work control problems last February (IR 34/95005). As a result of our continued concerns in how work is controlled and executed at Fermi, we agreed to hold a management meeting in the Region III office on December 19, 1995. The violations are of concern because they were all identified by the NRC, and each violation had multiple opportunities for station personnel to identify the problems.

200005

9512200237 951212 PDR ADDCK 05000341

The responses directed by this letter and the enclosed Notice are not subject

Sincerely,

W. L. Axelson, Director Division of Reactor Projects

Docket No. 50-341 License No. NPF-43

Enclosures:

1. Notice of Violation

2. Inspection Report No. 50-341/95012

## Distribution:

cc w/encl: J. Conen, Principal Compliance Engineer

P. A. Marquardt, Corporate
Legal Department
James R. Padgett, Michigan Public
Service Commission
Michigan Department of
Public Health
Monroe County, Emergency
Management Division

Mr. D. R. Gipson Senior Vice President Nuclear Generation The Detroit Edison Company 6400 North Dixie Highway Newport, MI 48166

SUBJECT:

INTEGRATED INSPECTION REPORT NO. 50-341/95012 AND

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Dear Mr. Gipson:

This refers to the inspection conducted by Messers. A. Vegel and C. O'Keefe, and others of this office, from September 22 through November 21, 1995. The inspection included a review of activities authorized for your Fermi 2 facility. At the conclusion of the inspection, the findings were discussed with those members of your staff identified in the enclosed report at an integrated inspection exit.

Areas examined during the inspection are identified in the report. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observation of activities in progress. The purpose of the inspection was to determine whether activities authorized by the license were conducted safely and in accordance with NRC requirements.

You should note that this inspection report represents an integrated product of a number of diverse inspections that were conducted during the inspection period. The summary contained in the report provides an overview of our assessment of your performance in the four functional areas (Operations, Maintenance, Engineering, and Plant Support) in which inspections were performed.

Based on the results of this inspection, certain of your activities appeared to be in violation of NRC requirements, as specified in the enclosed Notice of Violation (Notice). Two of the violations identified were associated with inadequate work control and procedure compliance which rendered a 130/260V battery inoperable, similar work control problems last February (IR 34/95005). As a result of our continued concerns in how work is controlled and executed at Fermi, we agreed to hold a management meeting in the Region III office on December 19, 1995. The violations are of concern because they were all identified by the NRC, and each violation had multiple opportunities for station personnel to identify the problems.

An unresolved item was identified with respect to the certification of an individual in certain areas, and the process by which the individual was certified in those specific areas. This unresolved item will be transmitted by a separate letter. A response which addresses the issues will be requested.

You are required to respond to this letter and should follow the instructions specified in the enclosed Notice when preparing your response. In your response, you should document the specific actions taken and any additional actions you plan to prevent recurrence. Your response may reference or include previous docketed correspondence, if the correspondence adequately addresses the required response. After reviewing your response to this Notice, including your proposed corrective actions and the results of future inspections, the NRC will determine whether further NRC enforcement action is necessary to ensure compliance with NRC regulatory requirements.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter, its enclosure(s), and your response will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room (PDR). To the extent possible, your response should not include any personal privacy, proprietary, or safeguards information so that it can be placed in the PDR without redaction. However, if you find it necessary to include such information, you should clearly indicate the specific information that you desire not to be placed in the PDR, and provide the legal basis to support your request for withholding the information from the public.

The responses directed by this letter and the enclosed Notice are not subject to the clearance procedures of the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, Pub. L. No. 96.511.

Sincerely,

W. L. Axelson, Director Division of Reactor Projects

Docket No. 50-341 License No. NPF-43

Enclosures:

Notice of Violation
 Inspection Report
 No. 50-341/95012

## Distribution:

cc w/encl: J. Conen, Principal Compliance Engineer P. A. Marquardt, Corporate

Legal Department

James R. Padgett, Michigan Public

Service Commission Michigan Department of

Public Health

Monroe County, Emergency Management Division

Distribution: Docket File/w encl PUBLIC IE-01/w encl OC/LFDCB/wencl SRI Fermi/w encl IPAS (E-Mail) w/encl

Project Manager, NRR/w encl DRP/w encl RIII PRR/w encl RMB/FEES w/o encl

Document: R:\insprpts\powers\ferm\fer95012.drp
To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box "C" - Copy without attach/encl "E" - Copy with attach/encl "N" -

OFFICE	RIII	E	RIII Fought	RIII	e.	RIII
NAME	DuPont/Jondan		Ringland	Creed All		Axelson
DATE	12/17/95	/	12/8/95	12/8/95		12/ /95

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY